## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

)

)

California Independent System Operator Corporation ER01-\_\_\_-000

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DEBORAH A. LE VINE ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION

| 1  | Q. | PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, TITLE AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.                         |
|----|----|---|
| 2  | Α. | My name is Deborah A. Le Vine and I am the Director of Contracts &          |
| 3  |    | Compliance for the California Independent System Operator ("ISO"). My       |
| 4  |    | business address is 151 Blue Ravine Road, Folsom, California 95630.         |
| 5  | Q. | PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PRESENT RESPONSIBILITIES AT THE                        |
| 6  |    | ISO.  |
| 7  | Α. | As the Director of Contracts & Compliance, I am responsible for             |
| 8  |    | negotiation and administration of all pro forma agreements executed by      |
| 9  |    | Market Participants and reliability agreements executed by certain          |
| 10 |    | generators and/or Load. The compliance portion of the job includes          |
| 11 |    | compliance with the obligations cited in the agreements, and the ISO Tariff |
| 12 |    | including the ISO Protocols.  |
| 13 | Q. | DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER RESPONSIBILITIES AT THE ISO?                          |
| 14 | Α. | Yes. Since October 1998, I have been the project leader for the ISO's       |
| 15 |    | development of a new transmission Access Charge that was required to        |
| 16 |    | be developed in accordance with California Assembly Bill 1890.              |
| 17 | Q. | PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL                           |
| 18 |    | BACKGROUND.   |
| 19 | Α. | I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from      |
| 20 |    | San Diego State University in San Diego, California in May 1981. In         |
| 21 |    | May 1987, I received a Master in Business Administration from               |
|    |    |   |

Pepperdine University in Malibu, California. Additionally, I am a registered
 Professional Electrical Engineer in the State of California.

# 3 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED IN REGULATORY 4 PROCEEDINGS?

- 5 Α. Yes. I have previously submitted testimony on behalf of the ISO in Docket No. ER98-1057-000, et al., concerning the ISO's Responsible 6 Participating Transmission Owner Agreements, in Docket No. ER98-992-7 000, et al., pertaining to the ISO's Participating Generator Agreements, in 8 9 Docket No. ER98-1499-000, et al., involving the ISO Meter Service Agreements for Scheduling Coordinators and ISO Metered Entities, in 10 11 Docket No. ER00-2019-000 involving the ISO's transmission Access Charge filing as required by California State Legislation, in Docket No. 12 13 ER98-997-000, et al., pertaining to the CA ISO's Qualifying Facility 14 Participating Generator Agreement and in Docket No. ER00-2360-000, et al., regarding the Pacific Gas & Electric Company Reliability Service Tariff. 15 Additionally, I have filed testimony at the California Public Utilities 16 Commission in Docket No. R. 99-10-025, an Order Instituting Rulemaking 17 into Distributed Generation (Phase 2). 18
- 19 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to set forth the ISO's position with respect to certain billing determinants for the Grid Management Charge ("GMC") -specifically the issue of how billing the Control Area Service component of

| 1           |    | the GMC based on Gross Load relates to the assessment of the ISO's          |
|-------------|----|---|
| 2           |    | transmission Access Charge on a Gross Load basis.                           |
| 3           | Q. | AS YOU TESTIFY, WILL YOU BE USING ANY SPECIALIZED TERMS?                    |
| 4           | Α. | Yes. Unless otherwise stated, capitalized terms will be as defined in the   |
| 5           |    | Master Definitions Supplement, Appendix A of the ISO Tariff.                |
| 6           |    |   |
| 7<br>8<br>9 |    | I. <u>BACKGROUND - ACCESS CHARGE</u>  |
| 9<br>10     | Q. | WHAT IS THE ISO?  |
| 11          | Α. | The ISO is a non-profit public benefit corporation organized under the laws |
| 12          |    | of the State of California and responsible for the reliable operation of a  |
| 13          |    | grid comprising the transmission systems of PG&E, San Diego Gas &           |
| 14          |    | Electric Company ("SDG&E"), and Southern California Edison Company          |
| 15          |    | ("SCE"), as well as for the coordination of the competitive electricity     |
| 16          |    | market in California.   |
| 17          | Q. | WHAT IS THE ACCESS CHARGE?  |
| 18          | Α. | The Access Charge is a charge paid by entities serving Loads on the         |
| 19          |    | transmission and distribution systems of Participating Transmission         |
| 20          |    | Owners ("Participating TOs") to recover those Participating TOs'            |
| 21          |    | transmission-related revenue requirements. These are the operating and      |
|             |    |   |

and Entitlements. (The costs of operating the ISO itself are not recovered

22

carrying costs associated with the Participating TOs' transmission facilities

1 through the Access Charge; these costs are recovered through the Grid 2 Management Charge.)

3

- PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ACCESS CHARGE PROPOSAL FILED IN Q. AMENDMENT 27 OF THE ISO TARIFF. 4
- 5 Α. The ISO proposal in Amendment No. 27 is to have a two-part Access Charge consisting of a high voltage ("HV") component to recover costs of 6 ISO Controlled Grid facilities rated at 200 kV and above and a low voltage 7 ("LV") component to recover costs of ISO Controlled Grid facilities rated at 8 9 less than 200 kV. The Access Charge for the LV facilities would continue 10 to be recovered on a utility-specific basis based on a tariff developed by 11 each individual Participating TO.

12

13 The HV Access Charge would initially be based on "TAC Areas." At the outset, there will be three TAC Areas, one corresponding to each of the 14 former Western System Coordinating Council ("WSCC") Control Areas of 15 the three original Participating TOs: a Northern Area (PG&E), a Southern 16 Area (SDG&E), and an East Central Area (SCE). If the Los Angeles 17 Department of Water and Power joins the ISO, a fourth TAC Area -- the 18 West Central Area -- would be established. If the Imperial Irrigation 19 District or entities from other states decide to join, the ISO Board would 20 21 consider whether to establish additional TAC Areas, or add the new 22 Participating TO to an existing TAC Area to minimize cost shifts.

California Independent System Operator Corporation Exhibit No. ISO-14 (DAL-1) Page 5 of 16

2 Each TAC Area would include all Participating TOs, including investorowned and governmental entities, within that area. 3 For example, assuming all California Transmission Owners joined the ISO, the Northern 4 5 Area would consist of PG&E, Sacramento Municipal Utility District, Western Area Power Administration -- Sierra Nevada Region, Northern 6 California Power Agency, City of Redding, Silicon Valley Power, City of 7 Palo Alto, City and County of San Francisco, Alameda Bureau of 8 Electricity, City of Biggs, City of Gridley, City of Healdsburg, City of Lodi, 9 City of Lompoc Utility Department, Modesto Irrigation District, Turlock 10 11 Irrigation District, Plumas County Water Agency, City of Roseville Electric Department, City of Shasta Lake, and City of Ukiah. 12

13

1

Over a ten-year period following the addition of the first new 14 Participating TO, the separate TAC Area HV Access Charges would 15 transition via a phase-in to a single ISO Grid-wide HV Access Charge. 16 This would be accomplished by blending the individual TAC Area high 17 voltage Transmission Revenue Requirements with the sum of the high 18 voltage Transmission Revenue Requirements of all Participating TOs. 19 The blended average HV Access Charge in each year is an increasing 20 21 fraction of the ISO Grid-wide rate, starting at ten percent in the first year 22 and increasing by ten percent each year. By year ten, the ISO Grid-wide

portion will be 100 percent, and TAC Areas will have been dissolved. This
 should create a smooth transition from disparate TAC Area rates to a
 single ISO Grid-wide rate over ten years.

4

5 In addition, capital investments by any Participating TO in new high voltage transmission facilities and in capital additions to existing high 6 voltage transmission facilities will immediately be included in the ISO Grid-7 wide component of the High Voltage Access Charges. This will increase 8 9 the pace at which the High Voltage Access Charges converge into a 10 single charge. At the end of the ten-year transition period, a single HV 11 Access Charge would apply to the withdrawal of Energy at any point on 12 the ISO Controlled Grid.

13

14 The HV Access Charge will be paid by the Utility Distribution Company ("UDC") or Metered Subsystem ("MSS") delivering the Energy for the 15 supply of Gross Load and by the Scheduling Coordinators serving Gross 16 Load of End-Use Customers not directly connected to the facilities of a 17 UDC or MSS. Additionally, the Wheeling Access Charge is determined by 18 the TAC Area and transmission ownership or Entitlement associated with 19 the Scheduling Point at which the Energy exits the ISO Controlled Grid. 20 Q. HOW WAS THE TERM "GROSS LOAD" USED IN AMENDMENT NO. 21

22 **27?** 

- 1 A. In Amendment 27, a new definition was added to the ISO Tariff consisting
- 2 of the following:
- **Gross Load** All Energy (adjusted for distribution losses) delivered for the 3 supply of Loads directly connected to the transmission facilities or 4 Distribution System of a UDC or MSS, and all Energy provided by a 5 Scheduling Coordinator for the supply of Loads not directly 6 7 connected to the transmission facilities or Distribution System of a UDC or MSS. Gross Load shall exclude Load with respect to which 8 the Wheeling Access Charge is payable and the portion of the Load 9 of an individual retail customer of a UDC, MSS, or Scheduling 10 Coordinator that is served by a Generating Unit that: (a) is located 11 on the customer's site or provides service to the customers site 12 through over-the-fence arrangements as authorized by Section 218 13 of the California Public Utilities Code; (b) is a gualifying small power 14 production facility or qualifying cogeneration facility, as those terms 15 are defined in the FERC's regulations implementing Section 201 of 16 the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978; (c) was serving 17 the customer's Load on or before March 31, 2000; and (d) secured 18 Standby Service from a Participating TO under terms approved by 19 a Local Regulatory Authority or FERC, as applicable, as of March 20 31, 2000 and continues to secure Standby Service from the 21 Participating TO or can be curtailed concurrently with an outage of 22 23 the Generating Unit serving the Load. Gross Load forecasts consistent with filed TRR will be provided by each Participating TO 24 to the ISO. 25
- 26

27

## Q. WHAT WAS THE ISO'S INTENT IN ADOPTING THIS DEFINITION

A. First, with respect to all aspects of the Amendment No. 27 proposal, the Gross Load definition represented a compromise based on the stakeholder process and deliberations of the ISO Governing Board. It recognized that all Loads connected to the ISO Controlled Grid derive benefits from the grid and should appropriately share in its fixed costs through the Access Charges. This principle applies to all Loads in the ISO Control Area served by Qualifying Facilities ("QFs"), as well as other Loads. Such Loads benefit both from the availability of generating reserves located throughout the ISO Control Area that protect QF-served Loads against interruption when the QF that normally serves the Load trips off-line, and from Energy delivered over the ISO Controlled Grid during such outages.

6

At the same time, the Amendment No. 27 definition recognizes two 7 specific circumstances with respect to QF Load. First, a QF-served Load 8 may be configured in such a manner that it is automatically and 9 instantaneously curtailed whenever the QF generator serving it is 10 11 unavailable. In such a configuration, the Load is not relying on the ISO Controlled Grid for the receipt of either operating reserves or Energy. 12 13 Load which can be curtailed concurrently and instantaneously with an outage of the Generating Unit serving that Load is not included in the 14 Definition of Gross Load for purposes of the Access Charge. 15

16

Second, the Amendment No. 27 definition recognizes that QF-served Loads have historically contributed to the Transmission Revenue Requirements of the three Participating TOs through charges for Standby Service (the charges are paid to the Participating TO with whom the QF is interconnected). To prevent over-recovery of the Transmission Revenue Requirements of the Participating TOs, the definition of Gross Load takes

into account the contributions to the Transmission Revenue Requirements 1 2 made by Existing QFs via Standby Service charges. The provision made for existing QFs in Amendment No. 27 is often incorrectly referred to as an 3 "exemption" from payment for the ISO's Access Charge. It is not an 4 5 exemption; rather, it is a reflection of the fact that Existing QFs have contributed to, and continue to contribute to, the transmission revenue 6 requirements of the Participating TOs through the payment of Standby 7 Service charges. Moreover, to ensure that other customers do not 8 subsidize the existing QFs taking Standby Service, Amendment No. 27 9 10 also requires the Participating TOs to apply the transmission-related revenues from their Standby Service Tariffs as a credit against their 11 overall Transmission Revenue Requirements that is used to calculate the 12 13 ISO's High Voltage Access Charge.

14

The provision made for existing QFs in Amendment No. 27 (i.e., excluding Loads served by existing QFs from the definition of Gross Load) does not apply to *new* QFs. Amendment No. 27 envisions that new QFs and new arrangements for Standby Service can exclude transmission costs from the calculation of the Standby Rates. The reduced Standby Rate would recognize that the Loads are bearing their portion of the transmission costs through the payment of the ISO's Access Charge.

22 Q. WHAT IS THE CURRENT STATUS OF AMENDMENT 27?

| 1  | Α. | The Commission issued an Order on May 31, 2000, accepting the filing,        |
|----|----|--|
| 2  |    | suspending it, and setting it for hearing. The Commission also is holding    |
| 3  |    | the hearing in abeyance pending the efforts of the settlement process.       |
| 4  | Q. | DID THE COMMISSION APPROVE THE USE OF GROSS LOAD AS A                        |
| 5  |    | BILLING DETERMINANT FOR THE ACCESS CHARGE?                                   |
| 6  | Α. | Yes. In its May 31, 2000 order, the Commission approved the use of           |
| 7  |    | Gross Load as a billing determinant over objections based on the idea that   |
| 8  |    | "behind-the-meter" Loads should not be subject to the ISO's Access           |
| 9  |    | Charge.  |
| 10 |    |  |
| 11 |    | In addition, the Commission approved of the distinction between "existing"   |
| 12 |    | and "new" QFs. As provided for in the definition of Gross Load (quoted       |
| 13 |    | previously), there are four criteria that must be satisfied before the Load  |
| 14 |    | served by a QF can be excluded from the definition of Gross Load. The        |
| 15 |    | Commission stated it generally agreed with the criteria but that it wanted   |
| 16 |    | the record further developed in order to be sure the criteria are applied in |
| 17 |    | a non-discriminatory manner.   |
| 18 | Q. | WHEN IS THE NEW ACCESS CHARGE METHODOLOGY EFFECTIVE?                         |
| 19 | Α. | In its Order, the Commission made the new Access Charge methodology          |
| 20 |    | effective June 1, 2000. The new methodology is not implemented until a       |

21 new Participating TO executes a Transmission Control Agreement,

| 1                |    | however, thereby turning over Operational Control of its transmission         |
|------------------|----|---|
| 2                |    | facilities, which is anticipated to be as soon as January 1, 2001.            |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6 |    | II. <u>APPLICATION TO GMC</u>   |
| 0<br>7           | Q. | WHAT DID THE ISO BOARD APPROVE AS THE BILLING                                 |
| 8                |    | DETERMINANT FOR THE CONTROL AREA SERVICES COMPONENT                           |
| 9                |    | OF THE GMC?   |
| 10               | A. | On June 22, 2000, the ISO Board of Governors approved a GMC                   |
| 11               |    | unbundling motion that used Control Area Gross Load to calculate and bill     |
| 12               |    | the Control Area Services component of the GMC. The Board's                   |
| 13               |    | determination to use Control Area Gross Load for the Control Area             |
| 14               |    | Services component of the GMC was based on the fact that all Load             |
| 15               |    | within the ISO Control Area benefits from the ISO providing Control Area      |
| 16               |    | services. The Board's determination was based on a cost causation             |
| 17               |    | principle that treats all Load within the ISO Control Area similarly and does |
| 18               |    | not single out any Load for disparate or discriminatory treatment. The ISO    |
| 19               |    | believes that to exempt certain Loads within the ISO Control Area from the    |
| 20               |    | Control Area Services component of the GMC charges would                      |
| 21               |    | discriminatorily shift GMC costs to the remaining Load within the Control     |
| 22               |    | Area.   |
| 23               | Q. | IS THE DEFINITION OF "GROSS LOAD" PROPOSED BY THE ISO IN                      |

24 AMENDMENT NO. 27 THE SAME AS THE DEFINITION OF "CONTROL

# AREA GROSS LOAD", WHICH THE ISO BELIEVES SHOULD BE APPLIED FOR PURPOSES OF ASSESSING THE CONTROL AREA SERVICES COMPONENT OF THE GMC?

Α. No. The two charges (the transmission Access Charge and the Control 4 5 Area Service component of the GMC) reflect very different and distinct aspects of the ISO's responsibilities. With regard to the transmission 6 Access Charge, the ISO can only recover, or charge for, those 7 transmission facilities turned over to it to operate (i.e., the ISO Controlled 8 For example, there are transmission facilities within the ISO's 9 Grid). 10 Control Area that are not part of the ISO Controlled Grid and are not subject to the ISO's Access Charge. In contrast, the administrative costs 11 incurred by the ISO to perform the duties and responsibilities as Control 12 13 Area operator impact the entire Control Area and benefit all Load within that Control Area. Given the differences in the nature and scope of the 14 two responsibilities (i.e., the responsibility to provide transmission access 15 over the ISO Controlled Grid and the ISO's Control Area responsibilities), 16 it is appropriate that the definitions used to determine who is subject to the 17 two charges reflect those differences. 18

19

The definition of Control Area Gross Load appropriately focuses on all Load within the Control Area and excludes from the Control Area Services charge only Load that is electrically isolated from the ISO Control Area or

generator auxiliary Load. Mr. Carlson explains the notion of Loads 1 2 "electrically isolated" from the ISO Control Area in greater detail in his testimony. The provisions in the definition of Control Area Gross Load 3 that exclude "generator auxiliary Load" merely incorporate existing 4 5 provisions in the ISO Tariff. These existing provisions set forth the rules regarding "permitted" and "prohibited" netting of Load and Generation 6 when submitting meter information to the ISO. The existing provisions are 7 contained in the Metering Protocol, sections 2.2.4.3 (for ISO Metered 8 9 Entities) and 2.3.5 (for SC Metered Entities).

- 10
- 11 The full definition of Control Area Gross Load being proposed by the ISO
- is as follows:
- 13

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30

14Control Area Gross LoadFor the purpose of calculating and billing15the Grid Management Charge, Control Area Gross Load is all Demand for16Energy within the ISO Control Area. Control Area Gross Load shall not17include Energy consumed by:

- (a) generator auxiliary Load equipment that is dedicated to the production of Energy and is electrically connected at the same point as the Generating Unit (*e.g.*, auxiliary Load equipment that is served via a distribution line that is separate from the switchyard to which the Generating Unit is connected will not be considered to be electrically connected at the same point); and
- (b) Load that is isolated electrically from the ISO Control Area (*i.e.*, Load that is not synchronized with the ISO Control Area).

| 1  | Q. | WHY IS THE ISO PROPOSING A DIFFERENT TREATMENT OF THIS                    |
|----|----|---|
| 2  |    | LOAD FOR PURPOSES OF THE CONTRAL AREA SERVICES                            |
| 3  |    | CHARGE OF THE GMC?  |
| 4  | Α. | This issue is addressed in the Testimony of Trent A. Carlson, Ex No. ISO- |
| 5  |    | 10. As he explains, since all Load benefits from the ISO's Control Area   |
| 6  |    | Services, all Load should be required to pay some share of the Control    |
| 7  |    | Area Services charge. Ex. No. ISO-10 at 17.                               |
| 8  | Q. | HAS THERE BEEN ANY OPPOSITION TO THE USE OF CONTROL                       |
| 9  |    | AREA GROSS LOAD AS A BILLING DETERMINANT?                                 |
| 10 | A. | Certain stakeholders contend that they are paying for the ISO's           |
| 11 |    | transmission Access Charge via existing Standby Rates, and that they are  |
| 12 |    | "exempt" from paying the Access Charge in light of this. They feel that   |
| 13 |    | similar treatment is appropriate with regard to GMC. As I mentioned       |
| 14 |    | earlier, characterizing the provision made for existing QFs in Amendment  |
| 15 |    | No. 27 as an "exemption" is incorrect.                                    |
| 16 | Q. | LEAVING ASIDE THE QUESTION OF WHETHER TRANSMISSION                        |
| 17 |    | ACCESS CHARGES ARE COLLECTED IN EXISTING STANDBY                          |
| 18 |    | CHARGES, AS THESE STAKEHOLDERS CONTEND, DO YOU AGREE                      |
| 19 |    | WITH THESE STAKEHOLDERS THAT THE GMC IS COLLECTED IN                      |

- 20 STANDBY CHARGES?
- A. No. Both transmission service and rates for that service were included in
  the old paradigm, prior to restructuring. The only difference today is how

those rates are collected. It's a fact that the service and the rates already 1 2 existed prior to restructuring and that the Standby Rates included a the Transmission Revenue 3 contribution to Requirement of the Participating TOs. The ISO did not exist before restructuring, however, 4 5 and therefore no costs for the Control Area services provided by the ISO could have been included in the Standby Rates. The provision of 6 transmission service over the Participating TOs' transmission systems and 7 the need to collect the Participating TOs' Transmission Revenue 8 Requirements are items that are not fundamentally changed by 9 10 restructuring and the California market design. In contrast, the ISO's GMC costs are new costs associated with restructuring in California. The GMC 11 pays for the ISO to operate and administer the Control Area. These costs 12 13 could not have been included in any of the pre-existing Participating TO/Utility Distribution Company Standby Rates. In addition, the 14 Participating TOs are no longer providing Control Area services for 15 California. Even if an argument could be made that Standby Rates 16 include administration charges, those revenues go to pay the Participating 17 TOs, not the ISO. As noted above, all Load within the ISO Control Area 18 benefits from the ISO providing Control Area services and recovery of the 19 cost for such services should be based on Control Area Gross Load. 20

#### 21 Q. WHAT IS THE "GROSS VERSUS NET" CONTROVERSY?

| 1 | Α. | The so-called "gross versus net" controversy is based on the "behind-the- |
|---|----|---|
| 2 |    | meter" arguments I mentioned earlier when I was describing the            |
| 3 |    | Commission's May 31, 2000 order on Amendment No. 27. The                  |
| 4 |    | fundamental assertion of these "behind-the-meter" arguments is that       |
| 5 |    | "behind-the-meter" Generation serving "behind-the-meter" Load does not    |
| 6 |    | "use" the transmission facilities operated by the ISO. The Commission     |
| 7 |    | rejected these arguments in its May 31, 2000 order.                       |
| 8 | Q. | SHOULD THE "BEHIND-THE-METER" CONCEPT APPLY TO THE                        |
|   |    |   |

9 **GMC?** 

A. No. As discussed previously, and in the testimony of Mr. Carlson, Exhibit
 No. ISO-10, all Load in the ISO Control Area, regardless of how that Load
 is supplied, benefits from the Control Area services provided by the ISO
 and should pay the Control Area Services component of the Grid
 Management Charge.

# 15 Q. THANK YOU, MS. LE VINE. I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.

16