

#### Decision on ISO 2020-2021 Transmission Plan

Jeff Billinton Director, Transmission Infrastructure Planning

Board of Governors Meeting General Session March 24, 2021

#### Approving the plan means approving determinations and recommendations contained in the plan

- 3 transmission projects identified as needed:
  - 3 reliability-driven projects totaling less than \$5 million
- Changes to previously-approved projects
  - 2 previously approved transmission projects can be wholly or largely replaced by appropriately procured and sited battery storage.
  - 3 projects require further evaluation in future planning cycles
- No policy-driven projects
- No economic-driven projects



#### 2020-2021 Transmission Planning Process



### ISO Board for approval of transmission plan



#### Emphasis in transmission planning cycle

- A modest capital program, as
  - Reliability issues are largely in hand,
  - Policy work considered portfolios submitted by CPUC of "42 MMT scenario" baseline and sensitivity studies
  - Very little new economic-driven opportunity, largely due to past studies and approvals
- Significant interest in development community for transmission lines and storage proposals needing detailed economic analysis.
- Continued "special" study efforts on local capacity areas and gas-fired generation requirements.
- Initiated wildfire assessment, starting with the PG&E area.



# Studies are sequentially coordinated as a part of the transmission planning process





5

#### The ISO's reliability analysis led to the following:

- 3 new reliability projects less than \$5 million
  - All projects in the PG&E service territory
- 2 previously approved transmission projects can be wholly or largely replaced by appropriately procured and sited battery storage.
- Three previously approved projects in PG&E service territory are recommended to be on hold for further review in future cycles



#### Policy-driven analysis

- CPUC's 2019-2020 Integrated Resource Planning cycle provided resource planning assumptions to the ISO:
  - Base portfolio based on its "42 MMT scenario" that results in approximately a 60 percent RPS, and
  - Two sensitivity portfolios.
- The ISO performed policy-driven study assessments of the 42 MMT scenario and did not identify any new Category 1 policy-driven transmission needs.
- The ISO is not recommending any new transmission solutions at this time for policy purposes.



#### **Economic-driven analysis**

- In the economic assessment the ISO:
  - Received a number of economic study requests, which included projects that would more reasonably be categorized as interregional transmission projects;
  - Received several proposed reliability projects that cited material economic benefits
  - Continued the expanded 10-year local capacity technical study initiated in the 2018- 2019 planning cycle.
- No new projects were found to be needed as economicdriven projects in the 2020-2021 planning cycle.



#### New Projects Recommended for Approval

Projects	ΡΤΟ	Project costs (millions)	Comments
Palermo – Wyandotte 115 kV Line Section Reconductoring Project	PG&E	\$0.25	Reliability
Manteca #1 60 kV Line Section Reconductoring Project	PG&E	\$2.8	Reliability
Kasson – Kasson Junction 1 115 kV Line Section Reconductoring Project	PG&E	\$0.5	Reliability



# Previously Approved Projects Recommended to be on Hold

Projects	ΡΤΟ	Status
North of Mesa Upgrades	PG&E	On hold (Recommending procurement of storage as mitigation plan)
Moraga-Sobrante 115 kV Line Reconductor	PG&E	On hold
Wheeler Ridge Junction Station Project	PG&E	On hold (Recommending procurement of storage as part of mitigation plan)



#### **Other Informational Studies**

- ISO undertook additional informational studies to help inform future transmission planning or resource procurement processes:
  - Frequency response and dynamic system modeling
  - Reliance on gas-fired generation in local capacity areas
  - Flexible capacity deliverability requirements
  - Wildfire assessment PG&E area



## Regional high voltage transmission access charge projection trending from January 1, 2021 values:





#### Stakeholder Comments

- General support for reliability, policy and economic assessment
- Further consideration of alternatives submitted
- Concerns with respect to CPUC Integrated Resource Plan portfolios
- Concerns with reliance on remedial action schemes in lieu of transmission upgrades



## Management recommends the Board approve the 2020-2021 ISO Transmission Plan

- Continues to pursue low emission strategies in addressing reliability needs on the ISO controlled grid
- Sets a foundation for higher renewable energy goals
- Provides for prudent and economic development of the transmission system

