

Bid cost recovery and variable energy resource settlements

Straw proposal discussion April 15, 2015

Delphine Hou Lead Market Design and Regulatory Policy Developer



Agenda

Time	Торіс	Presenter
9:00 - 9:05	Introduction	Kim Perez
9:05 – 9:15	Ramp rate for variable energy resources	Delphine Hou
9:15 – 10:00	Residual imbalance energy settlement for economic bidding variable energy resources	Delphine Hou
10:00 – 10:15	Persistent deviation metric applied to variable energy resources	Delphine Hou
10:15 – 10:30	Default energy bids for economic bidding variable energy resources	Delphine Hou
10:30 - 11:30	Day-ahead metered energy adjustment factor	Delphine Hou
11:30 – 11:45	Tariff clarifications following market issues bulletin [forthcoming]	Delphine Hou
11:45 – 12:00	Next steps	Kim Perez



ISO Policy Initiative Stakeholder Process





Summary of proposals

Section in paper	Торіс	Proposal	Type of change
5	Ramp rate for variable energy resources	Ramp rates are physical characteristics and should not be "9999 MW/min"	Clarification on existing policy
6	Residual imbalance energy settlement for economic bidding variable energy resources	Residual imbalance energy due to the forecast changes across intervals shall be settled based on LMP rather than bid. Residual imbalance energy due to economic dispatch across intervals shall continue to be settled based on the reference bid.	Tariff
7	Persistent deviation metric applied to variable energy resources	Continue to apply	Clarification on existing policy
8	Default energy bids for economic bidding variable energy resources	If no cost is provided, will use variable cost option. If LMP option is selected, the variable cost option will be used until the LMP option can be calculated.	Clarification on existing policy
9	Day-ahead metered energy adjustment factor	Corrected for boundary conditions	Tariff
10	Tariff clarifications following market issues bulletin [forthcoming]	Provide for clarifications on tariff language pre- dating MRTU	Tariff



Ramp rate for variable energy resources

- Scheduling coordinators should not enter "9999 MW/min" in Master File
- Best operational ramp rate should reflect the maximum for an upward or downward ramp
- For self-scheduling VERs, ISO market will automatically calculate
- For economically bidding VERs, the ISO market will use Master File ramp rate



Summary of proposals

Section in paper	Торіс	Proposal	Type of change
5	Ramp rate for variable energy resources	Ramp rates are physical characteristics and should not be "9999 MW/min"	Clarification on existing policy
6	Residual imbalance energy settlement for economic bidding variable energy resources	Residual imbalance energy due to the forecast changes across intervals shall be settled based on LMP rather than bid. Residual imbalance energy due to economic dispatch across intervals shall continue to be settled based on the reference bid.	Tariff
7	Persistent deviation metric applied to variable energy resources	Continue to apply	Clarification on existing policy
8	Default energy bids for economic bidding variable energy resources	If no cost is provided, will use variable cost option. If LMP option is selected, the variable cost option will be used until the LMP option can be calculated.	Clarification on existing policy
9	Day-ahead metered energy adjustment factor	Corrected for boundary conditions	Tariff
10	Tariff clarifications following market issues bulletin [forthcoming]	Provide for clarifications on tariff language pre- dating MRTU	Tariff



Residual imbalance energy settlement for economically bidding variable energy resources

- Issue statement:
 - Market issues bulletin clarified the existence of residual imbalance energy
 - When there is no bid, the RIE is settled on LMP
 - When there is a bid, the RIE is settled on the bid in the reference hour
 - However, economically bidding VERs may be ramping due to a forecast change, not a change in LMP. Settlement will be on the reference hour bid, which is often negative.



	Scenario	Settlement	Issue	Proposed solution
1	Self-scheduled with forecast change	Residual imbalance energy settled on LMP	None	None
2a	Economic bidder and forecast increase (no LMP change)	Optimal energy settled on LMP in current hour.	None	None
2b	Economic bidder and forecast decrease (no LMP change)	Residual imbalance energy settled on reference hour bid.	Bid did not drive change in energy.	Settle at LMP in current hour (analogous to derate).
3a	Economic bidder and LMP less than bid (no forecast change)	Residual imbalance energy settled on reference hour bid.	None	None
3b	Economic bidder and LMP higher than bid (no forecast change)	Optimal energy settled on LMP in current hour.	None	None
4a	Economic bidder and LMP less than bid and forecast decrease	RIE settled on reference hour bid.	Portion of RIE not driven by bid.	Settle at LMP for energy above forecast (analogous to derate); settle at reference hour bid for energy within forecast.
4b	Economic bidder and LMP higher than bid and forecast increase	OE settled on current hour LMP.	None	None



Current Proposed None Reference hour 50 MW OE settled on LMP in current hour 25 MW RIE settled on LMP in current hour 0 MW HE1 HE2 LMP: \$20/MWh LMP: \$5/MWh Bid: none Bid: none Forecast: 50 MW Forecast: 0 MW

Scenario 1: Self-scheduled with forecast change



Current Proposed None Reference hour 50 MW OE settled 25 MW OE settled on on LMP in LMP in current current hour hour 0 MW HE1 HE2 LMP: \$20/MWh LMP: \$20/MWh Bid: \$10/MWh Bid: \$10/MWh Forecast: 25 MW Forecast: 50 MW

Scenario 2a: Economic bidder and forecast increase (no LMP change)





Scenario 3a: Economic bidder and LMP less than bid (no forecast change)



Scenario 3b: Economic bidder and LMP higher than bid (no forecast change)





Scenario 4a: Economic bidder and LMP less than bid and forecast decrease



Scenario 4b: Economic bidder and LMP higher than bid and forecast increase





Scenario 4b: Economic bidder and LMP higher than bid and forecast increase

Economic bidder and LMP higher than bid Additional example not in paper (no proposed change from current settlement)





Summary of proposals

Section in paper	Торіс	Proposal	Type of change
5	Ramp rate for variable energy resources	Ramp rates are physical characteristics and should not be "9999 MW/min"	Clarification on existing policy
6	Residual imbalance energy settlement for economic bidding variable energy resources	Residual imbalance energy due to the forecast changes across intervals shall be settled based on LMP rather than bid. Residual imbalance energy due to economic dispatch across intervals shall continue to be settled based on the reference bid.	Tariff
7	Persistent deviation metric applied to variable energy resources	Continue to apply	Clarification on existing policy
8	Default energy bids for economic bidding variable energy resources	If no cost is provided, will use variable cost option. If LMP option is selected, the variable cost option will be used until the LMP option can be calculated.	Clarification on existing policy
9	Day-ahead metered energy adjustment factor	Corrected for boundary conditions	Tariff
10	Tariff clarifications following market issues bulletin [forthcoming]	Provide for clarifications on tariff language pre- dating MRTU	Tariff



Persistent deviation metric for economically bidding VERs (example 1)



Scenario 3a with persistent deviation



Persistent deviation metric for economically bidding VERs (example 2)

Illustrative deviation from day-ahead schedule

Market	Bid cost	Revenue	Rev minus Cost	BCR?
Day-ahead	100 MW x -\$1/MWh = -\$100/h	100 MW x \$3/MWh = \$300/h	\$300 - (-\$100) = \$400	No
Real-time	-90 MW x -\$1/MWh = \$90/h	-90 MW x \$5/MWh = -\$450/h	-\$450 - \$90 = -\$540	Yes



Summary of proposals

Section in paper	Торіс	Proposal	Type of change
5	Ramp rate for variable energy resources	Ramp rates are physical characteristics and should not be "9999 MW/min"	Clarification on existing policy
6	Residual imbalance energy settlement for economic bidding variable energy resources	Residual imbalance energy due to the forecast changes across intervals shall be settled based on LMP rather than bid. Residual imbalance energy due to economic dispatch across intervals shall continue to be settled based on the reference bid.	Tariff
7	Persistent deviation metric applied to variable energy resources	Continue to apply	Clarification on existing policy
8	Default energy bids for economic bidding variable energy resources	If no cost is provided, will use variable cost option. If LMP option is selected, the variable cost option will be used until the LMP option can be calculated.	Clarification on existing policy
9	Day-ahead metered energy adjustment factor	Corrected for boundary conditions	Tariff
10	Tariff clarifications following market issues bulletin [forthcoming]	Provide for clarifications on tariff language pre- dating MRTU	Tariff



Default energy bids for economic bidding variable energy resources

- Clarify existing policy:
 - All resources should provide information to calculate DEB
 - Default is variable cost option (if resource selected LMP-based option, variable cost will be used until the LMPs are established)
- Market Surveillance Committee discussion (April 17th)
 - Alternative methods to calculating DEBs for VERs



Summary of proposals

Section in paper	Торіс	Proposal	Type of change
5	Ramp rate for variable energy resources	Ramp rates are physical characteristics and should not be "9999 MW/min"	Clarification on existing policy
6	Residual imbalance energy settlement for economic bidding variable energy resources	Residual imbalance energy due to the forecast changes across intervals shall be settled based on LMP rather than bid. Residual imbalance energy due to economic dispatch across intervals shall continue to be settled based on the reference bid.	Tariff
7	Persistent deviation metric applied to variable energy resources	Continue to apply	Clarification on existing policy
8	Default energy bids for economic bidding variable energy resources	If no cost is provided, will use variable cost option. If LMP option is selected, the variable cost option will be used until the LMP option can be calculated.	Clarification on existing policy
9	Day-ahead metered energy adjustment factor	Corrected for boundary conditions	Tariff
10	Tariff clarifications following market issues bulletin [forthcoming]	Provide for clarifications on tariff language pre- dating MRTU	Tariff



- Issue statement:
 - The current formula may produce a ratio between 0 and 1 even when the resource is not officially "On" (*i.e.*, below Pmin). A resource not "On" should not have a factor greater than zero.
 - The current formula will produce a zero ratio even if the resource is following dispatch instructions, such as decrementing to Pmin. A resource following dispatch, even to Pmin, should have a factor equal to one.
 - The current formula relies on absolute value calculations, which mask when a resource is far below its Pmin and should have a factor equal to zero.



- Proposed solution:
 - The proposed enhancements define certain boundary scenarios before the actual factor is applied.
 - The absolute value calculation has been removed from the formula.
 - All proposed changes will apply going forward.



Step	Conditions and Actions
[1]	If (Expected Energy ⁱ >= DA Minimum Load Energy) and Expected Energy > 0
	Then
	If ((Metered Energy – Regulation Energy < DA Minimum Load Energy –Tolerance Band) Or (Metered Energy – Regulation Energy <= 0))
	Then DA MEAF = 0

i. The term Expected Energy, for purpose of the calculations in the following tables, is defined to be the minimum of the real-time expected energy and the day-ahead expected energy.



200	MW Pmax			
3%	Tolerance band percentage			
6	MW Tolerance band			
	(MW)	1a	1b	1c
	Metered energy (ME)	40	0	10
	Regulation energy (Reg)	0	0	0
	DA minimum load energy (DA MLE)	50	50	50
	Tolerance band	6	6	6
	PMTolerance	7	7	7
	Expected energy (EE)	50	50	75
	DA scheduled energy (DA SE)	50	50	75
Step 1				
	ME - Reg	40	0	10
	DA MLE-Tolerance Band	44	44	44
	If (ME - Reg) < (DA MLE -			
	Tolerance Band), then DA MEAF =			
NEW	0	0	0	0
	min(1, abs((ME - DA MLE - Reg) /			
Current	((min(EE, DA SE)-DA MLE)))	0	0	1



Step	Conditions and Actions
[2]	Else
	If (Abs (Metered Energy – Regulation Energy - Expected Energy) <= Performance Metric Tolerance)
	Then DA MEAF = 1



200	MW Pmax					
3%	Tolerance band percentage					
6	MW Tolerance band					
	(MW)	1a	1b	1c	2a	2b
	Metered energy (ME)	40	0	10	45	96
	Regulation energy (Reg)	0	0	0	0	0
	DA minimum load energy (DA MLE)	50	50	50	50	50
	Tolerance band	6	6	6	6	6
	PMTolerance	7	7	7	7	7
	Expected energy (EE)	50	50	75	50	100
	DA scheduled energy (DA SE)	50	50	75	50	100
01 4						
Step 1						
	ME - Reg	40	0	10	45	96
	DA MLE-Tolerance Band	44	44	44	44	44
	lf (ME - Reg) < (DA MLE -					
	Tolerance Band), then DA MEAF =					
NEW	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a
	min(1, abs((ME - DA MLE - Reg) /					
Current	((min(EE, DA SE)-DA MLE)))	0	0	1	0	1
Step 2						
	Abs(ME - Reg - EE)				5	4
	PMTolerance				7	7
	lf (abs(ME-Reg-EE)<=PM					
NEW	Tolerance, then DA MEAF =1				1	1
	min(1, abs((ME-DA MLE - Reg) /					
Current	((min(EE, DA SE)-DA MLE)))	0	0	1	0	1



Step	Conditions and Actions
[3]	Else (noting from Step 1 that Expected Energy should be >= DA Minimum Load Energy here, first test to determine if Expected Energy = DA Minimum Load Energy to avoid a divide by zero condition in the next "Else" statement below)
	Then DA MEAF = 1

ii. The term Zero Tolerance is a constant that equals the (very small) number 1×10^{-10} .



200	MW Pmax						
3%	Tolerance band percentage						
6	MW Tolerance band						
	(MW)	1a	1b	1c	2a	2b	3
	Metered energy (ME)	40	0	10	45	96	60
	Regulation energy (Reg)	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DA minimum load energy (DA MLE)	50	50	50	50	50	50
	Tolerance band	6	6	6	6	6	6
	PM Tolerance	7	7	7	7	7	7
	Expected energy (EE)	50	50	75	50	100	50
	DA scheduled energy (DA SE)	50	50	75	50	100	50
Step 1							
	ME - Reg	40	0	10	45	96	60
	DA MLE-Tolerance Band	44	44	44	44	44	44
	If (ME - Reg) < (DA MLE -						
	Tolerance Band), then DA MEAF =						
NEW	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a
	min(1, abs((ME - DA MLE - Reg) /						
Current	((min(EE, DA SE)-DA MLE)))	0	0	1	0	1	0
Step 2							
	Abs(ME - Reg - EE)				5	4	10
	PM Tolerance				7	7	7
	lf (abs(ME-Reg-EE)<=PM						
NEW	Tolerance, then DA MEAF =1				1	1	n/a
	min(1, abs((ME-DA MLE - Reg) /						
Current	((min(EE, DA SE)-DA MLE)))	0	0	1	0	1	0
Step 3							
	EE - DA MLE						0
	Zero tolerance						1E-10
	If (EE - DA MLE) < zero tolerance,						
NEW	then DA MEAF =1						1
	min(1, abs((ME-DA MLE - Reg) /						
Current	((min(EE, DA SE)-DA MLE)))	0	0	1	0	1	0

🍣 California ISO

Page 27





	(MW)	1a	1b	1c	2a	2b	3	4a	4b	4c
	Metered energy (ME)	40	0	10	45	96	60	60	75	120
	Regulation energy (Reg)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DA minimum load energy (DA MLE)	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
	Tolerance band	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
	PMTolerance	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
	Expected energy (EE)	50	50	75	50	100	50	100	100	100
	DA scheduled energy (DA SE)	50	50	75	50	100	50	100	100	100
Step 1										
	ME - Reg	40	0	10	45	96	60	60	75	120
	DA MLE-Tolerance Band	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44
	lf (ME - Reg) < (DA MLE -									
	Tolerance Band), then DA MEAF =									
NEW	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	min(1, abs((ME - DA MLE - Reg) /									
Current	((min(EE, DA SE)-DA MLE)))	0	0	1	0	1	0	0.2	0.5	1
Step 2										
	Abs(ME - Reg - EE)				5	4	10	40	25	20
	PMTolerance				7	7	7	7	7	7
	If (abs(ME-Reg-EE)<=PM									
NEW	Tolerance, then DA MEAF =1				1	1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
	min(1, abs((ME-DA MLE - Reg) /									
Current	((min(EE, DA SE)-DA MLE)))	0	0	1	0	1	0	0.2	0.5	1
Step 3										
	EE - DA MLE						0	50	50	50
	Zero tolerance						1E-10	1E-10	1E-10	1E-10
	If (EE - DA MLE) < zero tolerance,									
NEW	then DA MEAF =1						1	n/a	n/a	n/a
	min(1, abs((ME-DA MLE - Reg) /									
Current	((min(EE, DA SE)-DA MLE)))	0	0	1	0	1	0	0.2	0.5	1
Step 4										
	ME - DA MLE - Reg							10	25	70
	EE - DA MLE							50	50	50
	Min (1, max (0, (ME - DA MLE -									
NEW	Reg)/(EE - DA MLE)))							0.2	0.5	1
	min(1, abs((ME-DA MLE - Reg) /									
Current	((min(EE, DA SE)-DA MLE)))	0	0	1	0	1	0	0.2	0.5	1



Step	Conditions and Actions	
[5]	This condition occurs after all of the other IF, Else statements from above	
	Else	
	If Expected Energy >= 0	This is the
	Then	current formula
	DA MEAF = 1	for pumped
	Else	storage and no
	(for the case of a BCR-Eligible Resource such as a pump-storage device from which negative DA energy is expected)	changes are
	DA MEAF =	proposed. Included here
	$Min\left[1, Max\left(0, \frac{MeteredEnergy}{ExpectedEnergy}\right)\right]$	for completeness.
	End if	
	End if	

Summary of proposals

Section in paper	Торіс	Proposal	Type of change
5	Ramp rate for variable energy resources	Ramp rates are physical characteristics and should not be "9999 MW/min"	Clarification on existing policy
6	Residual imbalance energy settlement for economic bidding variable energy resources	Residual imbalance energy due to the forecast changes across intervals shall be settled based on LMP rather than bid. Residual imbalance energy due to economic dispatch across intervals shall continue to be settled based on the reference bid.	Tariff
7	Persistent deviation metric applied to variable energy resources	Continue to apply	Clarification on existing policy
8	Default energy bids for economic bidding variable energy resources	If no cost is provided, will use variable cost option. If LMP option is selected, the variable cost option will be used until the LMP option can be calculated.	Clarification on existing policy
9	Day-ahead metered energy adjustment factor	Corrected for boundary conditions	Tariff
10	Tariff clarifications following market issues bulletin [forthcoming]	Provide for clarifications on tariff language pre- dating MRTU	Tariff



Tariff clarifications following market issues bulletin

• [Forthcoming]

- Additional tariff clean-ups may be needed to address older sections such as 34.17.4 and 34.17.5, which are long-standing tariff provisions that precede the Spring 2014 release and were not modified with the adoption of the new settlement rules for residual imbalance energy on May 1, 2014.
- Changes may be proposed in this policy stakeholder initiative or in the tariff stakeholder process.



Next steps

Date	Event
Thu 4/9/15	Straw proposal posted
Wed 4/15/15	Stakeholder call
Thu 4/30/15	Stakeholder comments due
Wed 5/20/15	Draft final proposal posted
Wed 5/27/15	Stakeholder call
Wed 6/10/15	Stakeholder comments due on draft final proposal
Thu/Fri 7/16-7/17/15	Board of Governors meeting

The ISO will announce an additional working group session to review settlement examples. Examples will be posted before the session.

Please submit comments to <u>initiativecomments@caiso.com</u>

