ALSTON&BIRD LLP

The Atlantic Building 950 F Street, NW Washington, DC 20004-1404

> 202-756-3300 Fax: 202-756-3333

> > May 19, 2008

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: California Independent System Operator Corporation Compliance Filing Docket Nos. ER06-615-___ and ER07-1257-___

Dear Secretary Bose:

The California Independent System Operator ("CAISO")¹ hereby submits an original and five copies of the instant filing in compliance with the Commission's "Order on Technical Conference and Compliance," 122 FERC ¶ 61,271, issued in the above-referenced proceedings on March 24, 2008 ("March 24 Order"). Two additional copies of this filing are enclosed to be date-stamped and returned to our messenger.

- I. Revisions to the MRTU Tariff to Comply with the March 24 Order
 - A. Revisions to Include in the MRTU Tariff the Methodology for Calculating the Default Energy Bid Under the Variable Cost Option

In the March 24 Order, the Commission directed the CAISO to include, in Section 39.7.1.1 of the MRTU Tariff, Sections D.4.1 and D.4.1.1 of Attachment D to the Business Practice Manual ("BPM") for Market Instruments and the methodology for calculating incremental heat rates that is included in Section

Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings set forth in the Master Definitions Supplement, Appendix A to the CAISO Tariff (also known as the Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade or MRTU Tariff), and in the instant compliance filing.

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose May 19, 2008 Page 2

D.4.1.2 of Attachment D to the BPM for Market Instruments.² The CAISO has revised Tariff Section 39.7.1.1 to comply with these directives.³ In determining how best to incorporate these BPM provisions from Attachment D into the MRTU Tariff, the CAISO has made several clarifying revisions. In particular, the CAISO has provided more detail in Section 39.7.1.1 on how incremental fuel cost is calculated for both natural gas-fired generators and non-natural gas-fired generators.4 The CAISO also believes that the information about calculating incremental heat rates that is included in Section D.4.1.2 of Attachment D is not complete without the information relating to the adjustment of incremental heat rates set forth in Section D.4.1.3 of Attachment D. Therefore, the CAISO has also included information relating to the adjustment of incremental heat rates contained in Section D.4.1.3 of the Attachment D in Section 39.7.1.1. Finally, the CAISO applied the "rule of reason" to determine how to integrate the salient details from Sections D.4.1 and D.4.1.1 and the methodology for calculating incremental heat rates that is included in Section D.4.1.2 of Attachment D rather than simply copying and pasting the BPM provisions into the MRTU Tariff. The CAISO submits that the proposed tariff revisions, along with the additional details relating to the adjustment of incremental heat rate rates from Section D.4.1.3. constitute an appropriate tariff-level description of the methodology for calculating incremental heat rates. This approach is also in keeping with the CAISO's general approach, which the Commission has accepted, of providing Englishlanguage descriptions of all rates, terms, and conditions in the tariff and implementation details, including formulas, in the BPMs.⁵

March 24 Order at P 23.

To make this Tariff provision more reader-friendly, the CAISO has split portions of Section 39.7.1.1 into new Sections 39.7.1.1.1 and 39.7.1.1.2 of the MRTU Tariff.

To the extent the Commission has any concerns about including more detail in Section 39.7.1.1 than is currently included in the BPM for Market Instruments, the CAISO would be prepared to revise Attachment D to include the additional detail set forth in Section 39.7.1.1.

Paragraph 23 of the March 24 Order directed the CAISO to file the "formula for calculating incremental heat rates" contained in Section D.4.1.2 of Attachment D to the BPM for Market Instruments because the details on how these incremental rates are calculated "significantly affects rates, terms and conditions of service and, therefore, should be included in the tariff." The CAISO proposes to comply with this directive by including in the MRTU Tariff all of the elements of the formula in a narrative format that is more readily understood by most readers. The CAISO notes that this approach satisfies the rule of reason precedent cited in footnote 27 of the March 24 Order, *KeySpan-Ravenswood*, *LLC v. FERC*, 474 F.3d 804, 811 (D.C. Cir. 2007). In that case, the Court found that the New York Independent System Operator should be required to file "its method for translating installed capacity into unforced capacity" but did not direct that this methodology must be filed in formula format.

B. Revisions Concerning Submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services

The Commission, in the March 24 Order, directed the CAISO to revise Section 8.3.7 of the MRTU Tariff to clarify that it will accept submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services that are imports to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area that are provided pursuant to Existing Transmission Contracts, Transmission Ownership Rights, or Converted Rights.⁶ The CAISO has revised Section 8.3.7 to comply with this directive.

C. Revisions Concerning Market Disruptions

The Commission, in the March 24 Order, accepted the commitment the CAISO made in its December 7, 2007 reply comments in the above-referenced proceedings ("CAISO Reply Comments") to add to the MRTU Tariff a summary of the actions the CAISO may take in the event of a market disruption. Although this issue arose in the context of Section 6.4.4 of the BPM for Market Operations. which concerns market disruptions in the Day-Ahead Market, the CAISO's commitment accepted in the March 24 Order was not limited to market disruptions that occur in the Day-Ahead Market. In fact, market disruptions can occur in any of the CAISO Markets and should be addressed as appropriate. Therefore, the CAISO also proposes to define "Market Disruption" in Appendix A to the MRTU Tariff as "[a]n action or event that causes a failure of the normal operation of any of the CAISO Markets." The CAISO includes, in new Section 7.7.15 of the MRTU Tariff, a summary of the actions the CAISO may take if a disruption occurs in any CAISO Market. The CAISO also includes in Section 7.7.15 language stating that nothing in the section shall prevent the CAISO from taking any other action permitted under the CAISO Tariff. This clarification is appropriate because nothing in the March 24 Order suggests that the CAISO's commitment to address market disruptions in the MRTU Tariff would limit CAISO authority already reflected in the MRTU Tariff.

D. Revisions Concerning the Types and Treatment of BPM Proposed Revision Requests

The Commission, in the March 24 Order, directed the CAISO to make the provisions in Section 2.4.3 of the BPM for BPM Change Management and in Section 22.11.1.4 of the MRTU Tariff fully consistent with regard to the treatment of BPM Proposed Revision Requests ("PRRs"). The Commission stated that the CAISO could choose to comply with this directive either by modifying the BPM for

⁶ March 24 Order at P 25.

⁷ Id. at P 47.

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose May 19, 2008 Page 4

BPM Change Management or by modifying the MRTU Tariff.⁸ The CAISO chooses to comply by modifying the MRTU Tariff, and therefore includes revisions to Tariff Section 22.11.1.4 to add language that is also included in BPM Section 2.4.3.

E. Revisions Concerning Shortening the Time Period for Comments on BPM PRRs

In the March 24 Order, the Commission directed the CAISO to revise the MRTU Tariff to state that the CAISO may shorten the time period for comments on BPM PRRs in emergency circumstances or when entities submit urgent proposed revision requests that would render the normal 10-day comment period infeasible. To comply with this directive, the CAISO modifies Section 22.11.1.5 of the MRTU Tariff to specify that comments on a posted BPM PRR must be delivered electronically to the CAISO within 10 Business Days in order to receive consideration, unless a shorter period is necessary or appropriate pursuant to the provisions of either Section 22.11.1.7 (which concerns CAISO expedited action in emergency circumstances) or Section 22.11.1.8 (which concerns urgent requests by entities for BPM revisions) of the MRTU Tariff.

F. Revisions Concerning Treatment of Partial Resource Adequacy Resources

In the March 24 Order, the Commission directed the CAISO to revise the MRTU Tariff to clarify that, for a Partial Resource Adequacy Resource's self-provided Ancillary Services capacity, the CAISO is only able to disqualify the portion of the capacity that has an Energy offer obligation. The CAISO has revised Section 8.6.2 of the MRTU Tariff to include this clarification. This tariff revision includes an explanation of how this is accomplished. Specifically, if a Scheduling Coordinator wants to self-provide Ancillary Services from non Resource Adequacy portion of capacity, it must not submit an Energy Bid for that capacity. The CAISO would then only disqualify self-provided Ancillary Services, if necessary, from the Resource Adequacy capacity portion of the Partial Resource Adequacy Resource. 11

⁸ *Id.* at P 90.

⁹ *Id.* at P 97.

¹⁰ *Id.* at P 116.

The CAISO will provide a similar clarification in Section 4.2.1.2 of the BPM for Market Operations.

G. Revisions to Incorporate Proposed Changes into Sections 11.5.6.2.3 and 11.5.8.1.1 of the MRTU Tariff

The Commission, in the March 24 Order, stated that it accepted the offer in the CAISO Reply Comments to incorporate into Sections 11.5.6.2.3 and 11.5.8.1.1 of the MRTU Tariff changes to those sections proposed by a party in these proceedings. Therefore, the CAISO incorporates the proposed changes into those tariff sections.

H. Revisions to Include Proposed Changes into Section 22.11.1.1 of the MRTU Tariff

In the March 24 Order, the Commission agreed with two parties in these proceedings that Section 22.11.1.1 should be revised to include the phrase "on the CAISO Market structure, CAISO operations, and Market Participants, to the extent that the submitter may know this information." Therefore, the CAISO includes the above-quoted phrase in that tariff section.

I. Revisions to Modify Section 22.11.1.7 of the MRTU Tariff

The Commission, in the March 24 Order, directed the CAISO to delete the word "efficiency" from Section 22.11.1.7 of the MRTU Tariff. The CAISO has made this revision.

J. Revision to Correct Typographical Error in Section 31.3.1.2 of the MRTU Tariff

The Commission, in the March 24 Order, directed the CAISO to include in the instant compliance filing the changes to Section 31.3.1.2 of the MRTU Tariff that the CAISO had proposed in Reply Comments. ¹⁵ In the instant filing, the CAISO provides clean MRTU Tariff sheets reflecting those changes and the CAISO's other proposed changes to the MRTU Tariff that the Commission accepted in the March 24 Order. In addition, the CAISO proposes to correct a typographical error to Section 31.3.1.2 – the reference therein to Section 11.10.11 of the MRTU Tariff needs to be corrected so that the reference is to Section 11.10.1.1 of the MRTU Tariff.

¹² March 24 Order at PP 56-57.

¹³ *Id.* at P 102.

¹⁴ Id. at P 93.

¹⁵ *Id.* at P 31.

K. Revisions to Incorporate Proposed Changes into Section 39.7.2.1 of the MRTU Tariff

In the March 24 Order, the Commission stated that it accepted the offer in the CAISO Reply Comments to include in Section 39.7.2.1 of the MRTU Tariff the statement that the CAISO will calculate and post path designations not less than once prior to the effective date of that section, and not less than four times each year thereafter to provide timely seasonal path designations. Therefore, the CAISO includes these changes in Section 39.7.2.1.

L. Other Revisions Proposed by the CAISO and Accepted by the Commission in the March 24 Order

The Commission, in the March 24 Order, accepted certain revisions to the MRTU Tariff, in addition to those discussed in Section I above, that were proposed in red-line format in the CAISO's August 3, 2007 MRTU Tariff changes in Docket No. ER06-615 ("August 3 Filing"), the CAISO's November 15, 2007 response in the above-referenced proceedings ("November 15 Filing"), and the CAISO Reply Comments. In Attachment A to the instant filing, the CAISO includes clean MRTU Tariff sheets that incorporate these red-lined revisions.

M. Request for Extension of Time Concerning 30-Day Rule

In the March 24 Order, the Commission directed the CAISO to include in the MRTU Tariff the policies contained in Section 10.3.1 of the BPM for Congestion Revenue Rights ("CRRs") concerning exemptions from the requirement that Participating Transmission Owners notify the CAISO 30 days in advance of all planned outages for facilities rated above 200 kV. ¹⁸ On or before May 23, 2008 (*i.e.*, the due date for the instant compliance filing specified in the March 24 Order), ¹⁹ the CAISO will filed a motion for extension of time requesting that it be allowed to submit these changes in the MRTU Tariff on or before May 30, 2008 along with other tariff changes relating to CRRs based on policies approved by the CAISO Governing Board at its May 21-22, 2008 meeting. Therefore, the CAISO has not included the changes in the instant compliance filing.

¹⁶ *Id.* at P 50.

¹⁷ See id. at PP 18, 28, 31, 35, 38, 41, 44, 53, 60, 83, 85, 88.

¹⁸ *Id.* at P 69.

¹⁹ See id. at Ordering Paragraph (B).

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose May 19, 2008 Page 7

II. Materials Provided in the Instant Compliance Filing

In addition to this transmittal letter, the instant compliance filing includes Attachments A and B. Attachment A contains clean CAISO Tariff sheets reflecting the modifications to the CAISO Tariff described in Section I, above. Attachment B shows these modifications in red-line format (to the extent they have not already been provided in red-line format in the August 3 Filing, the November 15, Filing, and the CAISO Reply Comments).

III. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the CAISO respectfully requests that the Commission accept the instant filing as complying with the applicable provisions of the March 24 Order. Please feel free to contact the undersigned with any questions concerning this filing.

Respectfully submitted,

Sidney M. Davies

Assistant General Counsel

Anna A. McKenna

Counsel

California Independent System

Operator Corporation

151 Blue Ravine Road Folsom, CA 95630

Tel: (916) 351-4400

Fax: (916) 608-7246

E-mail: sdavies@caiso.com

amckenna@caiso.com

Bradley A. Miliaushay Sean A. Atkins

Bradley R. Miliauskas

Alston & Bird LLP

The Atlantic Building

950 F Street, NW

Washington, DC 20004

Tel: (202) 756-3300

Fax: (202) 654-4875

E-mail: satkins@alston.com

bradley.miliauskas@alston.com

Attorneys for the California Independent System Operator Corporation

Attachment A – Clean Sheets Business Practice Manual Compliance Filing 4th Replacement CAISO Tariff (MRTU) May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 82 Superseding Original Sheet No. 82

(d) A non-Market Participant that is not a member of the WECC and that requests the CRR Full Network Model: (i) shall reasonably demonstrate a legitimate business or governmental interest in the CAISO Markets, (ii) shall execute the Non-Disclosure Agreement for CRR Full Network Model Distribution that is posted on the CAISO Website, (iii) shall provide to the CAISO a fully executed WECC Non-Member Confidentiality Agreement for WECC Data, and (iv) shall provide to the CAISO a non-disclosure statement, the form of which is attached as an exhibit to the Non-Disclosure Agreement executed by the non-Market Participant, executed by each employee and consultant of the non-Market Participant who will have access to the CRR Full Network Model.

6.5.1.5 Non-Disclosure Agreement.

The CAISO's Non-Disclosure Agreement for CRR Full Network Model Distribution shall be posted on the CAISO Website. This Non-Disclosure Agreement shall provide for the CAISO to receive the costs of litigation, including attorneys' fees, related to the Non-Disclosure Agreement if the CAISO prevails in litigation. Recipients of the CRR Full Network Model may use the CRR Full Network Model and related studies in pleadings to the FERC provided they request confidential treatment of all information subject to the Non-Disclosure Agreement.

6.5.1.6 Obligation to Report Violations of Section 6.5.1.4.

Each Market Participant, non-Market Participant, employee of a Market Participant, employee of a non-Market Participant, consultant, and employee of a consultant to whom the CAISO distributes the CRR Full Network Model shall be obligated to immediately report to the CAISO any violation of the requirements of Section 6.5.1.4.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

- 6.5.3 Day-Ahead Market Communications.
- 6.5.3.1 Communications With Scheduling Coordinators.
- **6.5.3.1.1** Prior to 6:00 a.m., the CAISO will continuously screen Inter-SC Trades of Energy for the DAM submitted by Scheduling Coordinators and will provide feedback to the Scheduling Coordinators about the consistency and validity of these Inter-SC Trades based on information available to the CAISO.
- **6.5.3.1.2** Between 6:00 a.m. and the end of the Day-Ahead Inter-SC Trade Period, the CAISO performs the validation of Inter-SC Trades of Energy for the DAM and will notify the participants of the status of these Inter-SC Trades.
- **6.5.3.1.3** Between 5:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m., the CAISO will provide feedback to Scheduling Coordinators about their validated ETC and TOR quantities, and calculated Default Energy Bids curves provided by Independent Entities, and in addition, default Minimum Load and Start-Up Cost Bid curves for RMR Units, as provided by Independent Entities.
- **6.5.3.1.4** After the close of the DAM bidding at 10:00 a.m., the CAISO will send a message to the Scheduling Coordinators regarding the outcome of the Bid validation.
- **6.5.3.1.5** By 1:00 p.m., the CAISO will publish the result of the DAM and the resource will be flagged if it is being dispatched under its RMR Contract. Any such Dispatch shall be deemed an RMR Dispatch Notice under the RMR Contract.
- **6.5.3.1.6** After the results of the DAM are published by 1:00 p.m., the CAISO performs the Inter-SC Trade of Energy post-market validation and communicates the results back to the applicable Scheduling Coordinator.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

- **6.5.3.1.7** The results of the Day-Ahead Market will be published by 1:00 p.m. and will include:
 - (a) Unit Commitment status for resources committed in the IFM;
 - (b) Day-Ahead Schedules and prices;
 - (c) Day-Ahead AS Awards and prices;
 - (d) RUC Awards and RUC Capacity and resource-specific RUC Prices;
 - (e) RUC Start-Up Instructions;
 - (f) Start-Up Instructions resulting from the ELC Process;
 - (g) Post-market summary of Day-Ahead and Real-Time Energy Schedules, Ancillary Service Awards, RMR Dispatches, and CCR results of RMR Units;
 - (h) Day-Ahead final resource Bid mitigation results; and
 - (i) Day-Ahead finally qualified Load following capacity.
- 6.5.3.1.8 All Expected Energy results will be published at one (1) day after the Trading Day and will include post-market Energy accounting results for Settlement calculations.
- 6.5.3.2 Public Market Information.
- **6.5.3.2.1** Before 10:00 a.m. one (1) day before the Operating Day) the CAISO will publish updated Outage information regarding the transmission system on OASIS. The updated Outage information will include planned and actual Outage events per Transmission Interface, including Outage description, Outage start time and end time, and rating of the curtailed line.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

6.5.3.2.2 The results of the Day-Ahead Market will be published on OASIS by 1:00 p.m. and will include:

- (a) Total Day-Ahead Schedules (MWh) by Generator, Demand and Scheduling Point for the CAISO Balancing Authority Area;
- (b) Total Day-Ahead AS Awards by AS Region;
- (c) RUC Prices by bus PNode, RUC Forecast Demand and Day-Ahead Schedules, for each RUC Zone, plus CAISO total for each Operating Hour, hourly RUC Capacity from Generation, and hourly RUC Capacity from imports;
- (d) Day-Ahead LMP for Energy, including the Energy, MCC and MCL components;
- (e) Day-Ahead ASMP by bus by PNode;
- (f) Day Ahead mitigation indicator;
- (g) CAISO Forecast of CAISO Demand;
- (h) Shadow Prices; and
- (i) Total Day-Ahead system Marginal Cost of Losses in MWh for each Trading Hour of the next Trading Day.

6.5.4 HASP Communications.

The HASP opens at 1:00 p.m. the day before the target Operating Day and Scheduling Coordinators can submit Bids into the HASP as of that time.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

6.5.4.2.2 At thirty (30) minutes before the Trading Hour, on an hourly basis, the CAISO will publish on OASIS the following:

- (a) HASP Intertie Schedules;
- (b) Total HASP Advisory Schedules (MWh) by Scheduling Point;
- (c) HASP AS Awards by Scheduling Point;
- (d) HASP LMPs for Scheduling Points;
- (e) HASP advisory LMPs by PNode and APNode;
- (f) HASP Intertie ASMP for AS by bus PNode;
- (g) HASP advisory ASMP for AS by bus PNode;
- (h) HASP Shadow Prices; and
- (i) Total HASP system losses in MWh for the next Operating Hour.

6.5.5 Real-Time Market Communications.

The CAISO shall issue Dispatch Instructions to Scheduling Coordinators determined pursuant to the RTM throughout any given day.

6.5.5.1 Communications with Scheduling Coordinators.

Communications between the CAISO and Scheduling Coordinators shall take place via the CAISO's secure communication system to a dedicated terminal at the Scheduling Coordinator's scheduling center. If there is a failure of electronic communications with a Scheduling Coordinator, then, at the CAISO's discretion, the Scheduling Coordinator may communicate by facsimile. Communication by facsimile requires verbal approval by the CAISO.

6.5.5.1.1 Every fifteen (15) minutes, the CAISO will communicate via the secure communication system Start-Up and Shut-Down Instructions and Real-Time AS Awards to internal resources.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

7.7.15 System Operations in the Event of a Market Disruption.

The CAISO may take one or more of the following actions in the event of a Market Disruption, to prevent a Market Disruption, or minimize the extent of a Market Disruption:

- (a) postpone the closure of the applicable CAISO Market;
- (b) remove Bids and Self-Schedules that have resulted in a Market Disruption previously;
- (c) close the applicable CAISO Market and manually copy Bids and Self-Schedules from the previous day or other applicable market period;
- (d) close the applicable CAISO Market and use submitted Bids and Self-Schedules to the extent possible;
- (e) cancel the applicable CAISO Market, in which case import/export schedules shall be determined by submittal of E-Tags;
- (f) utilize Administrative Prices to settle metered Supply and Demand; and
- (g) utilize Exceptional Dispatch and issue operating orders for resources to be committed and dispatched to meet Demand.

The CAISO's choice of action in the event of a Market Disruption shall depend on the cause of the Market Disruption, the expected time to resolve the Market Disruption, and the status of submitted Bids and Self-Schedules at the time the Market Disruption occurs. Nothing in this Section 7.7.15 shall prevent the CAISO from taking any other action permitted under the CAISO Tariff.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

Second Revised Sheet No. 119

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Superseding First Revised Sheet No. 119

8. ANCILLARY SERVICES.

8.1 Scope.

The CAISO shall be responsible for ensuring that there are sufficient Ancillary Services available to maintain the reliability of the CAISO Controlled Grid consistent with WECC and NERC Reliability

Standards, WECC Reliability Criteria, and other WECC and NERC criteria. The CAISO's Ancillary

Services requirements may be self-provided by Scheduling Coordinators as further provided in the

Business Practice Manuals. Those Ancillary Services which the CAISO requires to be available but which are not being self-provided will be competitively procured by the CAISO from Scheduling Coordinators in the Day-Ahead Market, the Hour-Ahead Scheduling Process (the hourly HASP Ancillary Service Awards) and the RTM consistent with Section 8.3. The provision of Ancillary Services from the Interties with interconnected Balancing Authority Areas is limited to Ancillary Services bid into the competitive procurement processes in the IFM, HASP and RTM. The CAISO will not accept Submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services that are imports to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area over the Interties with interconnected Balancing Authority Areas, except from Dynamic System Resources certified to provide Ancillary Services or if provided pursuant to ETCs, TORs or Converted Rights. The CAISO will calculate payments for Ancillary Services supplied by Scheduling Coordinators and charge the cost of Ancillary Services to Scheduling Coordinators based on their Ancillary Service Obligations.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 127

- (c) The CAISO shall have the sole right to determine when the operation of Black Start Generating Units is required to respond to conditions on the CAISO Controlled Grid.
- (d) If the CAISO has intervened in the market for Energy and/or Ancillary Services pursuant to Section 7.7.4, the price paid by the CAISO for Black Start services shall be sufficient to permit the relevant Participating Generator to recover its costs over the period that it is directed to operate by the CAISO.
- (e) If a Black Start Generating Unit fails to achieve a Black Start when called upon by the CAISO, or fails to pass a performance test administered by the CAISO, the Market Participant that has contracted to supply Black Start service from the Generating Unit shall re-pay to the CAISO any reserve payment(s) that it has received since the administration of the last performance test or the last occasion upon which it successfully achieved a Black Start when called upon by the CAISO, whichever is the shorter period.

8.2.3.5 Ancillary Service Substitution.

The CAISO, whenever possible, will increase its purchases of an Ancillary Service that can substitute for another Ancillary Service, when doing so is expected to reduce its total cost of procuring Ancillary Services while meeting reliability requirements. The substitution described in this section can only occur with the purchase of bid-in Ancillary Services; substitution may not involve Self-Provided Ancillary Services. The CAISO will make such adjustments in accordance with the following principles:

- (a) The Regulation requirement must be satisfied only by Regulation Bids for resources qualified to provide Regulation;
- (b) Additional Regulation Up capacity can be used to satisfy requirements for Spinning Reserve, or Non-Spinning Reserve;

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

(MW/minute) times ten (10);

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

- (c) Regulation Up and Spinning Reserve requirements must be collectively satisfied by the combination of Regulation Up and Spinning Reserve Bids. Spinning Reserve and Regulation may be provided as separate services from the same Generating Unit, provided that the sum of Spinning Reserve and Regulation Up provided is not greater than the maximum Ramp Rate of the Generating Unit
- (d) Additional Regulation Up and Spinning Reserve capacity can be used to satisfy requirements for Non-Spinning Reserve.
- (e) Regulation Up, Spinning Reserve, and Non-Spinning Reserve requirements must be collectively satisfied by the combination of Regulation Up, Spinning Reserve and Non-Spinning Reserve Bids; and
- (f) Total MW purchased from the Regulation Up, Spinning Reserve, and Non-Spinning Reserve markets will not be changed by this Section 8.2.3.5; and
- (g) Regulation Energy resulting from Regulation that substituted for another Ancillary Service continues to be treated as Regulation Energy regardless of for what service it substituted.
- 8.3 Procurement of Ancillary Services, Certification and Testing Requirements for Providers of Ancillary Services, and Time-frame For Contracting for Ancillary Services.

8.3.1 Procurement of Ancillary Services.

The CAISO shall operate competitive Day-Ahead, HASP, and Real-Time Markets to procure Ancillary Services. The Security Constrained Unit Commitment (SCUC) and Security Constrained Economic Dispatch (SCED) applications used in the Integrated Forward Market (IFM), HASP, and the Real-Time Market (RTM) shall calculate optimal resource commitment, Energy, and Ancillary Services Awards and Schedules at least cost to End-Use Customers consistent with maintaining System Reliability. Any

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

First Revised Sheet No. 129 Superseding Original Sheet No. 129

Scheduling Coordinator representing Generating Units, System Units, Loads or imports of System

Resources may submit Bids into the CAISO's Ancillary Services markets provided that it is in possession

of a current certificate for the Generating Units, System Units, imports of System Resources or Loads

concerned. Regulation Up, Regulation Down, and Operating Reserves necessary to meet CAISO

requirements not met by self-provision will be procured by the CAISO as described in this CAISO Tariff.

The amount of Ancillary Services procured in the IFM and HASP and in the Real-Time Market is based

upon the CAISO Forecast of CAISO Demand plus HASP Intertie Schedule for the Operating Hour net of

(i) Self-Provided Ancillary Services from Generating Units internal to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area

and Dynamic System Resources certified to provide Ancillary Services and (ii) Ancillary Services self-

provided pursuant to an ETC, TOR or Converted Right. The CAISO will manage both CAISO procured

and Self-Provided Ancillary Services as part of the Real-Time Dispatch. In the Day-Ahead Market, the

CAISO procures one-hundred percent (100%) of its Ancillary Service requirements based on the Day-

Ahead Demand Forecast net of Self-Provided Ancillary Services. After the Day-Ahead Market, the

CAISO procures additional Ancillary Services needed to meet system requirements from: (a) imports or

System Resources in the HASP, and (b) Generation internal to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area in

the Real-Time Market. The amount of Ancillary Services procured in the HASP and in the Real-Time

Market is based upon the CAISO Forecast of CAISO Demand for the Operating Hour net of Self-Provided

Ancillary Services.

The CAISO procurement of Ancillary Services from imports or System Resources in the HASP is for the

entire Operating Hour. The procurement of Ancillary Services from Generation internal to the CAISO

Balancing Authority Area for the Real-Time Market is for a fifteen (15) minute time period. The CAISO's

procurement of Ancillary Services from imports or System Resources in the HASP and from Generating

Units for the Real-Time Market is based on the Ancillary Service Bids submitted in the HASP.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 130 Superseding Original Sheet No. 130

As of the CAISO Operations Date, the CAISO will contract for long-term Voltage Support service with owners of Reliability Must-Run Units under Reliability Must-Run Contracts. Black Start capability will initially be procured by the CAISO through individual contracts with Scheduling Coordinators for Reliability Must-Run Units and other Generating Units which have Black Start capability. These requirements and standards apply to all Ancillary Services whether self-provided or procured by the CAISO.

8.3.2 Procurement Not Limited to CAISO Balancing Authority Area.

The CAISO will procure Spinning Reserves and Non-Spinning Reserves from Generating Units operating within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area and from imports of System Resources. Scheduling Coordinators are allowed to bid Regulation from resources located outside the CAISO Balancing Authority Area by dynamically scheduling such resources. Each System Resource used to bid Regulation must comply with the Dynamic Scheduling Protocol in Appendix X. When bidding to supply Ancillary Services in the IFM, HASP or RTM, imports compete for use of Intertie transmission capacity when the requested use is in the same direction, e.g., imports of Ancillary Services compete with Energy on Interties in the import direction and exports of Ancillary Services (i.e., on demand obligations) compete with Energy on Interties in the export direction. To the extent there is Congestion, imports of Ancillary Services will pay Congestion costs in the IFM, HASP and RTM markets pursuant to Section 11.

8.3.3 Ancillary Service Regions and Regional Limits.

The CAISO will procure Ancillary Services using Ancillary Service Regions and Ancillary Service Sub-Regions. There are two Ancillary Services Regions and eight Ancillary Service Sub-Regions. The two Ancillary Service Regions are the System Region (i.e., the CAISO Balancing Authority Area) and the Expanded System Region (i.e., the System Region and Intertie Scheduling Points with adjacent

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 131 Superseding Original Sheet No. 131

Balancing Authority Areas). As defined by a Business Practice Manual, the eight identified Ancillary Service Sub-Regions are (1) the South of Path 15 Sub-Region, (2) the Expanded South of Path 15 Sub-Region, (3) the South of Path 26 Sub-Region, (4) the Expanded South of Path 26 Sub-Region, (5) the North of Path 15 Sub-Region, (6) the Expanded North of Path 15 Sub-Region, (7) the North of Path 26 Sub-Region, and (8) the Expanded North of Path 26 Sub-Region. The eight Ancillary Service Sub-Regions are embedded within either the System Region or the Expanded System Region. The CAISO may use Ancillary Service Sub-Regions within the System Region or the Expanded System Region to ensure appropriate distribution of the Ancillary Services procured for the CAISO Balancing Authority Area. The definition of the Expanded System Region, the System Region, and the eight Sub-Regions shall apply collectively to the following Ancillary Services: Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserves and Non-Spinning Reserves.

8.3.3.1 Use of Ancillary Service Regions and Ancillary Service Regional Limits.

Within the Expanded System Region, the System Region, and the Sub-Regions, the CAISO may establish limits on the amount of Ancillary Services that can be provided from each region or can be provided within each region. When used, these Ancillary Service Regional Limits identify either a maximum or a minimum (or both a maximum and a minimum) amount of Ancillary Services to be obtained within the region. The minimum Ancillary Service limit in the Expanded System Region shall be the quantities of each Ancillary Service required to meet the WECC and NERC requirements for the CAISO Balancing Authority Area. The CAISO may establish a restriction on the amount of Ancillary Services to be procured from outside the CAISO Balancing Authority Area by establishing a minimum limit for the System Region.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

First Revised Sheet No. 135

Superseding Original Sheet No. 135

from resources including Loads, Generating Units, and System Units, which have been certified and

tested by the CAISO using the process defined in Part D of Appendix K. Black Start capability may only

be provided from Generating Units which have been certified and tested by the CAISO using the process

defined in Part E of Appendix K. CAISO certification to provide Ancillary Services may be revoked by the

CAISO under the provisions of this CAISO Tariff, including Appendix K.

8.3.5 The CAISO shall procure Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserve, and Non-

Spinning Reserve on a daily, hourly and Real-Time basis in the IFM, HASP and RTM respectively. The

CAISO shall procure Ancillary Services on a longer-term basis pursuant to Section 42.1.3 if necessary to

meet Reliability Criteria. The CAISO Governing Board must approve all long-term contracts. The CAISO

shall contract for Voltage Support annually (or for such other period as the CAISO may determine is

economically advantageous) and on a daily or hourly basis as required to maintain System Reliability.

The CAISO shall contract annually (or for such other period as the CAISO may determine is economically

advantageous) for Black Start Generation.

8.3.6 Market-Based Prices.

Public utilities under the FPA must submit Bids for Ancillary Services capped at FERC authorized cost-

based rates unless and until FERC authorizes different pricing. Public utilities under the FPA shall seek

FERC Ancillary Services rate approval on bases consistent with the CAISO time-frame for contracting for

each Ancillary Service (hourly rate for some Ancillary Services, annual rate or otherwise for other

Ancillary Services) so that cost-based Bids and market-based Bids for each service shall be on

comparable terms. All other entities may use market-based rates not subject to any restrictions apart from

those found in this CAISO Tariff. Public utilities under the FPA which have not been approved to bid at

market-based rates will not be paid above their cost-based Bid for the Ancillary Service concerned even if

the relevant Market Clearing Price is higher.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 136 Superseding Original Sheet No. 136

8.3.7 Bidding Requirements, Including Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service.

Scheduling Coordinators may submit Bids or Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service consistent with the rules specified in Section 30 and any further requirements in this Section 8.3.7. Scheduling Coordinators may (i) submit Bids or Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service from resources located within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area or Dynamic System Resources certified to provide Ancillary Services, (ii) submit Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service from resources located outside the CAISO Balancing Authority Area if provided pursuant to ETCs, TORs, or Converted Rights, (iii) submit Bids for Ancillary Services from resources located outside the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, or (iv) specify Inter-SC Trades of Ancillary Services. Ancillary Services in the Day-Ahead Market, in the HASP, and in the Real-Time Market are comprised of the following: Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserve, and Non-Spinning Reserve. Each Generating Unit (including Physical Scheduling Plants), System Unit, Participating Load, or System Resource for which a Scheduling Coordinator wishes to submit Ancillary Service Bids must meet the requirements set forth in this CAISO Tariff. The same resource capacity may be offered into more than one CAISO Ancillary Service auction at the same time. Ancillary Services Bids and Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service can be submitted up to seven (7) days in advance. Ramp Rates will be only used by the CAISO for procuring capacity associated with the specific Ancillary Services. The CAISO will issue Real-Time Dispatch Instructions in the Real-Time Market for the Energy associated with the awarded capacity based upon the applicable Operational Ramp Rate submitted with the single Energy Bid Curve in accordance with Section 30.10. There is no ability to procure Ancillary Services for export. To the extent a Scheduling Coordinator has an on-demand obligation to serve loads outside the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, it can do so provided that (1) it is using export transmission capacity available in Real-Time, and (2) the resource capacity providing Energy to satisfy the on-demand obligation is not under an RMR Contract or Resource Adequacy Capacity obligation, and has not been paid a RUC Availability Payment for the Trading Hour.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 137 Superseding Original Sheet No. 137

8.3.7.1 Requirement for Imports of Spinning or Non-Spinning Reserves.

Scheduling Coordinators may submit Bids for imports of Spinning Reserve, or Non-Spinning Reserve from System Resources located outside the CAISO Balancing Authority Area including Dynamic System Resources, where technically feasible and consistent with WECC criteria; and provided that such Scheduling Coordinators have certified to the CAISO their ability to deliver the service to the point of interchange with the CAISO Balancing Authority Area (including with respect to their ability to make changes, or cause such changes to be made, to Interchange Schedules during any interval of a Settlement Period at the discretion of the CAISO).

8.3.7.2 Requirement for Imports of Regulation.

Scheduling Coordinators may bid imports of Regulation from System Resources located outside the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, where technically feasible and consistent with WECC criteria by dynamic scheduling; provided that the operator of the Balancing Authority Area in which the System Resources are located has entered into an agreement with the CAISO for interconnected Balancing Authority Area operations; and provided that such Scheduling Coordinator and the operator of the Balancing Authority Area in which the resources are located have been certified by the CAISO as to their ability to dynamically adjust Interchange Schedules based on control signals issued by the CAISO anytime during a Settlement Period at the discretion of the CAISO. Such certification shall include a demonstration of their ability to support the dynamic Interchange of Regulation service based on CAISO control signals received on dedicated communications links (either directly or through EMS computers) for CAISO computer control and telemetry to provide this function in accordance with CAISO standards and procedures posted on the CAISO Website.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Superseding Original Sheet No. 138

First Revised Sheet No. 138

8.3.8 Procurement of Voltage Support.

As of the CAISO Operations Date, the CAISO will contract for Voltage Support service with the owners of

Reliability Must-Run Units. Payments for public utilities under the FPA shall be capped at the FERC

authorized cost-based rates unless and until FERC authorizes different pricing. The CAISO shall pay

owners of Reliability Must-Run Units for long-term Voltage Support through their Scheduling

Coordinators.

In addition, any Participating Generator who is producing Energy shall, upon the CAISO's specific

request, provide reactive energy output outside the Participating Generator's Voltage Support obligation

defined in Section 8.2.3.3.

The CAISO shall select Participating Generator's Generating Units which have been certified for Voltage

Support to provide this additional Voltage Support. Subject to any locational requirements, the CAISO

shall select the least costly Generating Units from a computerized merit order stack to back down to

produce additional Voltage Support in each location where Voltage Support is needed.

The CAISO shall pay to the Scheduling Coordinator for that Participating Generator the opportunity cost

of reducing Energy output to enable reactive energy production. This opportunity cost shall be:

Max {0, LMP - Generating Unit Bid price} x reduction in Energy output (MW).

If necessary, the CAISO shall develop a regulatory cost-based determination of marginal operating cost

to be used in place of the Generating Unit Bid price.

8.3.9 Black Start Capability and Energy Output.

As of the CAISO Operations Date, the CAISO will contract for Black Start capability and Energy with

owners of Reliability Must-Run Units and Black Start Generators. Public utilities under the FPA will be

paid rates capped at the FERC authorized cost base rates unless and until FERC authorizes different

pricing.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 139 Superseding Original Sheet No. 139

The CAISO shall pay owners of Reliability Must-Run Units for Black Start Energy output through their Scheduling Coordinators. The CAISO shall pay Black Start Generators for Black Start Energy output directly.

8.4 Technical Requirements for Providing Ancillary Services.

All Generating Units, System Units, Participating Loads and System Resources providing Ancillary Services shall comply with the technical requirements set out in Sections 8.4.1 to 8.4.3 below relating to their operating capabilities, communication capabilities and metering infrastructure. No Scheduling Coordinator shall be permitted to submit a Bid to the CAISO for the provision of an Ancillary Service from a Generating Unit, System Unit, Participating Load or System Resource, or to provide a Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service from a Generating Unit, System Unit, Participating Load, or Dynamic System Resource, unless the Scheduling Coordinator is in possession of a current certificate issued by the CAISO confirming that the Generating Unit, System Unit, Participating Load or System Resource complies with the CAISO's technical requirements for providing the Ancillary Service concerned. Scheduling Coordinators can apply for Ancillary Services certificates in accordance with the requirements for considering and processing such applications in Appendix K and the CAISO's Operating Procedures. The CAISO shall have the right to inspect Generating Units, Participating Loads or the individual resources comprising System Units and other equipment for the purposes of the issue of a certificate and periodically thereafter to satisfy itself that its technical requirements continue to be met. If at any time the CAISO's technical requirements are not being met, the CAISO may withdraw the certificate for the Generating Unit, System Unit, Participating Load or System Resource concerned.

8.4.1 Operating Characteristics Required to Provide Ancillary Services.

Each Generating Unit, System Unit, Participating Load or System Resource for which a Scheduling Coordinator wishes to submit a Bid to provide Ancillary Services must comply with the requirements for the specific Ancillary Service as set forth in Appendix K and the Business Practice Manual. The

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

Superseding Original Sheet No. 145

First Revised Sheet No. 145

becomes unavailable, the relevant Participating Generators, operators of System Units, Loads and

System Resources and the CAISO shall take immediate action to identify the cause of the interruption

and to restore the communication system. A Scheduling Coordinator that has provided a Submission to

Self-Provide an Ancillary Service, or has submitted a Bid to provide or contracted for Ancillary Services,

shall ensure that the Generating Unit, System Unit, Load or System Resource concerned is able to

receive and implement Dispatch Instructions.

8.4.6 Metering Infrastructure.

All Participating Generators, owners or operators of Loads and operators of System Units or System

Resources which a Scheduling Coordinator wishes to bid to provide Ancillary Services shall have the

metering infrastructure for the Generating Units, System Units, Loads or System Resources concerned

which complies with requirements to be established by the CAISO relating to:

(a) meter type;

(b) meter location;

meter reading responsibility; (c)

(d) meter capability in regard to AGC response; and

any other aspect of metering infrastructure required by the CAISO under this (e)

CAISO Tariff.

8.4.6.1 **Additional Requirements for Black Start Units.**

A Participating Generator who wishes to offer Black Start must ensure that the requirements set out in

Appendix D are met in relation to the Generating Units from which Black Start will be offered.

8.5 Time Frame for Submitting and Evaluating Ancillary Services Bids.

All Ancillary Services Bids must be submitted pursuant to the rules provided in Section 30.5.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 146 Superseding Original Sheet No. 146

8.6 Obligations for and Self-Provision of Ancillary Services.

8.6.1 Ancillary Service Obligations.

Each Scheduling Coordinator shall be assigned a share of the total Regulation Down, Regulation Up, Spinning Reserve, and Non-Spinning Reserve requirements by the CAISO, as set forth in Sections 11.10.2, 11.10.3 and 11.10.4, (i.e., a share of the total requirements for each Ancillary Service in the Day-Ahead Market, HASP, and the Real-Time Market). Any references in this CAISO Tariff to Regulation shall be read as referring to Regulation Up or Regulation Down.

8.6.2 Right to Self-Provide.

Each Scheduling Coordinator may choose to self-provide all, or a portion, of its Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserve, and Non-Spinning Reserve obligations in the Day-Ahead Market, and, to the extent needed to satisfy CAISO's additional requirement, HASP and Real-Time Market from resources eligible for self-provision. The right to self-provide Ancillary Services from capacity that is under a contractual obligation to provide Energy, including but not limited to capacity subject to an RMR Contract and local Resource Adequacy Resources, shall be conditional; self-provision of Ancillary Services from such capacity will only be permitted to the extent that capacity is not needed for Energy as a result of the MPM-RRD process described in this CAISO Tariff. To self-provide Ancillary Services a Scheduling Coordinator must provide the CAISO with a Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service. Both Ancillary Service Bids and Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service can be provided to the CAISO for the same Ancillary Service and for the same hour in the same market. To the extent the Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service Adequacy Resource, and Energy is needed, including for purposes under Section 31.3.1.3, from that resource the

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

Original Sheet No. 146A

CAISO shall only disqualify the self-provision of Ancillary Services from the portion of the resource's capacity that has must-offer obligation, provided that the Scheduling Coordinator has not submitted an Energy Bid for the capacity that is not subject to a must-offer obligation. If there is an Energy Bid submitted for the capacity of a Partial Resource Adequacy Resource that is not subject to a must-offer obligation the CAISO may disqualify the Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service for the portion of the resources capacity that is not under a must-offer obligation consistent with the principles of cooptimization under the CAISO Tariff.

Prior to evaluating Ancillary Service Bids, the CAISO will determine whether Submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services are feasible with regard to resource operating characteristics and regional constraints and are qualified to provide the Ancillary Services in the markets for which they were submitted.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

Superseding Original Sheet No. 148

First Revised Sheet No. 148

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Scheduling Coordinators may trade Ancillary Services so that any Scheduling Coordinator may reduce its

Ancillary Services Obligation through purchase of Ancillary Services capacity from another Scheduling

Coordinator, or self-provide in excess of its obligation to sell Ancillary Services to another Scheduling

Coordinator.

8.6.3 Services Which May Be Self-Provided.

The CAISO shall permit Scheduling Coordinators to self-provide the following Ancillary Services:

(a) Regulation Up;

(b) Regulation Down;

(c) Spinning Reserve; and

(d) Non-Spinning Reserve.

Submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services for Regulation Up and Regulation Down capacity will be

rejected if the Energy Bid provided in the submission is outside of the resource's Regulating Range. The

CAISO may from time to time add other Ancillary Services to this list as it considers appropriate.

8.6.4 Time Frame for Informing CAISO of Self-Provision.

8.6.4.1 Day-Ahead Schedule.

At the Day-Ahead Market, Scheduling Coordinators shall be required to submit information on Self-

Provided Ancillary Services within the time frame stated in Section 30. Failure to submit the required

information within the stated time frame for any hour shall lead to the self-provision for that hour being

declared invalid by the CAISO.

8.6.4.2 HASP.

In the HASP, Scheduling Coordinators shall be required to submit information on Self-Provided Ancillary

Services within the time frame stated in Section 30.1. Failure to submit the required adjusted information

within the stated time frame shall lead to the self-provision being declared invalid by the CAISO.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 217 Superseding Original Sheet No. 217

11.2.1 IFM Settlements.

11.2.1.1 IFM Payments For Supply of Energy.

For each Settlement Period for which the CAISO clears Energy transactions in the IFM, the CAISO shall pay the relevant Scheduling Coordinator for the MWh quantity of Supply of Energy from all Generating Units, Participating Loads, and System Resources in an amount equal to the IFM LMP at the applicable PNode multiplied by the MWh quantity specified in the Day-Ahead Schedule for Supply (which consists of the Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy).

11.2.1.2 IFM Charges for Demand at LAPS.

For each Settlement Period that the CAISO clears Energy transactions in the IFM, except as specified in Section 30.5.3.2 and except for Participating Loads, which shall be subject to the charges specified in 11.2.1.3, the CAISO shall charge Scheduling Coordinators for the MWh quantity of Demand scheduled at an individual LAP in the Day-Ahead Schedule, in an amount equal to the IFM LMP for the applicable LAP multiplied by the MWh quantity scheduled in the Day-Ahead Schedule at the relevant LAP.

11.2.1.3 IFM Charges for Demand by Participating Loads, Including Aggregated Participating Load.

For each Settlement Period that the CAISO clears Energy transactions in the IFM for Demand by Participating Loads, the CAISO shall charge the Scheduling Coordinators an amount equal to the MWh quantity of Demand scheduled in the Day-Ahead Schedule for the relevant Participating Load at the PNode (or Custom LAP, in the case of Aggregated Participating Load), multiplied by the IFM LMP at that PNode (or Custom LAP, in the case of Aggregated Participating Load).

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 230 Superseding Original Sheet No. 230

11.5.1 Instructed Imbalance Energy Settlements.

For each Settlement Interval, IIE consists of the following types of Energy: (1) Optimal Energy; (2) HASP Scheduled Energy; (3) Residual Imbalance Energy; (4) Real-Time Minimum Load Energy; (5) Exceptional Dispatch Energy; (6) Regulation Energy; (7) Standard Ramping Energy; (8) Ramping Energy Deviation; (9) Derate Energy; (10) Real-Time Self-Schedule Energy; (11) MSS Load Following Energy; (12) Real-Time Pumping Energy; and (13) Operational Adjustments for the Day-Ahead and Real-Time. Payments and charges for IIE attributable to each resource in each Settlement Interval shall be settled by debiting or crediting, as appropriate, the specific Scheduling Coordinator's IIE Settlement Amount. The IIE Settlement Amounts for the Standard Ramping Energy shall be zero. The IIE Settlement Amounts for Optimal Energy, Real-Time Minimum Load Energy, Regulation Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation, Derate Energy, Real-Time Pumping Energy, and Real-Time Self-Scheduled Energy shall be calculated as the product of the sum of all of these types of Energy and the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP. For MSS Operators that have elected net Settlement, the IIE Settlement Amounts for Energy dispatched through the Real-Time Market optimization, Minimum Load Energy from System Units dispatched in Real-Time, Regulation Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation, Derate Energy, MSS Load Following Energy, Real-Time Pumping Energy, and Real-Time Self-Schedule Energy shall be calculated as the product of the sum of all of these types of Energy and the Real-Time Settlement Interval MSS Price. For MSS Operators that have elected gross Settlement, regardless of whether that entity has elected to follow its Load or to participate in RUC, the IIE for such entities is settled similarly to non-MSS entities as provided in this Section 11.5.1. The remaining IIE Settlement Amounts are determined as follows: (1) IIE Settlement Amounts for the Energy from the HASP Intertie Schedules is settled per Section 11.4; (2) IIE Settlement Amounts for Residual Imbalance Energy are determined pursuant to Section 11.5.5.; and (3) IIE Settlement Amounts for Exceptional Dispatches are settled pursuant to Section 11.5.6.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 231 Superseding Original Sheet No. 231

11.5.1.1 Total IIE Settlement Amount.

The total IIE Settlement Amount (\$) per Settlement Interval for each Scheduling Coordinator is the sum of

the IIE Settlement Amounts for the Standard Ramping Energy, MSS Load Following Energy, Optimal

Energy, Real-Time Minimum Load Energy, HASP Scheduled Energy, Regulation, Ramping Energy

Deviation, Derate Energy, Real-Time Self-Schedule Energy, Residual Imbalance Energy, Exceptional

Dispatch Energy, Real-Time Pumping Energy and Operational Adjustments for the Day-Ahead and Real-

Time.

11.5.1.2 Total IIE Quantity.

The total IIE quantity (MWh) per Settlement Interval for each Scheduling Coordinator is the sum of

Standard Ramping Energy, MSS Load Following Energy, Optimal Energy, Real-Time Minimum Load

Energy, Regulation Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation, Derate Energy, Real-Time Self-Schedule

Energy, Residual Imbalance Energy, and Exceptional Dispatch Energy, Real-Time Pumping Energy, and

Operational Adjustments for the Day-Ahead and Real-Time.

11.5.2 Uninstructed Imbalance Energy.

Scheduling Coordinators shall be paid or charged a UIE Settlement Amount for each LAP. PNode or

Scheduling Point for which the CAISO calculates a UIE quantity. UIE quantities are calculated for each

resource that has a Day-Ahead Schedule, Dispatch Instruction, Real-Time Interchange Export Schedule

or Metered Quantity. For MSS Operators electing gross Settlement, regardless of whether that entity has

elected to follow its Load or to participate in RUC, the UIE for such entities is settled similarly to how UIE

for non-MSS entities is settled as provided in this Section 11.5.2. The CAISO shall account for UIE in two

categories: (1) Tier 1 UIE is accounted as the quantity deviation from the resource's IIE; and (2) Tier 2

UIE is accounted as the quantity deviation from the resource's Day-Ahead Schedule. For Generating

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 236 Superseding Original Sheet No. 236

11.5.6.1 Settlement for IIE from Exceptional Dispatches used for System Emergency Conditions, to Avoid Market Interruption, Overgeneration Conditions or to Prevent or Relieve Imminent System Emergencies.

The Exceptional Dispatch Settlement price for incremental IIE that is delivered as a result of an Exceptional Dispatch for System Emergency conditions, to avoid a Market Interruption, to mitigate Overgeneration conditions, or to prevent or relieve an imminent System Emergency, including forced Start-Ups and Shut-Downs, is the higher of the (a) Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP, (b) the Energy Bid Price, (c) the Default Energy Bid if the resource has been mitigated through the MPM-RRD and for the Energy that does not have an Energy Bid price, or (d) the negotiated price as applicable to System Resources. Costs for incremental Energy for this type of Exceptional Dispatch are settled in two payments: (1) incremental Energy is first settled at the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP and included in the total IIE Settlement Amount described in Section 11.5.1.1; and (2) the incremental Energy Bid Cost in excess of the applicable LMP at the relevant Location is settled pursuant to Section 11.5.6.1.1. The Exceptional Dispatch Settlement price for decremental IIE not associated with an Energy Bid that is delivered as a result of an Exceptional Dispatch instruction to avoid a Market Interruption, or to prevent or relieve a System Emergency is the minimum of the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP, the Energy Bid price, or the negotiated price, if applicable and the Energy that does not have an Energy Bid price. All Energy costs for decremental IIE associated with this type of Exceptional Dispatch are included in the total IIE Settlement Amount described in Section 11.5.1.1.

11.5.6.1.1 Settlement of Excess Cost Payments for Exceptional Dispatches used for Emergency Conditions, to Avoid Market Interruption, and Avoid an Imminent System Emergency.

The Excess Cost Payment for incremental Exceptional Dispatches used for emergency conditions, to avoid Market Interruption, or to avoid an imminent System Emergency is calculated for each resource for each Settlement Interval as the cost difference between the Settlement amount calculated pursuant to

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 237 Superseding Original Sheet No. 237

Section 11.5.6.1 for the applicable Exceptional Dispatch at the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP and delivered Exceptional Dispatch quantity at one of the following three costs: (1) the resource's Energy Bid Cost, (2) the Default Energy Bid cost, or (3) the Energy cost at the negotiated price, as applicable for System Resources, for the relevant Exceptional Dispatch.

11.5.6.2 Settlement of IIE from Exceptional Dispatches Caused by Modeling Limitations.

11.5.6.2.1 Exceptional Dispatches Not Associated with an Energy Bid for Transmission-Related Modeling Limitations.

The Exceptional Dispatch Settlement price for IIE not associated with an Energy Bid that is consumed or delivered as a result of an Exceptional Dispatch to mitigate or resolve Congestion as a result of a transmission-related modeling limitation in the FNM as described in Section 34.9.3 is the maximum of the (a) Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP, (b) Energy Bid price, (c) the Default Energy Bid price if the resource has been mitigated through the MPM-RRD and for the Energy that does not have an Energy Bid price, or (d) the negotiated price as applicable to System Resources. Costs for incremental Energy for this type of Exceptional Dispatch are settled in two payments: (1) incremental Energy is first settled at the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP and included in the total IIE Settlement Amount described in Section 11.5.1.1; and (2) the incremental Energy Bid costs in excess of the applicable LMP at the relevant Location are settled per Section 11.5.6.2.3. The Exceptional Dispatch Settlement price for decremental IIE for this type of Exceptional Dispatch is the minimum of the (a) Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP, (b) Energy Bid price, (c) the Default Energy Bid price if the resource has been mitigated through the MPM-RRD and for the Energy that does not have an Energy Bid price, or (d) the negotiated price as applicable to System Resources. Costs for decremental IIE associated with this type of Exceptional Dispatch are settled in two payments: (1) decremental Energy is first settled at the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP and included in the total IIE Settlement Amount described in Section 11.5.1.1; and (2) the decremental Energy Bid costs in excess of the applicable LMP at the relevant Location are settled per Section 11.5.6.2.3.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 238 Superseding Original Sheet No. 238

11.5.6.2.2 Exceptional Dispatches Associated with an Energy Bid for Transmission-Related Modeling Limitations.

The Exceptional Dispatch Settlement price for incremental IIE associated with an Energy Bid that is consumed or delivered as a result of an Exceptional Dispatch to mitigate or resolve Congestion as a result of a transmission-related modeling limitation in the CAISO FNM as described in Section 34.9.3 is the maximum of the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP or the Energy Bid price. Costs for incremental Energy for this type of Exceptional Dispatch are settled in two payments: (1) incremental Energy is first settled at the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP and included in the total IIE Settlement Amount described in Section 11.5.1.1; and (2) the incremental Energy Bid costs in excess of the applicable LMP at the relevant Location are settled per Section 11.5.6.2.3. The Exceptional Dispatch Settlement price for decremental IIE for this type of Exceptional Dispatch is the minimum of the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP or the Energy Bid price. Costs for decremental IIE associated with this type of Exceptional Dispatch are settled in two payments: (1) decremental Energy is first settled at the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP and included in the total IIE Settlement Amount described in Section 11.5.1.1; and (2) the decremental Energy Bid costs in excess of the applicable LMP at the relevant Location is settled per Section 11.5.6.2.3.

11.5.6.2.3 Settlement of Excess Cost Payments for Exceptional Dispatches used for Transmission-Related Modeling Limitations.

The Excess Cost Payment for Exceptional Dispatches used for transmission-related modeling limitations as described in Section 34.9.3 is calculated for each resource for each Settlement Interval as the cost difference between the Settlement amount calculated pursuant to Section 11.5.6.2.1 or 11.5.6.2.2 for the applicable delivered Exceptional Dispatch quantity at the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP and one of the following three costs: (1) the resource's Energy Bid Cost, 2) the Default Energy Bid cost, or 3) the Energy cost at the negotiated price, as applicable for System Resources, for the relevant Exceptional Dispatch.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 239 Superseding Original Sheet No. 239

11.5.6.2.4 Exceptional Dispatches for Non-Transmission-Related Modeling Limitations.

The Exceptional Dispatch Settlement price for incremental IIE that is consumed or delivered as a result of an Exceptional Dispatch to mitigate or resolve Congestion that is not a result of a transmission-related modeling limitation in the FNM as described in Section 34.9.3 is the maximum of the (a) Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP, (b) Energy Bid price, (c) the Default Energy Bid price if the resource has been mitigated through the MPM-RRD and for the Energy that does not have an Energy Bid price, or (d) the negotiated price as applicable to System Resources. All costs for incremental Energy for this type of Exceptional Dispatch will be included in the total IIE Settlement Amount described in Section 11.5.1.1. The Exceptional Dispatch Settlement price for decremental IIE for this type of Exceptional Dispatch is the minimum of the (a) Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP, (b) Energy Bid Price, (c) or the Default Energy Bid price if the resource has been mitigated through the MPM-RRD and for the Energy that does not have an Energy Bid price, or (d) the negotiated price as applicable to System Resources. All costs for decremental IIE associated with this type of Exceptional Dispatch are included in the total IIE Settlement Amount described in Section 11.5.1.1.

11.5.6.2.5 Allocation of Exceptional Dispatch Excess Cost Payments.

11.5.6.2.5.1 Allocation of Exceptional Dispatch Excess Cost Payments to PTOs.

The total Excess Cost Payments calculated pursuant to Section 11.5.6.2.3 for the IIE from Exceptional Dispatches instructed as a result of a transmission-related modeling limitation in the FNM as described in Section 34.9.3 in that Settlement Interval shall be charged to the Participating Transmission Owner in whose PTO Service Territory the transmission-related modeling limitation as described in Section 34.9.3 is located. If the modeling limitation affects more than one Participating TO, the Excess Cost Payments shall be allocated pro-rata in proportion to the Participating TOs' Transmission Revenue Requirements. Costs allocated to Participating TOs under this section shall constitute Reliability Services Costs.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 245 Superseding Original Sheet No. 245

11.5.8 Settlement for Emergency Assistance.

This Section 11.5.8 shall apply to Settlement for emergency assistance provided to or by the CAISO. In any case in which the CAISO has entered into an agreement regarding emergency assistance, which agreement has been accepted by FERC, the provisions of the agreement shall prevail over any conflicting provisions of this Section 11.5.8. Where the provisions of this Section 11.5.8 do not conflict with the provisions of the FERC-accepted agreement, the provisions of this Section 11.5.8 shall apply to the subject emergency assistance.

11.5.8.1 Settlement for Energy Purchased by the CAISO for System Emergency Conditions, to Avoid Market Interruption, or to Prevent or Relieve Imminent System Emergencies, Other than Exceptional Dispatch Energy.

The Settlement price for Energy that is delivered to the CAISO from a utility in another Balancing Authority Area as a result of a CAISO request pursuant to Section 42.1.5 or any other provision for assistance in System Emergency conditions, to avoid a Market Interruption, or to prevent or relieve an imminent System Emergency, other than Energy from an Exceptional Dispatch, shall be either (i) a negotiated price agreed upon by the CAISO and the seller or (ii) a price established by the seller for such emergency assistance in advance, as may be applicable. In the event no Settlement price is established prior to the delivery of the emergency Energy, the default Settlement price shall be the simple average of the relevant Dispatch Interval LMPs at the applicable Scheduling Point, plus all other charges applicable to imports to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, as specified in the CAISO Tariff. If the default Settlement price is determined by the seller not to compensate the seller for the value of the emergency Energy delivered to the CAISO, then the seller shall have the opportunity to provide the CAISO with cost support information demonstrating that a higher price is justified. The cost support information must be provided in writing to the CAISO within thirty (30) days following the date of the provision of emergency

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

First Revised Sheet No. 246 Superseding Original Sheet No. 246

assistance. The CAISO shall have the discretion to pay that higher price based on the seller's

justification of this higher price. The CAISO will provide notice of its determination whether to pay such a

higher price within thirty (30) days after receipt of the cost support information. Any dispute regarding the

CAISO's determination whether to pay a higher price for emergency assistance based on cost support

information shall be subject to the CAISO ADR Procedures. Payment by the CAISO for such emergency

assistance will be made in accordance with the Settlement process, billing cycle, and payment timeline

set forth in the CAISO Tariff. The costs for such emergency assistance, including the payment of a price

based on cost support information, will be settled in two payments: (1) the costs will first be settled at the

simple average of the relevant Dispatch Interval LMPs and included in the total IIE Settlement Amount as

described in Section 11.5.1.1; and (2) costs in excess of the simple average of the relevant Dispatch

Interval LMPs plus other applicable charges will be settled in accordance with Section 11.5.8.1.1. The

allocation of the amounts settled in accordance with Section 11.5.1.1 will be settled according to Section

11.5.4.2.

11.5.8.1.1 Settlement and Allocation of Excess Costs Payments for Emergency Energy Purchases, Other than Exceptional Dispatch Energy, to Scheduling Coordinators.

The Excess Cost Payments for emergency Energy purchased in the circumstances specified in Section

11.5.8.1 is calculated for each purchase for each Settlement Interval as the cost difference between the

Settlement amount calculated pursuant to Section 11.5.8.1 for the delivered purchase quantity and the

simple average of the relevant Dispatch Interval LMPs at the applicable Scheduling Point. The Excess

Cost Payments for emergency Energy purchased in the circumstances specified in Section 11.5.8.1 shall

be allocated in the same manner as specified in Section 11.5.6.2.5.2 for the allocation of the Excess Cost

Payments portion of payments for Exceptional Dispatches for emergency conditions.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

First Revised Sheet No. 256 Superseding Original Sheet No. 256

11.8.2.1.4 IFM Pumping Bid Cost.

For Pumped-Storage Hydro Units and Participating Load only, the IFM Pumping Bid Cost for the

applicable Settlement Interval shall be the Pumping Cost submitted to the CAISO in the IFM divided by

the number of Settlement Intervals in a Trading Hour. The Pumping Cost is negative. The Pumping Cost

is included in IFM Bid Cost computation for a Pumped-Storage Hydro Unit and Participating Load

committed by the IFM to pump or serve Load if it actually operates in pumping mode or serves Load in

that Settlement Interval. The IFM Energy Bid Cost for a Participating Load for any Settlement Interval is

set to zero for actual Energy consumed in excess of the Day-Ahead Schedule for Demand.

11.8.2.1.5 IFM Energy Bid Cost.

For any Settlement Interval, the IFM Energy Bid Cost for Bid Cost Recovery Eligible Resources, except

Participating Loads, shall be the integral of the relevant Energy Bid submitted to the IFM, if any, from the

higher of the registered Bid Cost Recovery Eligible Resource's Minimum Load and the Day-Ahead Total

Self-Schedule up to the relevant MWh scheduled in the Day-Ahead Schedule, divided by the number of

Settlement Intervals in a Trading Hour. The IFM Energy Bid Cost for Bid Cost Recovery Eligible

Resources, except Participating Loads, for any Settlement Interval is set to zero for any portion of the

Day-Ahead Schedule that is not delivered from the otherwise Bid Cost Recovery Eligible Resource that

has metered Generation below its Day-Ahead Schedule; any portion of the Day-Ahead Schedule that is

actually delivered remains eligible for IFM Energy Bid Cost Recovery.

11.8.2.1.6 IFM AS Bid Cost.

For any Settlement Interval, the IFM AS Bid Cost shall be the product of the IFM upward AS Award from

each accepted IFM AS Bid and the relevant AS Bid Price, divided by the number of Settlement Intervals

in a Trading Hour.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 262 Superseding Original Sheet No. 262

11.8.3.3 RUC Bid Cost Recovery for Metered Subsystem.

11.8.3.3.1 MSS Elected Gross Settlement.

For an MSS Operator that has elected gross Settlement, regardless of other MSS optional elections

(Load following or RUC opt-in or out), the RUC Bid Cost and the RUC Market Revenue are calculated

similarly to non-MSS resources on an individual resource basis as described in Sections 11.8.3.1 and

11.8.3.2, respectively.

11.8.3.3.2 MSS Elected Net Settlement.

For an MSS Operator that has elected net Settlement, regardless of other MSS optional elections (Load

following or RUC opt-in or out), the RUC Bid Costs and RUC Market Revenue are calculated on an MSS

level, consistent with the Energy Settlement. The RUC Bid Cost Shortfall or Surplus is also settled at the

MSS level as opposed to the individual resource level as is done for MSS Operators that have elected

gross Settlement.

11.8.4 RTM Bid Cost Recovery Amount.

For purposes of determining the RTM Unrecovered Bid Cost Uplift Payments as determined in Section

11.8.5, and for the purposes of allocation of Net RTM Bid Cost Uplift as described in Section 11.8.6.6 the

CAISO shall calculate the RTM Bid Cost Shortfall or the RTM Bid Cost Surplus as the algebraic difference

between the RTM Bid Cost and the RTM Market Revenues for each Settlement Interval. The RTM Bid

Costs shall be calculated pursuant to Section 11.8.4.1 and the RTM Market Revenues shall be calculated

pursuant to Section 11.8.4.2. The Energy subject to RTM Bid Cost Recovery is the actual Energy

delivered in the Real-Time associated with Instructed Imbalance Energy described in Section 11.5.1,

excluding Standard Ramping Energy, Residual Imbalance Energy, Exceptional Dispatch Energy, Derate

Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation, Regulation Energy and MSS Load Following Energy.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 266 Superseding Original Sheet No. 266

11.8.4.1.5 RTM Energy Bid Cost.

For any Settlement Interval, the RTM Energy Bid Cost for the Bid Cost Recovery Eligible Resource except Participating Loads shall be computed as the sum of the products of each Instructed Imbalance Energy (IIE) portion, except Standard Ramping Energy, Residual Imbalance Energy, Exceptional Dispatch Energy, Derate Energy, MSS Load Following Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation and Regulating Energy, with the relevant Energy Bid prices, if any, for each Dispatch Interval in the Settlement Interval. The RTM Energy Bid Cost for a Bid Cost Recovery Eligible Resource except Participating Loads for a Settlement Interval is set to zero for any undelivered Real-Time Instructed Imbalance Energy by the Bid Cost Recovery Eligible Resource. Any Uninstructed Imbalance Energy in excess of Instructed Imbalance Energy is also not eligible for Bid Cost Recovery.

11.8.4.1.6 RTM AS Bid Cost.

For each Settlement Interval, the Real-Time Market AS Bid Cost shall be the product of the average Real-Time Market AS Award from each accepted AS Bid submitted in the Settlement Interval for the Real-Time Market, reduced by any relevant tier-1 No Pay capacity in that Settlement Interval (but not below zero), with the relevant AS Bid price. The average Real-Time Market AS Award for a given AS in a Settlement Interval is the sum of the 15-minute Real-Time Market AS Awards in that Settlement Interval, each divided by the number of 15-minute Commitment Intervals in a Trading Hour and prorated to the duration of the Settlement Interval (10/15 if the Real-Time Market AS Award spans the entire Settlement Interval, or 5/15 if the Real-Time Market AS Award spans half the Settlement Interval).

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 267 Superseding Original Sheet No. 267

11.8.4.2 RTM Market Revenue Calculations.

11.8.4.2.1 For each Settlement Interval in a CAISO Real-Time Market Commitment Period, the RTM Market Revenue for a Bid Cost Recovery Eligible Resource is the algebraic sum of the following:

- from Minimum Load of Bid Cost Recovery Eligible Resources committed in RUC where for Pumped-Storage Hydro Units and Participating Load operating in the pumping mode or serving Load, the MWh is negative), except Standard Ramping Energy, Residual Imbalance Energy, Exceptional Dispatch Energy, Derate Energy, MSS Load following Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation and Regulation Energy, with the relevant Real-Time Market LMP, for each Dispatch Interval in the Settlement Interval;
- (b) The product of the Real-Time Market AS Award from each accepted Real-Time Market AS Bid in the Settlement Interval with the relevant ASMP, divided by the number of fifteen (15)-minute Commitment Intervals in a Trading Hour (4), and prorated to the duration of the Settlement Interval.
- (c) The relevant tier-1 No Pay charges for that Bid Cost Recovery Eligible Resource in that Settlement Interval.

11.8.4.2.2 For each Settlement Interval in a non-CAISO Real-Time Market Commitment Period, the Real-Time Market Revenue for a Bid Cost Recovery Eligible Resource is the algebraic sum of the following:

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

Superseding Original Sheet No. 268

First Revised Sheet No. 268

(a) The sum of the products of the Instructed Imbalance Energy (excluding the

Energy from Minimum Load of Bid Cost Recovery Eligible Resources committed

in RUC), except, HASP Self-Scheduled Energy, Standard Ramping Energy,

Residual Imbalance Energy, Exceptional Dispatch Energy, Derate Energy, MSS

Load Following Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation and Regulating Energy, with

the relevant Real-Time Market LMP, for each Dispatch Interval in the Settlement

Interval;

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

(b) The product of the Real-Time Market AS Award from each accepted Real-Time

Market AS Bid in the Settlement Interval with the relevant ASMP, divided by the

number of fifteen (15)-minute Commitment Intervals in a Trading Hour (4), and

prorated to the duration of the Settlement Interval.

(c) The relevant tier-1 No Pay charges for that Bid Cost Recovery Eligible Resource

in that Settlement Interval.

11.8.4.3 RTM Bid Cost Recovery for Metered Subsystems.

In addition to the exclusions to actual Energy delivered as provided in Section 11.8.4, for MSS resources,

the Energy subject to RTM Bid Cost Recovery also excludes Minimum Load Energy if the resource is not

committed by the CAISO in the Real-Time. As provided below, the RTM Bid Cost Recovery for MSS

Operators differs based on whether the MSS Operator has elected gross or net Settlement; except that

the calculation of the RTM Bid Costs and RTM Market Revenues for Ancillary Services will be as provided

in Sections 11.8.4.1.6 and 11.8.4.2 and does not vary on the basis of the MSS's election of gross or net

Settlement.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 338 Superseding Original Sheet No. 338

11.23 Penalties for Uninstructed Imbalance Energy.

Effective December 1, 2004, the CAISO shall not charge any Uninstructed Deviation Penalties pursuant to this Section 11.23 until FERC issues an order authorizing the CAISO to charge Uninstructed Deviation Penalties pursuant to this section. Beginning with Settlement Statements for the first Trading Day for which FERC authorizes the CAISO to charge Uninstructed Deviation Penalties pursuant to this section, the CAISO shall charge Scheduling Coordinators Uninstructed Deviation Penalties for Uninstructed Imbalance Energy resulting from resource deviations outside a Tolerance Band from their Dispatch Operating Point, for dispatched resources, or their Day-Ahead Schedule otherwise. The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty will be applied as follows:

- (a) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty for negative Uninstructed Imbalance Energy will be calculated and assessed in each Settlement Interval. The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty for positive Uninstructed Imbalance Energy will be calculated and assessed in each Settlement Interval in which the CAISO has not declared a staged System Emergency;
- (b) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty will apply to pre-Dispatched Bids from Non-Dynamic System Resources identified, when such a pre-Dispatch Instruction is issued more than forty (40) minutes prior to the relevant Operating Hour, subject to the following conditions: i) the Uninstructed Deviation Penalty will only apply to the pre-Dispatched amount of the Bid that is declined or not delivered, ii) the Uninstructed Deviation Penalty will not apply to a portion of a pre-Dispatched Bid that is subsequently not delivered at the direction of a Balancing Authority,

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 339 Superseding Original Sheet No. 339

including the CAISO, due to a curtailment of transmission capability or to prevent curtailment of native firm load occurring subsequent to issuing the pre-Dispatch Instruction, iii) the Uninstructed Deviation Penalty will not apply to Uninstructed Imbalance Energy resulting from declining subsequent intra-hour Dispatch Instructions. Dynamically scheduled Dynamic System Resources, to the extent they deviate from their Day-Ahead Schedule plus any Dispatch Instructions, will be subject to the Uninstructed Deviation Penalty.

(c) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty will not apply to Load or Curtailable Demand.

(d) **[NOT USED]**

- (e) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty will not apply to Regulatory Must-Run
 Generation or Participating Intermittent Resources that meet the scheduling
 obligations established in the Eligible Intermittent Resources Protocol in
 Appendix Q. No other applicable charges will be affected by this exemption.
 The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty also will not apply to Qualifying Facilities
 (QFs), including those that are dynamically scheduled, that have not executed
 and are not required pursuant to this CAISO Tariff to execute a Participating
 Generator Agreement (PGA) or Qualifying Facility Participating Generator
 Agreement.
- (f) All MSS resources designated as Load-following resources pursuant to Section 4.9.13.2 (regardless of gross or net settlement election) are exempt from Uninstructed Deviation Penalties in this Section 11.23. All MSS resources not designated as Load-following resources pursuant to Section 4.9.13.2 (regardless of gross or net Settlement election) are subject to Uninstructed Deviation Penalties in this Section 11.23.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

- (g) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty will apply to Generating Units providing
 Regulation and dynamically scheduled Dynamic System Resources providing
 Regulation to the extent that Uninstructed Deviations from such resources
 exceed each resource's actual Regulation range plus the applicable Tolerance
 Band. Resources providing Regulation and generating within their relevant
 Regulating range (or outside their relevant Regulating range as a direct result of
 CAISO control or instruction) will be deemed to have zero (0) deviations for
 purposes of the Uninstructed Deviation Penalty.
- (h) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty will be calculated and assessed for each resource individually, except as specified in Appendix R, which specifies when Uninstructed Deviations from individual resources may be aggregated.
- (i) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty shall not apply to any Uninstructed Imbalance Energy resulting from compliance with a directive by the CAISO or the Reliability Coordinator.

(j) [NOT USED]

- (k) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty will not apply when the applicable LMP is negative or zero.
- will be the amount of the Uninstructed Imbalance Energy in excess of the

 Tolerance Band multiplied by a price equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the
 corresponding LMP. The relevant LMP will be calculated for each UDP Location
 as the ten-minute weighted average price of two five-minute Dispatch Interval
 LMPs and the two five-minute optimal Instructed Imbalance Energy quantities.

 The net effect of the Uninstructed Deviation Penalty and the Settlement for
 positive Uninstructed Imbalance Energy beyond the Tolerance Band will be that
 the CAISO will not pay for such Energy.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 341 Superseding Original Sheet No. 341

(m) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty for negative Uninstructed Imbalance Energy will be the amount of the Uninstructed Imbalance Energy in excess of the Tolerance Band multiplied by a price equal to fifty percent (50%) of the corresponding Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP or, in the case of aggregated resources, the Settlement Interval Penalty Location Real-Time LMP.

- (n) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty will not apply to deviations from Energy delivered as part of a scheduled test so long as the test has been scheduled by the Scheduling Coordinator with the CAISO or the CAISO has initiated the test for the purposes of validating unit performance.
- (o) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty shall not apply to any excess Energy delivered from or any shortfall of Energy not delivered from an Exceptional Dispatch, involving a Generating Unit or a System Unit unless the CAISO and the supplier have agreed upon the time of, duration of, and amount of Energy to be delivered in the out-of-market transaction and the CAISO reflects the out-ofmarket transaction in its Real-Time Expected Energy calculations. The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty shall apply to Energy outside the Tolerance Band from out-of-market transactions with dynamically scheduled Dynamic System Resources to the extent the agreed-to Energy is not delivered or over-delivered, and to any Energy from Non-Dynamic System Resources to the extent the agreed-to Energy is not delivered if that over- or under-delivery was due to action taken by or not taken by the System Resource and not the result of action taken by a Balancing Authority due to a curtailment of firm transmission capability or to prevent curtailment of native firm load occurring subsequent to the out-of-market transaction.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

- (p) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty shall not apply to Generating Units and dynamically scheduled Dynamic System Resources with Uninstructed Imbalance Energy if the Generating Unit or dynamically scheduled Dynamic System Resource was physically incapable of delivering the expected Energy or if systems malfunctions prevent receipt of Dispatch Instructions, provided that the Generating Unit or dynamically scheduled Dynamic System Resource had notified the CAISO within thirty (30) minutes of the onset of an event that prevents the resource from performing its obligations. A Generating Unit or dynamically scheduled Dynamic System Resource must notify CAISO operations staff of its reasons for failing to deliver the Expected Energy in accordance with Section 9.3.10.6 and must provide information to the CAISO that verifies the reason the resource failed to comply with the Dispatch Instruction within forty-eight (48) hours of the Operating Hour in which the instruction is issued.
- (q) Adjustments to any Generating Unit, Curtailable Demand and System Resource
 Day-Ahead Schedules or HASP Intertie Schedules made in accordance with the
 terms of TRTC Instructions for Existing Contracts or TORs shall not be subject to
 Uninstructed Deviation Penalties. Valid changes to ETC Self-Schedules or TOR
 Self-Schedules submitted after the close of the HASP or the RTM shall not be
 subject to Uninstructed Deviation Penalties.
- (r) Any changes made to Schedules prior to the CAISO issuing HASP Intertie
 Schedules shall not be subject to Uninstructed Deviation Penalties.
- (s) Uninstructed Deviation Penalties shall not be charged to any deviation from a Dispatch Instruction that does not comply with the requirements set forth in this CAISO Tariff.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 343 Superseding Original Sheet No. 343

- (t) Amounts collected as Uninstructed Deviation Penalties shall first be assigned to reduce the portion of above-LMP costs that would otherwise be assigned pro rata to all Scheduling Coordinators in that Settlement Interval. Any remaining portion of amounts collected as Uninstructed Deviation Penalties after satisfying these sequential commitments shall be treated in accordance with Section 11.29.9.6.3.
- (u) Condition 2 RMR Units shall be exempt from Uninstructed Deviation Penalties.
- (v) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty shall not apply to positive Uninstructed Imbalance Energy attributable to operation below the Generating Unit's Minimum Operating Limit from the time the Generating Unit synchronizes to the grid to the earlier of (1) the Settlement Interval in which the Generating Unit produces a quantity of Energy that represents an average rate of delivery over such Settlement Interval in excess of the Generating Unit's Minimum Operating Limit plus the applicable Tolerance Band, or (2) the first Settlement Interval after the expiration of a period of time that begins at the end of the Settlement Interval in which the Generating Unit synchronizes to the grid and ends after the Generating Unit's maximum Start-Up Time as specified in the Master File. The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty shall not apply to any positive Uninstructed Imbalance Energy attributable to operation below the Generating Unit's Minimum Operating Limit for a duration equal to the minimum of two Settlement Intervals or the time specified in the Master File for the Generating Unit to disconnect from the grid after reaching its Minimum Operating Limit following either (1) the last Settlement Interval of an hour in which the Generating Unit had a non-zero Day-Ahead Schedule or (2) the Settlement Interval in which the Generating Unit is expected to reach its Minimum Operating Limit based on the applicable Ramp Rate when the CAISO instructed the Generating Unit to Shut-Down. The amount of

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

Superseding First Revised Sheet No. 344

Uninstructed Imbalance Energy exempted from the Uninstructed Deviation

Penalty shall not exceed the amount of the Generating Unit's Minimum Operating

Limit plus the applicable Tolerance Band. This exception from the application of

the Uninstructed Deviation Penalty does not apply to Dynamic System

Resources.

(w) UDP shall not apply to deviations by a Generating Unit that are attributable to

any automatic response to a system disturbance, including a response to correct

frequency decay, in accordance with Applicable Reliability Criteria for the

duration of the system disturbance, and for an additional five (5) minutes when a

Generating Unit's deviation is in the same direction as the mitigating frequency

response.

(x) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty shall not apply in the event that a malfunction

in a CAISO system application causes an infeasible Dispatch Instruction to be

communicated or prevents timely communication of a Dispatch Instruction or a

SLIC malfunction prevents a resource from reporting an event that affects the

resource's ability to deliver Energy.

(y) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty shall not apply to a failure to comply with a

manual Dispatch Instruction that is not confirmed by a Dispatch Instruction

transmitted through the CAISO's Automated Dispatch System.

(z) The Uninstructed Deviation Penalty shall not apply if a Dispatch Instruction is

validated after the start time of the instruction from the Settlement Interval in

which the Dispatch Instruction was first effective to the earliest Settlement

Interval, inclusive, in which the resource is able to respond to the Dispatch

Instruction.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 481 Superseding Original Sheet No. 481

22.4.2 Addresses.

Notices to the CAISO shall be sent to such address as shall be notified by the CAISO to Market

Participants from time to time. Notices issued by the CAISO to any Scheduling Coordinator shall be

delivered to the address of the representative designated to receive notices for the Scheduling

Coordinator included in the Scheduling Coordinator Agreement. Notices to any Market Participant other
than a Scheduling Coordinator shall be delivered by the CAISO to the address given to it by the Market

Participant. The CAISO and any Market Participant may at any time change their address for notice by
notifying the other party in writing.

22.4.3 Notice of Changes in Operating Procedures and Business Practice Manuals.

The CAISO will issue notice of any proposed changes to any Operating Procedure or Business Practice Manual. The effective date of any change or proposed change in any Operating Procedure or Business Practice Manual shall be established as part of the change management process set forth in Section 22.11 but will be no earlier than at least thirty (30) days from the date of publication of a Market Notice describing the change or proposed change, unless: (1) a different notice period is specified by state or federal law, (2) the change is reasonably required to address an emergency affecting the CAISO Controlled Grid or its operations, or (3) the change is to a provision of a Business Practice Manual that is necessitated by emergency circumstances specific to that Business Practice Manual. Such circumstances include, but are not limited to, any change necessary to ensure that the Business Practice Manual is consistent with the CAISO Tariff or any applicable law, regulation, NERC or WECC operating policies, guidelines and standards, or FERC order, in which case the CAISO shall give Market Participants as much notice as is reasonably practicable. Any notices issued under this provision shall be issued in accordance with the procedures set out in Section 22.11.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 484 Superseding Original Sheet No. 484

(c) To the extent that the CAISO suffers any loss as a result of being unable to enforce any indemnity as a result of such enforcement being in violation of federal laws or regulations to which it is entitled under the CAISO Tariff under this Section or otherwise, it shall be entitled to recover such loss through the Grid Management Charge.

22.10 [NOT USED]

22.11 Operating Procedures and Business Practice Manuals Development and Amendment Process.

The CAISO shall prepare, maintain, promulgate and update the Operating Procedures and Business Practice Manuals. The Operating Procedures and Business Practice Manuals shall be consistent with the CAISO Tariff, and any NERC or WECC operating policies, guidelines and standards, and shall be available on the CAISO Website, provided that the CAISO shall not make available on the CAISO Website any portions of CAISO Operating Procedures that are confidential. The CAISO shall establish a stakeholder process as set forth in Section 22.11.1 and in a Business Practice Manual for BPM change management in order to ensure that all affected parties have an opportunity to comment on and shape the proposed nature of any proposed changes to any Business Practice Manual. Under that process, the CAISO and stakeholders shall consider whether any amendments to the CAISO Tariff are necessary in order to ensure the consistency of the CAISO Tariff and the Business Practice Manuals.

22.11.1 Process for Revisions of Business Practice Manuals.

Revisions of Business Practice Manuals shall be made in accordance with the process set forth in this Section 22.11.1, provided that the details and procedures for submittal and consideration of a BPM Proposed Revision Request (PRR) and other elements of the BPM change management process shall be set forth in a separate Business Practice Manual for BPM change management.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

Superseding Original Sheet No. 485

First Revised Sheet No. 485

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

22.11.1.1 BPM Proposed Revision Request Submittal.

A request to make any change to a BPM, including any attachments thereto that are incorporated by

reference, and any changes to the BPM PRR must be initiated through a submittal of a BPM PRR, except

as provided in Section 22.4.3 or 22.11.1.2.

The following entities may submit a BPM PRR:

(1) Any Market Participant;

(2) Local Regulatory Authority;

(3) CAISO management; and

(4) Any other entity that meets the following qualifications:

(a) The entity must represent a Market Participant in dealings with the

CAISO or operate in the CAISO Markets, and

(b) The entity must demonstrate that the entity (or those it represents) is

affected by the subject section(s) of the BPM.

BPM PRRs shall be submitted electronically to the CAISO in the form and manner described in the

Business Practice Manual for BPM change management. The BPM PRR shall include a description of

the requested revision, the reason for the suggested change, the impacts and benefits of the suggested

change (including any impact on the CAISO Market structure, CAISO operations and Market Participants,

to the extent the submitter may know this information), a list of affected BPM sections and subsections,

general administrative information, suggested language for the requested revision, and for BPM PRRs

submitted by CAISO management, a BPM PRR impact analysis.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 486 Superseding Original Sheet No. 486

22.11.1.2 BPM Proposed Revision Request Processing.

The CAISO shall review the BPM PRR for completeness and shall notify the submitter if the BPM PRR is incomplete, including the reasons for its determination, based upon the timelines provided in the BPM for BPM change management. An incomplete BPM PRR shall not receive further consideration until it is completed. In order to pursue the revision requested, a submitter must submit a completed version of the BPM PRR with the deficiencies corrected. If a submitted BPM PRR is complete or once a BPM PRR is corrected, the CAISO shall post the completed or corrected BPM PRR to the CAISO Website and publish a Market Notice of such posting.

22.11.1.3 BPM PRR Coordinator.

The consideration and disposition of BPM PRRs shall be led by a BPM change management coordinator. The BPM change management coordinator shall be an identified employee of the CAISO with responsibility for ensuring that BPM PRRs are processed and reviewed in accordance with the provisions of the Business Practice Manual for BPM change management. The BPM change management coordinator shall also be responsible for submitting a report to the CAISO Governing Board at each regularly scheduled CAISO Governing Board meeting that includes (1) the status of pending BPM PRRs, (2) a summary of proposed revisions that have been accepted, and (3) a summary of proposed revisions that have been rejected and the reason(s) that the proposed revisions have been rejected, including the positions of stakeholders, and any decision on appeal as provided in Section 22.11.1.6.

22.11.1.4 Types and Treatment of BPM PRRs.

Each BPM PRR shall be preliminarily classified by the BPM change management coordinator as either a Category A revision or a Category B or C revision. After further consultation with internal CAISO business units, the submitter (if not the CAISO), and representatives from potentially affected stakeholders in the BPM PRR review process, the BPM change management coordinator may reclassify the BPM PRR as appropriate. Types of BPM PRRs include:

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

Superseding Original Sheet No. 487

First Revised Sheet No. 487

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

(a) Category A – Clarifications of existing BPM language, grammatical errors, and

revisions with minor significance.

In the event the CAISO receives no comments or no adverse comments within the specified time frame,

the CAISO may incorporate the proposed changes into the BPM, if reasonably acceptable to the CAISO,

before the next BPM change management meeting. These changes may be placed into effect at any

time after the comment period expires.

(b) Category B – Revisions of substantial significance or revisions that require

changes to CAISO or Market Participants' systems.

For proposals falling in this category, the CAISO will, unless urgent or emergency circumstances exist,

delay implementation until after the next regularly scheduled BPM change management meeting even if

no comments or no adverse comments are received. In the case of a proposed change affecting the

CAISO's systems, the CAISO will prepare a BPM PRR impact analysis, if not already prepared, in

accordance with the procedures set forth in the Business Practice Manual. The CAISO shall post the

completed BPM PRR impact analysis to the CAISO Website and publish a Market Notice of such posting.

Comments may be filed concerning the BPM PRR impact analysis. The comments must be delivered

electronically to the CAISO within ten (10) Business Days or otherwise as specified in a Market Notice.

Comments shall be posted to the CAISO Website.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

(c) Category C – Revisions implementing significant new CAISO policies and/or potentially requiring revisions to the CAISO Tariff.

Proposed revisions implementing significant new CAISO policies may have implications outside the scope of a proposed change to a BPM and may require alternative treatment. For proposals falling in this category, the CAISO will, unless urgent or emergency circumstances exist, delay implementation until after the next regularly scheduled BPM change management meeting. If the CAISO concludes that a Category C BPM PRR cannot be implemented without an amendment to the CAISO Tariff, the CAISO will provide a written explanation and indicate its support for or opposition to the need or appropriateness of a tariff amendment. The written explanation shall also indicate a lead department or business unit within the CAISO that would have responsibility for leading any stakeholder process necessary for the tariff amendment.

22.11.1.5 BPM PRR Review and Action.

Any interested stakeholder or CAISO management may comment on a posted BPM PRR in accordance with the process set forth in the Business Practice Manual for BPM change management. To receive consideration, comments must be delivered electronically to the CAISO within ten (10) Business Days, or within any shorter period determined to be necessary or appropriate pursuant to the provisions of either Sections 22.11.1.7 or 22.11.1.8. Comments shall be posted to the CAISO Website. After their

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 488 Superseding Original Sheet No. 488

comment periods have expired, BPM PRRs shall be considered by the CAISO at a regularly established monthly public meeting or specially-noticed meeting dedicated to that purpose. Following any meeting to consider pending BPM PRRs and subject to the standards set forth in Section 22.11.1.4, the BPM change management coordinator shall issue a recommendation for action on each pending BPM PRR and shall publish for public comment a report on the recommendation in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Business Practice Manual for BPM change management. The report shall be sufficiently detailed and shall be published in a timeframe that allows interested stakeholders a meaningful opportunity to provide written comment. The BPM change management coordinator shall publish a final decision on any BPM PRR after considering stakeholder comments and all relevant impacts on their business needs and after the PRR recommendation report and comments concerning it have been discussed at a BPM change management meeting, in accordance with procedures set forth in the Business Practice Manual for BPM change management.

22.11.1.6 Right to Appeal to CAISO.

Any entity eligible to submit a BPM PRR under Section 22.11.1.1 may, within ten (10) Business Days, appeal in writing the outcome of any BPM PRR to a committee comprising at least three CAISO executives established in accordance with procedures set forth in the Business Practice Manual for BPM change management. The CAISO will establish a standing meeting time for the BPM appeals committee to be used if needed and will establish the composition of the BPM appeals committee, including alternates in the case of schedule or other conflicts. Standing meeting dates and the BPM appeals committee composition will be established at least three months in advance. The CAISO may change the meeting time with ten (10) Business Days notice if required to accommodate schedules of the members of the BPM appeals committee. The executive sponsor of a BPM PRR may not sit in review of any appeal of a final decision regarding that same BPM PRR but may participate in and be present during the public discussion of any appeal. The CAISO committee will review the appeal and publish its decision to

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 489 Superseding Original Sheet No. 489

the appealing party and to the CAISO Website. If not satisfied with the decision on appeal, the appellant may raise concerns it may have with the CAISO Governing Board at the next regularly scheduled Board meeting through the public comment period or through prior letter to the Governing Board.

22.11.1.7 CAISO Expedited Action in Emergency Circumstances.

Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 22.11.1.1, the CAISO may take expedited action to change or clarify a provision of a BPM under emergency circumstances. In addition to the circumstances identified in Section 22.4.3, emergency circumstances exist whenever the CAISO determines in good faith that (i) failure to implement a change or clarification to a BPM on an expedited basis would substantially and adversely affect System Reliability or security or the competitiveness of the CAISO Markets, and (ii) there is insufficient time to comply with the BPM PRR procedures set forth in Section 22.11.1. The CAISO shall take reasonable steps to communicate with Market Participants and any other directly-affected entities prior to taking expedited action if practicable. If the CAISO takes expedited action to change or clarify a provision of a BPM in emergency circumstances, the CAISO shall promptly issue a Market Notice and submit a BPM PRR to examine the necessity of the change and its impacts.

22.11.1.8 Urgent Requests by Entities for BPM Revisions.

An entity submitting a BPM PRR may request that the BPM PRR be considered on an urgent basis and may be required to show reasonable necessity for such an urgent request. The BPM change management coordinator may designate a BPM PRR for urgent consideration if the BPM change management coordinator determines that such BPM PRR (1) requires immediate attention due to (i) serious concerns about CAISO System Reliability or market operations under the unmodified language or (ii) the crucial nature of Settlement activity conducted pursuant to any Settlement formula, and (2) is of a nature that allows for rapid implementation without negative consequences to the reliability and integrity of the CAISO's system or market operations. The BPM change management coordinator shall consider

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 490 Superseding Original Sheet No. 490

the urgent BPM PRR at its next regularly scheduled meeting, or at a special meeting called by the BPM change management coordinator to consider the urgent BPM PRR. Any revisions to a BPM that take effect pursuant to an urgent BPM PRR shall be subject to a BPM PRR impact analysis.

22.11.2 Changes to Business Practice Manual for BPM Change Management.

Any changes to the Business Practice Manual for BPM change management shall require CAISO Governing Board approval.

22.12 [Not Used]

22.13 Scheduling Responsibilities and Obligations.

Nothing in this CAISO Tariff is intended to permit or require the violation of federal or California law concerning hydro-generation and Dispatch, including but not limited to fish release requirements, minimum and maximum dam reservoir levels for flood control purposes, and in-stream flow levels. In carrying out its functions, the CAISO will comply with and will have the necessary authority to give instructions to Participating TOs and Market Participants to enable it to comply with requirements of environmental legislation and environmental agencies having authority over the CAISO in relation to Environmental Dispatch and will expect that submitted Bids, including Self-Schedules will support compliance with the requirements of environmental legislation and environmental agencies having authority over Generators in relation to Environmental Dispatch. In contracting for Ancillary Services and Imbalance Energy the CAISO will not act as principal but as agent for and on behalf of the relevant Scheduling Coordinators.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 551 Superseding Original Sheet No. 551

considers final Inter-SC Trades of Energy for the DAM in determining whether the HASP Physical Trades are physically supported individually or in the aggregate. Specifically, the CAISO determines whether the Generating Unit's submitted Bid in HASP is greater than or equal to the sum of: (1) final Day-Ahead Inter-SC Trades of Energy at that location and (2) the additional Inter-SC Trades of Energy for the HASP at that location. If the amounts are greater than the Generating Unit's submitted Bids in HASP, the CAISO will adjust down on a prorated basis the HASP Physical Trades. Final Day-Ahead Physical Trades are not adjusted in the HASP pre-market validation. The CAISO does not perform any Settlement on

Physical Trade quantities (MWh) that are curtailed during Physical Trade pre-market validation.

28.1.6.3 Physical Trade Post-Market Confirmation.

The CAISO conducts post-market confirmation of Physical Trades that pass pre-market validation in Section 28.1.6.2 after the Market Clearing and the market results are posted to ensure that the Generating Unit has a Schedule that can support all of the Physical Trades. During the post-market confirmation process, the MWh quantity of Physical Trades that passed the CAISO's pre-market validation process may be reduced if the Generating Unit supporting the Physical Trades has a Schedule that is below the quantity of Physical Trades at that Location. The MWh quantities of Physical Trades that are reduced during the post-market confirmation process are settled at the Existing Zone Generation Trading Hub price for the Existing Zone associated with the Generating Unit identified in the Inter-SC Trade of Energy. The portion of Physical Trades that remains intact will be settled at the LMP for the identified PNode for the Generating Unit.

28.1.6.4 Inter-SC Trades of Energy at Aggregated Pricing Nodes.

Inter-SC Trades of Energy at Aggregated Pricing Nodes that are also defined Trading Hubs or Default LAPs are subject to the general validation procedures in Section 28.1.5 but are not subject to the three-stage physical validation procedures for Physical Trades described in Section 28.1.6 above.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 565 Superseding Original Sheet No. 565

30. BIDS, INCLUDING SELF-SCHEDULES, SUBMISSION FOR ALL CAISO MARKETS

30.1 Bids, Including Self-Schedules.

Scheduling Coordinators shall submit Bids to participate in the CAISO Markets, as well as any Self-Schedules, ETC Self-Schedules, TOR Self-Schedules, or Self-Provided Ancillary Services. Bidding rules for each type of resource are contained in this Section 30 and additional specifications regarding bidding practices are contained in the Business Practice Manuals posted on the CAISO Website. Bids will consist of various components described in this Section 30 through which the Scheduling Coordinator provides information regarding the parameters and conditions pursuant to which the Bid may be optimized by the CAISO Markets.

30.1.1 Day-Ahead Market.

Bids submitted in the DAM apply to the twenty-four (24) hours of the next Trading Day (23 or 25 hours on the Daylight Savings transition days) and are used in both the IFM and RUC. Bids for the Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserve, and Non-Spinning Reserve service in the Day-Ahead Market must be received by Market Close for the Day-Ahead Market. The Bids shall include information for each of the twenty-four (24) Settlement Periods of the Trading Day. Failure to provide the information within the stated time frame shall result in the Bids being declared invalid by the CAISO. Scheduling Coordinators may submit Bids for the DAM as early as seven (7) days ahead of the targeted Trading Day.

30.1.2 HASP and Real-Time Market.

Bids submitted in the HASP apply to a single Trading Hour and are used in the HASP and the RTM. The CAISO will require Scheduling Coordinators to honor their Day-Ahead Ancillary Services Awards when submitting Ancillary Services Bids in the HASP. Bids for Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserve, and Non-Spinning Reserve service for each Settlement Period must be received at least seventy-five minutes prior to the commencement of that Settlement Period. The Bids shall include information for only the relevant Settlement Period. Failure to provide the information within the stated time frame shall result in the Bids being declared invalid by the CAISO.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 568 Superseding Original Sheet No. 568

submitted starting from the time of publication, at 1:00 p.m. on the day preceding

the Trading Day, of DAM results for the Trading Day, and ending seventy-five

(75) minutes prior to each applicable Trading Hour in the RTM. The CAISO will

not accept any Energy or Ancillary Services Bids for the following Trading Day

between 10:00 a.m. on the day preceding the Trading Day and the publication, at

1:00 p.m. on the day preceding the Trading Day, of DAM results for the Trading

Day;

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

(b) Bid prices submitted by Scheduling Coordinator for Energy accepted and cleared

in the IFM and scheduled in the Day-Ahead Schedule cannot be decreased. Bid

prices for Energy submitted but not scheduled in the Day-Ahead Schedule may

be increased or decreased in the HASP. Incremental Bid prices for Energy

associated with Day-Ahead AS or RUC Awards in Bids submitted to the HASP

may be revised. Scheduling Coordinators may revise ETC Self-Schedules for

Supply only in the HASP to the extent such a change is consistent with TRTC

Instructions provided to the CAISO by the Participating TO in accordance with

Section 16. Scheduling Coordinators may revise TOR Self-Schedules for Supply

only in the HASP to the extent such a change is consistent with TRTC

Instructions provided to the CAISO by the Non-Participating TO in accordance

with Section 17. Energy associated with awarded Ancillary Services capacity

cannot be offered in the HASP or Real-Time Market separate and apart from the

awarded Ancillary Services capacity;

(c) Scheduling Coordinators may submit Energy, AS and RUC Bids in the DAM that

are different for each Trading Hour of the Trading Day;

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 569 Superseding Original Sheet No. 569

(d) Bids for Energy or capacity that are submitted to one CAISO Market, but are not accepted in that market are no longer a binding commitment and Scheduling Coordinators may submit Bids in a subsequent CAISO Market at a different price; and

(e) The CAISO shall be entitled to take all reasonable measures to verify that Scheduling Coordinators meet the technical and financial criteria set forth in Section 4.5.1 and the accuracy of information submitted to the CAISO pursuant to this Section 30.

30.5.2 Supply Bids.

30.5.2.1 Common Elements for Supply Bids.

In addition to the resource-specific Bid requirements of this Section, all Supply Bids must contain the following components: Scheduling Coordinator ID Code; Resource ID; Resource Location; PNode or Aggregated Pricing Node as applicable; Energy Bid Curve; Self-Schedule component; Ancillary Services Bid; RUC Availability Bid; the Market to which the Bid applies; Trading Day to which the Bid applies; Priority Type (if any). Supply Bids offered in the CAISO Markets must be monotonically increasing. Energy Bids in the RTM must also contain a Bid for Ancillary Services to the extent the resource is certified and capable of providing Ancillary Service in the RTM up to the registered certified capacity for that Ancillary Service less any Day-Ahead Ancillary Services Awards.

30.5.2.2 Supply Bids for Participating Generators.

In addition to the common elements listed in Section 30.5.2.1, Supply Bids for Participating Generators shall contain the following components: Start-Up Bid, Minimum Load Bid, Ramp Rate, Minimum and Maximum Operating Limits; Energy Limit, Regulatory Must-Take/Must-Run Generation; Contingency Flag; and Contract Reference Number (if any). Supply Bids for Physical Scheduling Plants and System

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 570 Superseding Original Sheet No. 570

Units must include the Generation Distribution Factors. If the Scheduling Coordinator has not submitted the Generation Distribution Factors applicable for the Bid, the CAISO will use default Generation Distribution Factors. All Generation Distribution Factors used by the CAISO will be normalized based on Outage data that is available to the automated market systems. Combined-cycle Generating Units may only be registered under a single Resource ID.

30.5.2.3 Supply Bids for Participating Loads, Including Pumped-Storage Hydro Units and Aggregated Participating Loads.

In addition to the common elements listed in Section 30.5.2.1, Scheduling Coordinators submitting Supply Bids for Participating Loads, which includes Pumping Load or Pumped-Storage Hydro Units, may include the following components: Pumping Load (MW), Minimum Load Bid (Generation mode only of a Pumped-Storage Hydro Unit), Load Distribution Factor, Ramp Rate, Energy Limit (Generation mode only of a Pumped-Storage Hydro Unit), Pumping Cost, and Pump Shut-Down Costs. If no values for Pumping Cost or Pump Shut-Down Costs are submitted, the CAISO will generate these Bid components based on values in the Master File. Scheduling Coordinators may only submit Supply Bids for Aggregated Participating Loads by using a Generating Unit or Physical Scheduling Plant Resource ID for the Demand reduction capacity represented by the Aggregated Participating Load as set forth in a Business Practice Manual. The CAISO will use Generation Distribution Factors provided by the Scheduling Coordinator for the Aggregated Participating Load.

30.5.2.4 Supply Bids for System Resources.

In addition to the common elements listed in Section 30.5.2.1, Supply Bids for System Resources shall also contain: the relevant Ramp Rate; Start-Up Costs; and Minimum Load Costs. Resource-Specific System Resources may elect the Proxy Cost option or Registered Cost option for Start-Up Costs and Minimum Load Costs as provided in Section 30.4. Other System Resources are not eligible to recover Start-Up Costs and Minimum Load Costs. Resource-Specific System Resources are eligible to

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 572 Superseding Original Sheet No. 572

Forecast for the MSS. For an MSS that elects Load following, the MSS Operator shall also self-schedule or bid Supply to match the Demand Forecast. All Bids for MSSs must be identify each Generating Unit on an individual unit basis or a System Unit. For an MSS that elects Load following consistent with Section 4.9.13.2, the Scheduling Coordinator for the MSS Operator must include the following additional information with its Bids: the Generating Unit(s) that are Load following; the range of the Generating Unit(s) being reserved for Load following; whether the quantity of Load following capacity is either up or down; and, if there are multiple Generating Units in the MSS, the priority list or distribution factors among the Generating Units. The CAISO will not dispatch the resource within the range declared as Load following capacity, leaving that capacity entirely available for the MSS to dispatch. The CAISO uses this information in the IFM runs and the RUC to simulate MSS Load following. The Scheduling Coordinator for the MSS Operator may change these characteristics through the Bid submission process in the HASP. If the Load following resource is also an RMR Unit, the MSS Operator must not specify the Maximum Net Dependable Capacity specified in the RMR Contract as Load following up or down capacity to allow the CAISO to access such capacity for RMR Dispatch.

30.5.2.6 Ancillary Services Bids.

There are four distinct Ancillary Services: Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserve and Non-Spinning Reserve. Participating Generators are eligible to provide all Ancillary Services. Dynamic System Resources are eligible to provide Operating Reserves and Regulation. Non-Dynamic System Resources are eligible to provide Operating Reserves only. No System Resource, including Dynamic Resource-Specific System Resources, can be used for self-provision of Ancillary Services. All System Resources, including Dynamic Resource-Specific System Resources and Non-Dynamic Resource-Specific System Resources, will be charged the Shadow Price as prescribed in Section 11.10. Participating Loads are eligible to provide Non-Spinning Reserve only. A Scheduling Coordinator may submit Ancillary Services Bids for Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserve, and Non-Spinning Reserve for the same capacity by providing a separate price in

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 573 Superseding Original Sheet No. 573

\$/MW per hour as desired for each Ancillary Service. The Bid for each Ancillary Services is a single Bid segment. Only resources certified by the CAISO as capable of providing Ancillary Services are eligible to provide Ancillary Services. In addition to the common elements listed in Section 30.5.2.1, all Ancillary Services Bid components of a Supply Bid must contain the following: (1) the type of Ancillary Service for which a Bid is being submitted; (2) Ramp Rate (Operating Reserve Ramp Rate and regulating Ramp Rate, if applicable); (3) Distribution Curve for Physical Scheduling Plant or System Unit; and (4) Maximum Operating Limit (MOLmax) and Minimum Operating Limit (MOLmin). An Ancillary Services Bid submitted to the Day-Ahead Market when submitted to the Day-Ahead Market may be, but is not required to be, accompanied by an Energy Bid that covers the capacity offered for the Ancillary Service. Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Services submitted to the Day-Ahead Market when submitted to the Day-Ahead Market may be, but are not required to be, accompanied by an Energy Bid that covers the capacity to be self-provided; provided, however, that such an Energy Bid shall be submitted prior to the close of the Real-Time Market for the day immediately following the Day-Ahead Market in which the Ancillary Service Bid was submitted if the Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service is qualified as specified in Section 8.6. Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Services submitted in the Day-Ahead Market must be accompanied by a Self-Schedule. When submitting Ancillary Service Bids in the Real-Time, Scheduling Coordinators for resources that either have been awarded or self-provide Spinning Reserve or Non-Spinning Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market must submit an Energy Bid for at least the awarded or self-provided Spinning Reserve or Non-Spinning Reserve capacity, otherwise the CAISO will apply the Bid validation rules described in Section 30.9. As provided in Section 30.5.2.6.4, a Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service shall contain all of the requirements of a Bid for Ancillary Services with the exception of Ancillary Service Bid price information. In addition, Scheduling Coordinators must comply with the Ancillary Services requirements of Section 8.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 574 Superseding Original Sheet No. 574

30.5.2.6.1 Regulation Up or Regulation Down Bid Information.

In the case of Regulation Up or Regulation Down, the Ancillary Services Bid must also contain: (a) the upward and downward range of generating capacity over which the resource is willing to provide Regulation within a range from a minimum of ten (10) minutes to a maximum of thirty (30) minutes; and (b) the Bid price of the capacity reservation, stated separately for Regulation Up and Regulation Down (\$/MW). In the case of Regulation Up or Regulation Down from Dynamic System Resources, the Ancillary Services Bid must also contain: (a) the Scheduling Point (the name), (b) Interchange ID code of the selling entity, (c) external Control Area ID, (d) Schedule ID (NERC ID number), and (e) the Contract Reference Number, if applicable. Ancillary Services Bids submitted to the Real-Time Market for Regulation need not be accompanied by an Energy Bid that covers the Ancillary Services capacity being offered into the Real-Time Market.

30.5.2.6.2 Spinning Reserve Capacity Bid Information.

In the case of Spinning Reserve capacity, the Ancillary Services Bid must also contain: (a) MW of additional capability synchronized to the system, immediately responsive to system frequency, and available within ten (10) minutes; (b) Bid price of capacity reservation, and (c) an indication whether the capacity reserved would be available to supply Imbalance Energy only in the event of the occurrence of an unplanned Outage, a Contingency or an imminent or actual System Emergency (Contingency Flag). In the case of Spinning Reserve capacity from System Resources, the Ancillary Services Bid must also contain: (a) Interchange ID code of the selling entity, (b) Schedule ID (NERC ID number, and (c) a Contract Reference Number, if applicable. Ancillary Services Bids and Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Services submitted to the Real-Time Market for Spinning Reserves must also submit an Energy Bid that covers the Ancillary Services capacity being offered into the Real-Time Market.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 575 Superseding Original Sheet No. 575

30.5.2.6.3 Non-Spinning Reserve Capacity.

In the case of Non-Spinning Reserve, the Ancillary Service Bid must also contain: (a) the MW capability available within ten (10) minutes; (b) the Bid price of the capacity reservation; (c) time of synchronization following notification (minutes); and (d) an indication whether the capacity reserved would be available to supply Imbalance Energy only in the event of the occurrence of an unplanned Outage, a Contingency or an imminent or actual System Emergency (Contingency Flag). In the case of Non-Spinning Reserve Capacity from System Resources, the Ancillary Services Bid must also contain: (a) Interchange ID code of the selling entity, (b) Schedule ID (NERC ID number); and (c) a Contract Reference Number, if applicable. In the case of Non-Spinning Reserve Capacity from Participating Load within the CAISO Control Area, the Ancillary Service Bid must also contain: (a) a Load identification name and Location Code. (b) Demand reduction available within ten (10) minutes. (c) time to interruption following notification (minutes), and (d) maximum allowable curtailment duration (hour). In the case of Aggregated Participating Load, Scheduling Coordinators must submit Bids using a Generating Unit or Physical Scheduling Plant Resource ID for the Demand reduction capacity of the Aggregated Participating Load through a Bid to provide Non-Spinning Reserve or a Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service for Non-Spinning Reserve. Ancillary Services Bids and Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Services submitted to the Real-Time Market for Non-Spinning Reserves must also submit an Energy Bid that covers the Ancillary Services capacity being offered into the Real-Time Market.

30.5.2.6.4 Additional Rules For Self-Provided Ancillary Services.

Scheduling Coordinators electing to self-provide Ancillary Services shall supply the information referred to in this Section 30.5 in relation to each Ancillary Service to be self-provided, excluding the capacity price information, but including the name of the trading Scheduling Coordinator in the case of Inter-Scheduling Coordinator Ancillary Service Trades. The portion of the single Energy Bid that corresponds to the high end of the resource's operating range, shall be allocated to any awarded or Self-Provided Ancillary

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Second Revised Sheet No. 576

Superseding First Revised Sheet No. 576

Services in the following order from higher to lower capacity: (a) Regulation Up; (b) Spinning Reserve;

and (c) Non-Spinning Reserve. For resources providing Regulation Up, the upper regulating limit shall be

used if it is lower than the highest operating limit. The remaining portion of the Energy Bid (i.e. that

portion not associated with capacity committed to provide Ancillary Services) shall constitute a Bid to

provide Energy.

30.5.2.7 RUC Availability Bids.

Scheduling Coordinators may submit RUC Availability Bids for specific Generating Units in the DAM;

however, Scheduling Coordinators for Resource Adequacy Capacity or ICPM Capacity must submit RUC

Availability Bids for that capacity to the extent that the capacity has not been submitted in a Self-Schedule

or already been committed to provide Energy or capacity in the IFM. Capacity that does not have Bids for

Supply of Energy in the IFM will not be eligible to participate in the RUC process. The RUC Availability

Bid component is MW-quantity of non-Resource Adequacy Capacity in \$/MW per hour, and \$0/MW for

Resource Adequacy Capacity or ICPM Capacity.

30.5.3 Demand Bids.

Each Scheduling Coordinator representing Demand, including Non-Participating Load and Aggregated

Participating Load, shall submit Bids indicating the hourly quantity of Energy in MWh that it intends to

purchase in the IFM for each Trading Hour of the Trading Day. Scheduling Coordinators must submit

Demand Bids, including Self Schedules, for CAISO Demand at Load Aggregation Points except as

provided in Section 30.5.3.2. Scheduling Coordinators must submit a zero RUC Availability Bid for the

portion of their qualified Resource Adequacy Capacity. If submitting Self-Schedules at Scheduling Points

for export in the IFM, the Scheduling Coordinator shall indicate whether or not the export is served from

Generation from Resource Adequacy Capacity, and if submitting Self-Schedules at Scheduling Points for

export in HASP the Scheduling Coordinator shall indicate whether or not the export is served from

Generation from Resource Adequacy Capacity or RUC Capacity. The procedure for identifying the non-

Resource Adequacy Capacity or non-RUC Capacity is specified in the Business Practice Manuals.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 577 Superseding Original Sheet No. 577

30.5.3.1 Demand Bids Components.

Demand Bids must have the following components: Scheduling Coordinator ID code; a Demand Bid curve that is a monotonically decreasing staircase function of no more than ten (10) segments defined by eleven (11) ordered pairs of MW and \$/MWh; Location Code for the LAP, Custom LAP or PNode, as applicable; and hourly scheduled MWh within the range of the Bid curve, including any zero values, for each Settlement Period of the Trading Day.

30.5.3.2 Exceptions to Requirement for Submission of Demand Bids and Settlement at the LAP.

The following are exceptions to the requirement that Demand Bids be submitted and settled at the LAP:

- (a) ETC or TOR Self-Schedules submitted consistent with the submitted TRTCInstructions;
- (b) Participating Load and Aggregated Participating Load Bids for Supply and Demand may be submitted and settled at a PNode or Custom LAP, as appropriate; and
- (c) Export Bids are submitted and settled at Scheduling Points, which do not constitute a LAP.

30.5.4 Wheeling Through Transactions.

A Wheeling Through transaction consists of an Export Bid and an Import Bid that includes: matching Self-Schedules or Economic Bids (i.e. the Export Bid and Import Bid pair must have matching MW quantities for each Trading Hour) and the same Wheeling reference (a unique identifier for each Wheeling Through transaction). If the Wheeling reference does not match at the time the relevant market closes, the Wheeling Through transaction will be treated as separate Export Bids and Import Bids, as appropriate. If the MW quantities of the Wheel Through transaction do not match at the time the relevant market closes, the Wheel Through transaction will be considered the minimum of the import and export MW quantities submitted.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

Superseding Original Sheet No. 578

First Revised Sheet No. 578

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

30.6 [NOT USED]

30.7 Bid Validation.

The CAISO shall validate submitted Bids pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Section 30.7 and the

rules set forth in the Business Practice Manuals.

30.7.1 Scheduling Coordinator Access.

Each Scheduling Coordinator will be provided access to the CAISO's secure communication system to

submit, modify and cancel Bids prior to the close of both the DAM and HASP, as specified in Section

30.5.1. The CAISO shall provide information regarding submitted Bids including, but not be limited to, the

following: (i) notification of acceptance; (ii) notification of validation; (iii) notification of rejection; (iv)

notification of status; (v) notification of submission error(s); and (vi) default modification or generation of

Bids as further provided below, if any, on behalf of Scheduling Coordinators.

30.7.2 Timing of CAISO Validation.

Once a Bid is submitted to the CAISO Markets, the Bid is available for validation, which is conducted in

multiple steps. Clean Bids will be generated after Market Close.

30.7.3 DAM Validation.

30.7.3.1 Validation Prior to Market Close and Master File Update.

The CAISO conducts Bid validation in three steps:

Step 1: The CAISO will validate all Bids after submission of the Bid for content validation which

determines that the Bid adheres to the structural rules required of all Bids as further described in the

Business Practices Manuals. If the Bid fails any of the content level rules the CAISO shall assign it a

rejected status and the Scheduling Coordinator must correct and resubmit the Bid.

Step 2: After the Bids are successfully validated for content, but prior to the Market Close of the DAM,

the Bids will continue through the second level of validation rules to verify that the Bid adheres to the

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 579

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Superseding Original Sheet No. 579

applicable CAISO Market rules and if applicable, limits based on Master File data. If the Bid fails any

level two validation rules, the CAISO shall assign the Bid as invalid and the Scheduling Coordinator must

either correct or resubmit the Bid.

Step 3: If the Bid successfully passes validation in Step 2, it will continue through the third level of

validation where the Bid will be analyzed based on its contents to identify any missing Bid components

that must be either present for the Bid to be valid consistent with the market rules contained in Article III

of this CAISO Tariff and as reflected in the Business Practice Manuals. At this stage the Bid will either be

automatically modified for correctness and assigned a status of conditionally modified or modified, or if it

can be accepted as is, the Bid will be assigned a status of conditionally valid, or valid. A Bid will be

automatically modified and assigned a status of modified or conditionally modified Bid, whenever the

CAISO inserts or modifies a Bid component. The CAISO will insert or modify a Bid component whenever

(1) a Self-Schedule quantity is less than the lowest quantity specified as an Economic Bid for either an

Energy Bid or Demand Bid, in which case the CAISO extends the Self-Schedule to cover the gap; (2) for

non-Resource Adequacy Resources, the CAISO will extend the Energy Bid Curve using Proxy Costs to

cover any capacity in a RUC Bid component, if necessary; and (3) for a Resource Adequacy Resource,

the CAISO will extend the Energy Bid Curve using Proxy Costs to cover any capacity in a RUC Bid

component and, if necessary, up to the full registered Resource Adequacy Capacity. The CAISO will

generate a Proxy Bid or extend an Energy Bid or Self-Schedule to cover any RUC Award or Day-Ahead

Schedule in the absence of any Self-Schedule or Economic Bid components, or to fill in any gaps

between any Self-Schedule Bid and any Economic Bid components to cover a RUC Award or Day-Ahead

Schedule. To the extent that an Energy Bid to the HASP/RTM is not accompanied by an Ancillary

Services Bid, the CAISO will insert a Spinning Reserve and Non-Spinning Reserve Ancillary Services Bid

at \$ 0/MW for any certified Operating Reserve capacity. The CAISO will also generate a Self-Schedule

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

First Revised Sheet No. 580 Superseding Original Sheet No. 580

Bid for any Generating Unit that has a Day-Ahead Schedule but has not submitted Bids in HASP/RTM, up

to the quantity in the Day-Ahead Schedule. Throughout the Bid evaluation process, the Scheduling

Coordinator shall have the ability to view the Bid and may choose to cancel the Bid, modify and re-submit

the Bid, or leave the modified, conditionally modified or valid, conditionally valid Bid as is to be processed

in the designated CAISO Market.

30.7.3.2 Master File Data Update.

Except as otherwise prescribed in this tariff, once a day the Master File data is updated with changes to

the Master File that were submitted between at least five (5) and up to eleven (11) Business Days in

advance, after which all conditional Bids must be re-validated prior to the trading period when the Bid will

take effect. After this re-validation takes place, the status of all conditionally modified and conditionally

valid Bids may be changed to modified or valid, if the Bid period is for the next relevant DAM.

30.7.3.3 Validation Prior to Market Close and After Master File Update.

Prior to the Market Close of the DAM, after the Master File data has been updated, all Bids must be re-

validated using the same process as described in Section 30.7.3.1 to produce either valid Bids or

modified Bids. Throughout this process the Scheduling Coordinator shall have the ability to view the Bid

and may choose to re-submit (at which point the Bid would undergo the Bid validation process described

in this Section 30.7 again), cancel, or modify the Bid. Valid or modified Bids that are not re-submitted or

cancelled become Clean Bids after the Market Close of the DAM. Modified Bids for Resource Adequacy

Resources will reflect the full capability of the resource as defined in the Master File.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 581 Superseding Original Sheet No. 581

30.7.3.4 Validation after Market Close.

To the extent that Scheduling Coordinators fail to enter a Bid for resource that is required to submit Bids

in the full range of available capacity consistent with the Resource Adequacy provisions of Section 40, the

CAISO will create a Bid for the Scheduling Coordinator, which is referred to as the Generated Bid. This

does not apply to Load-following MSSs. The Generated Bid will be created only after the Market Close

for the DAM and will be based on data registered in the Master File, and, if applicable, published natural

gas pricing data. The Scheduling Coordinator may view Generated Bids, but may not modify such Bids.

The CAISO will provide notice to the Scheduling Coordinator of the use of a Generated Bid prior to

Market Clearing of the IFM. In addition validation of export priority pursuant to Sections 31.4 and 34.10.1

and Wheeling Through transactions pursuant to Section 30.5.4 occur after the Market Close for the DAM.

30.7.4 HASP and RTM Validation.

The HASP and RTM Bids will include the same validation process implemented in the DAM except that

the CAISO will not validate the Bid before and again after the Master File Data update. HASP and RTM

Bids are only validated based on the current Master File Data on the relevant Trading Day.

30.7.5 Validation of ETC Self-Schedules.

ETC Self-Schedules shall be validated pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 16.6.

30.7.6 Validation and Treatment of Ancillary Services Bids.

30.7.6.1 Validation of Ancillary Services Bids.

Throughout the validation process described in Section 30.7, the CAISO will verify that each Ancillary

Services Bid conforms to the content, format and syntax specified for the relevant Ancillary Service. If the

Ancillary Services Bid does not so conform, the CAISO will send a notification to the Scheduling

Coordinator notifying the Scheduling Coordinator of the errors in the Bids as described in Section 30.7.

When the Bids are submitted, a technical validation will be performed to verify that the bid quantity of

Regulation, Spinning Reserve, or Non-Spinning Reserve does not exceed the certified Ancillary Services

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 582 Superseding Original Sheet No. 582

capacity for Regulation, or Operating Reserves on the Generating Units, System Units, Participating Loads and external imports/exports bid. The Scheduling Coordinator will be notified within a reasonable time of any validation errors. For each error detected, an error message will be generated by the CAISO in the Scheduling Coordinator's notification screen, which will specify the nature of the error. The Scheduling Coordinator can then look at the notification messages to review the detailed list of errors, make changes, and resubmit if it is still within the CAISO's timing requirements. The Scheduling Coordinator is also notified of successful validation. If a resource is awarded or has qualified Self-Provided Ancillary Services in the Day-Ahead Market, if no Energy Bid is submitted to cover the awarded or Self-Provided Ancillary Services by the Market Close of HASP and the RTM, the CAISO will generate or extend an Energy Bid as necessary to cover the awarded or Self-Provided Ancillary Services capacity using the registered values in the Master File and relevant fuel prices as described in the Business Practice Manuals for use in the HASP and IFM. If an AS Bid or Submission to Self-Provide an AS is submitted in the Real-Time for Spinning Reserve or Non-Spinning Reserve without an accompanying Energy Bid at all, the AS Bid or Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service will be erased. If an AS Bid or Submission to Self-Provide an AS is submitted in the Real-Time Market for Spinning Reserve and Non-Spinning Reserve with only a partial Energy Bid for the AS capacity, the CAISO will generate an Energy Bid for the uncovered portions. For Generating Units with certified Regulation capacity, if there no Bid for Regulation in the Real-Time Market, but there is a Day-Ahead award for Regulation Up or Regulation Down or a submission to self-provide Regulation Up or Regulation Down, respectively, the CAISO will generate a Regulation Up or Regulation Down Bid at the default Ancillary Service Bid price of \$0 up to the certified Regulation capacity for the Generating Unit minus any Regulation awarded or selfprovided in the Day-Ahead. If there is a Bid for Regulation Up or Regulation Down in the Real-Time Market, the CAISO will increase the respective Bid up to the certified Regulation capacity for the Generating Unit minus any Regulation awarded or self-provided in the Day-Ahead.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 583 Superseding Original Sheet No. 583

30.7.6.2 Treatment of Ancillary Services Bids.

When Scheduling Coordinators bid into the Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserve, and Non-Spinning Reserve markets, they may submit Bids for the same capacity into as many of these markets as desired at the same time by providing the appropriate Bid information to the CAISO. The CAISO optimization will evaluate AS Bids simultaneously with Energy Bids. A Scheduling Coordinator may specify that its Bid applies only the markets it desires. A Scheduling Coordinator shall also have the ability to specify different capacity prices for the Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Regulation markets. A Scheduling Coordinator providing one or more Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserve or Non-Spinning Reserve services may not change the identification of the Generating Units offered in the Day-Ahead Market, HASP or in the Real-Time Market for such services unless specifically approved by the CAISO (except with respect to System Units, if any, in which case Scheduling Coordinators are required to identify and disclose the resource specific information for all Generating Units and Participating Loads constituting the System Unit for which Bids and Submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services are submitted into the CAISO's Day-Ahead Market, HASP and Real-Time Market.

The following principles will apply in the treatment of Ancillary Services Bids in the CAISO Markets:

- (a) not differentiate between bidders for Ancillary Services and Energy other than through cost, price, effectiveness, and capability to provide the Ancillary Service or Energy, and the required locational mix of Ancillary Services;
- (b) select the bidders with most cost effective Bids for Ancillary Service capacity which meet its technical requirements, including location and operating capability to minimize the costs to users of the CAISO Controlled Grid;
- (c) evaluate the Day-Ahead Bids over the twenty-four (24) Settlement Periods of the following Trading Day along with Energy, taking into transmission constraints and AS Regional Limits;

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

- (d) evaluate Bids in the HASP and establish Ancillary Service Awards from imports at approximately sixty-five (65) minutes prior to the hour of operation;
- (e) evaluate Import Bids along with internal resource Bids and establish hourlyAncillary Service Awards in the HASP;
- (f) establish Real-Time Ancillary Service Awards from generation internal to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area at fifteen (15) minutes intervals to the hour of operation; and
- (g) procure sufficient Ancillary Services in the Day-Ahead, HASP, and Real-TimeMarkets to meet its forecasted requirements.

30.7.7 Format and Validation of Operational Ramp Rates.

The submitted Operational Ramp Rate expressed in megawatts per minute (MW/min) as a function of the operating level, expressed in megawatts (MW), must be a staircase function with up to four segments.

There is no monotonicity requirement for the Operational Ramp Rate. The submitted Operational Ramp Rate shall be validated as follows:

- (a) The range of the submitted Operational Ramp Rate must cover the entire capacity of the resource, from the minimum to the maximum operating capacity, as registered in the Master File for the relevant resource.
- (b) The operating level entries must match exactly (in number, sequence, and value) the corresponding minimum and maximum Operational Ramp Rate breakpoints, as registered in the Master File for the relevant resource.
- (c) If a Scheduling Coordinator does not submit an Operational Ramp Rate for a generating unit for a day, the CAISO shall use the maximum Ramp Rate for each operating range set forth in the Master File as the Ramp Rate for that unit for that same operating range for the Trading Day.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

Superseding Original Sheet No. 585

First Revised Sheet No. 585

represent the maximum operating capacity of the resource as registered in the

The last Ramp Rate entry shall be equal to the previous Ramp Rate entry and

Master File. The resulting Operational Ramp Rate segments must lie between

the minimum and maximum Operational Ramp Rates, as registered in the Master

File.

(d)

(e) The submitted Operational Ramp Rate must be the same for each hour of the

Trading Day, i.e., the Operational Ramp Rate submitted for a given Trading Hour

must be the same with the one(s) submitted earlier for previous Trading Hours in

the same Trading Day.

(f) Outages that affect the submitted Operational Ramp Rate must be due to

physical constraints, reported in SLIC and are subject to CAISO approval. All

approved changes to the submitted Operational Ramp Rate will be used in

determination of Dispatch Instructions for the shorter period of the balance of the

Trading Day or duration of reported Outage.

(g) If an Operational Ramp Rate is derated in SLIC, the Ramp Rate will only be to

four segments. Ramping capability through Forbidden Operating Regions are

not affected by derates entered in SLIC.

(h) For all CAISO Dispatch Instructions of Reliability Must-Run Units the Operational

Ramp Rate will be the Ramp Rate declared in the Reliability Must Run Contract

Schedule A.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 586 Superseding Original Sheet No. 586

30.7.8 Format and Validation of Start-Up and Shut-Down Times.

For a Generating Unit, the submitted Start-Up Time expressed in minutes (min) as a function of down

time expressed in minutes (min) must be a staircase function with up to three (3) segments defined by a

set of 1 to 4 down time and Start-Up Time pairs. The Start-Up Time is the time required to start the

resource if it is offline longer than the corresponding down time. The last segment will represent the time

to start the unit from a cold start and will extend to infinity. The submitted Start-Up Time function shall be

validated as follows:

(a) The first down time must be zero (0) min.

(b) The down time entries must match exactly (in number, sequence, and value) the

corresponding down time breakpoints of the maximum Start-Up Time function, as

registered in the Master File for the relevant resource.

(c) The Start-Up Time for each segment must not exceed the Start-Up Time of the

corresponding segment of the maximum Start-Up Time function, as registered in

the Master File for the relevant resource.

(d) The Start-Up Time function must be strictly monotonically increasing, i.e., the

Start-Up Time must increase as down time increases.

For Participating Load, a single Shut-Down time in minutes is the time required for the resource to Shut-

Down after receiving a Dispatch Instruction.

30.7.9 Format and Validation of Start-Up Costs and Shut-Down Costs.

For a Generating Unit, the submitted Start-Up Cost expressed in dollars (\$) as a function of down time

expressed in minutes must be a staircase function with up to three (3) segments defined by a set of 1 to 4

down time and Start-Up Cost pairs. The Start-Up Cost is the cost incurred to start the resource if it is

offline longer than the corresponding down time. The last segment will represent the cost to start the

resource from cold Start-Up and will extend to infinity. The submitted Start-Up Cost function shall be

validated as follows:

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

(a) The first down time must be zero (0) min.

(b) The down time entries must match exactly (in number, sequence, and value) the

corresponding down time breakpoints of the Start-Up Cost function, as registered

First Revised Sheet No. 587

Superseding Original Sheet No. 587

in the Master File for the relevant resource as either the Proxy Cost or

Registered Cost.

(c) The Start-Up Cost for each segment must not be negative and must be equal to

the Start-Up Cost of the corresponding segment of the Start-Up Cost function, as

registered in the Master File for the relevant resource. If a value is submitted in a

Bid for the Start-Up Cost, it will be overwritten by the Master File value as either

the Proxy Cost or Registered Cost based on the option elected pursuant to

Section 30.4. If no value for Start-Up Cost is submitted in a Bid, the CAISO will

insert the Master File value, as either the Proxy Cost or Registered Cost based

on the option elected pursuant to Section 30.4.

(d) The Start-Up Cost function must be strictly monotonically increasing, i.e., the

Start-Up Cost must increase as down time increases.

For Participating Loads, a single Shut-Down Cost in dollars (\$) is the cost incurred to Shut-Down Cost the

resource after receiving a Dispatch Instruction. The submitted Shut-Down Cost must not be negative.

30.7.10 Format and Validation of Minimum Load Costs.

For a Generating Unit, the submitted Minimum Load Cost expressed in dollars per hour (\$/hr) is the cost

incurred for operating the unit at Minimum Load. The submitted Minimum Load Cost must not be

negative and must be equal to the Minimum Load Cost under the Proxy Cost option or Registered Cost

option, as registered in the Master File for the relevant resource.

For Participating Loads, the submitted Minimum Load Cost (\$/hr) is the cost incurred while operating the

resource at reduced consumption after receiving a Dispatch Instruction. The submitted Minimum Load

Cost must not be negative.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 588 Superseding Original Sheet No. 588

30.8 Prohibition on Bidding Across Out-of-Service Transmission Paths at Scheduling Points.

Scheduling Coordinators shall not submit any Bids or ETC Self-Schedules at Scheduling Points using a transmission path for any Settlement Period for which the Operating Transfer Capability for that path is zero (0) MW. The CAISO shall reject Bids or ETC Self-Schedules submitted at Scheduling Points where the Operating Transfer Capability on the transmission path is zero (0) MW. If the Operating Transfer Capability of a transmission path at the relevant Scheduling Point is reduced to zero (0) after Day-Ahead Schedules have been issued, then, if time permits, the CAISO shall direct the responsible Scheduling Coordinators to reduce all MWh associated with the Bids on such zero-rated transmission paths to zero (0) in the HASP. As necessary to comply with Applicable Reliability Criteria, the CAISO shall reduce any non-zero (0) HASP Bids across zero-rated transmission paths to zero after the Market Close for the HASP.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

31 Day-Ahead Market.

The DAM consists of the following functions performed in sequence: the MPM-RRD, IFM, and RUC. Scheduling Coordinators may submit Bids for Energy, Ancillary Services and RUC Capacity for an applicable Trading Day. The CAISO shall issue Schedules for all Supply and Demand, including Participating Load, pursuant to their Bids as provided in this Section 31.

31.1 Bid Submission and Validation in the Day-Ahead Market.

Bids, including Self-Schedules and Ancillary Services Bids, and Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service shall be submitted pursuant to the submission rules specified in Section 30. Scheduling Coordinators submit a single Bid to be used in the DAM, which includes the MPM-RRD, the IFM and RUC. Scheduling Coordinators may submit Bids for the DAM as early as seven (7) days ahead of the targeted DAM and up to Market Close of the DAM for a targeted Trading Day. The CAISO will validate all Bids submitted to the DAM pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 30.7. Scheduling Coordinators must submit Bids for participation in the IFM for Resource Adequacy Capacity as required in Section 40.

31.2 Market Power Mitigation and Reliability Requirement Determination (MPM-RRD).

After the Market Close of the DAM, and after the CAISO has validated the Bids pursuant to Section 30.7, the CAISO will perform the MPM-RRD procedures in a series of processing runs that occur prior to the IFM Market Clearing run. The MPM process determines which Bids need to be mitigated in the IFM. The RRD process is the automated process for determining RMR Generation requirements for RMR Units.

The MPM-RRD process optimizes resources using the same optimization used in the IFM, but instead of

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

First Revised Sheet No. 590 Superseding Original Sheet No. 590

using Demand Bids as in the IFM the MPM-RRD process optimizes resources to meet one hundred

percent of the CAISO Demand Forecast and Export Bids to the extent the Export Bids are selected in the

MPM-RRD process, and meet one hundred percent of Ancillary Services requirements based on Supply

Bids submitted to the DAM. The pool of resources identified in the MPM-RRD process is then passed to

the IFM to constitute the pool of resources available for commitment in the IFM. The CAISO performs the

MPM-RRD for the DAM for the twenty-four (24) hours of the targeted Trading Day.

31.2.1 The Reliability and Market Power Mitigation Runs.

The first run of the MPM-RRD procedures is the Competitive Constraints Run (CCR), in which only limits

on transmission lines pre-designated as competitive are enforced. The only RMR Units considered in the

CCR are Condition 1 RMR Units that have provided market Bids for the DAM. The second run is the All

Constraints Run (ACR), during which all transmission Constraints are enforced. All RMR Units, Condition

1 and Condition 2, are considered in the ACR. The resources committed in the ACR form the pool of

resources that is available for commitment in the IFM.

31.2.2 Bid Mitigation.

The CAISO shall compare the resource dispatch levels derived from CCR and ACR and will mitigate Bids

as follows.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 592 Superseding Original Sheet No. 592

31.2.2.2 Non-RMR Units.

If the dispatch level produced through the ACR is greater than the dispatch level produced through CCR,

then the resource is subject to Local Market Power Mitigation, in which case the entire portion of the unit's

Energy Bid Curve that is above the CCR dispatch level will be mitigated to the lower of the Default Energy

Bid as specified in Section 39, or the DAM Bid, but no lower than the unit's highest Bid price that cleared

the CCR.

31.3 Integrated Forward Market.

After the MPM-RRD and prior to RUC, the CAISO shall perform the IFM. The IFM performs Unit

Commitment and Congestion Management, clears the Energy Bids as modified and in the MPM-RRD,

taking into account transmission limits and honoring technical and inter-temporal operating Constraints,

such as Minimum Run Times, and procures Ancillary Services to meet one hundred percent (100%) of

the CAISO Forecast of CAISO Demand requirements. The IFM utilizes a set of integrated programs that:

(1) determine Day-Ahead Schedules and AS Awards, and related LMPs and ASMPs; and (2) optimally

commits resources that are bid in to the DAM. The IFM utilizes a SCUC algorithm that optimizes Start-Up

Costs, Minimum Load Costs, and Energy Bids along with any Bids for Ancillary Services as well as Self-

Schedules submitted by Scheduling Coordinators. The IFM also provides for the optimal management of

Use-Limited Resources. The ELS Resources committed through the ELC Process conducted two days

before the day the IFM process is conducted for the next Trading Day as described in Section 31.7 are

binding and the IFM process will model such capacity as capacity that is under a contractual obligation to

provide.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 593 Superseding Original Sheet No. 593

31.3.1 Market Clearing and Price Determination.

31.3.1.1 Integrated Forward Market Output.

The IFM produces: (1) a set of hourly Day-Ahead Schedules, AS Awards, and AS Schedules for all participating Scheduling Coordinators that cover each Trading Hour of the next Trading Day; and (2) the hourly LMPs for Energy and the ASMPs for Ancillary Services to be used for settlement of the IFM. The CAISO will publish the LMPs at each PNode as calculated in the IFM. In determining Day-Ahead Schedules, AS Awards, and AS Schedules the IFM optimization will minimize total Bid Costs based on submitted and mitigated Bids while respecting the operating characteristics of resources, the operating limits of transmission facilities, and a set of scheduling priorities that are described in Section 31.4. In performing its optimization, the IFM first tries to complete its required functions utilizing Economic Bids without adjusting Self-Schedules, and adjusts Self-Schedules only if it is not possible to balance Supply and Demand and manage Congestion with available Economic Bids. The Day-Ahead Schedules are binding commitments, including the commitment to Start-Up, if necessary, to comply with the Day-Ahead Schedules. The CAISO will not issue separate Start-Up Instructions for Day-Ahead commitments. A resource's status, however, can be modified as a result of additional market processes occurring in HASP, STUC and RTUC. In addition, in Real-Time, resources are required to follow Real-Time Dispatch Instructions.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 594 Superseding Original Sheet No. 594

31.3.1.2 Treatment of Ancillary Services Bids in IFM.

As provided in Section 30.7.6.2 the CAISO shall co-optimize the Energy and Ancillary Services Bids in clearing the IFM. To the extent that capacity subject to an Ancillary Services Bid submitted in the Day-Ahead Market is not associated with an Energy Bid, there is no co-optimization, and therefore, no opportunity cost associated with that resource for that Bid for the purposes of calculating the Ancillary Services Marginal Price as specified in Section 11.10.1.1. When the capacity associated with the Energy Bid overlaps with the quantity submitted in the Ancillary Services Bid, then the Energy Bid will be used to determine the opportunity cost, if any, in the co-optimization to the extent of the overlap. Therefore, the capacity that will be considered when co-optimizing the procurement of Energy and Ancillary Services from Bids in the IFM will consider capacity up to the total capacity of the resource as reflected in the Ancillary Services Bid as derated through SLIC, if at all. In the case of Regulation, the capacity that will be considered is the lower of the capacity of the resource offered in the Ancillary Services Bid or the upper Regulation limit of the highest Regulating Range as contained in the Master File.

31.3.1.3 Reduction of LAP Demand.

To the extent the CAISO cannot resolve a non-competitive transmission Constraint utilizing effective Economic Bids such that Load at the LAP level in the pre-IFM pass 2 (ACR) would otherwise be adjusted to relieve the Constraint, the CAISO will take the following actions in sequence:

Step 1: Schedule the Energy from Self-Provided Ancillary Service Bids from capacity that is obligated to offer an Energy Bid under a must-offer obligation such as from an RMR Unit or a Resource Adequacy Resource. Since the otherwise Self-Provided Ancillary Services capacity in question is under a must-offer obligation, the associated Energy Bid prices will be either: (a) submitted Energy Bids; or (b) Default Energy Bids to the extent an Energy Bid was not submitted for the Self-Provided Ancillary Services capacity, but not lower than any Energy Bids from the same resource that may have cleared pre-IFM pass 1 (ACR).

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 595 Superseding Original Sheet No. 595

Step 2: In case the measure in Step 1 is insufficient to avoid adjustment of Load at the LAP level, the CAISO will evaluate the validity of the binding transmission Constraint and if it is determined that the Constraint can be relaxed based on the operating practices, will relax the Constraint consistent with operating practices. The CAISO will use the following rules in relaxing the transmission Constraints in this step 2:

- (a) No Constraints on WECC rated paths or Interties with adjacent Balancing Authority Areas would be relaxed.
- (b) Only the transmission Constraints that can be mitigated in the Real-Time Market or Real-Time operation are candidates for Constraint relaxation. The criteria used to assess whether or not the Constraint can be mitigated in Real-Time can include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) there is a Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service for Operating Reserves from non-Resource Adequacy Resources or non-RMR Units within the transmission constrained Load pocket constrained by the transmission path in question; provided, however, such Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service cannot be used in Step 1, but are available in Real-Time; (2) Scheduling Coordinators have submitted Self-Schedules for Participating Load in the constrained Load pocket; or (3) there are non-Resource Adequacy Resources and non-RMR Units within the constrained Load pocket that did not participate in the Day-Ahead Market but can be called upon under their Participating Generator Agreement before the CAISO curtails firm Load.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 596 Superseding Original Sheet No. 596

(c) Candidate Constraints will be relaxed by assigning a high penalty for Constraint violation (as opposed to enforcing them as hard Constraints) in this Step 2. Such penalty will be lower than the penalty for curtailing firm (Price Taker) Load.

- (d) The higher of the facility rating or the pre-IFM flows through the facility with relaxed Constraints in this Step 2 will be used as hard limits in IFM.
- (e) To avoid unwarranted price impact in IFM, a Constraint violation penalty equal to three times the prevailing Energy Bid cap as specified in Section 39.6 will be applied to the Constraints relaxed in Step 2 between their operating limit and the relaxed limit determined.
- (f) The information relating to the relaxed Constraints will be forwarded to the CAISO Operator together with the necessary mitigating measures.

Step 3: In case the measures in Step 1 and Step 2 are insufficient, the CAISO may "soften" the LDF Constraints on a Node or sub-LAP basis, i.e., adjust Load at individual Nodes or, in aggregate, a group of Nodes to relieve the Constraint in such a way that minimizes the quantity of load curtailed. The adjustment to Load at individual Nodes shall be facilitated by adjustment and renormalization of applicable LDFs.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 600 Superseding Original Sheet No. 600

each hour of the next Trading Day. RUC Capacity is selected by a SCUC optimization that uses the same FNM used in the IFM to help ensure the deliverability of Energy from the RUC Capacity.

31.5.1 RUC Participation.

31.5.1.1 Capacity Eligible for RUC Participation.

RUC participation is voluntary for capacity that has not been designated as Resource Adequacy Capacity. Scheduling Coordinators may make such capacity available for participation in RUC by submitting a RUC Availability Bid, provided the Scheduling Coordinator has also submitted an Energy Bid for such capacity into the IFM. Capacity from Non-Dynamic System Resources that has not been designated Resource Adequacy Capacity is not eligible to participate in RUC. Capacity from resources including System Resources that has been designated as qualified Resource Adequacy Capacity must participate in RUC. RUC participation is required for Resource Adequacy Capacity to the extent that Resource Adequacy Capacity is not committed following the IFM. System Resources eligible to participate in RUC will be considered on an hourly basis; that is, RUC will not observe any multi-hour block constraints and the Energy Limits that may have been submitted in conjunction with Energy Bids to the IFM. RMR Unit capacity will be considered in RUC in accordance with Section 31.5.1.3. MSS resources may participate in RUC in accordance with Section 31.5.2.3. COG resources are accounted for in RUC, but may not submit or be paid RUC Availability Payments. The ELS Resources committed through the ELC Process conducted two days before the day the RUC process is conducted for the next Trading Day as described in Section 31.7 are binding and the RUC process will model such capacity as capacity that is under a contractual obligation to provide.

31.5.1.2 RUC Availability Bids.

Scheduling Coordinators may only submit RUC Availability Bids for capacity (above the Minimum Load) for which they are also submitting an Energy Bid to participate in the IFM. The RUC Availability Bid for the Resource Adequacy Capacity submitted by a Scheduling Coordinator must be \$0/MW per hour for the entire Resource Adequacy Capacity. If the Scheduling Coordinator fails to submit a \$0/MW per hour for

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 608 Superseding Original Sheet No. 608

Generation Units, net imports and Participating Loads plus the Minimum Load Energy committed by RUC is not greater than a configurable percentage of the system CAISO Forecast of CAISO Demand.

that could otherwise be started during the Operating Day based on operational factors such as: 1) historical confidence that a Short Start Unit actually starts when needed based on the assessment of the CAISO Operators of the historical performance of Short Start Units; 2) need to conserve the number of run-hours and number of starts per year for critical loading periods; and 3) seasonal Constraints such as Overgeneration. The CAISO will verify that the total Day-Ahead Schedules and RUC Capacity from such resources is not greater than a configurable percentage of the total available capacity of all such resources.

31.5.5 Selection and Commitment of RUC Capacity.

Capacity that is not already scheduled in the IFM may be selected as RUC Capacity through the RUC process of the DAM. The RUC optimization will select RUC Capacity and produce nodal RUC Prices by minimizing total Bid cost based on RUC Availability Bids and Start-Up and Minimum Load Bids. RUC will not consider Start-Up and Minimum Load Bids for resources already committed in the IFM. The RUC Capacity of a resource is the incremental amount of capacity selected in RUC above the resource's Day-Ahead Schedule. The resource's Day-Ahead Schedule plus its RUC Capacity comprise the resource's RUC Schedule. The CAISO will only issue RUC Start-Up Instructions to resources that must start in the Day-Ahead in order to be available to meet Real-Time Demand. RUC Schedules will be provided to Scheduling Coordinators even if a RUC Start-Up Instruction is not issued at that time. RUC shall not reverse commitments issued through the IFM. If the RUC process cannot find a feasible solution given the resources committed in the IFM, the RUC process will adjust Constraints as described in Section

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 626 Superseding Original Sheet No. 626

33. HOUR-AHEAD SCHEDULING PROCESS (HASP).

The HASP is the hour-ahead process during the Real-Time which consists of the following activities. The HASP includes a special hourly run of the Real-Time Unit Commitment (RTUC), which is also one of the component processes of the RTM. The RTUC utilizes a SCUC optimization and runs every fifteen (15) minutes, as fully described in Section 34. This Section 33 describes the special features of the specific hourly HASP run of the RTUC. The HASP combines provisions for the CAISO to issue hourly predispatch instructions to System Resources that submit Energy Bids to the RTM and for the procurement of Ancillary Services on an hourly basis from System Resources, with provisions for Scheduling Coordinators to self-schedule changes to their Day-Ahead Schedules as provided in Section 33.1, and submit Bids to export Energy at Scheduling Points. The HASP also performs the MPM-RRD procedure with respect to the Bids that will be used in the HASP optimization and in the RTM processes for the same Trading Hour.

33.1 Submission of Bids for the HASP and RTM.

Scheduling Coordinators may submit Bids that will be used for the HASP and the RTM processes starting from the time Day-Ahead Schedules have been posted until seventy-five (75) minutes prior to each applicable Trading Hour in the Real-Time. The HASP and RTM processes do not accept Demand Bids for CAISO Demand, or Self-Schedules for exports other than those utilizing ETC or TOR rights. Export Bids that are not Self-Schedules may be submitted in HASP. The rules for submitted Bids specified in Section 30 apply to Bids submitted to the HASP and RTM. After the Market Close of the HASP and the RTM the CAISO performs a validation process consistent with the provisions set forth in Section 30.7and the following additional rules. The CAISO will generate a Self-Schedule to cover any RUC Award or Day Ahead Schedule in the absence of any Self-Schedule or Economic Bid components, or to fill in any gaps between any Self-Schedule Bid and any Economic Bid components to cover a RUC Award or Day-Ahead Schedule. Bids submitted to the HASP and the RTM to supply Energy and Ancillary Services will be considered in the various HASP and RTM processes, including the MPM-RRD process, the HASP optimization, the STUC, the RTUC and the RTD.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 632 Superseding Original Sheet No. 632

34.1 Inputs to the Real-Time Market.

The RTM utilizes results produced by the DAM and HASP for each Trading Hour of the Trading Day, including the combined commitments contained in the Day-Ahead Schedules, Day Ahead AS Awards, RUC Awards, HASP Intertie Schedules, HASP Self-Schedules, HASP Intertie AS Awards and the MPM-RRD that is run as part of the HASP to determine reliability needs and mitigated bids for each relevant Trading Hour. These results, plus the short-term Demand Forecast, Real-Time Energy Bids, Real-Time Ancillary Service Bids, updated FNM, State Estimator output, resource outage and de-rate information constitute the inputs to the RTM processes. Bids submitted in HASP for all Generating Units and Participating Load shall be used in the Real-Time Market.

34.2 Real-Time Unit Commitment.

The Real-Time Unit Commitment (RTUC) process uses SCUC and is run every fifteen (15) minutes to: (1) make commitment decisions for Fast Start and Short Start resources having Start-Up Times within the Time Horizon of the RTUC process, and (2) procure required additional Ancillary Services and calculate ASMP used for settling procured Ancillary Service capacity for the next fifteen-minute Real-Time Ancillary Service interval. RTUC is run four times an hour, at the following times for the following Time Horizons: (1) at approximately 7.5 minutes prior to the next Trading Hour, in conjunction with the HASP run, for T-45 minutes to T+60 minutes; (2) at approximately 7.5 minutes into the current hour for T-30 minutes to T+60 minutes; and (4) at approximately 22.5 minutes into the current hour for T-15 minutes to T+60 minutes; and (4) at approximately 37.5 minutes into the current hour for T to T+60 minutes where T is the beginning of the next Trade Hour. The HASP, described in Section 33, is a special RTUC run that is performed at approximately 7.5 minutes before each hour and has the additional responsibility of: (1) pre-dispatching Energy and awarding Ancillary Services for hourly dispatched System Resources for the Trading Hour that begins 67.5 minutes later, and (2) performing the necessary MPM-RRD for that Trading Hour.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 634 Superseding Original Sheet No. 634

the three five-minute RTD intervals of its target fifteen-minute interval. In the RTUC, all resources certified and capable of providing Operating Reserves that have submitted Real-Time Energy Bids shall also submit applicable Spinning or Non-Spinning Reserves Bids, respectively, depending on whether the resource is online or offline. The CAISO will utilize the RTUC to procure Operating Reserves to restore its Operating Reserve requirements in cases when: (1) Operating Reserves awarded in DAM or HASP have been dispatched to provide Energy, (2) resource(s) awarded to provide Operating Reserves in the DAM or HASP are no longer capable of providing such awarded Operating Reserves, or (3) the Operator determines that additional Operating Reserves are necessary to maintain Operating Reserves within WECC/MORC criteria. The CAISO will utilize the RTUC to procure additional Regulation capacity in Real-Time in cases when: (1) resource(s) awarded to provide Regulation in the DAM or HASP are no longer capable of providing such awarded Regulation, or (2) the Operator determines that additional Regulation is necessary to maintain sufficient control consistent with NERC/WECC criteria and Good Utility Practice.

34.3 Real-Time Dispatch.

The RTD can operate in three modes: RTED, RTCD and RTMD. The RTD (RTED and RTCD mode) uses a Security Constrained Economic Dispatch (SCED) algorithm every five (5) minutes throughout the Trading Hour to determine optimal Dispatch Instructions to balance Supply and Demand and maintain required Ancillary Service quantities for the next binding target interval. The Real-Time Economic Dispatch (RTED) will be used under most circumstances and will optimally dispatch resources based on their Energy Bids, excluding Contingency Only Operating Reserves except when needed to avoid an imminent System Emergency. The Real-Time Contingency Dispatch (RTCD) will be invoked when a transmission or generation contingency occurs and will include all Contingency Only Operating Reserves in the optimization. The Real Time Manual Dispatch (RTMD) will be invoked as a fall-back mechanism only when the RTED or RTCD fails to provide a feasible Dispatch. These three modes of the RTD are described in Sections 34.3.1 to 34.3.3.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 635 Superseding Original Sheet No. 635

34.3.1 Real-Time Economic Dispatch.

RTED mode of operation for RTD normally runs every five (5) minutes starting at approximately 7.5 minutes prior to the start of the next Dispatch Interval and produces a binding Dispatch Instruction for Energy for the next Dispatch Interval and advisory Dispatch Instructions for as many as twelve future Dispatch Intervals over the RTD optimization Time Horizon of sixty-five (65) minutes. After being reviewed by the CAISO Operator, only binding Dispatch Instructions are communicated for the next Dispatch Interval in accordance with Section 6.3. RTED will produce a Dispatch Interval LMP for each PNode for the Dispatch Interval associated with the binding Dispatch Instructions. The RTED Dispatch target is the middle of the interval between five (5) minutes boundary points.

34.3.2 Real-Time Contingency Dispatch.

RTCD mode of operation for RTD is run in response to a significant Contingency event, such that waiting until the next normal RTD run is not adequate and/or Operating Reserve identified as Contingency Only need to be activated in response to the event. The CAISO Operator may activate the Operating Reserve identified as Contingency Only either on a resource specific basis or for all such resources. When activating Contingency Only reserves in RTCD, the original Energy Bids associated with the resources providing Operating Reserve will be used for the RTCD. RTCD uses SCED to produce an optimized set of binding Dispatch Instructions for a single ten-minute Dispatch Interval instead of a normal five-minute Dispatch Interval. After being reviewed by the CAISO Operator, only binding Dispatch Instructions are communicated for the next Dispatch Interval in accordance with Section 6.3. When activating a RTCD and returning to normal RTED run after a RTCD run, five-minute Dispatch Interval LMPs will be produced for each PNode be based on the last available price from either the RTCD or normal RTED run relative to a five-minute target Dispatch Interval.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 641 Superseding Original Sheet No. 641

a Contingency or an imminent or actual System Emergency. The CAISO may designate any reserve not previously identified as Contingency Only by Scheduling Coordinator as Contingency Only reserves, as necessary to maintain WECC MORC requirements. In the event of an unplanned Outage, a Contingency or a threatened or actual System Emergency, the CAISO may dispatch Contingency Only reserves. If Contingency Only reserves are dispatched through the RTCD, which as described in Section 34.3.2, only Dispatches in the event of a Contingency. Such Dispatch and pricing will be based on the original Energy Bids. If Contingency Only reserves are dispatched in response to a System Emergency that has occurred because the CAISO has run out of Economic Bids when no Contingency event has occurred. the RTED will Dispatch such Contingency Only reserves using maximum Bid prices as provided in Section 39.6.1 as the Energy Bids for such reserves and will set prices accordingly. If a Participating Generator, Participating Load, System Unit or System Resource that is supplying Operating Reserve is dispatched to provide Energy, the CAISO shall replace the Operating Reserve as necessary to maintain WECC MORC criteria. If the CAISO uses Operating Reserve to meet Real-Time Energy requirements, and if the CAISO needs Operating Reserves to satisfy MORC requirements, the CAISO shall restore the Operating Reserves to the extent necessary to meet MORC requirements through either the procurement of additional Operating Reserve in the RTM or the Dispatch of other Energy Bids in SCED to allow the resources that were providing Energy from the Operating Reserve to return to their Dispatch Operating Point. The Energy Bid Curve is not used by the AGC system when Dispatching Energy from Regulation. The upper portion of the resource capacity from its Regulation Limit is allocated to Regulation regardless of its Energy Bid Curve. For a resource providing Regulation Up or Operating Reserves the remaining Energy Bid Curve shall be allocated to any RTM AS Awards in the following order from higher to lower capacity where applicable: (a) Spinning Reserve; and (b) Non-Spinning Reserve. For resources providing Regulation Up, the applicable upper Regulation Limit shall be used as the basis of allocation if it is lower than the upper portion of the Energy Bid Curve. The remaining portion of the Energy Bid Curve, if there is any, shall constitute a Bid for RTM Energy.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 647 Superseding Original Sheet No. 647

 respond to Dispatch Instructions for Ancillary Services within the required time periods and (in the case of Participating Generators providing Regulation)
 respond to AGC from the EMS; and

(f) if a time frame is stated in a Dispatch Instruction, respond to a DispatchInstruction within the stated time frame.

34.11.2 Failure to Conform to Dispatch Instructions.

In the event that, in carrying out the Dispatch Instruction, an unforeseen problem arises (relating to plant operations or equipment, personnel or the public safety), the recipient of the Dispatch Instruction must notify the CAISO or, in the case of a Generator, the relevant Scheduling Coordinator immediately. The relevant Scheduling Coordinator shall notify the CAISO of the problem immediately. If a resource is unavailable or incapable of responding to a Dispatch Instruction, or fails to respond to a Dispatch Instruction in accordance with its terms, the resource shall be considered to be non-conforming to the Dispatch Instruction unless the resource has notified the CAISO of an event that prevents it from performing its obligations within thirty (30) minutes of the onset of such event through a SLIC log entry. Notification of non-compliance via the Automated Dispatch System (ADS) will not supplant nor serve as the official notification mechanism to the CAISO. If the resource is considered to be non-conforming as described above, the Scheduling Coordinator for the resource concerned shall be subject to Uninstructed Imbalance Energy as specified in Section 11.5.2 and Uninstructed Deviation Penalties as specified in Section 11.23. This applies whether any Ancillary Services concerned are contracted or Self-Provided. For a Non-Dynamic System Resource Dispatch Instruction prior to the Trading Hour, the Scheduling Coordinator shall inform the CAISO of its ability to conform to a Dispatch Instruction via ADS. The Non-Dynamic System Resource has the option to accept, partially accept, or decline the Dispatch Instruction, but in any case must respond within the timeframe specified in a Business Practice Manual. The Non-Dynamic System Resource can change its response within the indicated timeframe. If a Non-Dynamic System Resource does not respond within the indicated timeframe, the Dispatch Instruction will be

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 648 Superseding Original Sheet No. 648

considered declined. A decline of such a Non-Dynamic System Resource for a Dispatch Instruction received at least forty (40) minutes prior to the Trading Hour will be subject to Uninstructed Deviation Penalties as specific in Section 11.23. A decline of such a Non-Dynamic System Resource for a Dispatch Instruction received less than forty (40) minutes prior to the Trading Hour will not be subject to Uninstructed Deviation Penalties. A Non-Dynamic System Resource that only partially accepts a Dispatch Instruction is subject to Uninstructed Deviation Penalties for the portion of the Dispatch Instruction that is declined.

When a resource demonstrates that it is not following Dispatch Instructions, the RTM will no longer assume that the resource will ramp from its current output level. The RTM assumes the resource to be "non-compliant" if it is deviating its five (5)-minute Ramping capability for more than N intervals by a magnitude determined by the CAISO based on its determination that it is necessary to improve the calculation of the expected Imbalance Energy as further defined in the BPM. When a resource is identified as "non-compliant," RTM will set the Dispatch operating target for that resource equal to its actual output in the Market Clearing software such that the persistent error does not cause excessive AGC action and consequently require CAISO to take additional action to comply with reliability requirements. Such a resource will be considered to have returned to compliance when the resource's State Estimator or telemetry value (whichever is applicable) is within the above specified criteria. During the time when the resource is "non-compliant", the last applicable Dispatch target shall be communicated to the Scheduling Coordinator as the Dispatch operating target. The last applicable Dispatch target may be (i) the last Dispatch operating target within the current Trading Hour that was instructed prior to the resource becoming "non-compliant," or (ii) the Day-Ahead Schedule, or (iii) the HASP Self-Schedule depending on whether the resource submitted a Bid and the length of time the resource was "noncompliant".

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 649 Superseding Original Sheet No. 649

34.12 Metered Subsystems.

Scheduling Coordinators that represent MSSs may submit Bids for Supply of Energy to the RTM, irrespective of whether the MSS is a Load following MSS. All Bids submitted for MSS generating resources for the RTM and all Dispatch Instructions shall be generating resource-specific. MSS non-Load following resources are responsible for following Dispatch Instructions. Load following MSS Operators shall provide the CAISO with an estimate of the number of MWs the applicable generating resource(s) will be generating over the next two hours in five-minute interval resolution. The Dispatch Instructions for Load following resources are incorporated with Generation estimates provided by MSS Operators. Such MSS Load following resources can deviate from the Dispatch Instructions in Real-Time to facilitate the following of Load without being subject to the Uninstructed Deviation Penalty as further described in Section 11.23 of the CAISO Tariff. The State Estimator will estimate all MSS Load in Real-Time and will incorporate the information provided by the Load following MSS Operator in clearing the RTM and its Dispatch Instructions.

34.13 Treatment of Resource Adequacy Capacity in the Real-Time Market.

Resource Adequacy Resources required to offer their Resource Adequacy Capacity in accordance with Section 40 shall be required to submit Energy Bids for: (1) all such Resource Adequacy Capacity and (2) any Ancillary Services capacity awarded or self-provided in the Day-Ahead, the HASP or RTM. In the absence of submitted Bids, as part of the validation described in 30.7, Generated Bids will be used for Resource Adequacy Resources required to offer their Resource Adequacy Capacity in accordance with Section 40. For any capacity from a Resource Adequacy Resource not required to offer Resource Adequacy Capacity in accordance with Section 40 that was awarded or is self-providing Operating Reserves capacity in the Day-Ahead Market, Scheduling Coordinators must submit an Energy Bid for no less than the amount of awarded or self-provided Operating Reserves capacity above their Day-Ahead

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 650 Superseding Original Sheet No. 650

Schedule. Resource Adequacy Resources that are not required to offer their Resource Adequacy

Capacity in accordance with Section 40 may voluntarily submit Energy Bids. Submitted Energy Bids shall be subject to the maximum and minimum Bid requirements and Mitigation Measures as set forth in Section 39.

34.14 Real-Time Operational Activities in the Hour Prior to the Settlement Period.

34.14.1 Confirm Interchange Transaction Schedules (ITSs).

Also in the hour prior to the beginning of the Operating Hour the CAISO will:

- (a) adjust Interchange transaction schedules (ITSs) as required under Existing
 Contracts in accordance with the procedures in the CAISO Tariff for the
 management of Existing Contracts;
- adjust ITSs as required by changes in transfer capability of transmission paths
 occurring after Market Close of the HASP; and
- (c) agree on ITS changes with adjacent Balancing Authorities.

34.15 Rules For Real-Time Dispatch of Imbalance Energy Resources.

34.15.1 Resource Constraints.

The SCED shall enforce the following resource physical Constraints:

- (a) Minimum and maximum operating resource limits. Outages and limitations due to transmission clearances shall be reflected in these limits. The more restrictive operating or regulating limit shall be used for resources providing Regulation so that the SCED shall not Dispatch them outside their Regulating Range.
- (b) Forbidden Operating Regions. Resources can only be ramped through these regions. The SCED shall not Dispatch resources within their Forbidden Operating Regions unless at the maximum applicable Ramp Rate to clear the Forbidden Operating Region in consecutive Dispatch Intervals. Resources ramping through a Forbidden Operating Region shall not set LMP at its location and cannot provide Ancillary Services and will not be called upon to provide

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 651 Superseding Original Sheet No. 651

Ancillary Services, unless the resource can cross the Forbidden Operating Region in less than twenty (20) minutes.

(c) Operational Ramp Rates and Start-Up Times. The submitted Operational Ramp Rate for resources that are not providing Regulation, and the submitted Regulation Ramp Rate for resources that are providing Regulation shall be used for all Dispatch Instructions. The Ramp Rate for Non-Dynamic System Resources cleared in the HASP will not be observed. Rather, the ramp of the Non-Dynamic System Resource will respect inter-Balancing Authority Area Ramping conventions established by WECC. Ramp Rates for Dynamic System Resources will be observed like Participating Generators in the RTD. Each Energy Bid shall be Dispatched only up to the amount of Imbalance Energy that can be provided within the Dispatch Interval based on the applicable Operational Ramp Rate or Regulation Ramp Rate. The Dispatch Instruction shall consider the relevant Start-Up Time as, if the resource is off-line, the relevant Ramp Rate function, and any prior commitments such as Schedule changes across hours and previous Dispatch Instructions. The Start-Up Time shall be determined from the Start-Up Time function and when the resource was last shut down. The Start-Up Time shall not apply if the corresponding resource is on-line or expected to start. The CAISO Markets optimization considers fast and slow Ramping resources. Fast Ramping resources can ramp from PMin to PMax based on their Operational Ramp Rate in twenty (20) minutes or less. Slow Ramping resources take more than twenty (20) minutes to ramp from PMin to PMax based on their Operational Ramp Rate. The CAISO determines whether it is appropriate to procure Ancillary Services or Energy from fast Ramping and slow Ramping resources based on the RTUC optimization.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

- (d) Maximum Number of Daily Start-Ups. The SCED shall not cause a resource to exceed its daily maximum number of Start-Ups.
- (e) Minimum Up and Down time. The SCED shall not start up off-line resources before their Minimum Down Time expires and shall not shut down on-line resources before their minimum up time expires.
- (f) Operating (Spinning and Non-Spinning) Reserve. The SCED shall Dispatch Spinning and Non-Spinning Reserve subject to the limitations set forth in Section 34.16.3.
- Resource flagged for hourly pre-dispatch in the next Trading Hour shall be
 Dispatched to operate at a constant level over the entire Trading Hour. The
 HASP shall perform the hourly pre-dispatch for each Trading Hour once prior to
 the Operating Hour. The hourly pre-dispatch shall not subsequently be revised
 by the SCED and the resulting HASP Intertie Schedules are financially binding
 and are settled pursuant to section 11.4.
- (h) Daily Energy use limitation to the extent that Energy limitation is expressed in a resource's Bid. If the Energy Limits are violated for purposes of Exceptional Dispatches for System Reliability, the Bid will be settled as provided in Section 11.5.6.1.

34.16 Ancillary Services in the Real-Time Market.

34.16.1 [NOT USED]

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 653 Superseding Original Sheet No. 653

34.16.2 Dispatch of Self-Provided Ancillary Services.

Where a Scheduling Coordinator has chosen to self-provide the whole of the additional Operating Reserve required to cover any Interruptible Imports which it has submitted through Self-Schedules in the Day-Ahead Market and has identified specific Generating Units, Participating Loads, System Units or System Resources as the providers of the additional Operating Reserve concerned, the CAISO shall Dispatch only the designated Generating Units, Participating Loads, System Units or System Resources in the event of the CAISO being notified that the on demand obligation is being curtailed. The Scheduling Coordinator scheduling an Interruptible Import will be responsible for Operating Reserves associated with the Interruptible Import, regardless of whether the Scheduling Coordinator is an LSE or not. For all other Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service, the Energy Bid shall be used to determine the Dispatch, subject to the limitation on the Dispatch of Spinning Reserve and Non-Spinning Reserve set forth in Section 34.10.

34.16.3 Ancillary Services Requirements for RTM Dispatch.

The following requirements apply to the Dispatch of Ancillary Services in the RTM:

34.16.3.1 Regulation.

- (a) Regulation provided from Generating Units or System Resources must meet the standards specified in this Tariff and Part A of Appendix K;
- (b) The CAISO will Dispatch Regulation in merit order of Bid prices as determined by the EMS. Dispatch of Regulation by EMS does not set the RTM LMP.
- (c) in the event of an unscheduled increase in system Demand or a shortfall in Generation output and Regulation margin drops, the CAISO will use Dispatch Energy in the RTM or Dispatch Operating Reserve, to restore Regulation margin; and
- (d) when scheduled Operating Reserve is used for restoration of Regulation reserve, the CAISO shall arrange for the replacement of that Operating Reserve.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 656 Superseding Original Sheet No. 656

34.16.4 Inter-hour Dispatch of Resources With Real-Time Energy Bids.

Dispatch Instructions associated with the ramp between the HASP Bid in one hour to the HASP Bid in the immediately succeeding Operating Hour shall be determined optimally by the SCED if the CAISO has Bids for either or both relevant Operating Hours. For any Operating Hour(s) for which Bids have been submitted Dispatch Instructions will be optimized such that the Dispatch Operating Point is within the Bid range(s). For any Operating Hour without submitted Bids, Dispatch Instructions will be optimized such that the Dispatch Operating Point conforms to the Schedule within the Operating Hour. Energy resulting from the Standard Ramp shall be deemed Standard Ramping Energy and will be settled in accordance with Section 11.5.1. Energy resulting from any ramp extending beyond the Standard Ramp will be deemed Ramping Energy Deviation and will be settled in accordance with Section 11.5.1. Energy delivered or consumed as a result of CAISO Dispatch of a resource's Energy Bid in one Operating Hour to a Dispatch Operating Point such that the resource cannot return to its successive Operating Hour Schedule or to an infra-marginal operating point by the beginning of the next Operating Hour is Residual Imbalance Energy and shall be settled as Instructed Imbalance Energy as provided for in Section 11.5.1 and also may be eligible for recovery of its applicable Energy Bid Costs in accordance with Section 11.8. Similarly, Energy delivered or consumed as a result of CAISO Dispatch of a resource's Energy Bid in a future Operating Hour to a Dispatch Operating Point different from its current Operating Point prior to the end of the current Operating Hour is also considered Residual Imbalance Energy and shall be settled as Instructed Imbalance Energy as provided for in Section 11.5.1 and also may be eligible for recovery of its applicable Energy Bid Costs in accordance with Section 11.8. When Ramping Energy Deviation and Residual Imbalance Energy coexist within a given Dispatch Interval, the Ramping Energy Deviation shall be the portion of Instructed Imbalance Energy that is produced or consumed within the Schedule-change band defined by the accepted HASP Bids of the two consecutive Settlement Periods; the Residual Imbalance Energy shall be the portion of Instructed Imbalance Energy that is produced or consumed outside the Schedule-change band.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 657 Superseding Original Sheet No. 657

34.16.5 Inter-hour Dispatch of Resources Without Real-Time Energy Bids.

Dispatch Instructions shall be issued for each Dispatch Interval as needed to prescribe the ramp between a resource's accepted HASP Bid in one Trading Hour to its accepted HASP Bid in the immediately succeeding Operating Hour. Such Dispatch Instructions shall be based on the lesser of: (1) the applicable Operational Ramp Rate as provided for in Section 30.10 and (2) the Ramp Rate associated with the Standard Ramp. The Dispatch Instructions for Ramping of Generating Units without Real-Time Energy Bids in both Operating Hours shall ramp the resource between hourly Schedules symmetrically across hourly boundaries in twenty (20) to sixty (60) minutes assuming congestion can be resolve utilizing Economic Bids. The minimum twenty (20)-minute ramp is required for smooth hourly Schedule changes and is consistent with Intertie scheduling agreements between Balancing Authority Areas. Resources with slower Ramp Rates would have longer ramps, and at the extreme, would ramp from the middle of an hour to the middle of the next hour. Energy resulting from the Standard Ramp shall be deemed Standard Ramping Energy and will be settled in accordance with Section 11.5.1. Energy resulting from any ramp extending beyond the Standard Ramp will be deemed Ramping Energy Deviation and will be settled in accordance with Section 11.5.1.

34.16.6 Intra-Hour Exceptional Dispatches.

For the special case where an Exceptional Dispatch begins in the new hour and the rules above would result in the violation of the resources inter-temporal constraint(s), the following rules are applied and the Energy is settled as Exceptional Dispatch Energy as described in Section 11.5.6.

(a) If the ramp time is greater than one hour or greater than what can be achieved when RTM receives the Constraint, RTM starts the ramp at the earliest possible time and continues Ramping the resource in the new Trading Hour.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

- (b) If the ramp time results in starting the ramp less than ten (10) minutes before the start of the hour, RTM instead starts the ramp at ten (10) minutes before the start of the hour and ramps the resource at a uniform rate so that it meets the Constraint by the start time of the Exceptional Dispatch.
- (c) If the new hour's Day-Ahead Schedule is beyond the Exceptional Dispatch

 Constraint, RTM resumes the basic Ramping rules after the Exceptional Dispatch

 Constraint is met, but limits the Ramp Rate as necessary to ensure that the

 resource does not complete its ramp before ten (10) minutes after the hour.

34.17 Dispatch Information and Instructions.

34.17.1 Dispatch Information To Be Supplied by the CAISO.

Communication of Dispatch information provided by the CAISO shall be in accordance with Section 6.3.

34.17.2 Dispatch Information To Be Supplied by Scheduling Coordinator.

Each Scheduling Coordinator shall be responsible for the submission of Bids and Dispatch of Generation and Demand in accordance with its Day-Ahead Schedule. Each Scheduling Coordinator shall keep the CAISO apprised of any change or potential change in the current status of all Generating Units, Interconnection schedules and Inter-SC Trades. This will include any changes in Generating Unit capacity that could affect planned Dispatch and conditions that could affect the reliability of a Generating Unit. Each Scheduling Coordinator shall immediately pass to the CAISO any information which it receives from a Generator which the Generator provides to the Scheduling Coordinator pursuant to Section 36.11.1. Each Scheduling Coordinator shall immediately pass to the CAISO any information it receives from a MSS Operator which the MSS Operator provides to the Scheduling Coordinator regarding any change or potential change in the current status of all Generating Units, System Units,

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 659 Superseding Original Sheet No. 659

Interconnection schedules and Inter-Scheduling Coordinator Energy Trades. This information includes

any changes in MSS System Units and Generating Unit capacity that could affect planned Dispatch and

conditions that could affect the reliability of the System Unit or Generating Unit.

34.17.3 Dispatch Information To Be Supplied by UDCs.

Each UDC shall keep the CAISO informed of any change or potential change in the status of its

transmission lines and station equipment at the point of Interconnection with the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Each UDC shall keep the CAISO informed as to any event or circumstance in the UDC's service territory

that could affect the reliability of the CAISO Controlled Grid. This would include adverse weather

conditions, fires, bomb threats, etc.

34.17.4 Dispatch Information To Be Supplied by PTOs.

Each PTO shall report any change or potential change in equipment status of the PTO's transmission

assets turned over to the control of the CAISO or in equipment that affects transmission assets turned

over to the control of the CAISO immediately to the CAISO (this will include line and station equipment,

line protection, Remedial Action Schemes and communication problems, etc.). Each PTO shall also keep

the CAISO immediately informed as to any change or potential change in the PTO's transmission system

that could affect the reliability of the CAISO Controlled Grid. This would include adverse weather

conditions, fires, bomb threats, etc.

Each PTO shall schedule all Outages of its lines and station equipment which are under the Operational

Control of the CAISO in accordance with the appropriate procedures in Section 9.3. Each PTO shall

coordinate any requests for or responses to Forced Outages on its transmission lines or station

equipment which are under the Operational Control of the CAISO directly with the appropriate CAISO

Control Center as defined in Section 7.1.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

Superseding Original Sheet No. 671

First Revised Sheet No. 671

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

detailed in the Business Practice Manuals, reduces nominated CRRs based on effectiveness in relieving

overloaded constraints in order to minimize the total MW volume reduction of nominations while achieving

simultaneous feasibility. In the event that there are two or more identical nominations for a specific

combination of CRR Source and CRR Sink that affect an overloaded constraint, the CRR Allocation

optimization formulation cannot distinguish these nominations based on effectiveness and, therefore, the

CRR Allocation optimization will award each such Candidate CRR Holder a pro rata share of the CRRs

that can be awarded based on each Candidate CRR Holder's nominated MW amounts. In addition to the

adjustments in Section 36.4.1, the SFT for each CRR Allocation considers:

(a) CRRs representing ETCs, Converted Rights and any TOR capacity that was not

captured in the adjustments described in Section 36.4, which the CAISO deems

necessary to prevent the Congestion Settlement of ETCs, Converted Rights, and

TORs from causing revenue inadequacy of allocated and auctioned CRRs;

(b) In the case of the monthly CRR Allocation, the CRRs already released for that

month in the annual CRR Allocation and Auction; and,

(c) The CRRs allocated in previous CRR Allocation tiers as described in Sections

36.8.3.1 through 36.8.3.6.

The CAISO will be responsible for submitting CRR nominations associated with ETC and Converted

Rights Self-Schedules. These nominations will be Point-to-Point CRR nominations. The priority weights

for these Point-to-Point CRR nominations will be given a higher value than the proxy bids associated with

the nominations submitted by the CRR Allocation participants. In addition, as further provided in the

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

Superseding Original Sheet No. 672

First Revised Sheet No. 672

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Business Practice Manual, the CAISO will enforce the following general pro-rationing rules when one or more sources from an Multi-Point CRR nomination compete with a Point-to-Point CRR nomination for a limited amount of capacity on a constraint, and the effectiveness on the constraint for each of the competing Multi-Point CRR sources is equal to the effectiveness of the Point-to-Point CRRs on the constraint. As further provided in the Business Practice Manual, in certain circumstances such as when

the CAISO receives a relatively small sink nomination value, could not apply.

(1) The cleared MW amounts for the Point-to-Point CRR and the Multi-Point CRR

high priority sources are proportional to their respective nominated MW values;

(2) The cleared MW amounts for the Multi-Point CRR sources are inversely

proportional to the total number of high priority sources in the Multi-Point CRR;

and

(3) Point-to-Point CRR sources always have priority over low priority Multi-Point

CRR sources.

In the event that transmission Outages and derates modeled for the monthly CRR Allocation and CRR Auction render previously issued Seasonal CRRs infeasible, the CAISO will increase the transfer capacity on the overloaded facilities just enough to render all Seasonal CRRs issued for the month feasible without creating any additional capacity beyond what is needed for the feasibility of the Seasonal CRRs. The CAISO will announce these adjustments to the market prior to conducting the monthly CRR Allocation and CRR Auction so that Candidate CRR Holders can take these facts into consideration in preparing their nominations and bids.

36.5 Candidate CRR Holder and CRR Holder Requirements.

Any entity that holds or intends to hold CRRs must register and qualify with the CAISO and comply with the other terms of this Section, regardless of whether they acquire CRRs by CRR Allocation, CRR Auction, the Secondary Registration System, or are assigned CRRs for Load Migration.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

First Revised Sheet No. 748 Superseding Original Sheet No. 748

39.7.1.1 Variable Cost Option.

For natural gas-fueled units, the Variable Cost Option will calculate the Default Energy Bid by adding incremental fuel cost with variable operation and maintenance cost, adding ten percent (10%) to the sum, and adding a Bid Adder if applicable. For non-natural gas-fueled units, the Variable Cost Option will calculate the Default Energy Bid by summing incremental fuel cost plus ten percent (10%) of fuel cost

plus a Bid Adder if applicable.

39.7.1.1.1 Incremental Fuel Cost Calculation Under the Variable Cost Option.

For natural gas-fueled units, incremental fuel cost is calculated based on an incremental heat rate curve

multiplied by the natural gas price calculated as described below.

Resource owners shall submit to the CAISO average heat rates (Btu/kWh) measured for at least two (2) and up to eleven (11) generating operating points (MW), where the first and last operating points refer to the minimum and maximum operating levels (i.e., PMin and PMax), respectively. The average heat rate curve formed by the (Btu/kWh, MW) pairs is a piece-wise linear curve between operating points, and two (2) average heat rate pairs yield one (1) incremental heat rate segment that spans two (2) consecutive operating points. The incremental heat rates (Btu/kWh) in the incremental heat rate curve are calculated by converting the average heat rates submitted by resource owners to the CAISO to requirements of heat input (Btu/h) for each of the operating points and dividing the changes in requirements of heat input from one (1) operating point to the next by the changes in MW between two (2) consecutive operating points as specified in the Business Practice Manual. For each segment representing operating levels below

eighty percent (80%) of the unit's PMax, the incremental heat rate is limited to the maximum of the

average heat rates for the two (2) operating points used to calculate the incremental heat rate segment.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 748A

The unit's final incremental fuel cost curve is calculated by multiplying this incremental heat rate curve by

the applicable natural gas price, and then, if necessary, applying a left-to-right adjustment to ensure that

the final incremental cost curve is monotonically non-decreasing.

For non-natural gas-fueled units, incremental fuel cost is calculated based on an average cost curve as

described below.

Resource owners for non-natural gas-fueled units shall submit to the CAISO average fuel costs (\$/MW)

measured for at least two (2) and up to eleven (11) generating operating points (MW), where the first and

last operating points refer to the minimum and maximum operating levels (i.e., PMin and PMax),

respectively. The average cost curve formed by the (\$/MWh, MW) pairs is a piece-wise linear curve

between operating points, and two (2) average cost pairs yield one (1) incremental cost segment that

spans two (2) consecutive operating points. For each segment representing operating levels below

eighty percent (80%) of the unit's PMax, the incremental cost rate is limited to the maximum of the

average cost rates for the two (2) operating points used to calculate the incremental cost segment. The

unit's final incremental fuel cost curve is then adjusted, if necessary, applying a left-to-right adjustment to

ensure that the final incremental cost curve is monotonically non-decreasing.

Heat rate curves and average cost curves shall be stored, updated, and validated in the Master File. To

calculate the natural gas price, the CAISO will use different gas price indices for the Day-Ahead Market

and the Real-Time Market and each gas price index will be calculated using at least two prices from two

or more of the following publications: Natural Gas Intelligence, Btu Daily Gas Wire, Platt's Gas Daily and

the Intercontinental Exchange. For the Day-Ahead Market, the CAISO will update the gas price index

between 00:00 and 03:00 Pacific Time in the Day-Ahead using natural gas prices published on the prior

day, unless gas prices are not published on that day, in which case the CAISO will use the most recently

published prices that are available. For the Real-Time Market, the CAISO will update gas price indices

between the hours of 19:00 and 22:00 Pacific Time using natural gas prices published in the Day-Ahead,

unless gas prices are not published on that day, in which case the CAISO will use the most recently

published prices that are available.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

39.7.1.1.2 Variable Operation and Maintenance Cost Under the Variable Cost Option.

The default value for the variable operation and maintenance cost portion will be \$2/MWh. Generating Units that are of the combustion turbine or reciprocating engine technology will be eligible for a default variable operation and maintenance cost of \$4/MWh. Resource specific values may be negotiated with the Independent Entity charged with calculating the Default Energy Bid.

39.7.1.2 LMP Option.

The CAISO will calculate the LMP Option for the Default Energy Bid as a weighted average of the lowest quartile of LMPs at the Generating Unit PNode in periods when the unit was Dispatched during the preceding ninety (90) days. The weighted average will be calculated based on the quantities Dispatched

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

First Revised Sheet No. 752 Superseding Original Sheet No. 752

CAISO or Independent Entity will concatenate these two calculation methodologies (for calculating RMR Proxy Bids and Default Energy Bids for RMR Units) and will adjust them for monotonicity without lowering any price on either curve to create a single Energy Bid Curve to be used in the MPM-RRD processes as described in Sections 31 and 33 for the DAM and RTM, respectively. RMR Units are not eligible to receive a Bid Adder pursuant to Section 39.8 for contractual RMR Unit capacity between PMin and MNDC.

39.7.2 Competitive Path Designation.

39.7.2.1 Timing of Assessments.

The CAISO will complete the first assessment of competitiveness of transmission constraints prior to the effective date of this provision. Constraint designations resulting from the first assessment will be applied in the MPM-RRD mechanism on the day this CAISO Tariff becomes effective and will not be changed until a subsequent assessment has been performed. The CAISO may perform additional competitive constraint assessments during the year if changes in transmission infrastructure, generation resources, or Load, in the CAISO Balancing Authority Area and adjacent Balancing Authority Areas suggest material changes in market conditions or if market outcomes are observed that are inconsistent with competitive market outcomes. The CAISO will calculate and post path designations not less than once prior to the effective date of this tariff provision and not less than four (4) times each year thereafter to provide timely seasonal path designations.

39.7.2.2 Criteria.

A transmission constraint will be deemed competitive if no three unaffiliated suppliers are jointly pivotal in relieving congestion on that constraint. The determination of whether or not the pivotal supplier criteria for an individual constraint are violated will be assessed using the Feasibility Index described in Section 39.7.2.4. Assessment of competitiveness will be performed assuming various system conditions potentially including but not limited to season, load, planned transmission and resource outages. If an individual constraint fails the pivotal supplier criteria under any of these system conditions, the constraint will be deemed uncompetitive for the entire year under all system conditions until a subsequent

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

First Revised Sheet No. 753 Superseding Original Sheet No. 753

assessment deems the constraint competitive. In general, a constraint may be an individual transmission line or a collection of lines that create a distinct transmission constraint. For purposes of the competitive assessment, the set of constraints that will be included in the network model are those modeled along with transmission limits to be enforced in the FNM used in clearing the CAISO Markets.

39.7.2.3 Candidate Path Identification.

The first assessment of competitive constraints will be determined prior to the effective date of this provision and will consider all interfaces to neighboring control areas and all inter-zonal interfaces for zones that existed prior to the effective date of this provision to be competitive. The set of candidate constraints that will be evaluated for competitiveness in the initial assessment will be limited to intra-zonal constraints for zones that existed prior to the effective date of this provision, that were managed for Congestion in Real-Time in greater than five hundred (500) hours in the most recent twelve (12)-month period. The Congestion frequency threshold of five-hundred (500) hours for designation of competitive constraint candidates will be based on the combination of real-time intra-zonal congestion hours that predated the effective date of this provision, and congestion in IFM and Real-Time markets after the effective date of this provision for the twelve (12) months of historical data. Subsequent assessments will again consider all pre-existing interfaces to neighboring control areas and all inter-zonal interfaces to be competitive and will not be included in the set of candidate constraints for assessment. The set of candidate constraints will be further reduced to those remaining constraints that were congested or managed for congestion in greater than five hundred (500) hours in the prior twelve (12) months.

39.7.2.4 Feasibility Index.

The CAISO will perform a pivotal supplier test on all suppliers in the CAISO Balancing Authority Area for each path to be assessed using the Feasibility Index (FI). Suppliers will be considered in two groups: those suppliers with the largest portfolios will be considered in the preliminary simulations, and any additional suppliers who are likely to be pivotal given the competitive designations from the preliminary

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Second Revised Sheet No. 754

Superseding First Revised Sheet No. 754

simulations. The FI requires solving the network model having removed all internal resources of a

supplier and modifying the candidate constraints of the network model such that the flow limits of the set

of candidate constraints can be exceeded with a penalty imposed for excess flow. The resulting solution

to the network model produces constraint flows that can be used to calculate the FI. The FI is calculated

for each constraint as the proportion of the constraint limit that is exceeded to solve the FNM without the

specified supplier's supply. FI values less than zero indicate the supplier is pivotal in relieving Congestion

on the specified constraint. The process is repeated by removing the supply portfolio of two and three

suppliers for paths with non-negative FI. If any three suppliers are jointly pivotal in relieving congestion

on a candidate path, as indicated by an FI value less than zero, the candidate path will be deemed

uncompetitive. Otherwise, the candidate path will be deemed competitive. The portfolio of each supplier

will be based on ownership information available to the CAISO, taking into account any material transfer

of sufficient length that the transfer of control could have persistent impact on the relative shares of

supply within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area. These transfers of control will be utilized in the

assessment as provided to the CAISO by the supplier reflecting its triennial filing with FERC for market-

based rate authority.

39.8 Eligibility for Bid Adder.

A Scheduling Coordinator submitting Bids for Generating Units is eligible to have a Bid Adder applied to a

Generating Unit for the next operating month if the criteria in Section 39.8.1 are met as determined on a

monthly basis in the preceding month.

39.8.1 Bid Adder Eligibility Criteria.

To receive a Bid Adder, a Generating Unit must: (i) have a Mitigation Frequency that is greater than

eighty percent (80%) in the previous twelve (12) months; and (ii) must not have a contract to be a

Resource Adequacy Resource for its entire Net Qualifying Capacity, or be designated under the ICPM for

its entire Eligible Capacity, or be subject to an obligation to make capacity available under this CAISO

Tariff. If a

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

Second Revised Sheet No. 755

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Superseding First Revised Sheet No. 755

Generating Unit is designated under the ICPM for a portion of its Eligible Capacity, the provisions of this

section apply only to the portion of the capacity not designated. Scheduling Coordinators for Generating

Units seeking to receive Bid Adders must further agree to be subject to the Frequently Mitigated Unit

option for a Default Energy Bid. Run hours are those hours during which a Generating Unit has positive

metered output. During the first twelve (12) months after the effective date of this Section, the Mitigation

Frequency will be based on a rolling twelve (12)-month combination of RMR Dispatches and incremental

Bids dispatched out of economic merit order to manage local Congestion from the period prior to the

effective date of this Section, which will serve as a proxy for being subject to Local Market Power

Mitigation, and a Generating Unit's Local Market Power Mitigation frequency after the effective date of

this Section. Generating Units that received RMR Dispatches and/or incremental Bids dispatched out of

economic merit order to manage local Congestion in an hour prior to the effective date of this Section will

have that hour counted as a mitigated hour in their Mitigation Frequency. After the first twelve (12)

months from the effective date of this Section, the Mitigation Frequency will be based entirely on a

Generating Unit being mitigated under the MPM-RRD procedures in Sections 31 and 33.

39.8.2 **New Generating Units.**

For new Generating Units, with less than twelve (12) months of operation, determination of eligibility for

the Bid Adder will be based on data beginning with the first date the Generating Unit participated in the

CAISO Markets through the end date of the period for which the Mitigation Frequency is being calculated.

The 200 run hour criteria will be pro-rated for the proportion of a twelve (12)-month period that the new

Generating Unit submitted effective Bids in the CAISO markets.

39.8.3 **Bid Adder Values.**

The value of the Bid Adder will be either: (i) a unit-specific value determined in consultation with the

CAISO or an independent entity selected by the CAISO, or (ii) a default Bid Adder of \$24/MWh. For

Generating Units with a portion of their capacity identified as meeting an LSE's Resource Adequacy

Requirements, that Generating Unit's Bid Adder value will be reduced by the percent of the Generating

Unit's capacity that is identified as meeting an LSE's Resource Adequacy Requirements. The reduced

Bid Adder will be applied to that Generating Unit's entire Default Energy Bid Curve.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

First Revised Sheet No. 790

Resource Adequacy Resources that are Extremely Long-Start Resources must (2) make themselves available to the CAISO by complying with the Extremely Long-Start Commitment Process under Section 31.7 or otherwise committing the ELS Resource upon instruction from the CAISO, if physically capable.

- Resource Adequacy Resources must be available except for limitations specified (3) in the Master File, legal or regulatory prohibitions or as otherwise required by this CAISO Tariff or by Good Utility Practice.
- (4) Resource Adequacy Resources that do not submit Self-Schedules or Economic Bids reflecting all of their Resource Adequacy Capacity will be subject to the CAISO's optimization for the remainder of their Resource Adequacy Capacity Bids into the Day-Ahead Market. If the Resource Adequacy Resource submits a Bid for Ancillary Service(s), the Energy Bid associated with the Bid for Ancillary Services will be optimized by the CAISO.
- (5) Resource Adequacy Resources must participate in the RUC to the extent that the resource has available Resource Adequacy Capacity that is not reflected in a Self-Schedule is already committed to provide Energy or capacity in the IFM. Resource Adequacy Resources will be subject to RUC and will be optimized at a zero dollar RUC Availability Bid.
- (6) Capacity from Resource Adequacy Resources selected in RUC will not be eligible to receive a RUC Availability Payment.

40.6.2 Real-Time Availability.

Resource Adequacy Resources that have been committed by the CAISO in the Day-Ahead Market or the RUC for part of their Resource Adequacy Capacity or have submitted a Self-Schedule for part of their Resource Adequacy Capacity must remain available to the CAISO through Real-Time, including capacity reflected in the Day-Ahead Schedule and any remaining capacity, for the scheduled and non-scheduled portions of their Resource Adequacy Capacity, subject to the provisions of Section 40.6.4.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF
FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 839 Superseding Original Sheet No. 839

Administrative Price The price set by the CAISO in place of a Locational Marginal Price

when, by reason of a System Emergency, the CAISO determines that it no longer has the ability to maintain reliable operation of the CAISO Controlled Grid relying solely on the economic Dispatch of Generation.

This price will remain in effect until the CAISO considers that the System

Emergency has been contained and corrected.

ADR Alternative Dispute Resolution
ADS Automated Dispatch System

Adverse System Impact The negative effects due to technical or operational limits on conductors

or equipment being exceeded that may compromise the safety and

reliability of the electric system.

Affected System An electric system other than the CAISO Controlled Grid that may be

affected by the proposed interconnection, including the Participating TOs' electric systems that are not part of the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Affected System Operator The entity that operates an Affected System.

Affiliate With respect to a corporation, partnership or other entity, each such

other corporation, partnership or other entity that directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls, or is controlled by, or is under common control with, such corporation, partnership or other

entity.

AGC Automatic Generation Control

Aggregate Credit Limit The sum of a Market Participant's or CRR Holder's Unsecured Credit

Limit and its Financial Security Amount, as provided for in Section 12.

Limit and its i maridial decarty / imparit, as provided for in decition 12.

Aggregated Participating

Load

An aggregation at one or more Participating Load Locations, created by the CAISO in consultation with the relevant Participating Load, for the purposes of enabling participating of the Participating Load in the CAISO Markets like Generation by submitting Supply Bids when offering

Curtailable Demand and as non-Participating Load by submitting

Demand Bids to consume in the Day-Ahead Market only.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 855 Superseding Original Sheet No. 855

Day 0

The Trading Day to which the Settlement Statement or Settlement calculation refers. For example "Day 41" shall mean the 41st day after that Trading Day and similar expressions shall be construed accordingly.

Day-Ahead

The twenty-four hour time period prior to the Trading Day.

Day-Ahead Bid Awarded Energy

The Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy above the Day-Ahead Total Self-Schedule and below the Day-Ahead Schedule. The Day-Ahead Bid Awarded Energy is also indexed against the relevant Day-Ahead Energy Bid and sliced by the Energy Bid price. The Day-Ahead Energy Bid Awarded Energy slices are settled as described in Section 11.2.1.1, and they are included in BCR as described in Section 11.8.2.1.5.

Day-Ahead Inter-SC Trade Period

The period commencing seven (7) days prior to the applicable Trading Day and ending at 12:00 p.m. noon on the day prior to that Trading Day, during which time the CAISO will accept Inter-SC Trades of Energy for the DAM from Scheduling Coordinators.

Day-Ahead Market (DAM)

A series of processes conducted in the Day-Ahead that includes the Market Power Mitigation-Reliability Requirement Determination, the Integrated Forward Market and the Residual Unit Commitment.

Day-Ahead Minimum Load Energy

Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy below the registered Minimum Load, which applies to Generating Units with non-zero Minimum Load. Day-Ahead Minimum Load Energy is settled as provided in Section 11.2.1.1, and it is included in Bid Cost Recovery (BCR) at the relevant IFM Minimum Load Cost as described in Section 11.8.2.1.2.

Day-Ahead Pumping Energy

Negative Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy consumed by Participating Load Pumped-Storage Hydro Units and Pumping Load scheduled in pumping mode in the IFM. When Day-Ahead Pumping Energy is present, there are no other Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy subtypes present. Day-Ahead Pumping Energy is settled as provided in Section 11.2.1.3 and it is included in BCR as described in Sections 11.8.2.1.4 and 11.8.2.2.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

Second Revised Sheet No. 856 Superseding First Revised Sheet No. 856

Day-Ahead Schedule

A Schedule issued by the CAISO one day prior to the target Trading Day indicating the levels of Supply and Demand for Energy cleared through the IFM and scheduled for each Settlement Period, for each PNode or Aggregated Pricing Node, including Scheduling Points of that Trading Day.

Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy

Hourly Energy that corresponds to the flat portions of the hourly Day-Ahead Schedule. It is composed of Day-Ahead Minimum Load Energy, Day-Ahead Self-Scheduled Energy, and Day-Ahead Bid Awarded Energy. It does not include the Day-Ahead Energy that corresponds to the flat schedule when a resource is committed in the Day-Ahead in pumping mode. Expected Energy committed in Day-Ahead pumping mode is accounted for as Day-Ahead Pumping Energy. Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy is settled as specified in Section 11.2.1.1.

Day-Ahead Self-Scheduled Energy

Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy above the registered Minimum Load and below the lower of the Day-Ahead Total Self-Schedule or the Day-Ahead Schedule. Day-Ahead Self-Scheduled Energy is settled as described in Section 11.2.1.1, and, as indicated in Section 11.8.2.1.5, it is not included in BCR.

Day-Ahead Total Self-Schedule

The sum of all Day-Ahead Self-Schedules (except Pumping Load Self-Schedules) in the relevant Clean Bid.

Decline Monthly Charge – Exports

A charge that applies to the aggregate of a Scheduling Coordinator's HASP Intertie Schedules for Energy exports that are not delivered in a Trading Month, as determined pursuant to Section 11.31.1.

Decline Monthly Charge – Imports

A charge that applies to the aggregate of a Scheduling Coordinator's HASP Intertie Schedules for Energy imports that are not delivered in a Trading Month, as determined pursuant to Section 11.31.1.

Decline Potential Charge – Exports

A potential charge that is calculated for any HASP Intertie Schedule for an Energy export when the HASP Intertie Schedule is not delivered for any reason, which potential charge and its applicability are determined pursuant to Section 11.31.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 858 Superseding Original Sheet No. 858

Derate Energy

Extra-marginal IIE, exclusive of Standard Ramping Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation, Residual Imbalance Energy, MSS Load Following Energy, and Real-Time Minimum Load Energy produced or consumed due to Minimum Load overrates or PMax derates. Derate Energy is produced above the higher of the Day-Ahead Schedule, the registered Minimum Load, or the HASP Intertie Schedule, and below the lower of the overrated Minimum Load and the Dispatch Operating Point, or consumed below the lower of the Day-Ahead Schedule or the HASP Intertie Schedule, and above the higher of the derated PMax or the Dispatch Operating Point. There could be two Derate Energy slices, one for the Minimum Load overrate, and one for the PMax derate. Derate Energy does not overlap with Standard Ramping Energy. Ramping Energy Deviation, Residual Imbalance Energy, Real-Time Minimum Load Energy, Exceptional Dispatch Energy, or Optimal Energy, but it may overlap with Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy, HASP Scheduled Energy, and MSS Load Following Energy. Derate Energy is settled as described in Section 11.5.1, and it is not included in BCR as described in Section 11.8.4.

Direct Access End-User

An Eligible Customer located within the Service Area of a Utility Distribution Company who purchases Energy and Ancillary Services through a Scheduling Coordinator.

Dispatch

The activity of controlling an integrated electric system to: i) assign specific Generating Units and other sources of supply to effect the supply to meet the relevant area Demand taken as Load rises or falls; ii) control operations and maintenance of high voltage lines, substations, and equipment, including administration of safety procedures; iii) operate interconnections; iv) manage Energy transactions with other interconnected Balancing Authority Areas; and v) curtail Demand.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 865 Superseding Original Sheet No. 865

ERA Energy Resource Area

Estimated Aggregate Liability

The sum of a Market Participant's or CRR Holder's known and reasonably estimated potential liabilities for a specified time period arising from charges described in the CAISO Tariff, as provided for in

Section 12.

Estimated RMR Invoice The monthly invoice issued by the RMR Owner to the CAISO for

estimated RMR Payments or RMR Refunds pursuant to the RMR

Contract.

E-Tag An electronic tag associated with an Interchange schedule in

accordance with the requirements of WECC.

ETC Existing Transmission Contract

ETC Self-Schedule A Self-Schedule submitted by a Scheduling Coordinator pursuant to

Existing Rights as reflected in the TRTC Instructions.

Exceptional Dispatch A Dispatch Instruction issued to avoid a Market Interruption for the

purposes specified in Section 34.9. Energy from Exceptional

Dispatches shall not set any Dispatch Interval LMP.

Exceptional Dispatch Energy

Extra-marginal IIE, exclusive of Standard Ramping Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation, Residual Imbalance Energy, MSS Load Following Energy, Real-Time Minimum Load Energy, and Derate Energy,

produced or consumed due to Exceptional Dispatch Instructions that are binding in the relevant Dispatch Interval. Without MSS Load following, Exceptional Dispatch Energy is produced above the LMP index and below the lower of the Dispatch Operating Point or the Exceptional Dispatch Instruction, or consumed below the LMP index and above the higher of the Dispatch Operating Point or the Exceptional Dispatch Instruction. The LMP index is the capacity in the relevant Energy Bid that corresponds to a Bid price equal to the relevant LMP. Exceptional Dispatch Energy does not overlap with Standard Ramping Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation, Residual Imbalance Energy, Real-Time Minimum Load Energy, Derate Energy, or Optimal Energy, but it may overlap with Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy, HASP Scheduled Energy, and MSS Load Following Energy. Exceptional Dispatch Energy is settled as described in Section 11.5.6, and it is not included in BCR as

described in Section 11.8.4.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 866 Superseding Original Sheet No. 866

Exceptional Dispatch Instruction	A Dispatch Instruction issued pursuant to Exceptional Dispatch.
Excess Cost Payments	The payments made by the CAISO for costs associated with Exceptional
	Dispatches for 1) emergency conditions, to avoid Market Interruption
	and avoid an imminent System Emergency as provided in Section
	11.5.6.1.1; 2) transmission-related modeling limitations as provided in
	Section 11.5.6.2.3; 3) Condition 2 RMR Units as provided in Section
	11.5.6.3.2; and 4) emergency Energy as provided in Section 11.5.8.1.1.
Existing Contract Import Capability	The quantity of Available Import Capability reserved for Existing
	Contracts and Transmission Ownership Rights held by Load Serving
	Entities that serve Load within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area
	under Step 3 of Section 40.4.6.2.
Existing High Voltage Facility	A High Voltage Transmission Facility of a Participating TO that was
	placed in service on or before the TAC Transition Date described in
	Section 4.2 of Schedule 3 of Appendix F.
Existing QF Contract	An agreement for the sale of capacity, Energy, and/or Ancillary Services
	by a Participating Generator to an electric utility from a Qualifying
	Facility that became effective on or prior to December 20, 1995 or, in the
	case of a Participating Generator employing landfill gas technology, on
	or prior to December 31, 1996.
Existing Rights	The transmission service rights and obligations of non-Participating TOs
	under Existing Contracts, including all terms, conditions, and rates of the
	Existing Contracts, as they may change from time to time under the
	terms of the Existing Contracts.

Existing Transmission Contracts (ETC) or Existing Contracts The contracts which grant transmission service rights in existence on the CAISO Operations Date (including any contracts entered into pursuant to such contracts) as may be amended in accordance with their terms or by agreement between the parties thereto from time to time.

Existing Zone A region formerly referred to as NP15, SP15, or ZP26 prior to

implementation of the CAISO LMP market design.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

Existing Zone Generation Trading Hub

Trading Hubs specifically developed to represent the average price paid

to generation resources within Existing Zones.

Expanded System Region

The System Region and Intertie Scheduling Points with interconnected

Balancing Authority Areas.

Expected Congestion Revenue

The mean value based on the probability distribution of the historic

Congestion revenue of a CRR.

Expected Energy

The total Energy that is expected to be generated or consumed by a resource, based on the Dispatch of that resource, as calculated by the Real-Time Market (RTM), and as finally modified by any applicable Dispatch Operating Point corrections. Expected Energy includes the Energy scheduled in the IFM, and it is calculated the applicable Trading Day. Expected Energy is calculated for Generating Units, System Resources, Resource-Specific System Resources, and Participating

Loads. The calculation is based on the Day-Ahead Schedule and the Dispatch Operating Point trajectory for the three-hour period around the target Trading Hour (including the previous and following hours), the applicable Real-Time LMP for each Dispatch Interval of the target Trading Hour, and any Exceptional Dispatch Instructions. Expected

Energy is used as the basis for Settlements.

Export Bid

Exporting Participating Intermittent Resource

Extremely Long-Start Commitment Process (ELC Process)

Extremely Long-Start Resource (ELS Resource) A Demand Bid submitted to a CAISO Market at a Scheduling Point. A Participating Intermittent Resource with a PIR Export Percentage

greater than zero (0).

The CAISO process for Unit Commitment for Extremely Long-Start Resources, as set forth in Section 31.7.

A Generating Unit that has a Start-Up Time greater than 18 hours or a System Resource that is either: 1) a non-Resource-Specific System Resource with contractual limitations that require the Energy be transacted (i.e., committed) prior to the publishing time of the Day-Ahead Market results (1300 hours on the day before the Trading Day) or 2) a Resource-Specific System Resource that has a Start-Up Time greater than 18 hours.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

First Revised Sheet No. 875 Superseding Original Sheet No. 875

HASP Scheduled Energy

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

IIE from a Non-Dynamic System Resource, exclusive of Real-Time Pumping Energy and Real-Time Minimum Load Energy, produced or consumed due to hourly scheduling in the HASP. HASP Scheduled Energy is produced above the higher of the Day-Ahead Schedule or the Minimum Load, and below the HASP Intertie Schedule, or consumed below the Day-Ahead Schedule and above the HASP Intertie Schedule. In the latter case, HASP Scheduled Energy overlaps with Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy; HASP Scheduled Energy does not overlap with Real-Time Pumping Energy or Real-Time Minimum Load Energy, but it may overlap with other IIE subtypes. HASP Scheduled Energy is indexed against the relevant Energy Bid and sliced by service type, depending on the Ancillary Services capacity allocation on the Energy Bid, and by Energy Bid price. HASP Scheduled Energy slices are settled as described in Section 11.4, and they are included in BCR as reflected in Section 11.8.4; provided that if any HASP Scheduled Energy slice below or above the Energy Bid has no associated Energy Bid price, it is not included in BCR as described in Section 11.8.4. For Non-Dynamic System Resources that are designated as MSS Load following resources, HASP Scheduled Energy is considered as MSS Load Following Energy.

Henry Hub

The pricing point for natural gas futures contracts traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX).

High Priority Economic Planning Study

An Economic Planning Study performed by the CAISO for inclusion in the Transmission Plan and for which the CAISO assumes cost responsibility.

High Voltage Access Charge (HVAC)

The Access Charge applicable under Section 26.1 to recover the High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirements of each Participating TO in a Transmission Access Charge Area.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF
FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 892 Superseding Original Sheet No. 892

Manual RMR Dispatch An RMR Dispatch Notice issued by the CAISO other than as a result

of the MPM-RRD process.

Marginal Cost of Congestion

(MCC)

The component of LMP at a PNode that accounts for the cost of congestion, as measured between that Node and a Reference Bus.

Marginal Cost of Losses

(MCL)

The component of LMP at a PNode that accounts for the marginal real power losses, as measured between that Node and a Reference Bus.

The transmission system marginal real power losses that arise from

changes in demand at a Node which are served by changes in

generation at a Reference Bus.

Market Behavior Rules

Market Clearing

Marginal Losses

Those rules established by FERC under Docket No. EL01-118.

The act of conducting any of the process used by the CAISO to

determine LMPs, Day-Ahead Schedules, RUC Awards or AS Awards, HASP Intertie Schedules and Dispatch Instructions based on Supply

Bids and Demand Bids or CAISO Demand Forecast.

Market Clearing Price The price in a market at which supply equals demand. All demand

prepared to pay at least this price has been satisfied and all supply

prepared to operate at or below this price has been purchased.

Market Close The time after which the CAISO is no longer accepting Bids for its

CAISO Markets which: 1) for the DAM is 10:00 A.M. Pacific Time of the Day-Ahead; and 2) for the HASP and the RTM is approximately

seventy-five minutes prior to the Operating Hour.

Market Disruption An action or event that causes a failure of the normal operation of any

of the CAISO Markets.

Market Interruption The disruption of the normal operations of a CAISO Market.

Market InterventionAn action taken by the CAISO to override or augment the operation of

a CAISO Market.

Market Manipulation

Has the meaning set forth in Section 37.7.

Market Monitoring Unit The component of the CAISO organization (currently the "Department"

of Market Monitoring") that is assigned responsibility in the first

instance for the functions of a Market Monitoring Unit, as that term is

used in Docket No. EL01-118.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 897 Superseding Original Sheet No. 897

Metered Subsystem Agreement (MSS Agreement) A negotiated agreement between the CAISO and an MSS Operator regarding the operation of an MSS in relation to the CAISO entered into pursuant to Section 4.9, which MSS Agreement will incorporate the provision of Section 4.9, unless otherwise agreed.

Metering Facilities

Revenue quality meters, instrument transformers, secondary circuitry, secondary devices, meter data servers, related communication facilities and other related local equipment.

Meter Points

Locations on the CAISO Controlled Grid at which the CAISO requires the collection of Meter Data by a metering device.

Meter Service Agreement for CAISO Metered Entities (MSA CAISOME) An agreement entered into between the CAISO and a CAISO Metered Entity consistent with the provisions of Section 10, a pro forma version of which is set forth in Appendix B.6.

Meter Service Agreement for Scheduling Coordinators (MSA SC) An agreement entered into between the CAISO and a Scheduling Coordinator consistent with the provisions of Section 10, a pro forma version of which is set forth in Appendix B.7.

Minimum Down Time (MDT)

The minimum amount of time that a Generating Unit must stay off-line after being Shut-Down, due to physical operating constraints.

Minimum Load

For a Generating Unit, the minimum sustained operating level at which it can operate at a continuous sustained level. For a Participating Load, the Operating Level at reduced consumption pursuant to a Dispatch Instruction.

Minimum Load Bid

The Bid component that indicates the Minimum Load Cost for the Generating Unit or Participating Load, specified by a non-negative number in dollars per hour, which applies for the entire Trading Day for which it is submitted.

Minimum Load Costs

The costs a Generating Unit or a Participating Load incurs operating at Minimum Load, which in the case of Participating Load may not be negative.

Minimum Load Energy

The product of the relevant Minimum Load and the duration of the Settlement Interval.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 900 Superseding Original Sheet No. 900

MSS Demand CAISO Demand specified in an MSS Agreement as being within the

MSS.

MSS Deviation Band The amount by which a Load following MSS Operator can deviate from

Expected Energy without incurring a Load Following Deviation Penalty, equal to three percent (3%) of an MSS Operator's metered Demand in the MSS and exports from the MSS, adjusted for Forced Outages and any CAISO directed firm Load Shedding for the MSS's portfolio as a

whole.

MSS Load Following Energy IIE, exclusive of Standard Ramping Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation, and Residual Imbalance Energy, produced or consumed due to Load following by an MSS. MSS Load Following Energy is the IIE that corresponds to the algebraic Qualified Load Following Instruction, relative to the Day-Ahead Schedule. MSS Load Following Energy does not coexist with HASP Scheduled Energy, and it does not overlap with Standard Ramping Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation, or Residual Imbalance Energy, but it may overlap with Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy, Derate Energy, Exceptional Dispatch Energy, Real-Time Self-

Scheduled Energy, and Optimal Energy. MSS Load Following Energy is

settled as provided in Section 11.5.1, and it is not included in BCR as

described in Section 11.8.4.

MSS Operator
MSS Supply
Multi-Point CRR

An entity that owns an MSS and has executed a MSS Agreement. Supply specified in an MSS Agreement as supplying an MSS.

A CRR Obligation specified according to one or more CRR Sources and one or more CRR Sinks and a flow from the CRR Source(s) to the CRR Sink(s), provided that at least the CRR Sink or the CRR Source identifies more than one point.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF
FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 906 Superseding Original Sheet No. 906

Non-Overlapping Optimal

Energy

The portions of Optimal Energy that are not Overlapping Optimal

Energy, which are indexed against the relevant Energy Bid and sliced by

Energy Bid price.

Non-Participating TOA TO that is not a party to the Transmission Control Agreement or, for

the purposes of Section 16.1, the holder of transmission service rights

under an Existing Contract that is not a Participating TO.

Non-Spinning Reserve The portion of generating capacity that is capable of being synchronized

and Ramping to a specified load in ten minutes (or Load that is capable of being interrupted in ten minutes) and that is capable of running (or

being interrupted).

Non-Spinning Reserve

Cost

The revenues paid to the suppliers of the total awarded Non-Spinning

Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market, HASP, and Real-Time Market, minus, (ii) the payments rescinded due to either the failure to conform to CAISO Dispatch Instructions or the unavailability of the Non-

Spinning Reserves under Section 8.10.8.

Non-Spinning Reserve

Obligation

The obligation of a Scheduling Coordinator to pay its share of costs

incurred by the CAISO in procuring Non-Spinning Reserve.

No PayThe rescission of a payment made for provision of Spinning Reserve

and/or Non-Spinning Reserve when, subsequent to the AS Award for such Ancillary Service and payment, the Ancillary Service becomes Undispatchable Capacity, Unavailable Capacity, Undelivered Capacity,

or, in certain circumstances, unsynchronized capacity.

NOROCAF Negative Operating Reserve Obligation Credit Adjustment Factor

NRC The Nuclear Regulatory Commission or its successor.

NRC Standards The reliability standards published by the NRC from time to time.

OASIS Open Access Same-Time Information System

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 909 Superseding Original Sheet No. 909

Optimal Energy

Any remaining IIE after accounting for all other IIE subtypes. Optimal Energy does not overlap with Standard Ramping Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation, Residual Imbalance Energy, Real-Time Minimum Load Energy, Derate Energy, and Exceptional Dispatch Energy, but it may overlap with Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy, HASP Scheduled Energy, and MSS Load Following Energy. Optimal Energy is indexed against the relevant Energy Bid and sliced by service type, depending on the AS capacity allocation on the Energy Bid. Optimal Energy is also divided into Overlapping Optimal Energy and Non-Overlapping Optimal Energy. Any Optimal Energy slice below or above the Energy Bid has no associated Energy Bid price, and it is not included in BCR as described in Section 11.5.1.1.

Optional Interconnection Study

A sensitivity analysis based on assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.

Website for conducting the Optional Interconnection Study.

Optional Interconnection Study Agreement

The form of agreement accepted by FERC and posted on the CAISO

Order No. 888

The final rule issued by FERC entitled "Promoting Wholesale Competition through Open Access Non- discriminatory Transmission Services by Public Utilities; Recovery of Stranded Costs by Public Utilities and Transmitting Utilities," 61 Fed. Reg. 21,540 (May 10, 1996),

FERC Stats. & Regs., Regulations Preambles [1991-1996] \P 31,036 (1996), Order on Rehearing, Order No. 888-A, 78 FERC \P 61,220 (1997), as it may be amended from time to time

Order No. 889

The final rule issued by FERC entitled "Open Access Same-Time Information System (formerly Real Time Information Networks) and Standards of Conduct," 61 Fed. Reg. 21,737 (May 10, 1996), FERC Stats. & Regs., Regulations Preambles [1991-1996] ¶ 31,035 (1996), Order on Rehearing, Order No. 889-A, 78 FERC ¶ 61,221 (1997), as it

may be amended from time to time.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 910 Superseding Original Sheet No. 910

Original Participating TO

A Participating TO that was a Participating TO as of January 1, 2000.

OTC

Operating Transfer Capability

Outage

Disconnection, separation or reduction in capacity, planned or forced, of

one or more elements of an electric system.

Out-of-Balancing Authority Area Load Serving Entity (OBAALSE) An entity serving end-users located outside the CAISO Balancing

Authority Area and that has been granted authority or has an obligation pursuant to federal, state or local law, or under contracts to provide

electric service to such end-users located outside the CAISO Balancing

Authority Area.

Overgeneration

A condition that occurs when total Supply exceeds total Demand in the

CAISO Balancing Authority Area.

Overlapping Optimal

Energy

The portion of Optimal Energy that overlaps with MSS Load Following

Energy.

Partial Resource Adequacy Resource A Resource Adequacy Resource that has capacity that is designated by its Scheduling Coordinator as Resource Adequacy Capacity in its monthly or annual Resource Adequacy Plan and has a related availability obligation to the CAISO, but also has capacity that is not committed to meet a resource adequacy obligation in the CAISO

Balancing Authority Area.

Participating Generator

A Generator or other seller of Energy or Ancillary Services through a Scheduling Coordinator over the CAISO Controlled Grid from a Generating Unit with a rated capacity of 1 MW or greater, or from a Generating Unit providing Ancillary Services and/or submitting Energy Bids through an aggregation arrangement approved by the CAISO, which has undertaken to be bound by the terms of the CAISO Tariff, in the case of a Generator through a Participating Generator Agreement.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF
FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 911 Superseding Original Sheet No. 911

Participating Generator Agreement (PGA)

An agreement between the CAISO and a Participating Generator, a pro

forma version of which is set forth in Appendix B.2.

Participating Intermittent Resource

One or more Eligible Intermittent Resources that meets the

requirements of the technical standards for Participating Intermittent Resources adopted by the CAISO and published on the CAISO

Website.

Participating Intermittent Resource Export Fee

Fee based on Schedule 4 of Appendix F and Section 5.3 of Appendix Q.

Participating Intermittent Resource Fees

Fees set forth in Section 11.2.4.5.4.

Participating Load

An entity, including an entity with Pumping Load or Aggregated Participating Load, providing Curtailable Demand, which has undertaken in writing by execution of a Participating Load Agreement to comply with all applicable provisions of the CAISO Tariff.

Participating Load Agreement (PLA)

An agreement between the CAISO and a Participating Load, a pro forma

version of which is set forth in Appendix B.4.

Participating TO or Participating Transmission Owner (PTO) A party to the Transmission Control Agreement whose application under Section 2.2 of the Transmission Control Agreement has been accepted and who has placed its transmission assets and Entitlements under the CAISO's Operational Control in accordance with the Transmission Control Agreement. A Participating TO may be an Original Participating

TO or a New Participating TO.

Participating TO Service Territory

The area in which an IOU, a Local Public Owned Electric Utility, or federal power marketing authority that has turned over its transmission facilities and/or Entitlements to CAISO Operational Control is obligated to provide electric service to Load. A PTO Service Territory may be comprised of the Service Areas of more than one Local Publicly Owned Electric Utility, if they are operating under an agreement with the CAISO for aggregation of their MSS and their MSS Operator is designated as the Participating TO.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF
FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 920 Superseding Original Sheet No. 920

Pump Shut-Down Costs A Bid Component submitted by Scheduling Coordinators for resources

that are registered as a Participating Load that indicates the \$/MWh that

the Scheduling Coordinator is willing to be paid to not pump.

PURPA Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act

QF Qualifying Facility

QF PGA Qualifying Facility Participating Generator Agreement

Qualified Load Following

Instruction

The MSS Load following instruction that is limited by the qualified Load following up or down capacity. The qualified Load following up and

down capacity is the Load following capacity that is qualified and limited by whether the resource is derated or is limited by the Regulation Limits

if the resource is providing Regulation.

Qualified OBAALSE An OBAALSE which the CAISO has certified has met all the

requirements for eligibility for CRR Allocation in accordance with Section

39.

Qualifying Capacity The maximum capacity of a Resource Adequacy Resource. The criteria

for calculating Qualifying Capacity from Resource Adequacy Resources may be established by the CPUC or other applicable Local Regulatory

Authority and provided to the CAISO.

Qualifying Facility (QF)A qualifying cogeneration facility or small qualifying power production

facility, as defined in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 18, Part 292

(18 C.F.R § 292).

Qualifying Facility Participating Generator Agreement (QF PGA) An agreement between the CAISO and a Generator with a QF

Generating Unit, a pro forma version of which is set forth in Appendix

B.3.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 921 Superseding Original Sheet No. 921

Queue Position

The order of a valid Interconnection Request, relative to all other pending valid Interconnection Requests, that is established based upon the date and time of receipt of the valid Interconnection Request by the CAISO.

Ramping

Changing the loading level of a Generating Unit in a constant manner over a fixed time (e.g., Ramping up or Ramping down). Such changes may be directed by a computer or manual control.

Ramping Energy Deviation

The portion of Imbalance Energy produced or consumed due to deviation from the Standard Ramp because of ramp constraints, Start-Up, or Shut-Down. Ramping Energy Deviation may overlap with Standard Ramping Energy, and both Standard Ramping Energy and Ramping Energy Deviation may overlap with Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy, but with no other IIE subtype. Ramping Energy Deviation may be composed of two parts: a) the part that overlaps with Standard Ramping Energy whenever the DOP crosses the Standard Ramping Energy region; and b) the part that does not overlap with Standard Ramping Energy. The latter part of Ramping Energy Deviation consists only of extra-marginal IIE contained within the hourly schedule change band and not attributed to Exceptional Dispatch or derates. Ramping Energy Deviation does not apply to Non-Dynamic System Resources (including Resource-Specific System Resources). Ramping Energy Deviation is settled as described in Section 11.5.1, and it is included in BCR only for market revenue calculations as provided in Section 11.8.1.4.5.

Ramp Rate

The Bid component that indicates the operational Ramp Rate,
Regulation Ramp Rate, and Operating Reserve Ramp Rate for a
Generating Unit, and the Load drop rate and Load pick-up rate for
Participating Loads, for which the Scheduling Coordinator is submitting
Energy Bids or Ancillary Services Bids.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 924 Superseding Original Sheet No. 924

Real-Time Minimum Load Energy

IIE, exclusive of Standard Ramping Energy, Ramping Energy Deviation, and Residual Imbalance Energy, produced due to the Minimum Load of a Generating Unit that is committed in the RUC or the RTM and does not have a Day-Ahead Schedule or of a Constrained Output Generator (COG) that is committed in the IFM with a Day-Ahead Schedule below the registered Minimum Load. If the resource is committed in RTM for Load following by an MSS Operator, the Real-Time Minimum Load Energy is accounted as MSS Load Following Energy instead. Real-Time Minimum Load Energy is IIE above the Day-Ahead Schedule (or zero if there is no Day-Ahead Schedule of Energy) and below the registered Minimum Load. Real-Time Minimum Load Energy does not overlap with any other Expected Energy type. Real-Time Minimum Load Energy is settled as described in Section 11.5.1, and it is included in BCR as described in Section 11.8.4.1.2. IIE that is consumed when a resource that is scheduled in the DAM is shut down in the RTM is accounted as HASP Scheduled Energy or Optimal Energy and not as Real-Time Minimum Load Energy.

Real-Time Pumping Energy

IIE from a Participating Load Pumped-Storage Hydro Unit or Pumping Load, exclusive of Standard Ramping Energy and Ramping Energy Deviation, consumed below the Day-Ahead Schedule when dispatched in pumping mode, or produced from pumping operation due to pumping level reduction in Real-Time, including pump shut-down. Real-Time Pumping Energy does not overlap with any other Expected Energy type. Real-Time Pumping Energy is settled as described in Section 11.5.1, and it is included in BCR as described in Section 11.8.4.1.2.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 925 Superseding Original Sheet No. 925

Real-Time Self-Scheduled Energy

The slice of Non-Overlapping Optimal Energy that corresponds to the Real-Time total Self-Schedule.

Real-Time Settlement Interval MSS Price

1) The Real-Time LAP price for the MSS when the MSS internal metered Demand exceeds the MSS internal measured Generation; or 2) the weighted average of the Real-Time LMPs for all applicable PNodes within the relevant MSS when MSS internal measured Generation exceeds MSS internal Measured Demand where weighting factors for computing the weighted average are based on the measured Energy of all Generation at the corresponding PNodes.

Real-Time Unit Commitment (RTUC)

An application of the RTM that runs every 15 minutes and commits Fast Start Units and Medium Start Units using the SCUC to adjust from Day-Ahead Schedules and HASP Intertie Schedules.

Recalculation Settlement Statement

The reissue of an Initial Settlement Statement T+38BD by the CAISO on the fifty-first (51st) Business Day from the relevant Trading Day (T+51BD) if T+51BD falls on a calendar day that is after the day the Invoice or Payment Advice for the bill period containing the relevant Trading Day is scheduled to publish.

Recalculation Settlement Statement T+76BD

The reissue of an Initial Settlement Statement Reissue or the

Recalculation Settlement Statement by the CAISO on the seventy-sixth (76th) Business Day from the relevant Trading Day (T+76BD).

Redispatch

The readjustment of scheduled Generation or Demand side management measures, to relieve Congestion or manage Energy imbalances.

determined from registered values pursuant to Section 30.4(2).

Reference Bus

The Location(s) on the CAISO Controlled Grid relative to which mathematical quantities relating to powerflow solution will be calculated. The cost basis of a generating resource for which the operating cost is

Registered Cost

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 931 Superseding Original Sheet No. 931

Reserve Margin The amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity that a Scheduling

Coordinator is required to maintain in accordance with Section 40.

Reserve Sharing LSE A Load Serving Entity whose Scheduling Coordinator has informed

the CAISO in accordance with Section 40.1 of its election to be a

Reserve Sharing LSE.

Residual Imbalance Energy

Extra-marginal IIE produced or consumed at the start or end of a Trading Hour outside the hourly schedule-change band and not attributed to Exceptional Dispatch. Residual Imbalance Energy is due to a Dispatch Instruction in the previous Trading Hour or a Dispatch Instruction in the next Trading Hour. Residual Imbalance Energy may overlap only with Day-Ahead Scheduled Energy. Residual Imbalance Energy does not apply to Non-Dynamic System Resources (including Resource-Specific System Resources). Residual Imbalance Energy is settled as bid, based on the Real-Time Energy Bid of the reference hour, as described in Section 11.5.1 and it is not included in BCR as described in Section 11.8.4. The reference hour is the previous Trading Hour, if Residual Imbalance Energy occurs at the start of a Trading Hour, or the next Trading Hour, if Residual Imbalance Energy occurs at the end of a Trading Hour.

Residual Unit Commitment (RUC) The process conducted by the CAISO in the Day-Ahead Market after the IFM has been executed to ensure sufficient Generating Units, System Units, System Resources and Participating Loads are committed to meet the CAISO Forecast of CAISO Demand.

Resource Adequacy
Capacity or RA Capacity

The generation capacity of a Resource Adequacy Resource listed on

a Resource Adequacy Plan and a Supply Plan.

Resource Adequacy Compliance Year

A calendar year from January 1 through December 31.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

First Revised Sheet No. 934 Superseding Original Sheet No. 934

Revised Estimated RMR

Invoice

The monthly invoice issued by the Reliability Must-Run Owner to the

CAISO pursuant to the Reliability Must-Run Contract reflecting

appropriate revisions to the Estimated Reliability Must-Run Invoice based on the CAISO's validation of the Estimated Reliability Must-Run

Invoice.

RMDAPS Revenue Meter Data Acquisition and Processing System

RMR Reliability Must-Run

RMR Charge Reliability Must-Run Charge RMR Contract Reliability Must-Run Contract

RMR Default Amount Any amount due to be remitted to the relevant Facility Trust Account by

the RMR Owner or the Responsible Utility in accordance with an RMR

Contract.

RMR Dispatch The quantity of Energy or Ancillary Services that is mandated by the

CAISO to be delivered in a given market for a resource by an RMR Unit

under an RMR Contract.

RMR Dispatch NoticeNotice received by an RMR Unit from the CAISO containing an RMR

Dispatch.

RMR Energy Total Expected Energy under RMR Dispatch. RMR Energy is calculated

independent of other Expected Energy types and it may overlap with any

other Expected Energy type. It is used for RMR Contract based

settlement as provided in Section 11.13.

RMR Generation Reliability Must-Run Generation

RMR Invoice Any Estimated RMR Invoice, Revised Estimated RMR Invoice, Adjusted

RMR Invoice, or Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice under an RMR

Contract.

RMR Owner The provider of services under a Reliability Must-Run Contract.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

Second Revised Sheet No. 945 Superseding First Revised Sheet No. 945

Settlements, Metering, and Client Relations Charge

The component of the Grid Management Charge that provides for the recovery of the CAISO's costs, including, but not limited to the costs of maintaining customer account data, providing account information to customers, responding to customer inquiries, calculating market charges, resolving customer disputes, and the costs associated with the CAISO's Settlement, billing, and metering activities. Because this is a fixed charge per Scheduling Coordinator ID, costs associated with activities listed above also are allocated to other charges under the Grid Management Charge according to formula set forth in Appendix F, Schedule 1, Part A.

Settlement Statement

Any one of the following: Initial Settlement Statement T+38BD, Initial Settlement Statement Reissue, Recalculation Settlement Statement and Recalculation Settlement Statement T+76BD.

Settlement Statement Rerun

The re-calculation of a Settlement Statement in accordance with the

provisions of the CAISO Tariff.

SFT

Simultaneous Feasibility Test

Shadow Price

The marginal value of relieving a particular Constraint.

Short Start Unit

A Generating Unit that has a cycle time less than five hours (Start-Up Time plus Minimum Run Time is less than five hours), has a Start-Up Time less than two hours, and can be fully optimized with respect to this cycle time.

Short-Term Unit Commitment (STUC)

The Unit Commitment procedure run at approximately T-52.5 minutes for a Time Horizon of approximately five (5) hours. The STUC determines whether some Medium Start Units need to be started early enough to meet the Demand within the STUC Time Horizon using the CAISO Forecast of CAISO Demand. The STUC produces a Unit Commitment solution for every 15-minute interval within the STUC Time Horizon and issues binding Start-Up Instructions only as necessary.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

First Revised Sheet No. 948 Superseding Original Sheet No. 948

Stand Alone Network Upgrades

FOURTH REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. II

Network Upgrades that an Interconnection Customer may construct without affecting day-to-day operations of the CAISO Controlled Grid or Affected Systems during their construction. The Participating TO, the CAISO, and the Interconnection Customer must agree as to what constitutes Stand Alone Network Upgrades and identify them in Appendix A to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement.

Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (LGIA) The form of interconnection agreement applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to a Large Generating Facility, a pro forma version of which is set forth in Appendix V.

Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (LGIP)

The interconnection procedures applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to a Large Generating Facility that is set forth in Appendix U.

Standard Ramp (-ing)

A ramp calculated from two consecutive Day-Ahead Schedules that results in a straight trajectory between 10 minutes before the start of a Trading Hour to 10 minutes after the start of the Trading Hour.

Standard Ramping Energy

Imbalance Energy produced or consumed in the first two and the last two Dispatch Intervals due to hourly schedule changes. Standard Ramping Energy is a schedule deviation along a linear symmetric twenty (20)-minute ramp (Standard Ramp) across hourly boundaries. Standard Ramping Energy is always present when there is an hourly schedule change, including resource Start-Ups and Shut-Downs. Standard Ramping Energy does not apply to Non-Dynamic System Resources (including Resource-Specific System Resources) and is not subject to Settlement as described in Section 11.5.1.

Issued by: Anjali Sheffrin, Ph.D., Chief Economist

Issued on: May 19, 2008

Attachment B – Blacklines Business Practice Manual Compliance Filing 4th Replacement CAISO Tariff (MRTU) May 19, 2008

7.7 Management of System Emergencies.

* * *

7.7.15 System Operations in the Event of a Market Disruption.

The CAISO may take one or more of the following actions in the event of a Market Disruption, to prevent a Market Disruption, or minimize the extent of a Market Disruption:

- (a) postpone the closure of the applicable CAISO Market;
- (b) remove Bids and Self-Schedules that have resulted in a Market Disruption previously;
- (c) close the applicable CAISO Market and manually copy Bids and Self-Schedules
 from the previous day or other applicable market period;
- (d) close the applicable CAISO Market and use submitted Bids and Self-Schedules

 to the extent possible;
- (e) cancel the applicable CAISO Market, in which case import/export schedules shallbe determined by submittal of E-Tags;
- (f) utilize Administrative Prices to settle metered Supply and Demand; and
- (g) utilize Exceptional Dispatch and issue operating orders for resources to be committed and dispatched to meet Demand.

The CAISO's choice of action in the event of a Market Disruption shall depend on the cause of the Market Disruption, the expected time to resolve the Market Disruption, and the status of submitted Bids and Self-Schedules at the time the Market Disruption occurs. Nothing in this Section 7.7.15 shall prevent the CAISO from taking any other action permitted under the CAISO Tariff.

* * *

8.3.7 Bidding Requirements, Including Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service.

Scheduling Coordinators may submit Bids or Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service consistent with the rules specified in Section 30 and any further requirements in this Section 8.3.7. Scheduling Coordinators may (i) submit Bids or Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service from resources located within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area or Dynamic System Resources certified to provide

Ancillary Services, (ii) submit Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service from resources located outside the CAISO Balancing Authority Area if provided pursuant to ETCs, TORs, or Converted Rights, (iii) submit Bids for Ancillary Services from resources located outside the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, or (iv) specify Inter-SC Trades of Ancillary Services. Ancillary Services in the Day-Ahead Market, in the HASP, and in the Real-Time Market are comprised of the following: Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserve, and Non-Spinning Reserve. Each Generating Unit (including Physical Scheduling Plants), System Unit, Participating Load, or System Resource for which a Scheduling Coordinator wishes to submit Ancillary Service Bids must meet the requirements set forth in this CAISO Tariff. The same resource capacity may be offered into more than one CAISO Ancillary Service auction at the same time. Ancillary Services Bids and Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service can be submitted up to seven (7) days in advance. Ramp Rates will be only used by the CAISO for procuring capacity associated with the specific Ancillary Services. The CAISO will issue Real-Time Dispatch Instructions in the Real-Time Market for the Energy associated with the awarded capacity based upon the applicable Operational Ramp Rate submitted with the single Energy Bid Curve in accordance with Section 30.10. There is no ability to procure Ancillary Services for export. To the extent a Scheduling Coordinator has an on-demand obligation to serve loads outside the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, it can do so provided that (1) it is using export transmission capacity available in Real-Time, and (2) the resource capacity providing Energy to satisfy the on-demand obligation is not under an RMR Contract or Resource Adequacy Capacity obligation, and has not been paid a RUC Availability Payment for the Trading Hour.

* * *

8.6.2 Right to Self-Provide.

Each Scheduling Coordinator may choose to self-provide all, or a portion, of its Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserve, and Non_-Spinning Reserve obligations in the Day-Ahead Market, and, to the extent needed to satisfy CAISO's additional requirement, HASP and Real-Time Market from resources eligible for self-provision. The right to self-provide Ancillary Services from capacity that is under a contractual obligation to provide Energy, including but not limited to capacity subject to an RMR Contract and local Resource Adequacy Resources, shall be conditional; self-provision of Ancillary Services from such capacity will only be permitted to the extent that capacity is not needed for Energy as a result of the

MPM-RRD process described in this CAISO Tariff. To self-provide Ancillary Services a Scheduling Coordinator must provide the CAISO with a Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service. Both Ancillary Service Bids and Submissions to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service can be provided to the CAISO for the same Ancillary Service and for the same hour in the same market. To the extent the Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service is from a resource that is a Partial Resource Adequacy Resource, and Energy is needed, including for purposes under Section 31.3.1.3, from that resource the CAISO shall only disqualify the self-provision of Ancillary Services from the portion of the resource's capacity that has must-offer obligation, provided that the Scheduling Coordinator has not submitted an Energy Bid for the capacity that is not subject to a must-offer obligation. If there is an Energy Bid submitted for the capacity of a Partial Resource Adequacy Resource that is not subject to a must-offer obligation the CAISO may disqualify the Submission to Self-Provide an Ancillary Service for the portion of the resources capacity that is not under a must-offer obligation consistent with the principles of cooptimization under the CAISO Tariff.

Prior to evaluating Ancillary Service Bids, the CAISO will determine whether Submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services are feasible with regard to resource operating characteristics and regional constraints and are qualified to provide the Ancillary Services in the markets for which they were submitted.

If the total Submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services exceed the maximum regional requirement for the relevant Ancillary Service in an Ancillary Service Region, the submissions that would otherwise be accepted by the CAISO as feasible and qualified will be awarded on a pro-rata basis among the suppliers offering to self-provide the Ancillary Service up to the amount of the requirement. If a regional constraint imposes a limit on the total amount of Regulation Up, Spinning Reserve, and Non-Spinning Reserve, and the total self-provision of these Ancillary Services in that region exceeds that limit, Self-Provided AS are qualified pro rata from higher to lower quality service in three tiers: Regulation Up first, followed by Spinning Reserve, and then by Non-Spinning Reserve. Following this process, unless a higher quality Self-Provided Ancillary Service in a constrained region is fully qualified, the pro rata allocation in the subsequent lower quality Self-Provided Ancillary Services for that region will be nil. Submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services in excess of the maximum regional requirement for the relevant Ancillary

Service in an Ancillary Service Region will not be accepted and qualified by the CAISO as Self-Provided Ancillary Services.

The CAISO shall schedule Self-Provided Ancillary Services in the Day-Ahead Market and the RTM and Dispatch Self-Provided Ancillary Services in the Real-Time. To the extent that a Scheduling Coordinator self-provides Regulation Up, Regulation Down, Spinning Reserve, and Non-Spinning Reserve, the CAISO shall correspondingly reduce the quantity of the Ancillary Services it procures from Bids submitted in the Day-Ahead Market, HASP, and the Real-Time Market. To the extent a Scheduling Coordinator's Self-Provided Ancillary Service for a particular Ancillary Service is greater than the Scheduling Coordinator's obligation for that particular Ancillary Service in a Settlement Interval, the Scheduling Coordinator will receive the user rate for the Self-Provided Ancillary Service for the amount of the Self-Provided Ancillary Service in excess of the Scheduling Coordinator's obligation.

Scheduling Coordinators may trade Ancillary Services so that any Scheduling Coordinator may reduce its Ancillary Services Obligation through purchase of Ancillary Services capacity from another Scheduling Coordinator, or self-provide in excess of its obligation to sell Ancillary Services to another Scheduling Coordinator.

* * *

11.5.6.2.3 Settlement of Excess Cost Payments for Exceptional Dispatches used for Transmission-Related Modeling Limitations.

The Excess Cost Payment for Exceptional Dispatches used for transmission-related modeling limitations as described in Section 34.9.3 is calculated for each resource for each Settlement Interval as the cost difference between the Settlement amount calculated pursuant to Section 11.5.6.2.1 or 11.5.6.2.2 for the applicable delivered Exceptional Dispatch quantity at the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval LMP and one of the following three costs: (1) the resource's Energy Bid Cost, 2) the Default Energy Bid cost, or 3) the Energy cost at the negotiated price, as applicable for System Resources, for the relevant Exceptional Dispatch.

* * *

11.5.8.1.1 Settlement and Allocation of Excess Costs Payments for Emergency Energy Purchases, Other than Exceptional Dispatch Energy, to Scheduling Coordinators.

The Excess Cost Payments for emergency Energy purchased in the circumstances specified in Section 11.5.8.1 is calculated for each purchase for each Settlement Interval as the cost difference between the Settlement amount calculated pursuant to Section 11.5.8.1 for the <u>delivered purchase quantity</u> and the simple average of the relevant Dispatch Interval LMPs at the applicable Scheduling Point. The Excess Cost Payments for emergency Energy purchased in the circumstances specified in Section 11.5.8.1 shall be allocated in the same manner as specified in Section 11.5.6.2.5.2 for the allocation of the Excess Cost Payments portion of payments for Exceptional Dispatches for emergency conditions.

BPM Proposed Revision Request Submittal.

A request to make any change to a BPM, including any attachments thereto that are incorporated by reference, and any changes to the BPM PRR must be initiated through a submittal of a BPM PRR, except as provided in Section 22.4.3 or 22.11.1.2.

The following entities may submit a BPM PRR:

22.11.1.1

- (1) Any Market Participant;
- (2) Local Regulatory Authority;
- (3) CAISO management; and
- (4) Any other entity that meets the following qualifications:
 - (a) The entity must represent a Market Participant in dealings with the CAISO or operate in the CAISO Markets, and
 - (b) The entity must demonstrate that the entity (or those it represents) is affected by the subject section(s) of the BPM.

BPM PRRs shall be submitted electronically to the CAISO in the form and manner described in the Business Practice Manual for BPM change management. The BPM PRR shall include a description of the requested revision, the reason for the suggested change, the impacts and benefits of the suggested change (including any impact on the CAISO Market structure, CAISO operations and Market Participants, to the extent the submitter may know this information), a list of affected BPM sections and subsections,

general administrative information, suggested language for the requested revision, and for BPM PRRs submitted by CAISO management, a BPM PRR impact analysis.

* * *

22.11.1.4 Types and Treatment of BPM PRRs.

Each BPM PRR shall be preliminarily classified into one of the following categories by the BPM change management coordinator as either a Category A revision or a Category B or C revision. After further in consultation with internal CAISO business units, the submitter (if not the CAISO), and representatives from potentially affected stakeholders in the BPM PRR review process, the BPM change management coordinator may reclassify the BPM PRR as appropriate for purposes of review in accordance with its scope and significance. Types of BPM PRRs include:

(a) Category A – Clarifications of existing BPM language, grammatical errors, and revisions with minor significance.

In the event the CAISO receives no comments or no adverse comments within the specified time frame, the CAISO may incorporate the proposed changes into the BPM, if reasonably acceptable to the CAISO, before the next BPM change management meeting. These changes may be placed into effect at any time after the comment period expires.

(b) Category B – Revisions that may beof substantial significance, including or revisions that require changes to the CAISO or Market Participants' systems.

For proposals falling in this category, the CAISO will, unless urgent or emergency circumstances exist, delay implementation until after the next regularly scheduled BPM change management meeting even if no comments or no adverse comments are received. In the case of a proposed change affecting the CAISO's systems, the CAISO will prepare a BPM PRR impact analysis, if not already prepared, in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Business Practice Manual. The CAISO shall post the completed BPM PRR impact analysis to the CAISO Website and publish a Market Notice of such posting. Comments may be filed concerning the BPM PRR impact analysis. The comments must be delivered electronically to the CAISO within ten (10) Business Days or otherwise as specified in a Market Notice. Comments shall be posted to the CAISO Website.

(c) Category C – Revisions <u>implementing significant new CAISO policies and/or that</u>

are beyond the scope of the BPM or that may <u>potentially</u> requir<u>inge</u> revisions to
the CAISO Tariff. For such proposed revisions, the CAISO will identify additional
processes that may need to be undertaken in the consideration of the requested
change beyond the BPM PRR process.

Proposed revisions implementing significant new CAISO policies may have implications outside the scope of a proposed change to a BPM and may require alternative treatment. For proposals falling in this category, the CAISO will, unless urgent or emergency circumstances exist, delay implementation until after the next regularly scheduled BPM change management meeting. If the CAISO concludes that a Category C BPM PRR cannot be implemented without an amendment to the CAISO Tariff, the CAISO will provide a written explanation and indicate its support for or opposition to the need or appropriateness of a tariff amendment. The written explanation shall also indicate a lead department or business unit within the CAISO that would have responsibility for leading any stakeholder process necessary for the tariff amendment.

22.11.1.5 BPM PRR Review and Action.

Any interested stakeholder or CAISO management may comment on a posted BPM PRR in accordance with the process set forth in the Business Practice Manual for BPM change management. To receive consideration, comments must be delivered electronically to the CAISO within ten (10) Business Days, or within any shorter period determined to be necessary or appropriate pursuant to the provisions of either Sections 22.11.1.7 or 22.11.1.8 or otherwise as specified in a Market Notice. Comments shall be posted to the CAISO Website. After their comment periods have expired, BPM PRRs shall be considered by the CAISO at a regularly established monthly public meeting or specially-noticed meeting dedicated to that purpose. Following any meeting to consider pending BPM PRRs and subject to the standards set forth in Section 22.11.1.4, the BPM change management coordinator shall issue a recommendation for action on each pending BPM PRR and shall publish for public comment a report on the recommendation in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Business Practice Manual for BPM change management. The report shall be sufficiently detailed and shall be published in a timeframe that allows interested

stakeholders a meaningful opportunity to provide written comment. The BPM change management coordinator shall publish a final decision on any BPM PRR after considering stakeholder comments and all relevant impacts on their business needs and after the PRR recommendation report and comments concerning <u>it</u> have been discussed at a BPM change management meeting, in accordance with procedures set forth in the Business Practice Manual for BPM change management.

* * *

22.11.1.7 CAISO Expedited Action in Emergency Circumstances.

Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 22.11.1.1, the CAISO may take expedited action to change or clarify a provision of a BPM under emergency circumstances. In addition to the circumstances identified in Section 22.4.3, emergency circumstances exist whenever the CAISO determines in good faith that (i) failure to implement a change or clarification to a BPM on an expedited basis would substantially and adversely affect System Reliability or security or the competitiveness or efficiency of the CAISO Markets, and (ii) there is insufficient time to comply with the BPM PRR procedures set forth in Section 22.11.1. The CAISO shall take reasonable steps to communicate with Market Participants and any other directly-affected entities prior to taking expedited action if practicable. If the CAISO takes expedited action to change or clarify a provision of a BPM in emergency circumstances, the CAISO shall promptly issue a Market Notice and submit a BPM PRR to examine the necessity of the change and its impacts.

* * *

31.3.1.2 Treatment of Ancillary Services Bids in IFM.

As provided in Section 30.7.6.2 the CAISO shall co-optimize the Energy and Ancillary Services Bids in clearing the IFM. To the extent that capacity subject to an Ancillary Services Bid submitted in the Day-Ahead Market is not associated with an Energy Bid, there is no co-optimization, and therefore, no opportunity cost associated with that resource for that Bid for the purposes of calculating the Ancillary Services Marginal Price as specified in Section 11.10.1.1. When the capacity associated with the Energy Bid overlaps with the quantity submitted in the Ancillary Services Bid, then the Energy Bid will be used to determine the opportunity cost, if any, in the co-optimization to the extent of the overlap. Therefore, the capacity that will be considered when co-optimizing the procurement of Energy and Ancillary Services

from Bids in the IFM will consider capacity up to the total capacity of the resource as reflected in the Ancillary Services Bid as derated through SLIC, if at all. In the case of Regulation, the capacity that will be considered is the lower of the capacity of the resource offered in the Ancillary Services Bid or the upper Regulation limit of the highest Regulating Range as contained in the Master File.

* * *

39.7.1.1 Variable Cost Option.

For natural gas-fueled units, Fthe Variable Cost eOption will calculate the Default Energy Bid by adding incremental fuel cost with variable operation and maintenance cost, adding ten percent (10%) to the sum, and adding a Bid Adder if applicable. For non-natural gas-fueled units, the Variable Cost Option will calculate the Default Energy Bid by summing incremental fuel cost plus ten percent (10%) of fuel cost plus a Bid Adder if applicable as Variable Costs plus ten percent (10%). Variable Cost will be comprised of two components: Fuel Cost and Variable Operation and Maintenance Cost. The Fuel Cost portion will be calculated for each Bid segment using the Heat Rate supplied by the resource owner on file in the Master File and the applicable regional natural gas price indices calculated as follows and as specified in the Business Practice Manual.

39.7.1.1.1 Incremental Fuel Cost Calculation Under the Variable Cost Option.

For natural gas-fueled units, incremental fuel cost is calculated based on an incremental heat rate curve multiplied by the natural gas price calculated as described below.

Resource owners shall submit to the CAISO average heat rates (Btu/kWh) measured for at least two (2) and up to eleven (11) generating operating points (MW), where the first and last operating points refer to the minimum and maximum operating levels (i.e., PMin and PMax), respectively. The average heat rate curve formed by the (Btu/kWh, MW) pairs is a piece-wise linear curve between operating points, and two (2) average heat rate pairs yield one (1) incremental heat rate segment that spans two (2) consecutive operating points. The incremental heat rates (Btu/kWh) in the incremental heat rate curve are calculated by converting the average heat rates submitted by resource owners to the CAISO to requirements of heat input (Btu/h) for each of the operating points and dividing the changes in requirements of heat input from one (1) operating point to the next by the changes in MW between two (2) consecutive operating points as specified in the Business Practice Manual. For each segment representing operating levels below

eighty percent (80%) of the unit's PMax, the incremental heat rate is limited to the maximum of the average heat rates for the two (2) operating points used to calculate the incremental heat rate segment.

The unit's final incremental fuel cost curve is calculated by multiplying this incremental heat rate curve by the applicable natural gas price, and then, if necessary, applying a left-to-right adjustment to ensure that the final incremental cost curve is monotonically non-decreasing.

For non-natural gas-fueled units, incremental fuel cost is calculated based on an average cost curve as described below.

Resource owners for non-natural gas-fueled units shall submit to the CAISO average fuel costs (\$/MW) measured for at least two (2) and up to eleven (11) generating operating points (MW), where the first and last operating points refer to the minimum and maximum operating levels (i.e., PMin and PMax), respectively. The average cost curve formed by the (\$/MWh, MW) pairs is a piece-wise linear curve between operating points, and two (2) average cost pairs yield one (1) incremental cost segment that spans two (2) consecutive operating points. For each segment representing operating levels below eighty percent (80%) of the unit's PMax, the incremental cost rate is limited to the maximum of the average cost rates for the two (2) operating points used to calculate the incremental cost segment. The unit's final incremental fuel cost curve is then adjusted, if necessary, applying a left-to-right adjustment to ensure that the final incremental cost curve is monotonically non-decreasing.

Heat rate curves and average cost curves shall be stored, updated, and validated in the Master File. To calculate the natural gas price, the CAISO will use different gas price indices for the Day-Ahead Market and the Real-Time Market and each gas price index will be calculated using at least two prices from two or more of the following publications: Natural Gas Intelligence, Btu Daily Gas Wire, Platt's Gas Daily and the Intercontinental Exchange. For the Day-Ahead Market, the CAISO will update the gas price index between 00:00 and 03:00 Pacific Time in the Day-Ahead using natural gas prices published on the prior day, unless gas prices are not published on that day, in which case the CAISO will use the most recently published prices that are available. For the Real-Time Market, the CAISO will update gas price indices between the hours of 19:00 and 22:00 Pacific Time using natural gas prices published in the Day-Ahead, unless gas prices are not published on that day, in which case the CAISO will use the most recently published prices that are available.

39.7.1.1.2 Variable Operation and Maintenance Cost Under the Variable Cost Option.

The default value for the $\forall \underline{v}$ ariable $\underline{\Theta}$ peration and \underline{M} maintenance \underline{G} cost portion will be \$2/MWh. Generating Units that are of the \underline{G} combustion \underline{T} turbine or \underline{R} reciprocating \underline{E} engine technology will be eligible for a default \underline{V} ariable $\underline{\Theta}$ peration and \underline{M} maintenance \underline{G} cost of \$4/MWh. Resource specific values may be negotiated with the Independent Entity charged with calculating the Default Energy Bid.

* * *

39.7.2.1 Timing of Assessments.

The CAISO will complete the first assessment of competitiveness of transmission constraints prior to the effective date of this provision. Constraint designations resulting from the first assessment will be applied in the MPM-RRD mechanism on the day this CAISO Tariff becomes effective and will not be changed until a subsequent assessment has been performed. The CAISO may perform additional competitive constraint assessments during the year if changes in transmission infrastructure, generation resources, or Load, in the CAISO Balancing Authority Area and adjacent Balancing Authority Areas suggest material changes in market conditions or if market outcomes are observed that are inconsistent with competitive market outcomes. The CAISO will calculate and post path designations not less than once prior to the effective date of this tariff provision and not less than four (4) times each year thereafter to provide timely seasonal path designations.

* * *

CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX A Master Definitions Supplement

* * *

Market Disruption

An action or event that causes a failure of the normal operation of any of the CAISO Markets.

* * *

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing documents upon all of the parties listed on the official service list for the above-referenced proceedings, in accordance with the requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2010).

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 19th day of May, 2008.

Bradley R. Miliaushay Bradley R. Miliauskas