

Stakeholder Comment Template CAISO Integrated Balancing Authority Area (IBAA) Proposal

Organization: Powerex Corp.

Date Submitted:
April 28, 2008

Contact Number:
604-891-6040

Industry Segment: Marketer

Instructions: The CAISO is requesting written comments on the *Draft Final Proposal* on *Modeling and Pricing of Integrated Balancing Authority Areas (IBAA)* that was discussed at the April 11th MSC/Stakeholder meeting, a written draft of which was posted on April 18, 2008 at http://www.caiso.com/1fad/1fad12f244a990.pdf. This template is offered as a guide for entities to submit comments.

All documents related to the CAISO's IBAA proposal are posted on the CAISO Website at the following link: http://www.caiso.com/1f50/1f50ae5b32340.html

Upon completion of this template please submit (in MS Word) to kalmeida@caiso.com. Submissions are requested by close of business on **Friday April 25, 2008**.

Reference Section 2.0 (Proposed IBAA Modeling Methodology) of the CAISO Draft Final Proposal.

In Section 2.0, the CAISO makes the following statements:

- "In order to manage congestion as accurately as possible on the CAISO Controlled Grid it is important to accurately reflect the effect of intertie transactions in the FNM to the extent feasible."
- 2) "One intended purpose of the IBAA modeling and pricing provisions is to ensure that there will not be large differences between scheduled intertie transactions (and scheduled flows) with the IBAAs and actual intertie transactions (and actual flows) with IBAAs."
- 2) "Improved modeling of external systems in the FNM and lessening discrepancies between modeled and actual flows means increasing the accuracy of the LMPs in reflecting system conditions and managing congestion."

Based on the description of the proposed modeling approach in Section 2 pp. 3-5, please indicate whether your company supports, does not support, or conditional supports, the CAISO's proposed modeling methodology and whether your company believes the CAISO's modeling methodology will achieve the results described in (1) and (2), above. If your organization does not support the CAISO's proposal, please provide specific reasons for your position and possible alternative approaches that achieve the CAISO's stated objectives.

Powerex does not support this proposal.

Powerex agrees with the desire to model the system more accurately to manage



congestion and flows on the CAISO controlled grid. However, the CAISO has only made available to market participants limited results from their models of IBAAs.

In addition, the CAISO must recognize that other markets in WECC operate on a contract path model that is supported by various agreements, operating procedures, and processes to ensure system reliability. Any extension of MRTU into other markets via the interties needs to recognize how those markets operate and rely on the negotiated and approved operating agreements in order to model flows and congestion on the interties.

Reference Section 3.0 (Proposed IBAA Pricing Methodology) of the CAISO Draft Final Proposal.

In Section 3.0, the CAISO outlines the following pricing proposal:

- 1) a method of pricing transactions to and from the SMUD and TID BAAs based on the following new default IBAA pricing rule:
 - a) All imports to the CAISO from the proposed IBAAs would be priced based on the Locational Marginal Price (LMP) at the Captain Jack proxy bus; and
 - b) All exports from the CAISO to the proposed IBAAs would be prices based on the LMP at the SMUD Sub-Hub.
- 2) that the proposed default pricing rule be applied in the absence of an alternative arrangement which provides for more detailed information regarding the resources supporting the scheduled intertie transaction and there exists demonstrable benefits to the CAISO market of such alternative arrangement. The CAISO may support such alternatives, i.e., more granular, pricing, through the development of case-by-case agreements.

In addition, the CAISO stated that:

- 3) The CAISO originally proposed to establish discrete prices for each of six initially identified System Resources or Aggregated System Resources anticipated to support intertie transactions between the CAISO and SMUD and TID IBAAs. This was referred to as "Sub-Hub" pricing in the CAISO's December 14 IBAA Discussion Paper. The CAISO's proposal would establish prices for the following Sub-Hubs: SMUD, Western, MID, Roseville, TID and Captain Jack.
- 4) The CAISO also stated that it has now moved off of the Sub-Hub based pricing proposal because of concerns that, without further information regarding the resources supporting the intertie transaction, the Sub-Hub proposal may inappropriately value intertie transactions between the CAISO and the proposed IBAAs (i.e., not reflect the true value of such transactions for purposes of managing congestion on the CAISO Controlled Grid).

Please provide comment on the CAISO's recommended IBAA Pricing Methodology. Please indicate whether your company supports, does not support, or conditional supports, the CAISO's recommended default pricing rule. In circumstances where your organization does not support the CAISO's recommendation, please provide specific reasons for your position and whether your company prefers the Sub-Hub pricing methodology or other possible alternative approaches that support effective and efficient congestion management solutions. In addition, if your company supports the Sub-Hub or other granular IBAA pricing, please indicate whether your company would be willing to enter into an agreement to provide information to the CAISO that identifies and confirms the sources supporting scheduled intertie transactions between the CAISO and an IBAA. Please also indicate what added benefits to the CAISO



market your company believes such sub-hub pricing would provide.

Powerex supports an IBAA Pricing Methodology that prices imports and exports at the interties that recognizes the value of imports to the CAISO in direct competition with internal generators and the costs of supporting exports from the CAISO.

Powerex does not support the default pricing rule since this does not improve the accuracy in the modeling of flows and congestion on the grid nor price imports and exports based on their value to the CAISO.

Reference Section 4.0 of the CAISO Draft Final Proposal.

Under the CAISO's IBAA proposal the CAISO is proposing to establish:

- 1) the measures necessary to address the impact on Congestion Revenue Rights (CRRs) in the event that future IBAAs are adopted during the term of released CRRs;
- 2) that new IBAA changes take effect on January 1 of a new year (i.e., in the Day-Ahead Market that is run on December 31), and to provide to market participants all the modeling and pricing details as part of the FNM information package that is made available for CRR purposes prior to the conduct of the annual CRR release process for that year.
- 3) provisions described below for assessing and mitigating impacts on the previously-released Seasonal CRRs for the remainder of that year.
 - Approach 1: Allow the holder of a previously-released CRR whose source or sink is affected by the IBAA change to make a one-time election either to (a) modify the settlement of the CRR to be congruent to the revised IFM pricing associated with the IBAA change, or (b) retain the original source or sink specification of the CRR.
 - Approach 2: Modify all relevant CRR settlements to reflect the IBAA change, as in option (a) of Approach 1.

Based on feedback from stakeholders and the CAISO's careful consideration, the CAISO recommends Approach 1 enabling CRR Holders to maintain their intended hedge against potential congestion costs for purposes of serving load, yet allows those CRR Holders that procured a CRR for financial purposes to keep their financial instrument.

4) The CAISO proposes to use the CRR Balancing Account – which has already been approved by FERC as the means to ensure full funding of CRRs – to cover any IBAA-related shortfall that occurs in a given month.

Please provide comment on the CAISO's recommended approach to addressing Congestion Revenue Right (CRR) related IBAA issues. Please indicate whether your company supports, does not support, or conditional supports, the CAISO's recommendation. In circumstances where your organization does not support the CAISO's recommendation, please provide specific reasons for your position and possible alternative approaches that address the identified problem.

Powerex has no comments on this issue at this time.

Reference Section 5.0 of the CAISO Draft Final Proposal.



Under the CAISO's IBAA proposal the CAISO is proposing to establish:

1) a process for creating new, or modifying approved, IBAAs. The proposed process requires the CAISO to seek collaboration and conduct a consultative process with the affected BAAs and CAISO stakeholders. Specifically, the CAISO is proposing to include in its Tariff provisions that would require that the CAISO follow a consultative process with the affected BAA and its stakeholders. Finally, the CAISO would be required to make a FERC filing to modify its tariff to actually add a new IBAA or change any of the elements regarding the existing IBAA reflected in its Tariff.

Please provide comment on the CAISO's recommended process for creating new, or modifying existing, IBAAs. Please indicate whether your company supports, does not support, or conditional supports, the CAISO's recommendation. In circumstances where your organization does not support the CAISO's recommendation, please provide specific reasons for your position and possible alternative approaches that address the identified problem.

Powerex has no comments on this issue at this time.