

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

California Independent System Operator  
Corporation  
Docket No. ER06-615-014  
**June 12, 2008**

Alston & Bird LLP  
The Atlantic Building  
950 F Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20004-1404

Attention: Bradley R. Miliauskas, Esquire  
Counsel for California Independent System  
Operator Corporation

Reference: Compliance Filing/MRTU Tariff

Dear Mr. Miliauskas:

On October 5, 2007, you filed, on behalf of the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO), revised tariff sheets to define the circumstances under which Metered Subsystem resources can use its Reliability Must Run (RMR) units for load following purposes.<sup>1</sup> Specifically, the CAISO revised Section 4.9.13.2 (Load-Following or Non Load-Following Election) of the MRTU Tariff to reflect that an MSS Operator may designate RMR units as load following, and that load following RMR units must be available to the CAISO for dispatch up to the maximum net dependable capacity specified in the RMR contract. The CAISO tariff provides that if the CAISO does not dispatch an RMR load following resource for local reliability needs, the MSS Operator will have the opportunity to participate in the CAISO markets as any other non-RMR load following unit subject to Section 30.5.2.5 (Supply Bids for Metered Subsystems). The revised tariff sheets are accepted for filing to become effective upon implementation of MRTU.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Load following is typically defined as the use of generation to meet the hour-to-hour and daily variations in system load.

<sup>2</sup> On March 26, 2008, the Commission issued an order granting the CAISO's motion to modify the effective date of the MRTU Tariff based on a representation that due to delays in the implementation of MRTU, the March 31, 2008 effective

Docket No. ER06-615-014

-2-

This filing was noticed on October 10, 2007, with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before October 26, 2008. Northern California Power Agency (NCPA) filed a motion to intervene and comments in support of the proposed tariff language, as being consistent with language negotiated between the CAISO and NCPA. No other comments were received. Notices of intervention, unopposed timely filed motions to intervene, and the untimely motion for leave to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This acceptance for filing shall not be construed as constituting approval of the referenced filing or of any rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation or practice affecting such rate or service provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such acceptance be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such acceptance is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or any which may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated to the Director, Division of Tariffs and Market Development - West under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director  
Division of Tariffs and Market  
Development – West

cc: All Parties

---

date was no longer applicable.

Document Content(s)

19329162.DOC.....1-2