# Purpose

The California ISO Reliability Coordinator (RC West) shall review the GMD Operating Procedures or Processes of Transmission Operators (TOP) within its RC Area.**[[1]](#footnote-1)** Each TOP shall develop, maintain, and implement a GMD Operating Procedure or Process to mitigate the effects of GMD events on the reliable operation of its respective system.**[[2]](#footnote-2)**

## Review Scope

RC West’s review will focus on compatibility and inter-dependencies within the RC Operating Plan and other TOP plans, which could result in inconsistencies or conflicts in emergency situations. The review scope will focus on processes with impacts between entities.

***Note:*** *The TOP’s GMD document may not include all the elements required in EOP-010-1. Therefore, if any of the requirements are not applicable to your entity, please note as much in this checklist or in the GMD document and explain why it is not applicable.*

## Plan Review Process

Each TOP in the RC West area shall maintain their GMD documents as needed, and submit this checklist along with its EOP-010 Operating Procedure or Process, each time the document is updated, via the RC West Portal > Plan Review Submissions library or by e-mail directly to ProcedureControl@caiso.com.

***Note:*** *There is not an annual or periodic update requirement, however if responsible entities are able to maintain a consistent submission schedule, it can help with workload planning.*

Within 30 calendar days of receipt, RC West shall:

* Review each submitted GMD document on the basis of compatibility and inter-dependency with other TOPs’ documents and to ensure there are no conflicts,
* Review each submitted GMD document for coordination to avoid risk to Wide-Area Reliability, and
* Notify each submitting TOP of the results of its review, specifying any timeframe for resubmittal of its GMD document if revisions are identified.

Each TOP shall address any reliability risks identified by RC West and resubmit its GMD document to RC West within the specified time period.

Upon RC West’s completion of the review process, the RC will post a review letter to the secure site and notify the submitter. If the submitter is coordinating with other entities in its area, a separate review letter will be posted for each entity.

|  |
| --- |
| **Submitting TOP Contact Information** |
| Entity Name: |  |
| Title, Version, Planned Effective Date |  |
| Date Submitted: |  |
| Name: |  |
| Title: |  |
| Department: |  |
| Phone: |  |
| E-mail Address: |  |

| **Coordination Information** |
| --- |
| Is the submitting TOP also submitting EOP-010 documents for review on behalf of other entities? (i.e. under a Coordinated Functional Registration Agreement)If so, please provide those Entity Names: |  |
| Which of the listed entities needs to receive a separate plan review letter from the RC? |  |
| In which BA Areas does the TOP operate? |  |
| Please list all entities coordinated with in this plan (i.e. BA, internal to TOP area, neighboring TOPs, BAs, etc.) |  |

## Review Checklist

The following guidelines are provided for the submitting entities so they are aware of the criteria that the RC is using during its reviews. Information provided by the TOPs will help the RC focus on key information during the review process and extract critical information for RC Operator job aids.

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **System Operator actions which may be initiated to mitigate the effects of GMD events:[[3]](#footnote-3)**
* Risks identified for specific facilities within the TOP Area
* Steps which may be taken to posture TOP Area to mitigate identified risks
 |
| Indicate pages/ sections  |
| RC Reviewer Comments: |
| 1. **Notifications to the RC and other entities regarding current and expected conditions and actions planned and/or taken.**
 |
| Indicate pages/ sections |
| RC Reviewer Comments: |

# Supporting Information

### Operationally Affected Parties

Shared with the Public.

## References

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| NERC Requirements | EOP-010-1 |
| BA/TOP Operating Procedure |  |
| Other References |  |

### Version History

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Change**  | **Date**  |
| 1.0 | New checklist – PSRWG reviewed. | 3/4/19 |
| 1.1 | Replaced CAISO RC with RC West and updated to RC West logo. Changed frequency of review to 3 years to sync up with RC0430. | 4/21/20 |
| 1.2 | Periodic Review: Minor edit to Operationally Affected Parties and minor formatting edits. | 7/07/22 |

# Periodic Review Procedure

### Review Criteria & Incorporation of Changes

There are no specific review criteria identified for this document.

### Frequency

Review at least once every three years.

1. EOP-010-1 R1.2 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. EOP-010-1 R3 [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. EOP-010-1 R3.2 [↑](#footnote-ref-3)