Decision on Generator Interconnection Process Reform Proposal



Dennis Peters External Affairs Manager



Board of Governors Meeting General Session July 9, 2008

In our Strategic Plan, CAISO committed to development of grid resources, aligned with state and federal policies.

- FERC called for nationwide reforms:
 - December 2007 technical conference
 - March 2008 order
- CAISO response:
 - January 2008 stakeholder process initiated to reform the generator interconnection process



Active projects in the queue today exceed the CAISO all-time system peak of 50,270 MW

- 361 interconnection requests active as of June 27, 2008
 - Total (105,342 MW)
 - Renewable projects total 68,556 MW
- Interconnection requests for renewable projects are growing year to year:

January 2006	5,700 10100
January 2007	11,000 MW
January 2008	42,526 MW
June 2008	68,556 MW

Renewable generation is typically located in areas with inadequate transmission infrastructure.



CAISO reform proposal has three main objectives:

- Clear the backlog
- Increase overall process efficiency
- Support the timely interconnection and development of new generating capacity to:
 - Meet future demand
 - Achieve state environmental policy goals



The reform proposal achieves the stated objectives in several ways.

- Expedites processing of projects in late stages of the current process
- Adopts a more efficient group study approach
- Accelerates and increases developer commitment to ensure viability of projects associated with interconnection requests
- Facilitates investment by providing process and cost certainty
- Promotes greater efficiency in transmission planning



The reform proposal is substantially more efficient than the current generator interconnection process.

Before	After
Deposits	Deposits
\$10,000 application	\$250,000 application
\$10,000 in lieu of site control	\$250,000 in lieu of site control
\$10,000 feasibility study	
\$50,000 system impact study	
\$100,000 facility study	
Three or more formal agreements	One formal agreement
Projects studied serially	Projects studied in groups
Disproportionate cost allocation	Allocation based on project impact
Cost and timing uncertainty	Cost certainty within 9 months of
Significant restudy potential	close of queue cluster window
4-5+ years for 90% of projects	Linked to defined transmission planning process



The CAISO balanced competing interests and stakeholder input to develop key reforms.

Issue	Proposed Resolution
act of increased financial	Accommodated limited class of s

- Impact of increased financial commitments on small firms or small projects
- Fairness of criteria dividing projects continuing under current process and projects to be studied using the reform process

- Developer risk due to non-refundable elements
- Shorten study process to the maximum extent possible

- Accommodated limited class of small projects
- Found no correlation with study costs
- Selected objective criteria:
 - "Late stage" projects
 - Power Purchase Agreements
 - Available approved transmission
- Consistent with FERC guidance
- Creates a manageable workload
- Allowed phasing of financial commitment
- Accuracy required to support utility financing obligations
- Coordination with transmission planning process
- Included "accelerated" study process



If approved by the Board, the CAISO will file Tariff language with FERC.

- If approved by FERC, CAISO will implement an interconnection process that:
 - Clears the existing queue backlog
 - Provides cost and timing certainty for interconnection customers.
 - Facilitates timely interconnection and development of new generating capacity to:
 - Meet future customer demand
 - Achieve state environmental policy goals

