CALIFORNIA ISO

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contents

- I. SUMMARY OF RECENT DECISIONS
- II. SUMMARY OF RECENT FILINGS
- III. SUMMARY OF UPCOMING EVENTS
- IV. SUMMARY OF MATTERS SET FOR HEARING
- V. SUMMARY OF MATTERS ON REHEARING & APPEAL
- VI. COMPLAINTS
- VII. STATUS OF ISO FERC FILINGS
 - A. Tariff & Protocol Filings
 - B. Reliability and Must Run Agreements
 - C. Transmission and Distribution Access Rates
 - D. Utility Pass-Through of GMC and PX Charge
 - E. Financing
 - F. ISO/PX Reports
- VIII. OTHER FERC MATTERS IN WHICH THE ISO IS PARTICIPATING
- IX. RULEMAKINGS

I. SUMMARY OF RECENT DECISIONS

- Order issued 1/7/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,004) the Commission accepts the PX's proposal to make its Day-of Market energy and ancillary services permanent. The PX had shifted from an hour-ahead timeline to three daily auctions. FERC rejected the proposal to incorporate the timeline in an operating manual, concluding it should be in the tariff.
- Order issued 1/7/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,006) the Commission accepts in part and rejects in part Amendment No. 23. The Commission rejected the ISO's proposal to expand out-of-market authority to situations in which generators had submitted bids but the ISO determined that the markets for such bids were not competitive. FERC found the existing intra-zonal congestion management approach "fundamentally flawed" and in need of being "overhauled or replaced." FERC accepted the proposed changes in the payment calculation for out of market calls and the allocation of the costs of ISO dispatch orders to manage intra-zonal congestion.
- Order issued 1/11/00 PG&E SC Tariff. Noting that this proceeding will be moot if it reverses the initial decision in Docket No. ER97-2358 (regarding PG&E's initial request to recover SC expenses through the TRBAA), the Commission acted to "accept the SCS Tariff for filing, suspend it and set it for hearing, conditionally grant waiver of notice to make it effective March 31, 1998, subject to refund, but accept PG&E's proposal to defer billing, and also defer the hearing pending resolution of the issues before the Commission in Docket Nos. ER97-2358, et al." Within 45 days of the resolution of the proceeding in Docket No. ER97-2358, parties are to advise the Commission as to what action they would like the Commission to take regarding PG&E's proposed SCS Tariff.
- Order issued 1/12/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,015) the Commission conditionally accepts proposed revisions to the NY ISO Tariffs. FERC approved the NYISO's proposal that the ISO Board could unilaterally file temporary (120 day) tariff amendments, without the concurrence of the Management Committee, to address exigent circumstances. The Commission rejected a proposal to add a provision to the ISO Transmission Tariff that would limit their liability except in circumstances of negligence or willful misconduct. The Commission accepted added language that provides the NY ISO will the ability to procure insurance to cover the risks associated with carrying out its responsibilities but states that "transmission customers are not required to indemnify (in any manner, including through the payment of insurance premiums) the ISO or the Transmission Owner in cases of negligence or intentional wrongdoing." FERC accepted a commitment among the Member Systems to work in good faith to minimize cost shifting as a result of the litigation in Docket No. ER97-1523-011 and a proposal concerning the scheduling and balancing of deliveries from QF units subject to the outcome of that same docket. FERC also approved a proposal such that transmission owners releasing residual TCCs [FTRs] or existing transmission capacity for native load into the auction will not incur a payment obligation to the other transmission owners if the ultimate purchaser acquires the TCC at a negative market clearing price, but rather all transmission owners will proportionately bear the cost of the negatively-valued TCC through the auction revenues distribution process. Other changes accepted by FERC included: (1) a proposal to calculate the installed capacity requirements

annually rather than seasonally and (2) a revision to permit inclusion of working capital. FERC rejected proposals to exempt from Regulation penalties certain QF generators and intermittent generators.

- Order issued 1/13/00 FERC accepts the RMR settlement in ER98-495-007.
- Order issued 1/13/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,028) the Commission accepts the PX proposal to continue its Post Close Quantity Match process which allows participants to bid quantities that were not fully awarded in the primary auction to "even up" their market positions after the close of the market provided that the unfulfilled quantities were at bid prices that fall within a predetermined band width.
- Order issued 1/14/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,036) the Commission acted on the Amendment No. 14 rehearings and compliance filing. The Commission clarified that the ISO's buy back proposal should require that only Ancillary Services that are voluntarily withdrawn from the day-ahead schedule by an SC, regardless of whether they are self-provided or sold into the market, should be subject to the buy back proposal. The Commission reiterated a statement made in the May 26 Order, that the shouldconsider implementing a bidding mechanism to address situations in which it must change the amount of capacity self-provided or sold into the Ancillary Services markets. The Commission also: (1) rejected SoCal Edison's request for rehearing of the Commission's approval of the ISO's proposal to allocate to load the cost of extra Replacement Reserves needed to meet demand not scheduled in the day-ahead market; (2) denied rehearing requests by El Segundo and Long Beach alleging inconsistent Commission treatment of different kinds of price caps and that the ISO's treatment of above-cap bids results in unilateral adjustments to bidders' rate schedules; and (3) granted the ISO's clarification that the the May 26 Order merely cautioned that FERC-licensed hydro facilities must have the flexibility to control output if necessary but was not intended to suggest that licensees should be exempted. The Commission's approval of Amendment No. 21 had already extended the ISO's price cap authority until November 15, 2000.
- Order issued 1/14/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,042) the Commission denied rehearing of its September 30, 1999 Order which accepted for filing the revised New York member system transmission agreements.
- Order issued 1/14/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,045) the Commission acted on the New York Member System's compliance filing in response to the 7/29/99 Order. FERC denied rehearing of Sithe's contention that the different imbalance provisions of the NY ISO Transmission Tariff and the New York ISO Services Tariff were discriminatory; Sithe's objection to the one-time right to convert existing TSAs to TCCs; and Sithe's protest of the compliance filing with respect to voltage support payments. The Commission grated clarification that all terms of existing TSAs, including the ability to substitute alternate receipt and delivery points will be honored. FERC concluded that the Member System's continued to include items not related to scheduling transactions in the scheduling charge residual adjustment and directed that the ISO's funding mechanism be revised to allocae costs for non-transmission services to the parties that benefit from those services.
- Order issued 1/27/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,067) the Commission conditionally approved the Mountain West Independent System Administrator

Order issued 1/31/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,085) the Commission clarified that non-Load Serving Entities that make bids to purchase in the installed capacity auction are equally subject to the cap on the market clearing price under the applicable market mitigation rules.

- Order issued 1/31/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,086) the Commission denied the ISO's request for rehearing concerning the new generator interconnection policy. As to Duke's request that the Commission clarify its stance on the costs for which new generators are responsible, the Commission stated that the September 15 Order intended neither to create nor reject any principles of cost allocation. Moreover, the Commission stated that it has never established the "principle" that Duke posits, *i.e.*, that new generators must be responsible for the additional redispatch costs incurred by existing generators as a result of increased congestion.
- Order issued 2/3/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,104) the Commission accepted the ISO's Scheduling Coordinator Agreement for filing. The Commission rejected TANC's motions to reject or suspend the SCA. FERC concluded that TANC had failed to support its request to link acceptance of the SCA to the outcome of the Alturas proceeding.
- Notice of Proposed Rulemaking issued 2/3/00 regarding annual charges assessed to public utilities. The Commission proposed that annual charges be assessed to public utilities based on volume (in MWh) of electricity transmitted in interstate commerce (as opposed to the former method of including power sales and transmission volumes). The Commission proposed to include the following volumes for which annual charges would be assessed: (1) unbundled wholesale transmission; (2) unbundled retail transmission, and (3) unbundled wholesale power sales which include a transmission component where the transmission component is not separately reported. FERC noted there could be a potential for "double-counting" of transmission transactions where an ISO has members that retain ownership of transmission facilities. FERC solicited comments on two possible approaches: (1) charge the transmission-owning public utility the annual charge (the TO would then include the annual charge costs in its transmission revenue requirement to be recovered by the ISO) or (2) to have the ISO pay the charge as an agent for the TO. FERC stated it intended to use the revised methodology in 2002 based on data for calendar year 2001. Comments are due 4/3/00.
- On 2/8/00, a Presiding Judge in the New York ISO case certified to the Commission the question of whether a QF would be disqualified as a result of complying with the energy balancing provisions of the ISO Tariff would the purchase of imbalance energy to meet schedule and the subsequent delivery of that energy to the utility purchaser constitute a sale for resale in violation of the PURPA rules.
- Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,117), letter order accepting the PG&E/SMUD/ISO Interim Agreement
- Order issued 2/9/00, letter order accepting Section 204 application to issue bonds in an amount not to exceed \$295,000,000.
- Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,118) the Commission accepted for filing the proposed revisions to the TO Tariffs of SCE, PG&E, and SDG&E for pass through of out-of-market dispatch orders. The Commission set the matter for hearing, subject to refund, the issue of whether the costs should be passed through the TRBAA and whether part of the costs should be allocated to ETC customers.

- Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,114), letter order rejecting proposed amendment to New York State Reliability Council Agreement that would have provided funding through surcharge in rates of the New York ISO. FERC noted that the New York ISO Tariffs required the ISO to file any amendments.
- Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,113) the Commission accepted the revised RMR agreement with Geysers Power. The Commission agreed with the request by PG&E and the ISO to reject the proposed revisions to the maximum net dependable capacity values.
- Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,122), the Commission sets for hearing the New York ISO's amendment to its proposed recovery of start-up costs. The amendment proposes to reduce the amortization period to five years from ten and to increase the estimate of start-up costs by \$6.6 million. The changes propose to increase the charge from \$0.04/MWh to \$0.09/MWh.
- Order issued 2/10/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,138), the Commission accepted proposed revisions to the PJM Reliability Assurance Agreement. Because of the difficulty in achieving a quorum at meeting of the Reliability Committee, FERC authorized PJM to permit parties upon their own request to be excluded from the determination of voting shares or to be fined \$500 for missing a meeting.
- Order issued 2/10/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,141), the Commission conditionally accepted ISO New England's proposed interim rule to compute prices consistent with real-time dispatch during Emergency System Conditions such as telecommunication, hardware or software failures. FERC rejected as unnecessary the proposed rule to correct for "technical implementation errors" such as incorrect data input. The Commission noted that the filed rate doctrine already provides the ISO with the authority to correct errors in charging the filed rate.
- Order issued 2/16/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,151) the Commission accepts for filing, suspends and sets for hearing proposed revisions to the TO Tariffs for pass-through of out-of-market dispatch costs
- Order issued 2/23/00, the Commission extended the date for NEPOOL to file a congestion management / multi-settlement system until March 31, 2000..
- Letter order issued 2/23/00, the Commission accepts Southern Energy Delta and Southern Energy Protrero's revised RMR agreements. FERC upheld the protest of the ISO, PG&E and the EOB to the revisions under Schedule A.
- Order issued 2/23/00, the Commission extends the price caps for NEPOOL's Operable Capability and Operating Reserve markets. With respect to the Operable Capability market, the cap is extended until 2/29/00 when the market will terminate. For the Operating Reserve Market, the cap will expire upon the earlier of June 30, 2000 or the implementation of the market redesign.
- Order issued 2/24/00, the Commission denies rehearing of its July 30, 1999 order granting Entergy's petition for a declaratory order regarding its proposal to create a Transco. FERC notes that "passive ownership, with appropriate limitations, con preserve independence."
- Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,178) letter order approving the Unresolved Issues settlement

- Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,190), the Commission accepts the settlement agreement between PG&E and Dynegy extending the Control Area Transmission Service Agreement
- Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,186), letter order accepting the settlement of the Meter Service Agreement case Docket No. ER98-1499-000, et al.
- Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176), letter order accepting the settlement of the Non-QF Participating Generator Agreement case Docket No. ER98-992-000, et al.
- Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,173), the Commission conditionally accepts proposed PX amendment to enhance Block-Forward Market.
- Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,179), the Commission grants the PX's request for clarification that the Commission defer the requirement that the PX submit a compliance filing reflecting the Day-of-Market timeline in the body of the PX Tariff pending the outcome of the settlement process in Docket No. ER99-4113.
- Order issued 2/24/00, the Commission grants in part Commonwealth Edison Companies petition for a declaratory order on the proposed structure for an RTO in which functions would be shared between the Midwest ISO and a for-profit independent transmission company
- Order issued 2/25/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,196), the Commission accepted the GMC informational filing
- Order issued 2/25/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,202) final rule adopting uniform business practices implementing Commission's policies on transmission service price negotiation and improving interactions between transmission providers and customers over OASIS nodes.
- Order No. 2000-A (90 FERC ¶ 61,201) issued 2/25/00. Order on rehearing of RTO rule. The Commission reaffirmed its commitment to Order No. 2000. FERC clarified its definition of "market participant," stating that a transmission company that provided transmission service to an RTO would not be considered a market participant. The Commission also reaffirmed that RTOs have the exclusive and independent authority to file rates, but that individual transmission owners retain the right to recover revenue requirements for the use of their transmission facilities

II. SUMMARY OF RECENT FILINGS

•	January 4, 2000 -	Updated Report of Unresolved Issues
		Unresolved Issues - Joint Statement of Issues
		Letter in Response to FERC Staff regarding time-frame in which the Commission will act under ER00-866
•	January 5, 2000 -	Amendment No. 5 to PG&E RPTO Agreement
•	January 6, 2000 -	Motion to intervene in Enron Docket No. ER00-833 (resale of FTRs)
		Motion to intervene in Reliant Docket No. ER00-829 (resale of FTRs)
		Motion to intervene in SDG&E Docket No. ER00-830
		Motion to intervene and comments in SCE Docket No. ER00-845
		Motion to intervene and comments in Duke Docket No. ER00-824
		Motion to intervene in SCE Docket No. ER00-805
•	January 7, 2000 -	Answer in opposition to motion to modify FERC's joint briefing requirement in the Unresolved Issues case.
		SCA with Koch Energy Trading, Inc.
•	January 10, 2000 -	Motion to intervene in San Diego Gas & Electric Co. ER00-858
		Answer in support of PG&E's Notice of Withdrawal in Docket No. ER00-658
		Supplemental comments in PG&E Docket No. ER00-565
•	January 11, 2000 -	Motion to intervene in San Diego Gas & Electric Co. ER00-860 Response to Motions to intervene in Docket No. ER00-742, SCA with Sierra Pacific
		Motion to intervene in PG&E Docket No. ER00-871-000

		Motion to intervene in Geysers Power Docket No. ER00-894-000
•	January 12, 2000 -	PGA with San Joaquin Cogen Limitted
•	January 13, 2000 -	Amendment No. 1 to MSA with Cabirllio, Docket No. ER00-1087-000
		Amendment No. 2 to MSA with PGE Energy Services Docket No. ER00-1086-000
•	January 14, 2000 -	Motion to intervene in PG&E Docket No. ER00-902
		Motion to intervene in PG&E Docket No. ER00-90
•	January 18, 2000 -	Motion for an extension of time for Access Charge filing
•	January 19, 2000 -	Motion to intervene in Southern Energy Potrero Docket No. ER00-937
		Motion to intervene in Southern Energy Delta Docket No. ER00-936
		Motion to intervene in PX Docket No. ER00-951
•	January 21, 2000 -	Direct Testimony of Spence Gerber in Sierra Pacific Docket No. ER99-28
•	January 24, 2000 -	Answer to interventions and comments on GMC informational filing
•	January 27, 2000 -	Amendment No. 25 - Regulation, outage cancellation, publication of bid data, payment calendar, FTR implementation, RMR allocation, and transmission derates
		Answer to interventions and Comments on zone creation, A/S bids (one part vs. 2 part) and loss studies
		Market Notice on Amendment No. 22 regarding ETC and FTR templates
•	January 28, 2000 -	Amendment No. 26 - Pre-dispatch
		Bylaw Amendment
•	February 2, 2000 -	Notice of Termination of SCA with Montana Power Trading & Marketing
		Notice of Termination of MSA with Montana Power Trading & Marketing

Last Revised: March 4, 2	2000
--------------------------	------

Response to comments on Study of Market Power in San Diego Basin February 3, 2000 -February 4, 2000 -Notice of withdrawal of Amendment No. 24 February 7, 2000 -Letter in response to FERC staff regarding Docket No. ER00-1365 Motion for Clarification or in the Alternative Rehearing on Amendment No. 23 Amendment No. 23 compliance filing SCA with Arizona Electric Power Cooperative February 8, 2000 -PGA and MSA with Fresno Cogeneration February 9, 2000 -MSA with San Joaquin Cogen SCA with Public Service Company of Colorado February 10, 2000 -Amendment No. 3 to RPTO with Southern California Edison February 11, 2000 -Answer to motion for additional time in Docket No. ER00-1365 Initial Brief in the Unresolved Issues case February 14, 2000 -Response to Preliminary Brief of Sierra Pacific in Docket No. EL99-85-000 (Oxbow Interconnection) Amendment No. 14 Compliance filing Physical Scheduling Plant Agreement for the Big Creek Hydroelectric project February 15, 2000 Amendment No. 3 to PGA with Reliant Motion to intervene out of time in Reliant Docket No. ER00-1526-000 February 28, 2000 -February 29, 2000 -Limited objection to depositions in Docket No. ER99-28, Alturas **RMR** Rebuttal Testimony March 1, 2000 -March 3, 2000 -Response to intervention on Amendment No. 25

III. SUMMARY OF UPCOMING EVENTS

•	March 23-24, 2000	-	RTO meeting in Las Vegas
•	March 27, 2000	-	Hearing in ER98-496-000
			Answering Brief in the Unresolved Issues case
			Rebuttal Testimony in RMR Phase II case
•	March 29-30, 2000	-	RTO meeting in Kansas City
•	April 3, 2000	-	Hearing in ER98-496-006
			Comments on Revision of Annual Charges Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
•	April 4, 2000	-	Alturas Company Rebuttal Testimony
•	April 5-6, 2000	-	RTO meeting in Atlanta
•	April 14, 2000	-	Initial Briefs in ER98-495-000
•	April 17, 2000	-	Proponent's Reply Brief in the Unresolved Issues case
•	April 21, 2000	-	Owners Rebuttal Testimony in RMR Phase II case
•	April 25, 2000	-	Alturas hearing
•	April 28, 2000	-	Reply Briefs in ER98-495-000
•	May 1, 2000	-	Initial Brief in ER98-496-006
•	May 2, 2000	-	Hearing in RMR Phase II case
•	May 12, 2000	-	Reply Briefs in ER98-496-000
•	May 15, 2000	-	Reply Brief in ER98-496-006
•	November 15, 2000	-	Expiration of price cap authority

• December 1, 2000 - Report on Long-Term FTRs

• January 15, 2001 - Date for RTO filing

IV. SUMMARY OF MATTERS SET FOR HEARING

Case	Subject	Schedule	Comments
El Segundo Power, LLC; ER98-2550-000	RMR	Offer of Settlement filed 4/2/99. Certified as uncontested by order dated 4/27/99.	Before Judge Bobbie McCartney
		Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.	
		Offer of settlement filed on 11/3/99. Certified by Order dated 11/29/99. Approved by letter order dated 1/13/00 in ER98-495-007	
		Offer of Settlement with PG&E filed 11/12/99. Certified to the Commission as an uncontested partial settlement on 12/21/99. Accepted by letter order dated 1/14/99 in ER98-495-008	
		Offer of Settlement with Geysers Power filed on July 1, 1999. Certified to the Commission as unconditional Offer of Settlement by order dated 7/23/99. Accepted by letter order dated 1/31/00.	
		Offer of Settlement with Williams filed on 8/31/99. Certified to the Commission as unconditional Offer of Settlement by order dated 10/5/99. Accepted by letter order dated 1/31/00	
		Offer of Settlement with Reliant filed on 9/8/99. Certified to the Commission as unconditional Offer of Settlement by order dated 10/5/99	
		Offer of Settlement with Duke filed 11/22/99. Certified to the Commission as an uncontested offer of settlement on 1/4/00. Accepted by letter order dated 1/28/00	
		Offer of Settlement with El Segundo filed on 1/31/00. Certified to the Commission as an uncontested Offer of Settlement by order dated 3/2/00	
Southern California Edison, ER98-441, California ISO ER98- 1019-000 and El	Black Start Agreement and RMR		Offer of Settlement filed on 6/15/98 on Black Start accepted by letter order dated 9/17/98;
Segundo Power, ER98- 2550-000			Before Judge Wagner

		Eust Revised: 1	viai cii ¬, 2000
Duke Energy Moss Landing ER98-2668 and ER98-4300; Duke Energy Oakland ER98- 2669-000 and ER98- 4296-000	RMR		Before Judge Wagner - Schedule suspended by 11/1/99 Order
PG&E, ER98-495-000, ER98-1614, ER98-2145 (PG&E/Southern)	RMR	2/8/00 - Direct Testimony of Governmental Agencies 3/1/00 - Rebuttal testimony 3/14/00 - final discovery requests 3/17/00 - Joint Stipulation of Issues 3/20/00 - Hearing 4/14/00 - Initial Brief 4/28/00 - Reply Brief	Before Judge Young
SDG&E, ER98-496- 000 (SDG&E/Southern)	RMR	2/8/00 - Direct Testimony of Governmental Agencies 3/1/00 - Rebuttal testimony 3/27/00 - Hearing 5/12/00 - Reply Briefs 6/9/00 - Initial Decision	Before Judge Joseph Nacy
SDG&E, ER98-496- 006 (SDG&E/Dynegy)	RMR	2/8/00 - Direct Testimony of Governmental Agencies 3/1/00 - Rebuttal testimony 3/14/00 - final discovery requests 4/3/00 - Hearing 5/1/00 - Initial Brief 5/15/00 - Reply Brief 6/12/00 - Initial Decision	Before Judge Herbert Grossman
Southern California Edison; ER98-441-000	RMR Phases II	Phase II 10/29/99 - Owners direct testimony 12/29/99 - TOs Direct testimony 2/28/00 - FERC/CPUC/ISO answering testimony 3/27/00 - TO/FERC/CPUC/ISO rebuttal 4/21/00 - Owners Rebuttal testimony 5/2/00 - Hearing	Before Judge Joseph Nacy
Pacific Gas & Electric, ER98-2087 and ER97- 2358	TO Tariff	Offer of settlement filed 4/14/99 as corrected on 4/30/99	Before Judge Bruce Birchman - contested offer of settlement certified to the Commission by order dated 5/20/99

G 1 G 110 1	I == 0 == 1.00		
Southern California	TO Tariff	Initial Decision issued 3/31/99;	Briefs on Exception and
Edison, ER98-2322 and			Opposing Exceptions
ER97-2355			have been filed with
			FERC; Order issued
			9/17/99 establishing
			further procedures on
			ROE issues
ER97-2358-002;	Non-rate terms and	Partial Offer of Settlement certified to the Commission by Order dated	
ER97-2355-002;	conditions of TO tariff	9/1/99	
ER97-2364-002;			
ER97-4235-002		Initial Decision issued 9/1/99	
ER98-497-002		Initial Decision issued of 1777	
CAL ISO, ER98-1499-	Meter Service	Uncontested Offer of Settlement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00. 90	
000, ER98-1500-000,	Agreements	FERC ¶ 61,186.	
ER98-1501-000, ER98-	rigicements	12.00.	
1502-000			
CAL ISO, ER98-992, et	Non-QF Participating	Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176), letter order accepting the	
al.	Generator Agreement	settlement of the Non-QF Participating Generator Agreement case Docket	
ai.	Generator Agreement	No. ER98-992-000, et al.	
CAL ISO, ER98-997-	QF PGA	Procedural Schedule suspended - joint motion for appointment of a	Before Judge Delbert
000 and ER98-1309		settlement judge has been granted	Terrill, Jr
000 4110 21000		Section of the sectio	Settlement Judge
			William Cowan
Duke Energy, ER99-	Duke affiliate service	Order granting motion to hold paper hearing in abeyance issued 3/18/99	THE STATE OF THE S
1127-000 and ER99-	agreements	order granting motion to note puper nearing in accounted issued 5/10/99	
1128-000 1128-000	agreements		
Sierra Pacific Power	Alturas	October 1, 1999 - Joint Statement of Issues	Before Judge Silverstein
ER99-28-000, ER99-			
945-000 and EL99-38-			
000 and EE33 30		February 25, 2000 - Staff testimony	
		March 14, 2000 - Cross-Answering testimony	
		April 4, 2000 - Sierra Pacific files rebuttal testimony	
		April 14, 2000 - Final Joint Statement of Issues	
		April 25, 2000 - Hairing	
	I	1 April 23, 2000 - Hearing	

		Last Revised. P	,
PG&E ER99-2326-000	TO 3 Tariff	June 22, 1999 - Initial discovery on PG&E	Before Judge H. Peter
and EL99-68-000		July 13, 1999 - PG&E responses to initial discovery	Young
		July 29-30, 1999 - settlement conference	
		August 6, 1999 - PG&E suppl. direct testimony on rate design	
		August 13, 1999 - Follow-up discovery	
		September 8, 1999 - PG&E responses to follow-up discovery	
		September 17, 1999 - further discovery on PG&E	
		October 1, 1999 - PG&E response to discovery	
		October 29, 1999 - Intervenor answering testimony	
		November 19, 1999 - Discovery to Intervenor testimony ends	
		December 3, 1999 - Staff/CPUC answering testimony	
		January 13, 2000 - cross - answering testimony, discovery to Staff/CPUC	
		ends	
		January 20, 2000 - cross- answering discovery ends	
		February 10, 2000 - PG&E rebuttal testimony	
		February 17, 2000 - Discovery ends on PG&E rebuttal	
		February 22, 2000 - Joint stipulation of issues	
		February 24, 2000 - final PG&E discovery responses	
		March 7, 2000 - hearing	
		March 7, 2000 hearing	
		On 11/8/99, PG&E filed an Offer of Settlement covering wholesale	
		transmission rate issues. This was certified to the Commission as an	
		uncontested settlement on 12/9/99 and accepted by letter order dated	
		1/31/00.	
PG&E ER99-4323	TO 4 Tariff		Before Judge Joseph R.
			Nacy
CAL PX, ER99-4113-	Tariff Simplification	Settlement conference 2/23/00	Settlement Judge
000			Stephen Grossman
Turlock and Modesto v.	TID/MID Complaint	Settlement conference 2/15/00	Settlement Judge
ISO, EL99-93-00		Settlement conference 2/13/00	William J. Cowan
SCE; ER00-845-000	OOM Pass-through	Applicants Direct Testimony - 4/11/00	Before Judge Birchman
PG&E ER00-851-000	OOM Lass through	Intervenor Answering Testimony - 5/26/00	Before Judge Bireillian
SDG&E ER00-860-		Staff Answering Testimony - 6/23/00	
000 SDG&E, ER00-800-		Joint Stipulation of Issues - 7/6/00	
		Intervenor Cross-Answering Testimony - 7/21/00	
		Applicants Rebuttal Testimony - 8/14/00	
		Revised Joint Stipulation of Issues -8/22/00	
		Pre-trial briefs - 8/31/00	
		Columnar Chart - 9/5/00	
		Hearing 9/6/00	

V. SUMMARY OF MATTERS ON REHEARING & APPEAL

MATTERS ON REHEARING

Case	Issues	Status
EC96-19-001 to 005; ER98-1663-001 to 006; Order dated October 30, 1997, 81FERC ¶ 61,122	Rehearing requests on issues other than governance	By Order in Docket No. ER98-3760 these rehearing requests will be considered as part of the Unresolved Issues settlement and Briefing
EC96-19-023; ER9-1663-024; Order dated May 28, 1988, 83 FERC ¶ 61,209 - Rehearing Requests Designated EC96-19-030 and ER96-1663-031	Rehearing of Amendment No. 7	
EC96-19-024; ER96-1663-025; Order dated March 11, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,236	Rehearing of selection of RMR units	
El Segundo, ER98-2550-000	CAL ISO rehearing request on cost-based rate cap	FERC issued a tolling order on 7/31/98
AES, ER98-2843-000, 98-2844, 98-2883-000; Long Beach, ER98-2972-000; El Segundo, ER98-2971-000; Ocean Vista et al., ER98-2977-000	Request for Emergency Stay, Request for Rehearing and Motion for Clarification regarding authorization to sell Ancillary Services at market-based rates	Order dated July 17, 1998 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 6/30/98 and 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to "reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve." FERC issues tolling order on 8/12/98. Order issued October 28, 1998 in AES Redondo Beach, LLC, et al., 85 FERC ¶ 61, 123 - FERC authorizes market-based rates for all sellers of Ancillary Services and Replacement Reserve Services with California and extends the interim authority of the ISO to limit prices it will pay for Ancillary Services. FERC directs the ISO to conduct a stakeholder process and make a comprehensive proposal to restructure the Ancillary Service markets by March 1, 1999. FERC also denies the requests for rehearing of its prior orders and SoCal's complaint in Docket No. EL98-62-000.
AES, ER98-2843-005, 98-2844-005, 98-2883-005; Long Beach, ER98-2972-006; El Segundo, ER98-2971- 006; Ocean Vista et al., ER98-2977-004; Williams ER98-3106-002; Duke Energy, ER98-3416-004, et al.; Southern California Edison, EL98-62-003; Sempra Energy, ER98-4497-002; and SDG&E, ER98-4498-002	Rehearing requested by ISO, CPUC, and Bonneville	Tolling order issued 12/18/98

		Last Revised. Water 4, 2000
Williams Energy Services; ER98-3106-000	Authorization to sell Ancillary Services at	Consolidated with AES Dockets.
	Market-based rates	
CAL ISO, ER98-3760-002	Metropolitan Water District and Southern California Edison Rehearing Requests of September 11, 1998 Order accepting clarification filing	Tolling order issued 11/9/98
CAL ISO, EC96-19-029 and ER96-1663-030	Oversight Board rehearing of 11/24/98 Order finding noncompliance, denying	Order denying rehearing issued 2/4/99
Woychick vs. CA ISO, EL98-51-000	request for public conference, and granting complaint	
CAL PX, EC96-19-028 and ER96-1663-029		
CAL ISO, EC96-19-044 and ER96-1663-046	Turlock rehearing on Amendment 10	Tolling order issued 12/14/98
CAL ISO, EC96-19-043 and ER96-1663-044	SDG&E rehearing on Amendment 11	Tolling order issued 11/17/98
Sierra Power Pacific, ER99-28-000	Rehearing requests of November 30, 1998 Order accepting Alturas Intertie Project Interconnection and Operation and Maintenance Agreement	Order issued 2/26/99 (86 FERC ¶ 61,198) FERC accepted for filing Sierra Pacific Power Company's Operating and Scheduling Agreement for Alturas. FERC consolidated the O&S Agreement with the prior dockets for the Interconnection and Operation and Maintenance Agreement. FERC noted that "the mutual agreement on these issues that we anticipated could be reached by the parties apart from formal Commission proceedings has not occurred." FERC not only set for hearing the O&S Agreement, but also granted rehearing and set for hearing the previously-approved Interconnection and O&M Agreement. The hearing is to evaluate the justness and reasonableness of the terms and conditions of the agreements, including how the agreements may impact the reliable operation of interconnected transmission systems, and the interregional transmission grid's ability to effect power deliveries to customers in both Nevada and California.

CAL ISO, ER99-473-000	Enron and CA ISO rehearing requests of	Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87
	Order approving extension of GMC	FERC ¶ 61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the
	settlement	ISO's informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected
		EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power
		Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3)
		reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing
		was one under section 205 to modify an existing rate
		rather than a contested settlement; (4) established a
		refund effective date under section 206 (since there
		was no rate increase their could not be a refund); and
		(5) affirmed that no purpose would be served by
		holding a hearing prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.
CAL ISO, ER99-896-000	DWR, Cities of Redding and Santa Clara	Tolling order issued 4/9/99
	and M-S-R, ECI, TANC, So Cal Edison,	
	and SDG&E rehearing of Amendment	
	No. 13	

CAL ISO, ER99-3594-000	Rehearings of Amendment No. 9	In an Order issued 8/2/99, 88 FERC ¶ 61,156, FERC acted on the
Crib 180, Broy 3371 000	remeanings of rimendiment 1(0.)	rehearing requests regarding Amendment No. 9. FERC granted the
		ISO's request to postpone FTR implementation deadlines due to
		Y2K concerns. The ISO may conduct its initial FTR auction to
		permit release effective February 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001.
		The reports of the ISO and the Market Surveillance Committee,
		formerly due October 1, 1999, will now be due December 1, 2000.
		The Commission denied the Intervenors' request for rehearing on
		the availability of physical transmission rights, stating that
		"properly designed financial rights" can be as effective as firm
		physical transmission rights, as long as the ISO has the ability to
		manage congestion efficiently. FERC denied requests for
		clarification that the ISO provide for FTRs that last for at least
		twenty years, on the one hand, and requests that the ISO not make
		any decision on long-term FTRs until there has been time to analyze
		market performance, on the other. The Commission expressed
		itself satisfied with the current plan, which will provide for FTR's
		lasting one year, while leaving the requirement that the ISO report
		on progress towards making longer-term FTRs available unchanged
		apart from the date the report will be due (December 1, 2000). The
		Commission denied the intervenors' request for rehearing on
		treatment of revenues for counter scheduling, stating such
		transactions need to be compensated appropriately, as the ISO
		Tariff currently provides. FERC granted the ISO's request to
		determine available capacity using a 99.5 percent historic capacity
		availability standard, as it was "satisfiedthat the ISO is taking a
		conservative approach" which considers the possible harms which would result if the ISO released too much or too little capacity. The
		Commission directed the ISO to continue to review its
		methodology, to determine whether a more definite measure of
		available capacity can be developed, and to address its progress in
		this regard in the report. The Commission denied requests for
		rehearing on the desirability of creating new congestion zones
		before FTRs expire, treated in Tariff Section 9.2.2.1. As it did in
		the May 3 order, FERC again delayed providing guidance on issues
		related to secondary market transactions. The Commission also
		directed the ISO to modify its tariff to include the clarification that
		"any Participating Transmission Owner that has no transmission
		customers need not develop a Transmission Revenue Balancing
		Account, a Transmission Revenue Requirement, nor an Access
		Charge."
CAL ISO, ER98-3594-005	TANC, M-S-R, Santa Clara, Redding and	Ŭ
CILL 150, E100 3377 003	, ,	
	Modesto rehearing of 11/10/9 order (89	
	FERC 61,153)	
CAL ISO, ER98-3574-003	California ISO, SoCal Edison, and	Tolling order issued 9/23/99
	Dynegy requests for rehearing on	
	Amendment No. 9	
CAL 100 ED00 1770 001		T 11' 1 ' 10'10'00
CAL ISO, ER99-1770-001	Coalition of New Market Participants	Tolling order issued 8/10/99
	rehearing of Path 15 Operating	
	Instructions	
I		ı

G Y Y G G T T C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		Last Reviseu. March 4, 2000
CAL ISO, ER99-2730-002; and EL99-67-001	Western Power Trading Forum, Enron and Coral Power clarification and rehearing of June 17, 199 GMC Order - Amendment No. 16	Tolling order issued 8/10/99
PG&E, ER99-2326-001 and EL99-68-001	California Commission rehearing of Order at 87 FERC ¶ 61,218 on PG&E TO3 case	Tolling order issued 7/15/99
CAL ISO, ER99-1971-000	Rehearings of Amendment No. 14	Order issued 7/26/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,096), FERC denied the ISO's request for rehearing and stay of the May 26, 1999 order rejecting the buy-back proposal (Billing on Metered Demand) as to self-provided capacity withdrawn at the instruction of the ISO. Order issued 1/14/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,036) the Commission clarified that the ISO's buy back proposal required that only Ancillary Services that are voluntarily withdrawn from the day-ahead schedule by an SC, regardless of whether they are self-provided or sold into the market, should be subject to the buy back proposal. The Commission then reiterated a statement made in the May 26 Order, that the shouldconsider implementing a bidding mechanism to address situations in which it must change the amount of capacity self-provided or sold into the Ancillary Services markets. The Commission also: (1) rejected SoCal Edison's request for rehearing of the Commission's approval of the ISO's proposal to allocate to load the cost of extra Replacement Reserves needed to meet demand not scheduled in the day-ahead market; (2) denied rehearing requests by El Segundo and Long Beach alleging inconsistent Commission treatment of different kinds of price caps and that the ISO's treatment of above-cap bids results in unilateral adjustments to bidders' rate schedules; and (3) granted the ISO's clarification that the the May 26 Order merely cautioned that FERC-licensed hydro facilities must have the flexibility to control output if necessary but was not intended to suggest that licensees should be exempted. The Commission also rejected as moot all requests for rehearing concerning the extension of the ISO's price cap authority, because the Commission's approval of Amendment No. 21 had already extended the ISO's price cap authority until November 15, 2000.

CAL ISO, ER99-3301-002	DWR Rehearing on Amendment No. 18	Tolling order issued 9/23/99
CAL 150, ER77-3301-002	DWK Kenearing on Amendment No. 18	Tolling order issued 7/23/77
CAL ISO; ER99-3339-001	ISO, IEP, and Duke rehearings of Amendment No. 19	Order issued 1/31/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,086) the Commission denied the ISO's request for rehearing concerning the new generator interconnection policy. As to Duke's request that the Commission clarify its stance on the costs for which new generators are responsible, the Commission stated that the September 15 Order intended neither to create nor reject any principles of cost allocation. Moreover, the Commission stated that it has never established the "principle" that Duke posits, <i>i.e.</i> , that new generators must be responsible for the additional redispatch costs incurred by existing generators as a result of increased congestion.
CAL ISO; ER99-4462-001	Williams, Dynegy, Duke, SMUD, SCE, and Southern rehearings of Amendment No. 21	Tolling order issued 1/5/00
CAL ISO; ER99-4545	PG&E, CPUC, and SCE rehearings of Amendment No. 22	
California Oversight Board; EL99-75-001	WPTF and CNMP rehearing of 8/5/99 Order granting request for declaratory order on SB 96	Order issued 11/1/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,134) - the Commission rejected the rehearing requests of the Coalition of New Market Participants and the Western Power Trading Forum to the 8/5/99 Order granting the Oversight Board's request for a declaratory order regarding SB 96.
PG&E ER99-3145-001 and Laguna; EL98-46-006	PG&E and Edison rehearing of Laguna interconnection order- 88 FERC ¶ 61,164	Tolling order issued 9/30/99
CAL ISO; ER00-555	ISO, So Cal Edison, and Dynegy requests for clarification or in the alternative rehearing of Amendment No. 23	Tolling order issued 3/1/00
SCE; ER00-845-000, PG&E ER00-851-000, and SDG&E ER00-860-000	Whether costs of out-of-market dispatch should be passed through to ETC holders	

MATTERS ON APPEAL

Case	Issues	Status
California Independent System Operator	Does FERC have jurisdiction over matters included in a state law	FERC filed motion to dismiss on
Corporation V. FERC, No. 98-1225	that asserts jurisdiction over reliability decisions affecting retail	June 18, 1998; ISO filed response
and	transactions; does FERC have authority to change the governance of an entity created under state law and charged with carrying out	on June 29, 1998
	both federal and state functions; can a FERC order bind an entity	Status Report due 7/22/99
California Electricity Oversight Board v. FERC,	that did not exist when the order was issued; and did FERC abuse	
No. 98-1226 and No. 99-1133	its discretion in denying rehearing as untimely when the entity against whom the original order was issued did not exist?	
and	against whom the original order was issued the not exist.	
N		
Motion to Dismiss, No. 98-1384		
Duke Energy Moss Landing, LLC and Duke	Recovery of acquisition premiums	Filed April 8, 1999. Motion to
Energy Oakland, LLC		dismiss filed Jule 1999
V.		No briefing schedule has been set
		as yet
FERC		·
No. 99-1141		
Western Power Trading Forum et al. v. FERC	Appeal of FERC's August 5, 1999 and November 1, 1999	Filed December 22, 1999
Western Fower Trading Fordin et al. V. FERC	governance orders in California Electricity Oversight Board, EL99-	1 ned December 22, 1777
No. 99-1532	75	

VI. COMPLAINTS

Complaint	Date	Noti	Response	Comments
C COLLEGE CONTRACTOR C	Filed	ced	Due	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
EL98-51-000 Eric Woychick Utility Reform Network et al v. California ISO	5/27/98	7/1/98	7/31/98	Complaint by TURN, UCAN and CU regarding the governance structure Order issued November 24, 1998 (85 FERC ¶ 61,263) addressing
				compliance issues relating to ISO governance. FERC directs the ISO to amend its Bylaws within 45 days, to seat Mr. Woychik immediately for a full term or on an interim basis pending further elections.
				Order denying the Oversight Board's rehearing request issued 2/4/99
EL98-62-000	7/13/98	7/17/98	8/17/98	Complaint filed by SCE regarding FERC authorizations to AES and others to sell ancillary services at market-based rates. Order issued October 28, 1998 in AES Redondo Beach, LLC, et al., 85 FERC ¶ 61, 123 - FERC denies the complaint in Docket No. EL98-62-000.
EL99-30-000	1/20/99	3/5/99	3/5/99	Complaint by Western Power Trading Forum alleging that the GMC is unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, and in violation of prior ISO settlement
				Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶ 61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power
				Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3) reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing was one under section 205 to
				modify an existing rate rather than a contested settlement; (4) established a refund effective date under section 206 (since there was
				no rate increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.
EL99-93-000	9/17/99	9/20/99	10/7/99	Complaint by Turlock and Modesto alleging undue discrimination in treatment of resources suppling AS and IE from units inside the ISO
				Control Area as opposed to units outside the ISO Control Area. Order issued 11/15/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,182) - the Commission sets complaint
				for hearing but holds the hearing in abeyance and instituted settlement judge proceedings.

VII. STATUS OF ISO FERC FILINGS

A. Tariff & Protocol Filings

Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	F ERC Orders	Status
ISO Tariff	PG&E et al EC96-19-003 ER96-1663-003	8/15/97	7/30/97 ⁱ	9/2/97	10/30/97	See below. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff Changes For Info Purposes	PG&E et al EC96-19-008 ER96-1663-009	10/31/97	11/6/97	N/A	12/17/97 2/24/00	Changes accepted with nominal suspension, accepted and permitted to go into effect on ISO Operations Date; conforming changes made and tariff posted 12/22/97; compliance filing due 60 days from ISO Operations Date. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178)
ISO Protocols	PG&E et al EC96-19-008 ER96-1663-009	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97 2/24/00	accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement) Informational filing was accepted with nominal suspension as part of ISO Tariff and permitted to go into effect; conforming changes made and posted 12/22/97 as part of ISO Tariff. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Grid Mgmt. Charge	ISO, ER98-211- 000	10/17/97	10/21/97	11/7/97	12/17/97; 6/1/98	Settlement accepted by FERC order dated 6/1/98. On 10/28/98 the ISO filed to extend Settlement for six months.

i

700 C : 134	100 ED00 150	10/20/00	1.1./1.0./0.0	11/20/00	10/00/00	Last Revised. Water 7, 2000
ISO Grid Mgmt.	ISO, ER99-473-	10/28/98	11/10/98	11/20/98	12/23/98	Order issued December 23, 1998 (85 FERC ¶ 61,433)
Charge	000				4/2/99	accepting, subject to refund and further orders, proposed six month extension of current GMC
						Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶ 61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3) reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing was one under section 205 to modify an existing rate rather than a contested settlement; (4) established a refund effective date under section 206 (since there was no rate increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.
Informational filing for GMC settlement	ISO; ES98-9-000	12/29/98	N/A 11/21/97	12/16/97	4/2/99	Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶ 61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3) reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing was one under section 205 to modify an existing rate rather than a contested settlement; (4) established a refund effective date under section 206 (since there was no rate increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.
ISO Financing	,					Order allowed closing of transfer from Trust; amendment required prior to permanent financing.
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 1	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-014 EC96-1663-015	2/19/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/27/98 2/24/00	Proposed amendment is accepted, with conditions and modifications discussed in 82 FERC ¶ 61,312. The ISO shall post this amendment on the ISO Home Page and shall file these changes with the compliance filing within 60 days of the ISO Grid Operation date. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 2	PG&E et al EC96-19-015 ER96-1663-016	2/25/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/27/98	Proposed amendment is hereby rejected.
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 3	PG&E et al EC96-19-016 ER96-1663-017	2/25/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/27/98	Proposed amendment is hereby rejected.

			_	1	1	Last Revised. Water 4, 2000
ISO Tariff	PG&E	3/3/98	3/4/98	3/16/98	3/30/98	Proposed amendment is hereby accepted for filing, and
Amendment No. 4	EC96-19-017					suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on
	ER96-1663-018				2/24/00	the ISO Operations Date, subject to refund, subject to
						the conditions and modifications discussed, and subject
						to further Commission orders. The ISO is hereby
						directed to refile the ISO Tariff no later than 60 days
						after the ISO Operations Date. The ISO is directed to
						inform the Commission of tariff provisions that will be
						staged and timing of future implementation.
						staged and thining of future implementation.
						I 1.2/24/00 (00 FFD C # <1.170)
						Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178)
						accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff	PG&E et al	3/3/98	3/4/98	3/16/98	3/30/98	Proposed amendment is hereby accepted for filing, and
Amendment	EC96-19-018					suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on
No. 5	ER96-1663-019				2/24/00	the ISO Operations Date, subject to refund, subject to
						the conditions and modifications discussed, and subject
						to further Commission orders. The ISO is hereby
						directed to refile the ISO Tariff no later than 60 days
						after the ISO Operations Date. The ISO is directed to
						inform the Commission of tariff provisions that will be
						staged and timing of future implementation.
						Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178)
100 E 100	DC 0 F 1	2/22/00	2/27/00	4 /0 /00	2/20/00	accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff	PG&E et al	3/23/98	3/25/98	4/9/98	3/30/98	Proposed amendment is hereby accepted for filing, and
Amendment No. 6	EC96-19-021					suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on
	ER96-1663-022				2/24/00	the ISO Operations Date, subject to refund, subject to
						the conditions and modifications discussed, and subject
						to further Commission orders. The ISO is hereby
						directed to refile the ISO Tariff no later than 60 days
						after the ISO Operations Date. The ISO is directed to
						inform the Commission of tariff provisions that will be
						staged and timing of future implementation.
						Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178)
						accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff	PG&E et al EC96-	3/31/98	4/20/98	5/11/98	5/28/98; 83	Amendment 7 other than the proposed modification to
Amendment No. 7	19-023	3/31/70	1,20,70	3/11/70	FERC ¶	section 2.1.4 is accepted.
/ Milchamont IVO. /	ER96-1663-024	1			61,209	50011011 2.1.7 15 accepted.
	LIN70-1003-024	1			01,209	Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178)
					2/24/00	
Amendment No. 7	EC96-19-031;	6/29/98	7/6/98	7/23/98	2/24/00	accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
		0/29/98	1/0/98	1/23/98		
clarification	ER96-1663-032			1		

	1	T	1	1	T	Last Revised: March 4, 2000
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 8	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-027 ER96-1663-028	5/19/98	5/29/98	6/8/98	6/24/98; 83 FERC ¶ 61,309	Conditionally accepted subject to clarification and future reporting requirements
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 8 Compliance	CAL ISO, EC96- 19-034; ER96- 1663-035	7/24/98	7/29/98	8/13/98		
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 9 [FTR]	CAL ISO; ER98- 3594-000	6/30/98	7/6/98	7/20/98	12/21/98; 85 FERC 61,405	Order grants ISO's motion to extend the effective date for implementation of FTRs
		12/4/98	12/9/98	12/28/98	5/3/99; 87 FERC 61,143 8/2/99	Order conditionally accepting proposed tariff changes
Amendment No. 9 [FTR]	ISO; ER98-3594- 001				8/2/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,156	FERC granted the ISO's request to postpone FTR implementation deadlines due to Y2K concerns. The ISO may conduct its initial FTR auction to permit release effective February 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001. The reports of the ISO and the Market Surveillance Committee, formerly due October 1, 1999, will now be due December 1, 2000. The Commission denied the Intervenors' request for rehearing on the availability of physical transmission rights, stating that "properly designed financial rights" can be as effective as firm physical transmission rights, as long as the ISO has the ability to manage congestion efficiently. FERC denied requests for clarification that the ISO provide for FTRs that last for at least twenty years, on the one hand, and requests that the ISO not make any decision on long-term FTRs until there has been time to analyze market performance, on the other. The Commission expressed itself satisfied with the current plan, which will provide for FTR's lasting one year, while leaving the requirement that the ISO report on progress towards making longer-term FTRs available unchanged apart from the date the report will be due (December 1, 2000). The Commission denied the intervenors' request for rehearing on treatment of revenues for counter scheduling, stating such transactions need to be compensated appropriately, as the ISO Tariff currently provides. FERC granted the ISO's request to determine available capacity using a 99.5 percent historic capacity availability standard, as it was "satisfiedthat the ISO is taking a conservative approach" which considers the possible harms which would result if the ISO released too much or too little capacity. The Commission directed the ISO to continue to review its methodology, to determine whether a more definite measure of available capacity can be developed, and to address its progress in this regard in the report. The Commission denied requests for rehearing on the desirability of creating new congestion zones before FTRs expire, treated in Tariff Section 9

						Last Revised. March 4, 2000
Amendment No. 9					11/10/99	Order issued 11/10/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,153) - the
						Commission responded to certain questions regarding
						jurisdiction and price limits for firm transmission rights.
						FERC concluded that resales of FTRs in the secondary
						market are jurisdictional transactions and required
						public utility resellers to file for authorization to make
						such sales. FERC also stated that the resales were
						subject to its policy with respect to price caps for
						transmission rights but noted that since FTRs would
						initially be sold for terms of less than one year the prices
						paid in the secondary market should not exceed the
						sellers opportunity cost thus meeting the standard.
						Finally, FERC required the ISO to post prices at which
						FTRs are sold in the secondary market.
Amendment No. 9	California ISO	8/13/99 &	8/18/99 &	9/2/99 &	9/17/99	Accepted for filing
Compliance filing	Docket No. ER99- 3594-002	8/17/99	8/23/99	9/7/99		
June 1, 1998	PG&E et al	6/1/98	6/9/98	8/5/98	2/24/00	Order issued 10/28/98 accepting certain proposed
Compliance Filing	EC96-19-029;					changes to the ISO's Bylaws.
	ER96-1663-030					O 1 ' 14/20/00 (07 FED C # <1.100)
						Order issued 4/28/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,102) - the
						Commission issues an order accepting the March 11,
						1999 unresolved issues report and establishing further
						procedures for the Offer of Settlement and briefing of the Unresolved Issues.
						Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178)
						accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)

July 15, Clarification	Cal ISO; ER98-	7/15/98	7/20/98	Initially	7/31/98;	7/31/98 Order extends date for interventions and
Filing	3760-000	,,15,76	7,20,70	8/4/98	9/11/98	protests. 9/11/98 Order issued September 11, 1998 -
	- /			extended		FERC accepts all clarification changes, except a change
				to 8/17/98	4/28/99	that was superseded by Amendment 10; requires the
						filing within 15 days of a protocol describing how the
					2/24/00	ISO will exercise its discretion under sec. 2.2.12.1 to
						waive scheduling guidelines; and denies (without
						prejudice) the ISO's proposal to move all unresolved
						issues to the Clarification docket, but otherwise adopting
						the ISO's proposed procedural approach, including
						establishing a 120-day period for the parties to agree on
						a comprehensive open-issues list and to settle as many
						issues as possible, with trial staff's participation.
						Order issued 4/28/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,102) - the
						Commission issues an order accepting the March 11,
						1999 unresolved issues report and establishing further
						procedures for the Offer of Settlement and briefing of the Unresolved Issues.
						the Unresolved Issues.
						Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178)
						accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER98-	9/28/98	10/1/98	10/16/98	12/16/98	ISO directed to amend protocol within 15 days to
from 9/11 order on	3760-001		errata			include specific waiver criteria
clarification filing			10/2/98			
Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER98-	12/30/98	1/6/98	1/19/98	2/18/99	Accepted for filing
from 12/16 Order	3760	1		1		
Amendment No. 10	Cal ISO, EC96-19-	7/27/98	7/28/98	8/6/98	7/31/98	Order issued July 31, 1998 (84 FERC ¶ 61,121) - FERC
	035 and ER96-	amend.				conditionally accepts Amendment No. 10 to permit the
	1663-036	7/28/98				ISO to receive ancillary bids from producers outside the
						control area. FERC also accepts, on a prospective basis, the proposed amendment to section 26.2 to clarify that
						the ISO will only waive penalties incurred as a result of
						limitations with the ISO's software.
Amendment 10	Cal ISO, EC96-19-	8/17 and	8/24/94	9/7/98	10/16/98	Accepted for filing pending further compliance filing to
compliance	035 and ER96-	8/20	3,2,,,,	1,,,,,	-0,10,70	incorporate both Amendment 10 and Amendment 11
F 22	1663-036	complianc				changes into a single conformed sheet.
		e				5 6
						Turlock has requested rehearing - tolling order issued
						12/14/98
Amonday (10	Califo E00(10	aunote			10/16/00	Accorded for Cline and die Control of Cline
Amendment 10	Cal ISO, EC96-19- 035 and ER96-	errata 8/21/98			10/16/98	Accepted for filing pending further compliance filing to
Correction		0/21/98				incorporate both Amendment 10 and Amendment 11 changes into a single conformed sheet.
1	1663-036	1	l	I	l	changes into a single conformed sheet.

Amendment No. 11 - Downward Regulation Bids	Cal ISO, EC96-19- 039 ER96-1663-040	8/14/98	8/14/98	8/28/98	9/17/98	Accepted for filing
Amendment 10 & 11 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, EC96-19- 045 and ER96- 1663-47	11/16/98 errata 11/17/98	11/20/98	12/4/98	6/1/99	Accepted for filing, 87 FERC ¶ 61,256.
Amendment No. 12 - Extension of the BEEP cap	Cal ISO, ER99- 826-000	12/4/98	12/9/98	12/28/98	1/27/99	Order issued January 27, 1999 (86 FERC ¶ 61,059) rejecting ISO's proposed amendment to establish price caps for imbalance energy but granting ISO interim authority to impose purchase price caps in the real-time energy market in the same manner as FERC has granted it for the other ISO markets.
Amendment No. 13	Cal ISO, ER99- 896-000	12/11/98	12/16/98	1/7/98	2/9/99	Order issued 2/9/99, approving Amendment No. 13 except for retroactive adjustment to settlement statements for Replacement Reserves
Amendment No. 13 Compliance	Cal ISO, ER99- 896-001	2/24/99	3/1/99	3/16/99	3/24/99	Accepted for filing
Governance compliance filing	Cal ISO, EC96-19- 047; ER96-1663- 049	1/8/99	1/15/99	2/8/99	3/10/99	Commission accepts Enron's notice of withdrawal of protest.

				•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
A/S Redesign	Cal ISO, ER99-	3/1/99	3/4/99	3/26/99	5/26/99	Order issued 5/26/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,208, FERC largely
Amendment No. 14	1971					approves Amendment 14 and confirms that the ISO
					7/26/99	acted correctly when it reduced above-cap A/S bids to
						the applicable cap. FERC: (1) conditionally accepted
						the rational buyer proposal, while expressing doubts
						about some components and requiring MSC to report on
						its implementation by 10/15/99; (2) approved the
						changes for replacement reserves/effective price and
						automated BEEP without condition; (3) accepted the
						Reg up/ Reg down and inter-SC trade proposals noting
						ISO agreement to clarify tariff provisions; (4) accepted
						the buy-back proposal (Billing on Metered Demand) as
						to self-provided capacity that is voluntarily withdrawn
						by an SC, but rejected it as to self-provided capacity
						withdrawn at the instruction of the ISO (noting the
						situation where self-provided capacity must be
						withdrawn because a transmission line is derated);
						(5) accepted the Generator Communication proposal; (6)
						rejected arguments that FERC mandate filing of pro
						forma PLA agreement, leaving that issue to stakeholder
						discussions; (7) permitted ISO to retain price cap
						authority only through November 15, 1999 (if ISO
						wants to retain authority, it must demonstrate after the
						summer that market design flaws remain); (8) rejected
						the argument that ISO should eliminate or modify the
						25% limit on A/S imports; and (9) confirmed the
						reasonableness of the ISO's reducing above-cap bids to
						the applicable cap.
Amendment No. 14	Cal ISO, ER99-	6/25/99			7/26/99	Order issued 7/26/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,096), FERC denied
Rehearing	1971-001					the ISO's request for rehearing and stay of the May 26,
						1999 order rejecting the buy-back proposal (Billing on
						Metered Demand) as to self-provided capacity
						withdrawn at the instruction of the ISO.
Amendment No. 14	Cal ISO; ER99-	7/2/99	7/8/99 &	7/22/99 &	10/8/99	Accepted for filing
Compliance Filing	1971-002	&	8/23/99	9/9/99		
1		8/6/99				
	I	0, 0, 77	Ţ	Į.	I	

						Last Revised. Water 4, 2000
Amendment No. 14	Cal ISO; ER99-				1/14/99	Order issued 1/14/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,036) the
	1971-002					Commission clarified that the ISO's buy back proposal
						required that only Ancillary Services that are voluntarily
						withdrawn from the day-ahead schedule by an SC,
						regardless of whether they are self-provided or sold into
						the market, should be subject to the buy back proposal.
						The Commission then reiterated a statement made in the
						May 26 Order, that the shouldconsider implementing a
						bidding mechanism to address situations in which it
						must change the amount of capacity self-provided or
						sold into the Ancillary Services markets. The
						Commission also: (1) rejected SoCal Edison's request
						for rehearing of the Commission's approval of the ISO's
						proposal to allocate to load the cost of extra
						Replacement Reserves needed to meet demand not
						scheduled in the day-ahead market; (2) denied rehearing
						requests by El Segundo and Long Beach alleging
						inconsistent Commission treatment of different kinds of
						price caps and that the ISO's treatment of above-cap
						bids results in unilateral adjustments to bidders' rate
						schedules; and (3) granted the ISO's clarification that
						the the May 26 Order merely cautioned that FERC-
						licensed hydro facilities must have the flexibility to
						control output if necessary but was not intended to
						suggest that licensees should be exempted. The
						Commission also rejected as moot all requests for
						rehearing concerning the extension of the ISO's price
						cap authority, because the Commission's approval of
						Amendment No. 21 had already extended the ISO's
						price cap authority until November 15, 2000.
Employee Code of	Cal ISO, ER99-	4/22/99	4/28/99	5/12/99	5/26/99	Accepted for filing
Conduct	2563-000					
Amendment No. 15,	Cal ISO, ER99-	4/7/99	4/12/99	4/27/99		Accepted by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC 61,229
RMR	2407-000					

					1	
ISO GMC - Amendment 16	Cal ISO, ER99- 2730-000	4/30/99	5/5/99	5/20/99	6/17/99	FERC approves an order on the GMC. FERC: (1) accepts the filing effective July 1, 1999, to extend the GMC to 12/31/2000; (2) initiates a 206 investigation of the filing, effective 60 days after notice is published; (3) denies requests for hearings in light of fact that there is no unbunbling study or computer capabilities; and (4) makes the GMC for this period subject to the outcome of the GMC filing to become effective on January 1, 2001. In light of the decisions to put the case off to the next filing, the Commission rejects the surcharge request as premature.
Amendment No. 16 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99- 2730-001	6/24/99	6/29/99	7/14/99	7/27/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 17	Cal ISO,ER99- 3289-000	6/17/99	6/22/99	7/7/99	8/16/99	Order issued 8/16/99 – The Commission conditionally accepted Amendment No. 17. The revisions concerned: (1) an extension of the current payment calendar; (2) a pro forma PLA; (3) a revised outage coordination protocol; (4) the recovery of WSCC fines; (5) the recovery of costs for communications services; (6) REPA allocation; (7) dispatch instructions; and (8) the broadening of financial instruments with which Scheduling Coordinators can establish their creditworthiness. The ISO is to complete an evaluation of its payment calendar.
Amendment No. 17 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99- 3289	9/15/99	9/20/99	10/5/99	10/15/99 and 10/27/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 18- Intra-zonal congestion management	Cal ISO, ER99- 3301-000	6/18/99	6/21/99	7/1/99	7/30/99	Accepted for filing as modified, 88 FERC ¶ 61,146
Amendment No. 18 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99- 3301-001	8/13/99	8/18/99	9/2/99	10/15/99	Commission conditionally accepts compliance filing - ISO directed to modify the tariff to include the operating procedure used to manage intra-zonal congestion.
Amendment No. 18 Compliance filing	CAL ISO, ER99- 3301-003	11/15/99	11/22/99	12/3/99	1/13/00	Accepted for filing (90 FERC ¶ 61,025)

		1	1	1	1	Last Revised. Water 4, 2000
Amendment No. 19 - New Generator Interconnection	Cal ISO,ER99- 3339-000	6/23/99	6/28/99 7/9/99	7/13/99 7/27/99	9/15/99 & 1/31/00	Order issued 9/15/99 – The Commission rejected the proposed Amendment No. 19 to the ISO Tariff regarding the new generation interconnection policy. The California ISO was directed to reconvene its stakeholder process to redesign its new generation interconnection policy. The Commission granted the ISO's request for an extension of time to file a report evaluating zone creation.
						Order issued 1/31/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,086) the Commission denied the ISO's request for rehearing concerning the new generator interconnection policy. As to Duke's request that the Commission clarify its stance on the costs for which new generators are responsible, the Commission stated that the September 15 Order intended neither to create nor reject any principles of cost allocation. Moreover, the Commission stated that it has never established the "principle" that Duke posits, <i>i.e.</i> , that new generators must be responsible for the additional redispatch costs incurred by existing generators as a result of increased congestion.
Supplemental Bylaw filings	Cal ISO, EC96-19- 047 and ER96- 1663-049	7/8/99 7/16/99	7/15/99 7/21/99	8/9/99		
Bylaw compliance filing	Cal Electric Oversight Board; EL99-75-003	1/31/00	2/9/00	3/1/00		
Amendment No. 20- Rational Buyer technical corrections	Cal ISO, ER99- 3879-000	7/30/99	8/4/99	8/19/99	9/1/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 21 Price Caps	Cal ISO, ER99- 4462-000	9/17/99	9/22/99 & 10/6/99	10/7/99 extended to 10/14/99	11/12/99	FERC accepts extension of price cap authority until 11/15/00

						Last Revised. Waren 4, 2000
Amendment No. 22 - Quarterly filing	Cal ISO, ER99- 4545-000	9/27/99	10/4/99	10/15/99	11/24/99	FERC: (1) approved creation of a new congestion management zone south of transmission Path 15; (2) found the ISO's proposed FTR registration requirements to be reasonable; (3) accepted the ISO's proposed method of establishing the seed price for FTRs in new zones; (4) authorized the ISO to allocate the costs of generating units that are not within the service area of a participating transmission owner but which are designated as RMR units to the PTO whose service areas are contiguous to the designated unit (subject to a separate section 205 rate filing); and (5) accepted the ETC and FTR template proposals. FERC denied the request of an intervenor that the ISO be required to verify that its schedules, as submitted, properly reflect the FTR holdings of individual market participants. FERC directs the ISO to submit in a compliance filing revised Tariff provisions indicating that certain information on FTR sales and resales that will be posted on the ISO Home Page. The Commission also accepted on a prospective basis proposed Tariff changes to
Amendment No. 22 -	Cal ISO, ER4545-	12/7/99	12/13/99	12/27/99	1/7/00	modify the ISO's method of calculating transmission losses and to modify the ISO's method for allocating transmission losses for imbalance energy and unaccounted for energy to utility distribution companies. Finally, FERC approved the ISO's proposals: (1) to provide market participants with a mechanism to dispute new or modified charges or credits that appear for the first time on final settlement statements and (2) to modify Tariff revisions regarding the allocation of awards payable to or from the ISO pursuant to good faith negotiations and/or the ADR process. Accepted for filing
market notice	001				2, 7, 00	The state of the s
Amendment No. 22 - Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99- 4545-003	12/22/99	12/28/99	1/11/99		

						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Amendment No. 23	Cal ISO, ER00- 555-000	11/10/99	11/19/99 & 11/24/99	12/3/99	1/7/00	Order issued 1/7/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,006) - the Commission accepts in part and rejects in part Amendment No. 23. The Commission rejected the ISO's proposal to expand out-of-market authority to situations in which generators had submitted bids but the ISO determined that the markets for such bids were not competitive. FERC found the existing intra-zonal congestion management approach "fundamentally flawed" and in need of being "overhauled or replaced." FERC accepted the proposed changes in the payment calculation for out of market calls and the allocation of the costs of ISO dispatch orders to manage intra-zonal congestion.
Amendment No. 23 - Compliance filing	Cal ISO; ER00- 555-002	2/7/00	2/10/00	2/28/00		
GMC informational filing	Cal ISO, ER00- 800-000	12/15/99	12/22/99	1/7/00	2/25/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,196. Accepted.
Amendment No. 24 - Long Term Grid Planning	Cal ISO, ER00-866	12/21/99	12/27/99 & 1/5/00	1/20/99		Notice of withdrawal filed 2/4/00
Amendment No. 25 - Regulation, outage cancellation, publication of bid data, payment calendar, FTR implementation, RMR allocation, and transmission derates	Cal ISO; ER00- 1239-000	1/27/00	2/1/00	2/17/00		
Amendment No. 26 - Pre-Dispatch	Cal ISO; ER00-	1/28/00	2/4/00	2/18/00		

B. Reliability and Must Run Agreements

Company & Docket	Date	Date	T .		
	Filed	Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
SDG&E ER98-496-000; ER98-2160-000	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
SDG&E ER98-2160	3/11/98			5/1/98, 83 FERC P 61,113	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
SDG&E ER98- 4500	9/9/98		9/29/98		Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
PG&E ER98-495-000	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
SCE ER98-441-000	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97 2/25/98	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98- 2668, 4300, and 1127					Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
Duke Energy Oakland; ER98- 2669, 4296, and 1128					Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
Duke; ER98-441, et al.	7/30/99	8/4/99	8/19/99		
ISO Must Run Selection EC96-19-012, ER96-1663-013	12/12/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	3/11/98	The initial RMR Unit selection, as amended, is accepted for filing. ISO shall post current listing of RMR Units on Home Page. The ISO shall file, for informational purposes, a summary of its long-term reliability requirement studies. If its long-term has not yet been completed within 30 days after the first year of operations then the ISO shall file, for informational purposes, a preliminary report summarizing and updating its reliability needs.
PG&E ER99- 3603-000	7/16/99	7/20/99	8/5/99	9/14/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,213	Accepted for filing and consolidated with RMR proceeding
Duke - South Bay;	11/1/99	11/9/99	11/19/99	1/28/00	Accepted for filing

ER00-435-000					
Duke - Moss Landing; ER00- 436-000	11/1/99	11/9/99	11/19/99	12/1/99	Accepted for filing
Duke - Oakland; ER00-437-000	11/1/99	11/9/99	11/19/99	12/1/99	Accepted for filing
PG&E ER00-462- 000	11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99	12/6/99	Accepted for filing
PG&E ER00-871- 000	12/22/99	12/28/99	1/11/00	1/28/00	Accepted for filing
Geysers Power; ER00-894-000	12/22/99	12/28/99	1/11/00	2/9/00	Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,113) the Commission accepted the revised RMR agreement with Geysers Power. The Commission agreed with the request by PG&E and the ISO to reject the proposed revisions to the maximum net dependable capacity values
Southern Energy Delta; ER00-936- 00	12/29/99	1/5/00	1/18/00	2/23/00	Letter order issued 2/23/00, the Commission accepts Southern Energy Delta and Southern Energy Protrero's revised RMR agreements. FERC upheld the protest of the ISO, PG&E and the EOB to the revisions under Schedule A.
Southern Energy Potrero; ER00-937- 000	12/29/99	1/5/00	1/18/00	2/23/00	Letter order issued 2/23/00, the Commission accepts Southern Energy Delta and Southern Energy Protrero's revised RMR agreements. FERC upheld the protest of the ISO, PG&E and the EOB to the revisions under Schedule A.

C. Transmission and Distribution Access Rates

TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION ACCESS RATES										
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status				
	PG&E ER97-2358-000	3/31/97	4/7/97	6/6/97	12/17/97	Offer of Settlement filed 4/14/99 as corrected 4/30/99 certified to the Commission by Order dated 5/20/99				
TO 3	PG&E ER99-2326-000	3/31/99	4/5/99	4/20/99	5/27/99	Accepted for filing subject to refund. Hearing established. On 11/8/99, PG&E filed an Offer of Settlement covering wholesale transmission rate issues. This was certified to the Commission as an uncontested settlement on 12/9/99.				
TO 4	PG&E ER99-4323- 000	9/1/99	9/9/99	9/20/99	10/27/99	89 FERC ¶ 61,081 - Commission accepts PG&E TO 4 Tariff for filing suspends the rate for five months until 4/1/00 and establishes a hearing.				
FTR Implementation	PG&E ER99-3500- 000	7/6/99	7/12/99	7/26/99	9/3/99 88 FERC ¶ 61,208	Accepted for filing				
GMC Pass-Through	PG&E ER00-708- 000	12/1/99	12/9/99	12/21/99	1/6/00	Accepted for filing				
Out-of-market reliability calls	PG&E ER00-851- 000	12/20/99	12/27/99	1/7/00						
	SCE ER97-2355-000	3/31/97	4/7/97	6/6/97	12/17/97	Initial Decision issued 3/31/99; briefs on exceptions have been filed; brief opposing exceptions are due 5/27/99				
FTR Implementation	SCE; ER99-3501- 000	7/6/99	7/12/99	7/26/99	9/3/99 88 FERC ¶ 61,208	Accepted for filing				
	SDG&E ER97-2364-000; ER97-4235-000;	3/31/97	4/7/97	6/6/97 9/24/97 11/20/97	12/17/97	Offer of Settlement approved by the Commission by letter order dated 3/12/99, 86 FERC ¶ 61,265.				
Refund Report		8/9/99	8/18/99	8/30/99	9/10/99	Accepted for filing				
Recovery from end- use customers of RMR charges	SDG&E ER99-2762-000	4/30/99	5/6/99	5/20/99	7/2/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,017	Accepted for filing				
FTR Implementation	SDG&E ER99- 3496-000	7/6/99	7/12/99	7/26/99	9/3/99 88 FERC ¶	Accepted for filing				

					61,208	
Out-of market	SDG&E ER00-860-	12/21/99	12/27/99	1/10/99		
reliability calls	00					

D. Utility Pass-Through of GMC and PX Charge

		UTILI	TY PASS-TH	HROUGH O	F GMC AND P	PX CHARGE
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	PG&E, ER98-556-000 ER98-557-000	10/31/97	11/20/97	12/4/97	6/1/98	Consolidated with ER98-211-000. Offer of settlement approved 6/1/98 for 1998 GMC. PG&E and SCE have filed revised tariff sheets to implement the settlement. FERC noticed SCE and PG&E compliance filings on 7/7/98 with comments due on 7/21/98. Order accepting the compliance filing in ER98-556-004 issued August 5, 1998, 84 FERC ¶ 61,164 and October 2, 1998, 85 FERC ¶ 61,015
Extension request to collect pass-through of GMC	PG&E, ER99- 418-000	10/29/98	11/6/98	11/19/98	12/23/98	Accepted for filing subject to refund. PG&E may not pass through GMC to TANC or Santa Clara for transactions under SOTP and Grizzly Amendments
Extension request to collect pass-through of GMC	PG&E ER99- 1035; EL99-34- 000			1/12/99	2/10/99	Accepted for filing to be effective 1/1/99 subject to refund
Continued pass- through to wholesale customers GMC	PG&E ER99- 2884-000	5/10/99	5/14/99	5/28/99	7/20/99	Accepted for filing, PG&E must submit revised tariff reference
Administrative revisions	PG&E ER99- 2884-001	7/13/99		8/4/99	9/17/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,243	Accepted for filing
Modify GMC collection	PG&E ER99- 4471-00	9/20/99	9/23/99	10/8/99	10/21/99	Accepted for filing
	SCE, ER98-462-000	10/31/97	11/20/97	12/4/97	6/1/98	Consolidated with ER98-211-000. Offer of settlement approved 6/1/98 for 1998 GMC. PG&E and SCE have filed revised tariff sheets to implement the settlement. FERC noticed SCE and PG&E compliance filings on 7/7/98 with comments due on 7/21/98. Order accepting the compliance filing in ER98-556-004 issued August 5, 1998, 84 FERC ¶ 61,164 and October 2, 1998, 85 FERC ¶ 61,015

E. FINANCING

	FINANCING										
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status					
	ES98-9-000	11/17/97		12/16/97	12/22/97; 81 FERC ¶ 62.220	Accepted.					
	ES98-9-001	3/13/98		4/9/98	83 FERC ¶ 62,039	Accepted.					
	ES00-12-00	12/30/99	1/7/99	1/27/00	2/9/00	Accepted					

F. ISO/PX REPORTS

	REPORTS										
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status					
MSU Annual Report	ISO; ER99- 3158-000	6/4/99	6/10/99 6/22/99	7/8/99	9/29/99	Directed to submit by 12/31/99 an evaluation of the market in the San Diego Basin					
PX Annual Report	EC96-19-000; ER96-1663-000	7/30/99	8/4/99	8/27/99							
zone creation, A/S bids (one part vs. 2 part) and losses	ISO; ER00-703- 000	12/1/99	12/9/99 & 12/20/99	1/11/00							
Study of Market Power in San Diego Basin	ER00-997-000	12/30/00	1/5/00	1/19/00							

VIII. OTHER FERC PROCEEDINGS IN WHICH THE ISO IS PARTICIPATING

			ОТН	ER PROCE	EDINGS	
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
•	Duke Energy Moss Landing LLC; ER98- 2668-000	4/24/98	4/28/98	5/13/98	6/25/98	Order accepting for filing and suspending RMR tariffs, dismissing proposed acquisition adjustment and consolidating tariffs and establishing hearing procedures.
	Duke Energy Oakland LLC; ER98-2669-000	4/24/98	4/29/98	5/14/98		
	PG&E ER98- 2785					
	Duke Energy Oakland; ER98- 4296	4/24/98 & 8/20/98		9/9/98	6/25/98 & 10/14/98	Order accepting for filing and suspending RMR tariffs and consolidating tariffs and establishing hearing procedures.
	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-4300	4/24/98 & 8/20/98		9/9/98	6/25/98 & 10/14/98	Order accepting for filing and suspending RMR tariffs and consolidating tariffs and establishing hearing procedures.
cost based rates for ancillary services	Long Beach Generation, ER98-2537	4/14/98		5/4/98	6/10/98, 83 FERC P 61,277	Order accepting for filing and establishing hearing procedures and consolidating dockets
						Offer of settlement (ER98-2537) accepted by Order dated 11/30/98
cost based rates for ancillary services	El Segundo Power, ER98- 2550	4/15/98		5/5/98		
Market based rates for ancillary services	El Segundo Power; ER98-	5/12/98			7/10/98	7/10/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing.
anemaly services	2971-000				7/17/98	7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve.
						See 10/28/98 AES Order.

						Last Revised: March 4, 2000
Market based rates for	Long Beach	5/12/98			7/10/98	7/10/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing.
ancillary service	Generation; ER98-2972				7/17/98	7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve.
Manland lanced and a Com	AEC D. I I.	£/1/00	İ	5/21/00	6/20/00	See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	AES Redondo Beach, ER98-	5/1/98		5/21/98 ext. to	6/30/98	6/30/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing.
	2843; AES- Huntington Beach, ER98- 2844; AES Alamitos, ER98-2883			6/8/98	7/17/98 (84 FERC ¶ 61,046)	7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 6/30/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve. 8/19/98 Market Surveillance Committee files report. Protests due 9/8/98. Order issued October 28, 1998 in AES Redondo Beach, LLC, et al., 85 FERC ¶ 61, 123 - FERC authorizes market-based rates for all sellers of Ancillary Services and Replacement Reserve Services with California and extends the interim authority of the ISO to limit prices it will pay for Ancillary Services. FERC directs the ISO to conduct a stakeholder process and make a comprehensive proposal to restructure the Ancillary Service markets by March 1, 1999. FERC also denies the requests for rehearing of its prior orders and SoCal's complaint in Docket No. EL98-62-000.
Market Monitoring	ER98-2843, et	3/10/99	3/18/99	4/19/99		
Committee Report	al.		4/14/99			
Market Surveillance Committee Report	ER98-2843, et al.	3/35/99	3/29/99	4/19/99		
Market Surveillance Committee Report	ER98-2843-009	10/19 & 20/99	10/29/99	11/9/99		

					Last Revised. Waren 4, 2000
	5/13/98		6/2/98	7/10/98	7/10/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing
(now Reliant Energy Mandalay) ER98-2977-000				7/17/98	7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve.
					See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Williams Energy; ER98- 3106-000	5/26/98		6/15/98	7/24/98	Accepted without suspension or hearing See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Duke Energy Oakland LLC; ER98-3416-000	6/18/98		ext. to 7/27/98	8/17/98; 84 FERC ¶61.186	Accepted without suspension or hearing See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Duke Energy Morro Bay; Docket No. ER98-3417-000	6/18/98		ext. to 7/27/98	8/17/98; 84 FERC ¶ 61,186	Accepted without suspension or hearing See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-3418-000	6/18/98		ext. to 7/27/98	8/17/98; 84 FERC ¶ 61,186	Accepted without suspension or hearing See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Mountainview Power; ER98- 4301	8/20/98		9/9/98	10/16/98; 85 FERC ¶61,060	Accepted without suspension or hearing
Riverside Canal Power; ER98- 4302	8/20/98		9/9/98	10/16/98; 85 FERC ¶61,060	Accepted without suspension or hearing
San Diego Gas & Electric; ER98-4498-000	9/9/98		9/29/98	10/28/98	Conditionally accepted
Sempra Energy Trading; ER98- 4497-000	9/9/98		9/29/98	10/28/98	Conditionally accepted
Pacificorp; ER98-4083-000	7/31/98 & 8/12/98	8/7/98 & 8/18/98	9/2/98	9/9/98	Accepted for filing
PJM Inter- connection; EL98-71-000	8/12/98	8/21/98	9/18/98		
	Energy Mandalay) ER98-2977-000 Williams Energy; ER98- 3106-000 Duke Energy Oakland LLC; ER98-3416-000 Duke Energy Morro Bay; Docket No. ER98-3417-000 Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-3418-000 Mountainview Power; ER98- 4301 Riverside Canal Power; ER98- 4302 San Diego Gas & Electric; ER98-4498-000 Sempra Energy Trading; ER98- 4497-000 Pacificorp; ER98-4083-000	Power et al, (now Reliant Energy Mandalay) ER98-2977-000 Williams	Power et al, (now Reliant Energy Mandalay) ER98-2977-000 Williams 5/26/98 Energy; ER98-3106-000 Duke Energy Oakland LLC; ER98-3416-000 Duke Energy Morro Bay; Docket No. ER98-3417-000 Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-3418-000 Mountainview Power; ER98-4301 Riverside Canal Power; ER98-4302 San Diego Gas & Electric; ER98-4498-000 Sempra Energy Trading; ER98-4498-000 Pacificorp; Py9/98 ER98-4083-000 PJM Interconnection; 8/12/98 8/21/98 PJM Interconnection; 8/12/98 8/21/98	Power et al, (now Reliant Energy Mandalay) ER98-2977-000 Williams Energy; ER98- 3106-000 Duke Energy Oakland LLC; ER98-3416-000 Duke Energy Morro Bay; Docket No. ER98-3417-000 Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-3418-000 Mountainview Power; ER98- 4301 Riverside Canal Power; ER98- 4302 San Diego Gas & Electric; ER98-4498-000 Sempra Energy Trading; ER98- 4497-000 Pacificorp; ER98-4083-000 PJM Inter- connection; 8/20/98 8/21/98 8/21/98 8/21/98 9/18/98 PJM Inter- connection;	Power et al, (now Reliant Energy Mandalay) ER98-2977-000

r						East Revised. Water 4, 2000
Alturas Intertie Project	Sierra Pacific Power; ER99- 28-000	10/2/98	10/17/98	10/22/98	11/30/98	Accepted for filing - jurisdictional parties are required to negotiate appropriate operating procedures
Alturas Intertie Operating and Scheduling Agreement	Sierra Pacific Power ER99- 945-000	12/17/98	12/22/98	1/7/99		
Reliability Management System	Western Systems Coordinating Council; EL99- 23-000	12/28/98		1/21/99	4/14/99	Order approving RMS on an experimental basis
Duke affiliate service agreements	Duke Energy Moss Landing and Duke Energy Oakland; ER99- 1127-000 and ER99-112-000	12/31/98		1/20/99	2/25/99 86 FERC ¶ 61,187 3/18/99	Accepted for filing - paper hearing to consider whether additional transaction or reporting rules are needed to prevent affiliate abuse; trial-type hearing with respect to the reasonableness of prior affiliate sales. 3/18/99 - Order granting motion to hold paper hearing in abeyance.
PX Amendment 9	PX; ER99- 1883-000	2/19/99	2/24/99	3/11/99	4/16/99 87 FERC ¶ 61,079	Order issued 4/16/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,079) Commission accepts the PX's Amendment 9 allowing for netting (bookouts) of certain purchases and sales in the Day-Ahead Market at common delivery points external to the ISO Controlled Grid.
Termination of RMR Agreement	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER99-2721-000	4/30/99	5/6/99	5/20/99		
SMUD interim short- term coordination agreement	PG&E ER99- 2794-000	5/4/99	5/11/99	5/24/99		
PG&E Interconnection with Laguna	PG&E ER99- 3145-000	6/2/99	6/7/99	6/22/99	8/3/99	FERC issued a final order directing interconnection and conditionally accepting the interconnection agreement for filing

	1	1				Last Revised. Water 4, 2000
Petition for Declaratory	California	7/7/99	7/9/99	7/22/99	8/5/99	FERC grants petition finding that SB 96 prescibes an
Order on SB 96	Electricity					interim role for the Oversight Board that would be
	Oversight				11/1/99	consistent with jurisdictional guidance given by the
	Board; EL99-					Commission in its prior orders and that appropriately
	75-000					would be reevaluated at such time that another state joins
						the ISO.
						Order issued 11/1/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,134) - the
						Commission rejected the rehearing requests of the
						Coalition of New Market Participants and the Western
						Power Trading Forum to the 8/5/99 Order granting the
						Oversight Board's request for a declaratory order
	a	= /a a /a a	- / /	0 (2.2 (2.2	1 /2 = /0.0	regarding SB 96.
Mountain West ISA	Sierra Pacific;	7/23/99	7/29/99	8/23/99	1/27/00	Order issued 1/27/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,067) the Commission
	EC99-100-000					conditionally approved the Mountain West Independent
						System Administrator
	Mountain West;	7/23/99	8/5/99	8/18/99	1/27/00	
	ER99-3719-000					
PX Tariff	PX; ER99-	8/18/99	8/23/99	9/7/99	10/13/99	Commission accepts the PX Tariff simplification for filing
Simplification	4113-000					and establishes proceedings before a settlement judge.
Sierra Pacific request to	Sierra Pacific;	8/13/99			11/26/99	The Commission directs Oxbow Geothermal Corporation
interconnect with	EL99-85-000					to interconnect with Sierra Pacific.
Oxbow						
Revenue sharing for	PG&E EL99-	9/8/99	9/9/99	10/8/99		
certain products &	91-000					
services						
SC services tariff	PG&E ER00-	11/12/99	11/18/99	12/2/99	1/11/00	Noting that this proceeding will be moot if it reverses the
Se ser vices tariff	565-000	11/12/	11/10/55	12,2,55	1,11,00	initial decision in Docket No. ER97-2358 (regarding
	303 000					PG&E's initial request to recover SC expenses through the
						TRBAA), the Commission acted to "accept the SCS Tariff
						for filing, suspend it and set it for hearing, conditionally
						grant waiver of notice to make it effective March 31, 1998,
						subject to refund, but accept PG&E's proposal to defer
						billing, and also defer the hearing pending resolution of
						the issues before the Commission in Docket Nos. ER97-
						2358, et al." Within 45 days of the resolution of the
						proceeding in Docket No. ER97-2358, parties are to advise
						the Commission as to what action they would like the
						Commission to take regarding PG&E's proposed SCS
						Tariff.
Pro forma	PG&E ER00-					PG&E has proposed to withdraw the filing.
interconnection	658-000					1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
agreement						
0	1	<u> </u>				l

PX Bylaw amendment	PX; EL99-75-	11/24/99	12/1/99	12/27/99	1/27/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,066. Accepted for filing
	002 et al					
ISO-PG&E-SMUD	ISO; ER00-879-	12/22/99	12/28/99	1/11/00	2/9/00	Accepted for filing effective 3/31/98
interim Agreement	000					
PG&E-Dynegy CATSA	PG&E ER00-			1/14/00	2/24/00	90 FERC ¶ 61, 190. Accepted for filing
extension	902-000					
ISO-SCE Big Creek	ISO; ER00-	2/14/00	2/17/00	3/6/00		
PSP Agreement	1620-000					

 $^{^{2}}$ A Commission Notice was not issued for the August 15 filing. However, the Restated Tariff was requested by the Commission in the July 30 Order and the deadline to file comments (9/2/97) was set forth in the July 30 Order.

² The December 30 filing is an amendment to the December 9 Scheduling Coordinator Agreement between the ISO and IAG Trading Company.

IX. RULEMAKINGS

Rulemakings								
Description	Docket	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status			
RTO	RM99-2-000	7/21/99	8/23/99	12/20/99 final rule, Order 2000, issued; 89 FERC ¶ 61,285 2/25/00, order on reh'g, 90 FERC ¶ 61,201	A public utility that is a member of an existing transmission entity that has been approved by the Commission as in conformance with the eleven ISO principles set forth in Order No. 888 must make a filing no later than January 15, 2001. That filing must explain the extent to which the transmission entity in which it participates meets the minimum characteristics and functions for an RTO, and either propose to modify the existing institution to the extent			
Devision of Annual	DM00 7 000	1/28/00	4/2/00		necessary to become an RTO, or explain the efforts, obstacles and plans with respect to conforming to these characteristics and functions.			
Revision of Annual Charges Assessed to Public Utilities	RM00-7-000	1/28/00	4/3/00					