



Jason A. Johns
760 SW Ninth Avenue, Suite 3000
Portland, OR 97205
D. 503.294.9618
jason.johns@stoel.com

February 8, 2023

VIA EMAIL

<regionaltransmission@caiso.com>

Re: January 25, 2023 Transmission Development Forum

Dear CAISO and Participating Transmission Owner Representatives:

Falcon Energy Storage Holdings II (“Falcon”), a subsidiary of Arevon Energy, Inc. submits the following questions and comments in response to the quarterly Transmission Development Forum (“TDF”) web conference held on January 25, 2023 (the “TDF Call”).

1. PG&E still has not provided any detail, on the call or through written responses to stakeholder comments to the October 28, 2022, TDF, on the internal decision-making process affecting how certain PNUs are reprioritized.
 - a. How does PG&E ensure that Interconnection Customers are not harmed by reprioritizing certain PNUs for one project over the PNUs required for another project?
 - b. Does PG&E consider queue priority of interconnection customers when making prioritization decisions?
 - c. What teams within PG&E are consulted with respect to reprioritization?
 - d. What criteria do they consider? Are they equally weighted and, if not, which criterion are given more weight and why?
 - e. How is the final decision made regarding reprioritization? Is there a vote? Is it an individual or committee who makes the final decision?
 - f. What records, such as meeting minutes, does PG&E maintain with respect to grading projects for reprioritization and decision-making? Please produce records from 2022 for public review.
 - g. Is CAISO consulted regarding reprioritization? Why or why not?
 - h. ACP-California and Falcon have both previously requested through their comments that PG&E provide more detail on how transmission projects are prioritized and sequenced. CAISO subsequently confirmed that this information would be provided in future TDF spreadsheets and presentations, but this information has yet to be provided. Could PG&E and CAISO please provide this information in preparation for the next TDF stakeholder call?

February 8, 2023

Page 2

2. On the TDF Call, a stakeholder inquired about eliminating the \$50 million competitive solicitation threshold from the CAISO tariff on a temporary basis to allow market participants the ability to complete work on PG&E's behalf to enhance safety, reliability, and minimize the amount of work that has been delayed. PG&E responded by stating that would likely oppose this. Could PG&E provide more detail on why they would oppose a temporary revision to the tariff that enhances safety and reliability?
3. Is PG&E willing to consider and support an alternative to the competitive solicitation that would allow the market to construct needed upgrades while PG&E retains ownership?
4. Falcon encourages the transmission owners and the CAISO to provide stakeholders with responsive narratives and documents well in advance of the next TDF Call in order to foster a robust discussion. Stakeholders were not provided a reasonable time to review CAISO responses in advance of the prior TDF Call.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Jason Johns

Jason A. Johns
Counsel for Arevon Energy, Inc.

cc: Tim Hemig; Paul Smerchanski