

May 23, 2007

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426

Re: California Independent System Operator Corporation

Compliance Filing Docket No. ER07-569-

Load Scheduling Amendment to the ISO Tariff

Dear Secretary Bose:

The California Independent System Operator Corporation ("CAISO")¹ hereby submits an original and five copies of a filing in compliance with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's ("Commission") April 24, 2007 "Order Accepting Tariff Amendment, With Modifications," 119 FERC ¶ 61,082 (2007) ("April 24 Order"). Two extra copies of this filing are also enclosed. Please stamp these copies with the date and time filed and return them to the messenger.

I. BACKGROUND

On February 23, 2007, the CAISO proposed amendments to its tariff to modify certain aspects of its scheduling and forecast submission requirements ("Load Scheduling Amendments"). The Load Scheduling Amendments, among other things, sought to reduce the minimum scheduling requirement during offpeak hours to 75 percent of each Scheduling Coordinator's Demand forecast and to establish specific exemptions to account for small or infrequent scheduling deviations below the scheduling requirements. In this regard, the Load Scheduling Amendments refined the 95 percent scheduling and Demand forecast reporting requirements set forth in ISO Tariff Amendment No. 72.²

On April 24, 2007, the Commission issued the April 24 Order accepting the Load Scheduling Amendments for filing, subject to modification. The April 24

California Independent System Operator Corp., FERC Docket No. ER05-1502-000.

Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings set forth in the Master Definitions Supplement, Appendix A to the ISO Tariff.

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose May 23, 2007 Page 2

Order required the CAISO to submit a compliance filing within 30 days to incorporate specific modifications, which are discussed below.

II. CONTENTS OF FILING

This filing consists of the following:

- This transmittal letter.
- Attachment A Clean ISO Tariff Sheets for the Load Scheduling Amendment Compliance Filing, and
- Attachment B Blacklines for the Load Scheduling Amendment Compliance Filing.

III. COMMUNICATIONS

Communications regarding this filing should be addressed to the following individuals, whose names should be placed on the official service list established by the Secretary with respect to this submittal:

Sidney M. Davies
Assistant General Counsel
Grant Rosenblum
Senior Counsel
The California Independent
System Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630
Tel: (916) 351-4400

Fax: (916) 351-4400

E-mail: sdavies@caiso.com grosenblum@caiso.com

Sean A. Atkins
Robert M. Ivanauskas
Alston & Bird LLP
The Atlantic Building
950 F Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004

Tel: (202) 756-3300 Fax: (202) 756-3333

E-mail: sean.atkins@alston.com robert.ivanauskas@alston.com

IV. DESCRIPTION OF MODIFICATIONS

The April 24 Order directed the CAISO to make three general modifications to the originally filed Load Scheduling Amendments.

1. Monthly Allowance for Minor Scheduling Deviations

The Load Scheduling Amendments proposed an exemption from the forward scheduling requirement for twelve Minor Scheduling Deviations each

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose May 23, 2007 Page 3

calendar month.³ The April 24 Order rejected the proposed establishment of Minor Scheduling Deviations.⁴ The CAISO reflects this determination by the Commission by deleting proposed ISO Tariff Section 4.5.4.2.1.3,⁵ references to that section, and the definition of Minor Scheduling Deviations included in the Master Definitions Supplement, Appendix A to the ISO Tariff.

2. Monthly Exemption from \$500 Penalty for Failure to Submit Demand Forecast

The Load Scheduling Amendments proposed to revise ISO Tariff Section 31.1.4.1 to exempt the first failure in each calendar month by a Scheduling Coordinator to submit the required Demand forecast information from constituting a violation of the ISO Tariff. The April 24 Order rejected this aspect of the changes to ISO Tariff Section 31.1.4.1.⁶ Accordingly, this compliance filing deletes the exemption language.

3. Extension of *De Minimis* Load Exemption to Weekly Reporting Obligation

The Load Scheduling Amendments exempted LSEs with peak metered Demand of less than 1 MW during the preceding twelve months within a particular UDC area from both the scheduling and Demand forecast submission requirements. The exemptions were included in ISO Tariff Sections 4.5.4.2.1.1 and 31.1.4.1. In response to a recommendation by Williams Company, which was accepted by the CAISO, the April 24 Order directed the CAISO to extend the exemption for *de minimis* Demand to the weekly reporting obligations included in ISO Tariff Section 31.1.4.2.⁷ The extension of the *de minimis* Demand exemption has been incorporated in this compliance filing.

Minor Scheduling Deviations were defined as the difference between a Day-Ahead Schedule submitted by any Scheduling Coordinator, pursuant to Section 4.5.4.2.1.1, and its Demand Forecast, pursuant to Section 31.1.4.1, within any UDC or MSS Service Area that is (a) greater than the lesser of (i) three (3) MW or (ii) five percent (5%) of that Scheduling Coordinator's Demand Forecast for the relevant UDC or MSS Service Area as set forth in Section 4.5.4.2.1.2, but (b) less than the greater of (i) twenty-five (25) MW or (ii) two percent (2%) of that Scheduling Coordinator's Demand Forecast for the relevant UDC or MSS Service Area.

April 24 Order at P 31.

The CAISO inadvertently designated a rollover sheet as Original Sheet No. 20A in the Load Scheduling Amendments, although that designation had already been used to designate a rollover sheet in the June 12, 2006 compliance filing in Docket No. ER05-1502. The corrected sheet is included in this compliance filing as Original Sheet No. 20.00, which incorporates the deletion of language as directed in the April 24 Order.

April 24 Order at P 36.

ld. at P 41.

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose May 23, 2007 Page 4

V. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the CAISO respectfully requests that the Commission accept the ISO Tariff provisions as revised in compliance with the Commission's April 24 Order.

Respectfully submitted,

Sean A. Atkins Michael Kunselman Alston & Bird LLP The Atlantic Building 950 F Street, NW Washington, DC 20004

Tel: (202) 756-3300 Fax: (202) 756-3333 Sidney M. Davies

Assistant General Counsel

BRM

Grant Rosenblum Senior Counsel

The California Independent System Operator Corporation

151 Blue Ravine Road Folsom, CA 95630 Tel: (916) 351-4400

Fax: (916 608-7296

Attachment A – Clean IS	O Tariff Sheets for Lo	oad Scheduling Amen	dment Compliance Filing
•			

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 20

THIRD REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Superseding First Revised Sheet No. 20

4.5.4.2.1 Submission of Schedules Sufficient to Meet Forecasted Demand

4.5.4.2.1.1 Subject to Sections 4.5.4.2.1.2, each Scheduling Coordinator shall submit to the ISO a

Day-Ahead Schedule (1) for each hour ending 7 through 22 of each Trading Day that includes at least

ninety-five percent (95%) of that Scheduling Coordinator's Demand Forecast, pursuant to Section

31.1.4.1, for each hour, for each UDC or MSS Service Area, with respect to all entities for which the

Scheduling Coordinator schedules in the applicable UDC or MSS Service Areas and (2) for each hour

ending 1 through 6, 23 and 24 of each Trading Day that includes at least seventy-five percent (75%) of

that Scheduling Coordinator's Demand Forecast for each hour, for each UDC or MSS Service Area, with

respect to all entities for which the Scheduling Coordinator schedules in the applicable UDC or MSS

Service Areas. For purposes of Section 4.5.4.2.1, the Day-Ahead Schedule shall be either a Revised

Schedule pursuant to Section 30.3.4 if one is submitted by the Scheduling Coordinator, or, if the

Scheduling Coordinator does not submit a revised Schedule, a Preferred Day-Ahead Schedule pursuant

to Section 30.3.1.

The requirements of this section do not apply to (a) the portion of a Scheduling Coordinator's Demand

associated with Station Power and (b) the Scheduling Coordinator's Demand within a UDC or MSS

Service Area if the Scheduling Coordinator's maximum Demand within that UDC or MSS Service Area

during the preceding twelve (12) months was less than one (1) megawatt, provided that this exemption

shall not apply to any Scheduling Coordinator that did not submit Schedules for any metered Demand

within a UDC or MSS Service Area over the preceding twelve (12) month period.

4.5.4.2.1.2 Exempt Scheduling Deviations by a Scheduling Coordinator in each UDC or MSS

Service Area below the ninety-five percent (95%) and seventy-five percent (75%) scheduling levels

specified in Section 4.5.4.2.1.1 shall not be deemed violations of Section 4.5.4.2.1.1.

Issued by: Charles A. King, PE, Vice President of Market Development and Program Management

Issued on: May 23, 2007

Effective: April 26, 2007

Original Sheet No. 20.00

4.5.4.3 Dynamic Scheduling.

Scheduling Coordinators may dynamically schedule imports of Energy, Supplemental Energy, and Ancillary Services (other than Regulation) for which associated Energy is delivered dynamically from System Resources located outside of the ISO Control Area, provided that (a) such dynamic scheduling is technically feasible and consistent with all applicable NERC and WECC criteria and policies, (b) all operating, technical, and business requirements for dynamic scheduling functionality, as posted in standards on the ISO Home Page, are satisfied, (c) the Scheduling Coordinator for the dynamically scheduled System Resource executes an agreement with the ISO for the operation of dynamic scheduling functionality, and (d) all affected host and intermediary Control Areas each execute with the ISO an Interconnected Control Area Operating Agreement ("ICAOA") or special operating agreement related to the operation of dynamic functionality. See the forms of agreement in Attachment A to Appendix X.

4.5.4.4 Termination of Service Agreement.

- (a) A Scheduling Coordinator's Scheduling Coordinator Agreement may be terminated by the ISO on written notice to the Scheduling Coordinator:
 - (i) if the Scheduling Coordinator no longer meets the requirements for eligibility set out in Section 4.5 and fails to remedy the default within a period of seven (7) days after the ISO has given written notice of the default;

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 394

THIRD REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Superseding First Revised Sheet No. 394

purpose of balancing the RMR Contract Energy not otherwise Scheduled to forecast Demand or through an Inter-Scheduling Coordinator Energy Trade. The price for the RMR Contract Energy Scheduled to the RMR Contract Energy Load Point shall be the price paid to Demand deviations from Final Hour-Ahead Schedules.

31.1.3.5 [Not Used]

31.1.4 Demand Information.

31.1.4.1 Daily Information. By 10:00 a.m. on the day preceding the Trading Day, each Scheduling Coordinator shall provide to the ISO a Demand Forecast specified by UDC or MSS Service Area for which it will schedule deliveries for each of the Settlement Periods of the following Trading Day; however, the requirements of this Section shall not apply to (a) the portion of a Scheduling Coordinator's Demand associated with Station Power and (b) the Scheduling Coordinator's Demand within a UDC or MSS Service Area if the Scheduling Coordinator's maximum Demand within that UDC or MSS Service Area during the preceding twelve (12) months was less than one (1) megawatt, provided that this exemption shall not apply to any Scheduling Coordinator that did not submit Schedules for any metered Demand within a UDC or MSS Service Area over the preceding twelve (12) month period. The ISO shall aggregate the Demand information by UDC or MSS Service Area and transmit the aggregate Demand information to each UDC or MSS serving such aggregate Demand.

31.1.4.2 Preliminary Weekly Information. Each Scheduling Coordinator shall provide to the ISO, no later than seven (7) days after the end of each week, which shall end at Sunday HE 24, data for the previous week (Monday through Sunday), in electronic format, comparing, for each hour of that week: (1) the Scheduling Coordinator's total Day-Ahead scheduled Demand by UDC Service Area, as submitted pursuant to Section 4.5.4.2, (2) the Scheduling Coordinator's total Day-Ahead Demand Forecast by UDC Service Area, as submitted pursuant to Section 31.1.4.1, and (3) an estimate of the Scheduling Coordinator's actual Demand by UDC Service Area. The requirements of this section do not apply to (a) the portion of a Scheduling Coordinator's Demand associated with Station Power and (b) the Scheduling Coordinator's Demand within a UDC or MSS Service Area if the Scheduling Coordinator's Maximum

Issued by: Charles A. King, PE, Vice President of Market Development and Program Management Effective: April 26, 2007

Issued on: May 23, 2007

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

THIRD REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Substitute Original Sheet No. 394A

Demand within the UDC or MSS Service Area during the preceding twelve (12) months was less than one (1) megawatt, provided that this exemption shall not apply to any Scheduling Coordinator that did not submit Schedules for any metered Demand within a UDC or MSS Service Area over the preceding twelve (12) month period.

- 31.1.5 The Preferred Schedule of each Scheduling Coordinator for the following Trading Day shall be submitted at or prior to 10:00 a.m. on the day preceding the Trading Day together with any Adjustment Bids and Ancillary Services bids.
- 31.1.6 In submitting its Preferred Schedule, each Scheduling Coordinator shall notify the ISO of any Dispatchable Loads which are not scheduled but have submitted Adjustment Bids and are available for Dispatch at those same Adjustment Bids to assist in relieving Congestion.

Issued by: Charles A. King, PE, Vice President of Market Development and Program Management
Issued on: May 23, 2007

Effective: April 26, 2007

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 513

THIRD REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Superseding 1st Rev First Revised Sheet No. 513

under terms approved by a Local Regulatory Authority or FERC,

as applicable, or the customer's Load can be curtailed concurrently with an outage of the Generating Unit.

Meter Data Exchange

Format

The format for submitting Meter Data to the ISO which will be

published by the ISO on the ISO Home Page or available on

request to the Meter and Data Acquisition Manager, ISO Client

Service Department.

Meter Data Request

Format

The format for requesting Settlement Quality Meter Data from

the ISO which will be published by the ISO on the ISO Home
Page or available on request to the Meter and Data Acquisition

Manager, ISO Client Service Department.

Metered Quantities For each Direct Access End-User, the actual metered amount of

MWh and MW; for each Participating Generator the actual

metered amounts of MWh, MW, MVAr and MVArh.

<u>Metering Facilities</u> Revenue quality meters, instrument transformers, secondary

circuitry, secondary devices, meter data servers, related

communication facilities and other related local equipment.

Minimum Load Costs The

Month-Ahead System

Resource Adequacy

Requirements

The costs a Generating Unit incurs operating at minimum load.

The amount of Qualifying Capacity that a RA Entity must reflect

in its monthly Resource Adequacy Plan submitted pursuant to

Section 40.2.2 in compliance with Resource Adequacy Rules adopted by the CPUC or a Local Regulatory Authority, as

applicable.

Issued by: Charles A. King, PE, Vice President of Market Development and Program Management
Issued on: May 23, 2007

Effective: April 26, 2007

	and a second D. Disability	on for Lond Cabadulin	A dua a .u.t. C a mam li	on oo Eiling
Att	achment B – Blackline	es for Load Scheduling	g Amenament Compli	ance Filing
		·		

4.5.4.2.1 Submission of Schedules Sufficient to Meet Forecasted Demand

4.5.4.2.1.1 Subject to Sections 4.5.4.2.1.2-and 4.5.4.2.1.3, each Scheduling Coordinator shall submit to the ISO a Day-Ahead Schedule (1) for each hour ending 7 through 22 of each Trading Day that includes at least ninety-five percent (95%) of that Scheduling Coordinator's Demand Forecast, pursuant to Section 31.1.4.1, for each hour, for each UDC or MSS Service Area, with respect to all entities for which the Scheduling Coordinator schedules in the applicable UDC or MSS Service Areas and (2) for each hour ending 1 through 6, 23 and 24 of each Trading Day that includes at least seventy-five percent (75%) of that Scheduling Coordinator's Demand Forecast for each hour, for each UDC or MSS Service Area, with respect to all entities for which the Scheduling Coordinator schedules in the applicable UDC or MSS Service Areas. For purposes of Section 4.5.4.2.1, the Day-Ahead Schedule shall be either a Revised Schedule pursuant to Section 30.3.4 if one is submitted by the Scheduling Coordinator, or, if the Scheduling Coordinator does not submit a revised Schedule, a Preferred Day-Ahead Schedule pursuant to Section 30.3.1.

The requirements of this section do not apply to (a) the portion of a Scheduling Coordinator's Demand associated with Station Power and (b) the Scheduling Coordinator's Demand within a UDC or MSS Service Area if the Scheduling Coordinator's maximum Demand within that UDC or MSS Service Area during the preceding twelve (12) months was less than one (1) megawatt, provided that this exemption shall not apply to any Scheduling Coordinator that did not submit Schedules for any metered Demand within a UDC or MSS Service Area over the preceding twelve (12) month period.

* * *

4.5.4.2.1.3 In addition to the Exempt Scheduling Deviations permitted under Section 4.5.4.2.1.2, the first six (6) Minor Scheduling Deviations during each calendar month by each Scheduling Coordinator in each UDC or MSS Service Area below the ninety-five percent (95%) Day-Ahead scheduling requirement and the first six Minor Scheduling Deviations during each calendar month by each Scheduling Coordinator in each UDC or MSS Service Area below the seventy-five percent (75%) Day-Ahead

scheduling requirement, specified in Section 4.5.4.2.1.1, shall not be deemed a violation of Section 4.5.4.2.1.1.

* * *

31.1.4 Demand Information.

31.1.4.1 Daily Information. By 10:00 a.m. on the day preceding the Trading Day, each Scheduling Coordinator shall provide to the ISO a Demand Forecast specified by UDC or MSS Service Area for which it will schedule deliveries for each of the Settlement Periods of the following Trading Day however, the requirements of this Section shall not apply to (a) the portion of a Scheduling Coordinator's Demand associated with Station Power and (b) the Scheduling Coordinator's Demand within a UDC or MSS Service Area if the Scheduling Coordinator's maximum Demand within that UDC or MSS Service Area during the preceding twelve (12) months was less than one (1) megawatt, provided that this exemption shall not apply to any Scheduling Coordinator that did not submit Schedules for any metered Demand within a UDC or MSS Service Area over the preceding twelve (12) month period. The ISO shall aggregate the Demand information by UDC or MSS Service Area and transmit the aggregate Demand information to each UDC or MSS serving such aggregate Demand. The first instance in each calendar month in which a Scheduling Coordinator fails to submit the information required pursuant to this Section shall not be deemed a violation of this Section.

31.1.4.2 Preliminary Weekly Information. Each Scheduling Coordinator shall provide to the ISO, no later than seven (7) days after the end of each week, which shall end at Sunday HE 24, data for the previous week (Monday through Sunday), in electronic format, comparing, for each hour of that week: (1) the Scheduling Coordinator's total Day-Ahead scheduled Demand by UDC Service Area, as submitted pursuant to Section 4.5.4.2, (2) the Scheduling Coordinator's total Day-Ahead Demand Forecast by UDC Service Area, as submitted pursuant to Section 31.1.4.1, and (3) an estimate of the Scheduling Coordinator's actual Demand by UDC Service Area. The requirements of this section do not apply to (a) the portion of a Scheduling Coordinator's Demand associated with Station Power and (b) the Scheduling Coordinator's Demand within a UDC or MSS Service Area if the Scheduling Coordinator's Maximum Demand within the UDC or MSS Service Area during the preceding twelve (12) months was less than one

(1) megawatt, provided that this exemption shall not apply to any Scheduling Coordinator that did not submit Schedules for any metered Demand within a UDC or MSS Service Area over the preceding twelve (12) month period.

Minor Scheduling Deviation

The difference between a Day-Ahead Schedule submitted by any Scheduling Coordinator, pursuant to Section 4.5.4.2.1.1, and its Demand Forecast, pursuant to Section 31.1.4.1, within any UDC or MSS Service Area that is (a) greater than the lesser of (i) three (3) MW or (ii) five percent (5%) of that Scheduling Coordinator's Demand Forecast for the relevant UDC or MSS Service Area as set forth in Section 4.5.4.2.1.2, but (b) less than the greater of (i) twenty-five (25) MW or (ii) two percent (2%) of that Scheduling Coordinator's Demand Forecast for the relevant UDC or MSS Service Area.

* * *

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing document upon all parties on the official service list compiled by the Secretary in the above-captioned proceeding, in accordance with the requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2010).

Dated at Folsom, California this 23rd day of May 2007.

Grant Novemblum

Grant Rosenblum