

Stakeholder Comments Template

Submitted by	Company	Date Submitted
Margaret Hannaford MHannaford@swater.org Barry Flynn brflynn@FlynnRCI.com	City and County of San Francisco	June 1, 2015

Please use this template to provide your comments on the 2015 Interconnection Process Enhancements (IPE) Revised Straw Proposal that was posted on May 11, 2015 and as supplemented by the presentation and discussion during the May 18, 2015 stakeholder meeting.

Submit comments to initiativeComments@caiso.com

Comments are due June 1, 2015 by 5:00pm

The Revised Straw Proposal posted on May 11, 2015 may be found at:

http://www.caiso.com/Documents/RevisedStrawProposal_InterconnectionProcessEnhancements2015.pdf

The presentation discussed during the May 18, 2015 stakeholder meeting may be found at:

http://www.caiso.com/Documents/Agenda-Presentation_InterconnectionProcessEnhancements2015.pdf

For each topic that was modified in the Revised Straw Proposal please select one of the following options to indicate your organization's overall level of support for the CAISO's proposal:

1. Fully support;
2. Support with qualification; or,
3. Oppose.

If you choose (1) please provide reasons for your support. If you choose (2) please describe your qualifications or specific modifications that would allow you to fully support the proposal. If you choose (3) please explain why you oppose the proposal.

Topic 1 – Affected Systems

HHWP has actively participated in the current CAISO Affected Systems process and believes that the current process has worked well for both the CAISO and HHWP. However HHWP has a number of concerns about the CAISO proposal; we share the concerns articulated in MID’s initial comments on April 10th.

As for the CAISO’s revised proposal, HHWP supports the extension of time to 60 days to respond to a CAISO notice of identification of being a potentially Affected System. However the CAISO’s revised proposal does not appear to address any of the other issues associated with the proposed enhancement raised by MID and shared by HHWP.

Furthermore HHWP supports limiting the scope of this effort to just the timing of the identification of Affected Systems. Any expansion of scope as suggested in some stakeholder comments should be considered in its own stakeholder process.

Topic 2 – Time-In-Queue Limitations

Topic 3– Negotiation of Generator Interconnection Agreements

Topic 5 - Stand-Alone Network Upgrades and Self-Build Option

Topic 10 - Forfeiture of Funds for Withdrawal During Downsizing Process

Topic 11 –TP Deliverability Option B Clarifications