## FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

In Reply Refer To: California Independent System Operator Corporation Docket No. ER09-1744-000 November 9, 2009

California Independent System Operator Corporation 151 Blue Ravine Road Folsom, CA 95630

Attention: Beth Ann Burns, Esquire Senior Counsel for the California Independent System Operator Corporation

Reference: Revised Tariff Sheets

Dear Ms. Burns:

On September 25, 2009, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) submitted revised tariff sheets to its Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade (MRTU) tariff that modify the payment acceleration program<sup>1</sup> to resolve a settlement imbalance issue.<sup>2</sup> Specifically, the CAISO states that to achieve revenue neutrality with semi-monthly invoicing, it was necessary to revise the methodology for settling Congestion Revenue Rights (CRRs) and transactions involving Participating Intermittent Resource Program (PIRP) participants. The CAISO states that its tariff revisions will allow for CRRs and PIRP charges to be calculated daily and therefore settle on a neutral basis under semi-monthly invoicing. Waiver of the Commission's notice requirements pursuant to section 35.11 of the Commission's regulations (18 C.F.R. § 35.11) is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This program is intended to accelerate the CAISO's settlement and payment timeline from a monthly basis to a semi-monthly basis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In addition to the settlement imbalance issue, the CAISO states that it also filed a proposed minor correction to MRTU tariff Appendix H, Sections 11.29.8.3 and 11.29.24.1(d). Specifically, the CAISO asserts that these sections reference an incorrect settlement statement, and that the proposed modifications correct the reference to the proper settlement statement.

Docket No. ER09-1744-000

granted and the proposed tariff sheets<sup>3</sup> are accepted for filing, effective November 1, 2009, as requested.

This filing was noticed on September 28, 2009, with comments, protests or motions to intervene due on or before October 16, 2009. No protests or adverse comments were filed. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This action does not constitute approval of the referenced filing or of any service, rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation, contract, or practice affecting such rates or services provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such action be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such action is without prejudice to any findings or orders that have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against the California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated to the Director, Division of Tariffs and Market Development - West under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director Division of Electric Power Regulation -West

cc: All Parties

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CAISO FERC Electric Tariff, Fourth Replacement Volume No.1, Second Revised Sheet No. 222, Second Revised Sheet No. 225, Original Sheet No. 225A, Third Revised Sheet No. 226, Original Sheet No. 226A, First Revised Sheet No. 296, First Revised Sheet No. 700, First Revised Sheet No. 714B, First Revised Sheet No. 1383, and First Revised Sheet No. 1387.

ER09-1744-000.DOC.....1-2