Stakeholder Comments Template

Subject: Modifications to the Small Generator Interconnection Procedures Issues Paper and Meeting

Submitted by	Company	Date Submitted
Gene Varanini, Of Counsel varaninie@gtlaw.com	Greenberg Traurig LLC	4/26/2010

This template was created to help stakeholders submit written comments on topics related to the April 1, 2010 Modifications to the Small Generator Interconnection Procedures Issue Paper and April 12, 2010 Small Generator Interconnection Procedures Stakeholder Meeting. Please submit comments and thoughts (in MS Word) to dkirrene@caiso.com no later than the close of business on April 27, 2010.

The ISO is interested in knowing the importance and urgency of the issues identified through this stakeholder process. The issues identified below are further described in the Issues Paper. Please rate the importance of each issue as high, medium or low by checking the check box. In addition, please identify the urgency for getting each of the identified issues resolved. Check the urgent check box for issues that should be resolved in a FERC filing this year. Check the not urgent check box if the issue could be resolved beyond year-end. The information provided will assist the ISO in determining the scope of this stakeholder effort.

Study Process Issues			
	Importance	Urgency	
2.1.1 Time required for the SGIP study process	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.1.2 SGIP serial study process coordination with the studies under the large generation interconnection procedures (LGIP)	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.1.3 Avoiding delays caused by the increasing	high medium low	urgent	not urgent

volume of SGIP projects	2.1.4 Detail and	•			
2.1.5 Interconnection request data requirements 2.1.6 Should the SGIP accommodate restudies? 2.1.7 Availability of the current base case data for use by project developers 2.1.8 Delays and uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw Comments: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent n	of the feasibility s	necessity	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
request data requirements 2.1.6 Should the SGIP accommodate restudies? 2.1.7 Availability of the current base case data for use by project developers 2.1.8 Delays and uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw Comments: Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent Vision Visi	of the feasibility study				_
requirements 2.1.6 Should the SGIP accommodate restudies? 2.1.7 Availability of the current base case data for use by project developers 2.1.8 Delays and uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw Comments: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to change deliverability "? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent high medium low urgent not urgent high medium low urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent	2.1.5 Interconnect	ction	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.1.6 Should the SGIP accommodate restudies? 2.1.7 Availability of the current base case data for use by project developers 2.1.8 Delays and uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw Comments: Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent high medium low urgent not urgent urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent	request data				
accommodate restudies? 2.1.7 Availability of the current base case data for use by project developers 2.1.8 Delays and uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw Comments: Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent output not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent urgent urgent not urgent urgent urgent not urgent urgent urgent urgent urgent urgent not urgent					
studies? 2.1.7 Availability of the current base case data for use by project developers 2.1.8 Delays and uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw Comments: Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent Interpretability Interpretability	2.1.6 Should the SGIP		high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.1.7 Availability of the current base case data for use by project developers 2.1.8 Delays and uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw Comments: Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational?					
current base case data for use by project developers 2.1.8 Delays and uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw Comments: Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent bigh medium low urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent urgent not not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not ur					
for use by project developers 2.1.8 Delays and uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw Comments: Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability attus after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent Variable			high medium low	urgent	not urgent
developers					
2.1.8 Delays and uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw Comments: Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent India medium low urgent not urge		t			
uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw Comments: Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent not urgent		1	12.1 P 1.		
results caused by projects that withdraw Comments: Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent high medium low urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent			nigh mealum low	urgent	not urgent
Comments: Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not					
Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urg					
Solution Ideas: Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent		luiaw			
Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low lurgent not urgent	Comments.				
Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation 2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low lurgent not urgent	Solution Ideas:				
2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent	Colation laddo.				
2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent urgent not urgent	Delivera	bility Is	sues Related to Inte	rconnecting	Small
2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent		alonity io			<i>-</i>
an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent			Concration		
an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent					
2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent not urgent urgent not urgent	1 2.2.1 Should SG	IP have	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
opportunity to have "partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent		IP have	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
"partial deliverability"? 2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent not urgent	an option for	IP have	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent	an option for deliverability?		-		
later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should then	re be an	-		
change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should ther opportunity to ha "partial deliverab	re be an ve ility"?	high medium low		
status after generator is commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should ther opportunity to ha "partial deliverability." 2.2.3 Should ther	re be an ve ility"? re be a	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there opportunity to ha "partial deliverability." 2.2.3 Should there later opportunity.	re be an ve ility"? re be a to	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
operational? 2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should ther opportunity to ha "partial deliverability." 2.2.3 Should there later opportunity change deliverability.	re be an ve ility"? re be a to oility	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.2.4 How would a high medium low urgent not urgent	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should then opportunity to ha "partial deliverability." 2.2.3 Should then later opportunity change deliverability status after gene	re be an ve ility"? re be a to oility	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should ther opportunity to ha "partial deliverabilities and there later opportunity change deliverabilities after gene commercially	re be an ve ility"? re be a to oility	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should then opportunity to ha "partial deliverability and then later opportunity change deliverability status after gene commercially operational?	re be an ve ility"? re be a to bility rator is	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there opportunity to ha "partial deliverabilities and there later opportunity change deliverabilities after gene commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would	re be an ve ility"? re be a to bility rator is	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
and/or existing projects in	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should ther opportunity to ha "partial deliverability and there later opportunity change deliverability status after gene commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would change in policy	re be an ve ility"? re be a to bility rator is a affect	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should then opportunity to ha "partial deliverability and then later opportunity change deliverability status after gene commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would change in policy existing generation.	re be an ve ility"? re be a to bility rator is a affect	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there opportunity to ha "partial deliverability? 2.2.3 Should there later opportunity change deliverability status after gene commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would change in policy existing generational?	re be an ve ility"? re be a to bility rator is a affect	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there opportunity to ha "partial deliverability? 2.2.3 Should there later opportunity change deliverability change deliverability status after gene commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would change in policy existing generational and/or existing puthe queue?	re be an ve ility"? re be a to bility rator is a affect	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
Solution Ideas:	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should there opportunity to ha "partial deliverability? 2.2.3 Should there later opportunity change deliverability status after gene commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would change in policy existing generational?	re be an ve ility"? re be a to bility rator is a affect	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
	an option for deliverability? 2.2.2 Should ther opportunity to ha "partial deliverability? 2.2.3 Should ther later opportunity change deliverability status after gene commercially operational? 2.2.4 How would change in policy existing generational and/or existing puthe queue? Comments:	re be an ve ility"? re be a to bility rator is a affect	high medium low	urgent	not urgent

Issues relating to Cost Certainty				
2.3.1 Developers	desire	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.3.2 How to min the impacts caus projects that drop the queue?	ed by	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.3.3 Accuracy o unit construction estimates	•	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.3.4 Effects of a cost certainty me to the overall SG timeline	asures	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
Comments:				
Solution Ideas:				
Issues related to Eligibility Criteria				
2.4.1 LGIP project broken up into m SGIP projects		high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.4.2 Real vs. Speculative proje	ects	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.4.3 Generation size		high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.4.4 MW Increase existing projects	ses to	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.4.5 Site Contro	ı	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
Comments:				
Solution Ideas:				
Issues related to application and study fees				
2.5.1 Appropriate amount	eness of	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
Comments:			•	
Solution Ideas:				
Small Generator Interconnection Agreement Issues				

IA	high medium low	urgent	not urgent	
SGIA	high medium low	urgent	not urgent	
Miscellaneous SGIP tariff issues				
0010				
SGIP	high medium low	urgent	not urgent	
GIP tariff	high medium low	urgent	not urgent	
Additional Issues that should be considered				
litional	high medium low	urgent	not urgent	
iiiOimi	Thigh modium low	digoni	not argont	
		•		
	Misce SGIP GIP tariff	Miscellaneous SGIP tariff SGIP high medium low GIP tariff high medium low ditional Issues that should be	Miscellaneous SGIP tariff issues SGIP high medium low urgent	

Do you have any additional comments that you would like to provide?

My clients are interested in the functional relationship between SGIP, SGIA, and curtailment. In particular clarification of the priority of RPS project generation would be extremely helpful.