

Market Surveillance Committee (MSC) Activities

By

Frank A. Wolak

Chairman
Market Surveillance Committee (MSC)
of the California ISO

May 2005 Board of Governors Meeting



Opinion on MRTU Conceptual Filing

- Issues addressed in the opinion
 - Load Aggregation Point (LAP) clearing of demand bids
 - Hour Ahead Scheduling Process (HASP)
 - Managing Market Power



LAP Clearing and HASP

- LAP clearing of demand bids
 - Addresses equity concerns of Locational Marginal Pricing (LMP)
 - Long-term solution should also address market efficiency concerns
- Coordinate HASP process with long-term solution to intertie bidding problem



Managing Market Power

- Use forward contracts for energy and ancillary services to manage systemwide market power
- Barriers to entry at certain locations in California endows certain suppliers with substantial local market power
 - A prospective (LMPM) mechanism is necessary to ensure just and reasonable prices for energy and ancillary services from these suppliers



Ad Hoc Bid Adders

- Mitigated bid should be best estimate of least-cost variable cost of supplying energy from that unit
- Do not include adders in mitigated bids
 - Results in an inefficient dispatch
 - Overuses generation units facing competition
 - Creates incentive for generation units to be mitigated
- Recover fixed costs through forward contracts for energy and ancillary services with LSEs
 - Not through bid adders that distort LMPs



Setting Level of Bid Cap

- Unless CPUC exposes consumers to real-time price risk, raising bid caps will have limited benefits
 - If California LSEs continue to forward contract for energy and ancillary services at existing levels
- Decision to raise bid caps should not be based on assessment of competitiveness of market, because system conditions can charge
 - Decision should be based on level protection from shortterm price risk
- Before raising bid caps, ISO should have minimum requirements verified by CPUC on
 - Fraction of load subject to real-time price risk
 - Fraction load covered by forward contracts



System-wide AMP

- Automated Mitigation Procedure (AMP) designed to control system-wide market power
 - At current level of bid cap in California AMP has limited value
 - Very likely to do more harm than good for consumers
- AMP makes it costly to bid low
 - Limits frequency of very high prices at the cost of higher prices in the vast majority of hours of the year
 - Net effect may be to increase average spot prices
- Forward contracting for energy in advance is a superior strategy for limiting ability of suppliers to raise short-term prices



Questions?