

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**California Independent System Operator  
Corporation**

**Docket No. ER01-889-012**

**California Independent System Operator  
Corporation**

**Docket No. ER01-3013-004**

**San Diego Gas & Electric Company,  
Complainant,**

**Docket No. EL00-95-059**

**v.**

**Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services  
Into Markets Operated by the California  
Independent System Operator and the  
California Power Exchange,  
Respondents**

**ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE**

**(Issued December 10, 2002)**

1. On December 9, 2002, a prehearing conference was held in these proceedings. A procedural schedule was suggested. It is acceptable and, with formal alterations, will be adopted.

2. It is, therefore, **ordered** that, unless otherwise ordered in writing, future procedures in these proceedings will be governed by the following schedule (all dates are 2003, and all except the hearing date, the due-date of reply briefs, and the due-date of the Initial Decision are "on or before"):

February 20	-	Direct testimony and exhibits of the ISO
April 10	-	Direct testimony and exhibits of the Intervenors
May 12	-	Direct testimony and exhibits of the Commission's Staff
May 29	-	Cross-answering testimony and exhibits

- June 19 - Rebuttal testimony and exhibits
- July 8 - Hearing commences at 10:00 a.m. in a hearing room of this Commission at 888 First Street, NE, Washington, D. C.
- August 13 - Initial briefs due
- September 3 - Reply briefs due
- October 22 - Initial Decision due

3. Formal discovery will begin after a technical conference to be held January 16, 2003. Responses to discovery requests must be made:

- a. January 17 – May 12, 2003: Within 10 business days after receipt
- b. May 13, 2003, and thereafter: Within 7 business days after receipt

4. Motions seeking suspension or revision of any part of the effective procedural schedule will be granted only upon the filing of a written offer of settlement under Rule 602;<sup>1</sup> upon a representation that participants have reached an agreement in principle disposing of all or part of the issues outstanding in these proceedings; upon a showing that substantial administrative efficiency and economy are likely to be promoted by a grant of the motion; or upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances, as a result of which a denial of the motion would cause injustice or substantial harm, or amount to a denial of due process, to movant; and would not cause injustice or substantial harm, or amount to a denial of due process, to any other participant.

5. Motions seeking the shortening of any answering period will be granted only upon the movant's representation that all participants have agreed to that shortening; or a conclusive demonstration that a denial of the motion would inflict injustice, substantial harm, or a denial of due process upon movant; and a grant of the motion would not inflict injustice, substantial harm, or a denial of due process upon any other participant.

Joseph R. Nancy  
Administrative Law Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> 18 CFR 385.602.