



# GridLiance West Transco's 2017 Request Window Proposal

CAISO 2017/2018 Transmission Planning Process  
September 21-22, 2017

# Valley – Innovation 230 kV Project

- ◆ Issues: Overloads on 138 kV system under P1, P4, P6 and P7 events
- ◆ Proposed Project
  - Install a second 230 kV circuit on an existing vacant tower position from Innovation to Johnnie Tap and upgrade existing Johnnie Tap to Valley Substation line to double circuit 230 kV/138 kV
  - Expand Valley Substation to install new 230/138 kV transformer and install necessary terminal equipment at Valley and Innovation substations
- ◆ Proposed In-Service Date
  - Fall 2022 or earlier
- ◆ Estimated Cost: \$50M
- ◆ Alternatives Considered:
  - Install new RAS (status quo)
    - » Doesn't enhance reliability
  - 230 kV line from Innovation to Lathrop Wells
    - » Didn't resolve all issues



# Multiple Benefits of a New Line

- 1. Improve overall grid reliability and security**
- 2. Studies indicate the new line reduces contingencies requiring mitigation by at least 90%**
- 3. Potentially reduces the number of RAS for each generator**
  - a) Past Cluster studies indicate multiple SCE RAS per generator sited in VEA's area
  - b) Past phase 1 studies indicate up to multiple RAS needed by VEA depending upon the amount of generation in a cluster. Many of these RAS would involve most or all generators sited in VEA's areas.
  - c) Point is every generator sited in VEA's area may be required to have multiple RAS – up to double digit number of RAS on each generator if no new line options are considered
  - ✓ Avoiding RAS decreases interconnection costs and increases reliability due to less grid complexity
- 4. Potentially reduces number of contingencies monitored by RAS**
  - a) CAISO Standards allows up to 6 contingencies and 4 monitored elements per RAS (ISO SPS6).
  - b) Every 6 contingencies eliminated potentially saves the cost of a new RAS per ISO SPS6 – potentially reducing interconnection costs of generators
- 5. Could have a positive benefit cost ratio now which only increases over time**
  - a) Cost of line is \$50M, cost of avoiding 5 RAS in Cluster 10 at \$10M/RAS is \$50M.
  - b) Costs of avoiding future RAS over the life of the line – increased benefits for every RAS or RAS element avoided
  - c) Less generator curtailment with fewer RAS means less lost energy - adds to benefits and provides a more stable energy market
  - d) Wire has other quantifiable and non-quantifiable benefits over RAS making the new line more cost effective and more important
    - ✓ A new line adds to reliability, stability, wheeling options, lowers generator interconnection costs....
    - ✓ Western Interconnect unique in that it seems to rely heavily on RAS compared to Eastern Interconnect and ERCOT

# Brattle Group – Identify All Transmission Benefits

- ◆ <http://wiresgroup.com/docs/reports/WIRES%20Brattle%20Rpt%20Benefits%20Transmission%20July%202013.pdf>

**Table ES-1**  
**Potential Benefits of Transmission Investments**

Benefit Category	Transmission Benefit
<b>1. Traditional Production Cost Savings</b>	Production cost savings as traditionally estimated
<b>1a-1i. Additional Production Cost Savings</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Reduced transmission energy losses</li> <li>b. Reduced congestion due to transmission outages</li> <li>c. Mitigation of extreme events and system contingencies</li> <li>d. Mitigation of weather and load uncertainty</li> <li>e. Reduced cost due to imperfect foresight of real-time system conditions</li> <li>f. Reduced cost of cycling power plants</li> <li>g. Reduced amounts and costs of operating reserves and other ancillary services</li> <li>h. Mitigation of reliability-must-run (RMR) conditions</li> <li>i. More realistic representation of system utilization in "Day-1" markets</li> </ul>
<b>2. Reliability and Resource Adequacy Benefits</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Avoided/deferred reliability projects</li> <li>b. Reduced loss of load probability <u>or</u></li> <li>c. Reduced planning reserve margin</li> </ul>
<b>3. Generation Capacity Cost Savings</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Capacity cost benefits from reduced peak energy losses</li> <li>b. Deferred generation capacity investments</li> <li>c. Access to lower-cost generation resources</li> </ul>
<b>4. Market Benefits</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Increased competition</li> <li>b. Increased market liquidity</li> </ul>
<b>5. Environmental Benefits</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Reduced emissions of air pollutants</li> <li>b. Improved utilization of transmission corridors</li> </ul>
<b>6. Public Policy Benefits</b>	Reduced cost of meeting public policy goals
<b>7. Employment and Economic Development Benefits</b>	Increased employment and economic activity; Increased tax revenues
<b>8. Other Project-Specific Benefits</b>	Examples: storm hardening, increased load serving capability, synergies with future transmission projects, increased fuel diversity and resource planning flexibility, increased wheeling revenues, increased transmission rights and customer congestion-hedging value, and HVDC operational benefits

**Table 2**  
**Transmission Benefits Considered in RTO Planning Processes**

RTO Planning Process	Estimated Benefits	Other Benefits Considered (without necessarily estimating their value)
<b>CAISO TEAM (as applied to PVD2)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Production cost savings and reduced energy prices from both a societal and customer perspective</li> <li>• Mitigation of market power</li> <li>• Insurance value for high-impact low-probability events</li> <li>• Capacity benefits due to reduced generation investment costs</li> <li>• Operational benefits (RMR)</li> <li>• Reduced transmission losses</li> <li>• Emissions benefits</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Facilitation of the retirement of aging power plants</li> <li>• Encouraging fuel diversity</li> <li>• Improved reserve sharing</li> <li>• Increased voltage support</li> </ul>
<b>SPP ITP Analysis</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Production cost savings</li> <li>• Reduced transmission losses</li> <li>• Wind revenue impacts</li> <li>• Natural gas market benefits</li> <li>• Reliability benefits</li> <li>• Economic stimulus benefits of transmission and wind generation construction</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enabling future markets</li> <li>• Storm hardening</li> <li>• Improving operating practices/maintenance schedules</li> <li>• Lowering reliability margins</li> <li>• Improving dynamic performance and grid stability during extreme events</li> <li>• Societal economic benefits</li> </ul>
<b>Additional benefits recommended by SPP's Metrics Task Force</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduced energy losses</li> <li>• Reduced transmission outage costs</li> <li>• Reduced cost of extreme events</li> <li>• Value of reduced planning reserve margins or loss of load probability</li> <li>• Increased wheeling through and out revenues</li> <li>• Value of meeting public policy goals</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mitigation of weather uncertainty</li> <li>• Mitigation of renewable generation uncertainty</li> <li>• Reduced cycling of baseload plants</li> <li>• Increased ability to hedge congestion costs</li> <li>• Increased competition and liquidity</li> </ul>
<b>MISO MVP Analysis</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Production cost savings</li> <li>• Reduced operating reserves</li> <li>• Reduced planning reserves</li> <li>• Reduced transmission losses</li> <li>• Reduced renewable generation investment costs</li> <li>• Reduced future transmission investment costs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enhanced generation policy flexibility</li> <li>• Increased system robustness</li> <li>• Decreased natural gas price risk</li> <li>• Decreased CO<sub>2</sub> emissions output</li> <li>• Decreased wind generation volatility</li> <li>• Increased local investment and job creation</li> </ul>
<b>NYISO CARIS</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reliability benefits</li> <li>• Production cost savings</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Emissions costs</li> <li>• Load and generator payments</li> <li>• Installed capacity costs</li> <li>• Transmission Congestion Contract value</li> </ul>
<b>PJM RTEP</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reliability benefits</li> <li>• Production cost savings</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Public policy benefits</li> </ul>
<b>ERCOT LTS</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reliability benefits</li> <li>• Production cost savings</li> <li>• Avoided transmission project costs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Public policy benefits</li> </ul>
<b>ISO-NE RSP</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reliability benefits</li> <li>• Net reduction in total production costs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Public policy benefits</li> </ul>

# The TPP is Positioned to Capture GWT/VEA's Uniqueness

- ◆ The CAISO TPP process is in a unique situation now
  - VEA is in a renewable rich area with no existing RAS
  - VEA load is very small compared to potential renewable generation to be developed – generation could exceed load by 10-20 times load or more
  - GWT has a strong 230kV grid that can be leveraged to maximize reliability, low cost interconnections and maximize generation deliverability (minimize curtailment)
- ◆ GridLiance proposes to work with the CAISO to optimize reliability solutions needed to interconnect new generation in VEA's service territory
  - The GIP process is not the appropriate forum to optimize solutions – must use RAS or generation curtailment as mitigation measures since generation participation is so fluid
  - The TPP is the right forum to identify optimal grid solutions – has data and tools such as production models
  - The CAISO is the right entity since it has or controls much of the data needed to quantify all transmission benefits identified by the Brattle Group paper
- ◆ GridLiance is a willing partner to support a robust low cost grid which will facilitate the CA renewable energy market for years to come
  - We need to act soon to leverage the opportunities GWT/VEA's uniqueness offers CAISO stakeholders