

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

California Independent System)
Operator Corporation)

Docket No. OA08-62-006

FILED
SECRETARY OF THE
COMMISSION

2010 APR 20 P 1:50

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

ERRATUM
OF THE CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM
OPERATOR CORPORATION

The California Independent System Operator Corporation ("ISO") hereby submits an erratum to its March 22, 2010 compliance filing in this proceeding. The erratum is prompted by the limited protest submitted by the Bay Area Municipal Transmission Group ("BAMx"). The Commission's January 21, 2010 Order Conditionally Accepting Compliance Filing and Requiring Further Compliance Filing ("January 21 Order")¹ directed the ISO to incorporate transmission planning milestones and minimum time periods between these milestones. BAMx protests that the ISO's proposed tariff modifications to comply with the Commission's directives omitted language responding to one portion of the January 21 Order. Through this erratum, the ISO seeks leave to file a revised tariff sheet correcting the inadvertent omission identified by BAMx. The ISO requests that the Commission substitute this revised tariff compliance filing for the one submitted on March 22, 2010.

¹

Cal. Indep. Sys. Operator Corp., 130 FERC ¶ 61,048 (2010).

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Beginning on December 21, 2007, the ISO has submitted a series of tariff revisions in order to implement the directives of Order 890.² On March 22, 2010, the ISO submitted the fourth compliance filing in this series. That filing contained tariff language intended to provide greater clarity as to the milestone dates in the ISO's transmission planning process and the elapsed time periods between those dates. In the March 22, 2010 filing, the ISO intended to capture all of the milestones and elapsed time periods identified in the January 21 Order.

II. ERRATUM

BAMx correctly notes, in its April 12, 2010 limited protest, that the ISO was directed to include language in the tariff that the base cases would be posted as soon as possible after the final study plan is posted.³ This language was not included in the compliance filing due to oversight and for no other reason.

Inasmuch as this omission was inadvertent, it does not raise any new issues that the Commission must decide. The ISO wishes to avoid imposing on the Commission the burden of unnecessary additional orders in this proceeding. Accordingly, the ISO is filing at this time a revised tariff sheet that contains the language identified in the BAMx limited protest (See Attachments A and B). At Section 24.2.1.3(d), the ISO has added the language directed by the Commission. Attachments A and B contain clean and blacklined tariff language

² *Preventing Undue Discrimination and Preference in Transmission Service*, Order No. 890, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,241, *order on reh'g*, Order No. 890-A, FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶ 31,261 (2007); *order on reh'g and clarification*, Order No. 890-B, 123 FERC ¶ 61,299 (2008), *order on reh'g*, Order No. 890-C, 126 FERC ¶ 61,228 (2009).


³ January 21 Order at P 15.

reflecting all of the changes from the March 22, 2010 compliance filing. The ISO recognizes that the Commission may need to notice this filing, but hopes to avoid the necessity of another conditional acceptance.

III. CONCLUSION

The ISO unintentionally failed to include certain tariff language in the March 22, 2010 compliance filing providing assurance that the base cases will be posted as soon as possible after the final study plan is posted. The ISO requests that the Commission accept this revised tariff compliance filing, substitute this revised tariff sheets attached hereto for the sheets submitted on March 22, 2010, and approve all of the tariff compliance sheets as of the effective date of the previous compliance filings.

Respectfully submitted,



Nancy Saracino
General Counsel, Corporate Secretary
and Vice-President of Legal Affairs
Anthony J. Ivancovich
Assistant General Counsel –
Regulatory
Judith Sanders, Senior Counsel
California Independent System
Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630
Tel: (916) 351-4400
Fax: (916) 608-7296

Michael E. Ward
Alston & Bird LLP
The Atlantic Building
950 F Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Tel: (202) 756-3300
Fax: (202) 654-4875

Counsel for the
California Independent System
Operator Corporation

Attachment A – Clean Sheets
Order 890 Compliance Errata Filing
OA08-62-000
Fourth Replacement CAISO Tariff
April 20, 2010

Assumptions and Study Plan. Additional meetings, web conferences, or teleconferences may be scheduled as needed. All stakeholder meetings, web conferences, or teleconferences shall be noticed by Market Notice and such notice shall be posted to the CAISO Website.

- (d) Following the public conference(s) required by Section 24.2.1.3(c), and under the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual, the CAISO will determine and publish to the CAISO Website the final Unified Planning Assumptions and Study Plan in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Business Practice Manual. The CAISO will post the base cases to be used in the technical studies to its secured website as soon as possible after the final Unified Planning Assumptions and Study Plan have been published.

24.2.2 Technical Studies.

24.2.2.1 Performance of Technical Studies

(a) In accordance with the Unified Planning Assumptions and Study Plan, and the procedures and deadlines in the Business Practice Manual, the CAISO will perform, or direct the performance by third parties of, technical studies necessary for the Transmission Plan and Transmission Planning Process. The CAISO technical studies will include a Congestion Data Summary, as further described in the Business Practice Manual. According to the detailed schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual, the CAISO will post the preliminary results of its technical studies and proposed mitigation solutions on the CAISO Website. The CAISO's technical study results and mitigation solutions shall be posted not less than 120 days after the final Unified Planning Assumptions and Study Plan are published. Within one month after the posting

Attachment B - Blacklines
Order 890 Compliance Errata Filing
OA08-62-000
Fourth Replacement CAISO Tariff
April 20, 2010


24.2.1.3 Preparation of Draft and Final Unified Planning Assumptions and Study Plan.

- (a) Following review of relevant information, the CAISO will prepare and post on the CAISO Website a draft Unified Planning Assumptions and Study Plan. The CAISO will issue a Market Notice announcing the availability of such draft, soliciting comments, and scheduling a public conference(s) as required by Section 24.2.1.3(c).
- (b) All comments on the draft Unified Planning Assumptions and Study Plan will be posted by the CAISO to the CAISO Website.
- (c) Subsequent to the posting of the draft Unified Planning Assumptions and Study Plan, the CAISO will conduct a minimum of one public meeting open to Market Participants, electric utility regulatory agencies, and other interested parties to review, discuss, and recommend modifications to the draft Unified Planning Assumptions and Study Plan. Additional meetings, web conferences, or teleconferences may be scheduled as needed. All stakeholder meetings, web conferences, or teleconferences shall be noticed by Market Notice and such notice shall be posted to the CAISO Website.
- (d) Following the public conference(s) required by Section 24.2.1.3(c), and under the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual, the CAISO will determine and publish to the CAISO Website the final Unified Planning Assumptions and Study Plan in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Business Practice Manual. The CAISO will post the base cases to be used in the technical studies to its secured website as soon as possible after the final Unified Planning Assumptions and Study Plan have been published.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing document upon all of the parties listed on the official service list for the above-referenced proceeding, in accordance with the requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2010).

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 20th day of April, 2010.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael E. Ward", written over a horizontal line.

Michael E. Ward
Alston & Bird LLP