

Attachment A Stakeholder Process and Open Issues

GMC Under MRTU October 9, 2007

Stakeholder Process to Date

Activity	Date	Number of Stakeholder Representatives	Location of Documents
Stakeholder meeting, held with CAISO Budget meeting	September 14, 2006	16 onsite, 9 lines	http://www.caiso.com/185a/185ab8b91b5f0.html
Conference call	October 6, 2006	11 lines	http://www.caiso.com/187d/187dcf7553440.html
Stakeholder meeting	October 17, 2006	18 onsite, 18 lines	http://www.caiso.com/187d/187dd07055cf0.html
Conference call	October 31, 2007	35 lines	http://www.caiso.com/1893/1893d9a7170e0.html
Conference call	November 15, 2006	27 lines	http://www.caiso.com/18a2/18a2e996683f0.html
Conference call	April 30, 2007	58 lines	http://www.caiso.com/1bc6/1bc6c29617a60.html
Conference call	May 31, 2007	39 lines	http://www.caiso.com/1be7/1be780b3250a0.html
Stakeholder meeting, held with CAISO Initial Budget meeting	June 12, 2007	9 onsite, 16 lines	http://www.caiso.com/1bdf/1bdfd15822620.html
Conference call	June 25, 2007	33 lines	http://www.caiso.com/1bfd/1bfda87c346a0.html
Conference call	July 20, 2007	44 lines	http://www.caiso.com/1c18/1c1899de9e00.html
Conference call	August 30, 2007	40 lines	http://www.caiso.com/1c2f/1c2fe2fc17e00.html
Conference call, held with CAISO initial stakeholder budget review	September 14, 2007	23 lines	http://www.caiso.com/1c42/1c42b1c23f4f0.html
Stakeholder meeting, held with CAISO Stakeholder Budget Day Workshop	September 18, 2007	11 onsite, 16 lines	http://www.caiso.com/1c57/1c579b2145e00.html
Conference call	October 2, 2007	33 lines	http://www.caiso.com/1c63/1c63b4235f40.html
Note: Number of lines counts the number of connections on the conference call. More than one person may be on each connection.			

	Comments Concerning Applicability of GMC to Certain Scheduling Coordinators				
#	Comment/Question/Suggestion	Stakeholder	CAISO	ISO's Response	
			Respondent		
1	GMC should not apply to APS and IID schedules on	Ed. Lucero,	B. Arikawa	GMC does apply to the SWPL schedules.	
	SWPL. (Comments posted located at:	SDG&E		In at least two decisions, the FERC found	
	http://www.caiso.com/1bfd/1bfda56720eb0.pdf)			that GMC did apply. (Response located at:	
	(June 11, 2007)			http://www.caiso.com/1c28/1c28b7c371660	
				<u>.pdf</u>) SDG&E given an opportunity to	
				respond on August 30 conference call.	
2	If an accommodation is granted to SWPL, the	Bert Hansen,	B. Arikawa	After consideration of the June 25, 2007	
	accommodation should also apply to flows on	SCE		FERC Order, the CAISO offered to consider	
	similarly situated Transmission Ownership Rights.	Sean Neal,		a CRS/ETS rate for flows on TORs. The	
	(June 11, 2007)	MID		CAISO is discussing possible cost-based	
				approaches with these parties. The	
				proposed rate must be acceptable to other,	
				non-TOR parties also.	

Comments on CAISO Policy Concerning Fees and Charges				
#	Comment/Question/Suggestion	Stakeholder	CAISO	ISO's Response
			Respondent	
1	Mr. Theaker and Ms. Schneider asked if there was a	Brian Theaker,	P. Leiber, B.	CAISO noted that it does not currently have
	policy for charging for specific services; for	Williams	Arikawa	a consolidated policy for setting fees. Mr.
	example, the PIRP forecasting fee and station power.	Susan.		Arikawa noted that for any new charges or
	Mr. Theaker requested that CAISO look for	Schneider,		fees, they are typically filed at FERC before
	consistency of treatment, and that the primary	Phoenix		they are active. Mr. Leiber noted that the
	beneficiaries should bear the costs of services.	Consulting,		CAISO has will develop a policy for
	Susan Schneider noted that policy should not apply	CalWEA		charging separate fees including criteria for
	just to incremental services, but to embedded			establishing separate charges.
	services as well.			
	(June 12, 2007)			

	Comments on Application of Bill Determinants				
#	Comment/Question/Suggestion	Stakeholder	CAISO Respondent	ISO's Response	
1	Expressed concerns regarding assessment to Injections for Energy Transmission Services and use of net purchases and sales in the Forward Energy Market. (November 10, 2006; July 20, 2007)	Ellen Wolfe, WPTF	B. Arikawa, M. Shafa	The CAISO removed the Energy Transmission Services – Injections charge from its proposed GMC under MRTU rate structure on October 2, 2007. Net sales and purchases is used in the Forward Energy Market as many transactions are self-schedules that are price-takers and not actively using the market. To the extent that Forward Energy Market costs should be recovered from "gross" transactions, these costs can be allocated to Energy Transmission Services.	
2	Expressed support for inclusion of the Energy Transmission Services – Injections charge	Sean Neal, MID; Charles Mee, CDWR- SWP	B. Arikawa	The CAISO no longer proposes to include the Energy Transmission Services – Injections charge in its GMC under MRTU rate structure. A review of the bill impacts, particularly on smaller customers, showed relatively large impacts that could not be mitigated. The CAISO considered these impacts that when combined with the impacts of other charges were too adverse.	
3	Expressed concern over bill impacts of Energy Transmission Services – Injections charge, particularly on smaller customers with generation	Rod Aoki, CAC/EPUC	B. Arikawa	See above.	

	Comments on Stakeholder Process				
#	Comment/Question/Suggestion	Stakeholder	CAISO Respondent	ISO's Response	
1	Expressed concern that current discussions might unravel the delicate negotiations that resulted in the current GMC Settlement. (July 20, 2007)	Ellen Wolfe, WPTF	B. Arikawa	The CAISO is open to discussions on the ultimate rate structure under MRTU. As a practical matter, as the ISO must make a GMC filing for 2008, all issues are open for discussion prior to a FERC filing. No party is bound by the Settlement where the GMC	
				in 2008 is concerned.	