

Stakeholder Comments Template

Subject: Payment Acceleration Straw Proposal

This template has been created for submission of stakeholder comments on the following topics in regards to Payment Acceleration. Upon completion of this template please submit (in MS

Submitted by	Company	Date Submitted
<i>Please fill in name and contact number of specific person who can respond to any questions on these comments.</i>	<i>Please fill in here</i>	<i>Please fill in here</i>

Word) to pacceleration@caiso.com. Submissions are requested by close of business on Thursday, November 13th, 2008.

Please submit your comments to the following questions for each topic in the spaces indicated.

1. Settlement Timeline

Which of the following two options do you prefer for publication of Settlement statements?

	Timeline
Option #1	T+7B - Initial T+38B - 1 st true-up T+76B - 2 nd true-up T+18M - 3 rd true-up T+35M - 4 th true-up
Option #2	T+7B - Initial T+38B - 1 st true-up T+51B - 2 nd true-up T+18M - 3 rd true-up T+35M - 4 th true-up

Please provide comments on these options:

(Submit Comments Here)

2. Interest Payments

Do you support CAISO's proposal of applying interest on deviations between the Initial and first true-up statements?

Do you prefer applying interest to subsequent true-ups?

(Submit Comments/Pros/Cons Here)

3. Invoicing

Please provide detailed examples of your preferred invoicing solution.

- Each invoice should only address one month, specifically we do not support co-mingling of months;
- Initial billing for trade dates within the 1st thru 15th as a billing cycle and subsequent invoicing on the 25th of the following month;
- Initial billing for trades dates within the 16th to the last calendar of the month and subsequently billing on the 10th day of the following calendar month.
- Initial statements generated at T+7B, with end of Scheduling Coordinator review period at T+21B.

Western Area Power Administration endorses proposed calendar authored by the City of Pasadena, this method reflects our requirements addressing the initial statement and invoice publication dates. In addition, we agree with the proposal covering the first, second, third and fourth true-up schedules.

4. Meter Data Substitution

For meter estimation process, when adjusting DA Scheduled Demand by an incremental amount to reflect Actual Load, the amount of adjustment will not exceed 15% of the DA Scheduled Demand. For example, if SC1's DA Scheduled Demand = 100 MW, the maximum estimation adjustment would be 15 MW. Therefore, SC1's Estimated Metered Demand used in the T+7B Settlement = 115MW (maximum).

Note: The proposed meter estimation methodology will never negatively adjust the DA Scheduled Demand. So in this example minimum estimation value = 100 MW, maximum estimation value = 115MW.

No change from our original documentation submitted 10/24/08

5. Other Comments?

(Submit Comments Here)