

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

OFFICE OF ELECTRIC MARKET REGULATION

California Independent System Operator
Corporation

Docket Nos. ER02-2576-003
and ER02-1656-013

April 14, 2011

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
250 Outcropping Way
Folsom, CA 95630

Attention: Margaret A. Rostker, Esquire
Counsel for California Independent System
Operator Corporation

Reference: Compliance Filing

Dear Ms. Rostker:

On November 25, 2002, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) submitted for filing revised tariff sheets in compliance with a Commission order issued October 25, 2002 in Docket Nos. ER02-2576-000 and ER02-1656-005.¹ Specifically, the CAISO filed tariff sheets that changed the deadline for bid submission in the Hour-Ahead Market from T-120 to T-135 consistent with the Commission's directive in the October 25 Order. The CAISO proposed tariff sheets are accepted for filing, effective December 11, 2002, as requested.

This filing was noticed on December 2, 2002, with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before December 16, 2002. On December 16, 2002, Southern California Edison Company (SoCal Edison) filed a Protest to the instant compliance filing. Specifically, SoCal Edison argued, among other things, in its protest that the Commission's finding regarding the change to the deadline for submitting bids in the Hour-Ahead Market would hinder SoCal Edison in its scheduling of energy and interfere

¹ See California Independent System Operator Corporation, 101 FERC ¶ 61,084 (2002). (October 25 Order).

with market efficiency. Therefore, SoCal Edison requested that the Commission reject the CAISO's proposed tariff revisions. No other protests or comments were received. On November 25, 2002, SoCal Edison filed a rehearing request that made the same arguments raised in the instant compliance filing. On January 17, 2003, the Commission issued an Order on Rehearing that denied SoCal Edison's request for rehearing in Docket Nos. ER02-1656-010, et al. Accordingly, since the Commission has addressed SoCal Edison's concerns raised herein and no other comments were received, the instant compliance filing is uncontested. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This acceptance for filing shall not be construed as constituting approval of the referenced filing or of any rate, charge, classification or any rule, regulation or practice affecting such rate or service contained in your tariff; nor shall such acceptance be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such acceptance is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or any which may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to the authority delegated to the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation – West, under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director
Division of Electric Power Regulation -
West