## FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20426

## OFFICE OF ENERGY MARKET REGULATION

California Independent System Operator Corporation Docket No. ER15-2583-000

October 19, 2015

California Independent System Operator Corporation 250 Outcropping Way Folsom, CA 95630

Attention: John C. Anders, Esq. Attorney for California Independent System Operator Corporation

Reference: Market Efficiency Enhancement Agreement

Dear Mr. Anders:

On August 31, 2015, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) filed a Market Efficiency Enhancement Agreement (MEEA) with the Western Area Power Administration – Sierra Nevada Region (Western).<sup>1</sup> CAISO states the MEEA sets forth the terms under which CAISO will price Western and Western federal customer energy transactions at the non-default or MEEA-specific price consistent with the CAISO tariff. According to CAISO, the integrated balancing authority area (IBAA) provisions of CAISO's tariff provide the MEEA as an alternative to the default pricing locations for interchange transactions if utilities within an IBAA provide sufficient information about the location and operation of resources within their system for CAISO to use in its modeling. Western formally notified CAISO of its desire to negotiate a MEEA in which both parties agreed upon the portfolio of resources eligible for MEEA Pricing. The MEEA is accepted for filing, effective November 1, 2015, as requested.

This filing was noticed on September 1, 2015, with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before September 21, 2015. No protests or adverse comments were filed. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CAISO designated the MEEA as Service Agreement No. 3443.

Docket No. ER15-2583-000

Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This action does not constitute approval of any service, rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation, contract, or practice affecting such rate or service provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such action be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation affecting or relating to such service or rate; and such action is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated to the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation - West, under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director Division of Electric Power Regulation – West

cc: All Parties