

# 2015 and 2019 Final LCR Study Results - Big Creek/Ventura and LA Basin

Yi Zhang

Senior Regional Transmission Engineer

Stakeholder Web Conference

April 10, 2014

# LA Basin Area Loads & Resources

### Load

	Load	Pump Load	Transmission Losses	Total
Year	(MW)	(MW)	(MW)	(MW)
2015	19819	30	121	19970
2019	20344	30	132	20506

### **Available Generation**

	QF/Wind	Muni	Nuclear	Market	Max. Qualifying
Year	(MW)	(MW)	(MW)	(MW)	Capacity (MW)
2015	1045	1163	0	8985	11193
2019	1044	1163	0	8985	11192



#### El Nido Sub-area – Category C

Contingency: Hinson-La Fresa 230 kV line out followed by Double Circuit Tower Line Redondo-La Fresa #1 and #2 230 kV lines

Limiting component: Voltage collapse

2015 LCR need: 515 MW (includes 50 MW of QF and Muni generation )

2019 LCR need: 518 MW (includes 50 MW of QF and Muni generation )

#### El Nido Sub-area – Category B



#### West of Devers Sub-area – Category C

Contingency: San Bernardino-Etiwanda 230 kV line out followed by San Bernardino-Vista 230 kV line or vice versa

Limiting component: Voltage collapse

2015 LCR need: 485 MW (includes 3 MW of QF generation )

2019 LCR need: 485 MW (includes 3 MW of QF generation )

West of Devers Sub-area – Category B



#### Valley-Devers Sub-area – Category C

Contingency: Palo Verde-Colorado River 500 kV line out followed by Alberhill-Serrano 500 kV line or vice versa

Limiting component: Camino -Iron Mountain 230 kV line

2015 LCR need :1453 MW (includes 208 MW of QF and Wind)

2019 LCR need: 1180 MW (includes 208 MW of QF and Wind)

Valley-Devers Sub-area – Category B



#### Western LA Basin Sub-area – Category C

Contingency: Serrano-Villa Park #2 230 kV line out followed by Serrano-Lewis #1 or #2 230 kV line or vice versa Limiting component: Serrano-Villa Park #1 230 kV line

2015 LCR need: 4,583 MW (includes 1,155 MW of QF, Muni and Wind)

2019 LCR need: 5,096 MW (includes 1,155 MW of QF, Muni and Wind)

### Western LA Basin Sub-area – Category B

Non binding – multiple combinations possible.



### LA Basin Overall – Category B

Contingency: Palo Verde-Colorado River 500 kV line with the biggest G-1 out of service

Limiting component: South of Lugo 500 kV Path rating

2015 LCR need: 8,620 MW (includes 2,208 MW of QF, Muni and Wind)

Contingency: Sylmar-Gould 230 kV line out with Redondo #7 already out of service

Limiting component: Sylmar-Eagle Rock 230 kV line

2019 LCR need: 9,059 MW (includes 2,208 MW of QF, Muni and Wind)

### LA Basin Overall – Category C

Contingency: Miguel-ECO 500 kV line followed by Imperial Valley-Suncrest 500 kV line

Limiting component: Voltage instability

2015 LCR need: 9,097 MW (includes 2,208 MW of QF, Muni and Wind)

Contingency: Sylmar-Gould 230 kV line followed by Lugo-Victorville 500 kV line (With CFE phase shifter, assuming 520 MW additional capacity in SDGE)

Limiting component: Sylmar-Eagle Rock 230 kV line

2019 LCR need: 9,119 MW (includes 2,208 MW of QF, Muni and Wind)



# Changes

### Since last year:

 2015 Load forecast is up by 276 MW vs. 2014
Total overall 2015 LCR is down by 1333 MW for Category C, mainly due to new reactive power support.
2019 load forecast is down by 201 MW vs. 2018
Total overall Long-term LCR is down by 1,952 MW mainly due to transmission projects.

## Since last stakeholder call:

1) Updated NQC

2) Total overall 2015 LCR is down by 2300 MW for Category C, mainly due to new reactive power support.

### Your comments and questions are welcome.

For written comments, please send to: <u>RegionalTransmission@caiso.com</u>



### Big Creek/Ventura Area Loads & Resources

### Load

	Load	Pump Load	Transmission Losses	Total
Year	(MW)	(MW)	(MW)	(MW)
2015	4372	363	72	4807
2019	4451	363	75	4889

#### Available Generation

	QF	Muni	Market	Max. Qualifying
Year	(MW)	(MW)	(MW)	Capacity (MW)
2015	768	392	4203	5363
2019	768	392	4203	5363



### **Rector Sub-area – Category B**

Contingency: Vestal-Rector #1 or #2 230 kV line with Eastwood out of service Limiting component: Remaining Vestal-Rector 230 kV line 2015 LCR need: 479 MW (includes 10 MW of QF generation ) 2019 LCR need: 479 MW (includes 10 MW of QF generation )

#### **Rector Sub-area – Category C**

Same as above.

#### Vestal Sub-area – Category B

Contingency: Magunden-Vestal #1 or #2 230 kV line with Eastwood out of service Limiting component: Remaining Magunden-Vestal 230 kV line 2015 LCR need: 762 MW (includes 131 MW of QF generation ) 2019 LCR need: 744 MW (includes 131 MW of QF generation )

Vestal Sub-area – Category C

Same as above.



#### Santa Clara Sub-area – Category C

Contingency: Pardee-S. Clara 230 kV line followed by DCTL Moorpark-S. Clara #1 and #2 230 kV lines

Limiting component: Voltage collapse

2015 LCR need: 264 MW (includes 67 MW of QF generation )

2019 LCR need: 264 MW (includes 67 MW of QF generation )

Santa Clara Sub-area – Category B



#### **Moorpark Sub-area – Category C**

Contingency: Pardee-Moorpark #3 230 kV line followed by DCTL Pardee-Moorpark #1 and #2 230 kV lines Limiting component: Voltage collapse 2015 LCR need: 479 MW (includes 96 MW of QF generation ) 2019 LCR need: 479 MW (includes 96 MW of QF generation )

### **Moorpark Sub-area – Category B**



#### **Big Creek/Ventura Overall – Category C**

Contingency: Sylmar-Pardee #1 or #2 230 kV line followed Lugo-Victorville 500 kV or vice versa

Limiting component: Remaining Sylmar-Pardee 230 kV line

2015 LCR need: 2,270 MW (includes 1,160 MW of QF, Muni and Wind )

2019 LCR need: 2,499 MW (includes 1,160 MW of QF, Muni and Wind )

#### **Big Creek/Ventura Overall – Category B**

Contingency: Sylmar-Pardee #1 or #2 230 kV line with Omond #2 out of service Limiting component: Remaining Sylmar-Pardee 230 kV line 2015 LCR need: 2,095 MW (includes 1,160 MW of QF, Muni and Wind ) 2019 LCR need: 2,619 MW (includes 1,160 MW of QF, Muni and Wind )



# Changes

### Since last year:

1) 2015 load forecast is up by 227 MW vs. 2014

2) Load reallocation between substations in the area

3) Segments of TRTP project

4) Overall LCR is up by 20 MW due to both load increase and flow pattern changes after SONGS retirement

5) 2019 load forecast is down by 318 MW vs. 2018

6) Overall long-term LCR is down by 49 MW due to load decrease, flow pattern changes after SONGS retirement, and also the load distribution changes inside the area

### Since last stakeholder call:

1) Updated NQC

### Your comments and questions are welcome.

For written comments, please send to: <u>RegionalTransmission@caiso.com</u>

