# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 763

## CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX F Rate Schedules

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX F Schedule 1

#### **Grid Management Charge**

#### Part A – Monthly Calculation of Grid Management Charge (GMC)

The Grid Management Charge consists of eight separate service charges: (1) the Core Reliability Services – Demand Charge, (2) the Core Reliability Services – Energy Exports Charge; (3) Energy Transmission Services Net Energy Charge, (4) the Energy Transmission Services Uninstructed Deviations Charge, (5) the Forward Scheduling Charge, (6) the Congestion Management Charge, (7) the Market Usage Charge, and (8) the Settlements, Metering, and Client Relations Charge.

- 1. The rate in \$/MW for the Core Reliability Services Demand Charge will be calculated by dividing the GMC costs, as determined in accordance with Part C of this Schedule 1, allocated to this service category in accordance with Part E of this Schedule 1, by the total of the forecasted Scheduling Coordinators' metered non-coincident peak hourly demand in MW for all months during the year (excluding the portion of such Demand associated with Energy Exports, if any, as may be modified in accordance with Part F of this Schedule 1), reduced by thirty-four (34) percent of the sum of all Scheduling Coordinators' metered non-coincident peaks occurring during the hours ending 0100 through 0600, or during the hours ending 2300 through 2400, every day, including Sundays and holidays; provided that if a Scheduling Coordinator's metered non-coincident peak hour during the month occurs during the hours ending 0100 through 0600, or during the hours ending 2300 through 2400, every day, the rate shall be sixty-six (66) percent of the standard Core Reliability Services Demand rate.
- 2. The rate in \$/MWh for the Core Reliability Services Energy Export Charge will be calculated by dividing the GMC costs, as determined in accordance with Part C of this Schedule 1, allocated to this service category in accordance with Part E of this Schedule 1, by the total of the forecasted Scheduling Coordinators' metered volume of Energy Exports in MWh, as may be modified in accordance with Part F of this Schedule 1, for all months during the year.
- 3. The rate in \$/MWh for the Energy Transmission Services Net Energy Charge will be calculated by dividing the GMC costs, as determined in accordance with Part C of this Schedule 1, allocated to this service category in accordance with Part E of this Schedule 1, by the total annual forecasted Metered Control Area Load.
- 4. The rate in \$/MWh for the Energy Transmission Services Uninstructed Deviations Charge will be calculated by dividing the GMC costs, as determined in accordance with Part C of this Schedule 1, allocated to this service category in accordance with Part E of this Schedule 1, by the absolute value of total annual forecasted net uninstructed deviations (netted within a Settlement Interval summed over the calendar month) in MWh.
- The rate in \$ per Schedule for the Forward Scheduling Charge will be calculated by dividing the GMC costs, as determined in accordance with Part C of this Schedule 1, allocated to this service category in accordance with Part E of this Schedule 1, by the annual forecasted number of non-zero MW Final Hour-Ahead Schedules, as may be modified in accordance with Part F of this Schedule 1, including all awarded Ancillary Service bids; provided that the Forward Scheduling charge attributable to Final Hour-Ahead Schedules for Inter-Scheduling Coordinator Energy and Ancillary Service Trades for each Scheduling Coordinator is fifty (50) percent of the standard Forward Scheduling Charge.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- 6. The rate in \$/MWh for the Congestion Management Charge will be calculated by dividing the GMC costs, as determined in accordance with Part C of this Schedule 1, allocated to this service category in accordance with Part E of this Schedule 1, by the total annual forecasted Scheduling Coordinators' inter-zonal scheduled flow (excluding flows pursuant to Existing Contracts) per path in MWh.
- 7. The rate in \$/MWh for the Market Usage Charge will be calculated by dividing the GMC costs, as determined in accordance with Part C of this Schedule 1, allocated to this service category in accordance with Part E of this Schedule 1, by the annual forecasted total purchases and sales (including out-of-market transactions) of Ancillary Services, Supplemental Energy, Instructed Imbalance Energy, and net Uninstructed Imbalance Energy (with uninstructed deviations being netted within a Settlement Interval summed over the calendar month) in MWh.
- 8. The rate for the Settlements, Metering, and Client Relations Charge will be fixed at \$500.00 per month, per Scheduling Coordinator Identification Number ("SC ID") with an invoice value other than \$0.00 in the current trade month.

The rates for the foregoing charges shall be adjusted automatically each year, effective January 1 for the following twelve months, in the manner set forth in Part D of this Schedule.

#### Part B - Quarterly Adjustment, If Required

Each component rate of the Grid Management Charge will be adjusted automatically on a quarterly basis, up or down, so that rates reflect the annual revenue requirement as stated in the CAISO's filing or posting on the CAISO Website, as applicable, if the estimated billing determinant volumes for that component, on an annual basis, change by 5% or more during the year. Such adjustment may be implemented not more than once per calendar quarter, and will be effective the first day of the next calendar month.

The rates will be adjusted in accordance with the following formula:

According to the formulae listed in Appendix F, Schedule 1, Part A with the billing determinant(s) readjusted on a going-forward basis to reflect the 5% or greater change from the estimated billing determinant provided in the annual informational filing.

#### Part C - Costs Recovered through the GMC

As provided in Section 8 of the CAISO Tariff, the Grid Management Charge includes the following costs, as projected in the CAISO's budget for the year to which the Grid Management Charge applies:

- Operating costs (as defined in Section 8.2.2)
- Financing costs (as defined in Section 8.2.3), including Start-Up and Development costs and
- Operating and Capital Reserve costs (as defined in Section 8.2.3)

Such costs, for the CAISO as a whole, are allocated to the eight service charges that comprise the Grid Management Charge: (1) Core Reliability Services - Demand Charge, (2) Core Reliability Services - Energy Export Charge, (3) Energy Transmission Services Net Energy Charge, (4) Energy Transmission Services Uninstructed Deviations Charge, (5) Forward Scheduling Charge, (6) Congestion Management Charge, (7) Market Usage Charge, and (8) Settlements, Metering, and Client Relations Charge, according to the factors listed in Part E of this Schedule 1, and

#### adjusted annually for:

 any surplus revenues from the previous year as deposited in the Operating and Capital Reserve Account, as defined under Section 8.5, or deficiency of revenues, as recorded in a memorandum account;

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### divided by:

• forecasted annual billing determinant volumes;

#### adjusted quarterly for:

 a change in the volume estimate used to calculate the individual Grid Management Charge components, if, on an annual basis, the change is 5% or more.

The Grid Management Charge revenue requirement formula is as follows:

Grid Management Charge revenue requirement =

 Operating Expenses + Debt Service + [(Coverage Requirement x Senior Lien Debt Service) and/or (Cash Funded Capital Expenditures)] - Interest Earnings - Other Revenues - Reserve Transfer

Where,

- Operating Expenses = O&M Expenses plus Taxes Other Than Income Taxes and Penalties
   O&M Expenses = Transmission O&M Expenses (Accounts 560-574) plus Customer
   Accounting Expenses (Accounts 901-905) plus Customer Service and Informational
   Expenses (Accounts 906-910) plus Sales Expenses (Accounts 911-917) plus Administrative
   & General Expenses (Accounts 920-935)
- Taxes Other Than Income Taxes = those taxes other than income taxes which relate to CAISO operating income (Account 408.1)
- Penalties = payments by the CAISO for penalties or fines incurred for violation of WECC reliability criteria (Account 426.3)
- Debt Service = for any fiscal year, scheduled principal and interest payments, sinking fund
  payments related to balloon maturities, repayment of commercial paper notes, net payments
  required pursuant to a payment obligation, or payments due on any CAISO notes. This
  amount includes the current year accrued principal and interest payments due in April of the
  following year.
- Coverage Requirement = 25% of the Senior Lien Debt Service.
- Senior Lien Debt Service = all Debt Service that has a first lien on CAISO Net Operating Revenues (Account 128 subaccounts).
- Cash Funded Capital Expenditures = Post current fiscal year capital additions (Accounts 301-399) funded on a pay-as-you-go basis.
- Interest Earnings = Interest earnings on Operating and Capital Reserve balances (Account 419). Interest on bond or note proceeds specifically designated for capital projects or capitalized interest is excluded.
- Other Revenues = Amounts booked to Account 456 subaccounts. Such amounts include but are not limited to application fees, WECC reliability coordinator reimbursements, and fines assessed and collected by the CAISO.
- Reserve Transfer = the projected reserve balance for December 31 of the prior year less the Reserve Requirement as adopted by the CAISO Governing Board and FERC. If such amount is negative, the amount may be divided by two, so that the reserve is replenished within a two-year period. (Account 128 subaccounts)
- Reserve Requirement = 15% of Annual Operating Expenses.

A separate revenue requirement shall be established for each component of the Grid Management Charge by developing the revenue requirement for the CAISO as a whole and then

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

assigning such costs to the seven service categories using the allocation factors provided in Appendix F, Schedule 1, Part E of this Tariff.

#### Part D - Information Requirements

#### **Budget Schedule**

The CAISO will convene, prior to the commencement of the Annual Budget process, an initial meeting with stakeholders to: (a) receive ideas to control CAISO costs; (b) receive ideas for projects to be considered in the capital budget development process; and, (c) receive suggestions for reordering CAISO priorities in the coming year.

Within 2 weeks of the initial meeting, the ideas presented by the stakeholders shall be communicated in writing to the CAISO's officers, directors and managers as part of the budget development process, and a copy of this communication shall be made available to stakeholders.

Subsequent to the initial submission of the draft budget to the finance committee of the CAISO Governing Board, the CAISO will provide stakeholders with the following information: (a) proposed capital budget with indicative projects for the next subsequent calendar year, a budget-to-actual review for capital expenditures for the previous calendar year, and a budget-to-actual review of current year capital costs; and, (b) expenditures and activities in detail for the next subsequent calendar year (in the form of a draft of the budget book for the CAISO Governing Board), budget-to-actual review of expenditures and activities for the previous calendar year, and a budget-to-actual review of expenditures for the current year. Certain of this detailed information which is deemed commercially sensitive will only be made available to parties that pay the CAISO's GMC (or regulators) who execute a confidentiality agreement.

The CAISO shall provide such materials on a timely basis to provide stakeholders at least one full committee meeting cycle to review and prepare comments on the draft annual budget to the finance committee of the CAISO Governing Board.

At least one month prior to the CAISO Governing Board meeting scheduled to consider approval of the proposed budget, the CAISO will hold a meeting open to all stakeholders to discuss the details of the CAISO's budget and revenue requirement for the forthcoming year. To the extent that such a meeting will deal with complex matters of budgetary and policy import, the CAISO will endeavor to host a workshop on the CAISO's budget preparation process in advance of the meeting to better prepare stakeholders.

Prior to a final recommendation by the finance committee of the CAISO Governing Board on the CAISO's draft annual budget, the CAISO shall respond in writing to all written comments on the draft annual budget submitted by stakeholders and/or the CAISO shall issue a revised draft budget indicating in detail the manner in which the stakeholders' comments have been taken into consideration.

The CAISO will provide no fewer than 45 days for stakeholder review of its annual budget between initial budget posting and final approval of the budget by the CAISO Governing Board.

#### **Budget Posting**

After the approval of the annual budget by the CAISO Governing Board, the CAISO will post on the CAISO Website the CAISO operating and capital budget to be effective during the subsequent fiscal year, and the billing determinant volumes used to develop the rate for each component of the Grid Management Charge, together with workpapers showing the calculation of such rates.

#### **Annual Filing**

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

If the Grid Management Charge revenue requirement for Budget Year 2005 does not exceed \$218.4 million or its revenue requirement for Budget Year 2006 does not exceed \$221.7 million, the CAISO shall not be required to make a Section 205 filing to adjust the GMC charges calculated in accordance with this Schedule 1 to collect such Revenue Requirement. In order for the CAISO to adjust the GMC charges to collect a Grid Management Charge revenue requirement for Budget Year 2005 that exceeds \$218.4 million or Budget Year 2006 that exceeds \$221.7 million, the CAISO must submit an application to the FERC under Section 205. In any event, the CAISO shall submit a filing under Section 205 for approval of the GMC charges to be effective as of January 1, 2007. In such filing, the CAISO may revise the GMC rates set forth in this Schedule 1, but shall not be required to do so.

#### **Periodic Financial Reports**

The CAISO will create periodic financial reports consisting of an income statement, balance sheet, statement of operating reserves, and such other reports as are required by the CAISO Governing Board. The periodic financial reports will be posted on the CAISO's Website not less than quarterly.

#### Part E – Cost Allocation

1. The Grid Management Charge revenue requirement, determined in accordance with Part C of this Schedule 1, shall be allocated to the eight service charges specified in Part A of this Schedule 1 as follows, subject to Section 2 of this Part E. Expenses projected to be recorded in each cost center shall be allocated among the eight charges in accordance with the allocation factors listed in Table 1 to this Schedule 1, subject to Section 2 of this Part E. In the event the CAISO budgets for projected expenditures for cost centers are not specified in Table 1 to Schedule 1, such expenditures shall be allocated based on the allocation factors for the respective CAISO division hosting that newly-created cost center. Such divisional allocation factors are specified in Table 1 to this Schedule 1.

Debt service expenditures for the CAISO's year 2000 (or subsequently refinanced) bond offering shall be allocated among the eight charges in accordance with the allocation factors listed in Table 1 to this Schedule 1, subject to Section 2 of this Part E. Capital expenditures shall be allocated among the eight charges in accordance with the allocation factors listed in Table 2 to this Schedule 1, subject to Section 2 of this Part E, for the system for which the capital expenditure is projected to be made.

Any costs allocated by the factors listed in Table 1 and Table 2 to the Settlements, Metering, and Client Relations category that would remain un-recovered after the assessment of the charge for that service specified in Section 8 of Part A of this Schedule 1 on forecasted billing determinant volumes shall be reallocated to the remaining GMC service categories in the ratios set forth in Table 3 to this Schedule 1.

2. The allocation of costs in accordance with Section 1 and Tables 1 and 2 of this Part E shall be adjusted as follows:

Costs allocated to the Energy Transmission Services category in the following tables are further apportioned to the Energy Transmission Services Net Energy and Energy Transmission Services Uninstructed Deviations subcategories in 80% and 20% ratios, respectively.

Twenty (20) percent of the costs allocated to the Forward Scheduling Charge in the following Tables shall be reallocated to the Congestion Management Charge. A portion of the costs allocated to the Forward Scheduling Charge, associated with the fifty (50) percent reduction in the standard Forward Scheduling Charge to be applied to Final

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

Hour-Ahead Schedules for Inter-Scheduling Coordinator Energy and Ancillary Service Trades as specified in Part A of this Schedule 1, shall be reallocated to the remaining GMC service categories in the ratios set forth in Table 3 to this Schedule 1.

<u>Table 1</u>
<u>O&M, Debt Service, and Other Expense Recoveries Cost Allocation Factors</u>

CC #	Cost Center	CRS	ETS	<u>FS</u>	<u>CM</u>	<u>MU</u>	<u>SMCR</u>	<u>Total</u>
1100	CEO Division	44.01%	21.51%	3.78%	4.61%	10.45%	15.63%	100%
1111	CEO - General	44.01%	21.51%	3.78%	4.61%	10.45%	15.63%	100%
1241	MD02	6.95%	0%	13.86%	10.91	28.38%	39.90%	100%
1521	Grid Planning	62.50%	37.50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
1300	Finance Division	44.04%	21.49%	3.62%	4.22%	10.31%	16.32%	100%
1311	CFO - General	44.04%	21.49%	3.62%	4.22%	10.31%	16.32%	100%
1321	Accounting	44.01%	21.51%	3.78%	4.61%	10.45%	15.63%	100%
1331	Financial Planning and Treasury	44.01%	21.51%	3.78%	4.61%	10.45%	15.63%	100%
1351	Facilities	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21%	16.81%	100%
1361	Security & Corporate Services	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21%	16.81%	100%

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 770

Effective: November 1, 2007

1400	Information Services	38.25%	7.16%	9.74%	4.78%	9.23%	30.85%	100%
	Division							
1411	Chief Information Officer	38.25%	7.16%	9.74%	4.78%	9.23%	30.85%	100%
1422	Corporate & Enterprise	33.28%	7.06%	1.16%	25.28	12.58%	20.63%	100%
	Applications				%			
1424	Asset Management	35.30%	6.12%	10.91 %	4.88%	10.50%	32.29%	100%
1431	End User Support	37.80%	14.44%	8.29%	3.5%	9.32%	26.65%	100%
1432	Computer Operations and Infrastructure Services	34.15%	9.21%	11.76 %	3.08%	8.69%	33.11%	100%
1433	Network Services	43.38%	11.88%	9.39%	2.61%	9.23%	23.51%	100%
1441	Outsourced Contracts	42.25%	10.62%	10.25	2.53%	9.07%	25.28%	100%
1442	Production Support	25.09%	0.17%	17.98 %	2.62%	7.52%	46.62%	100%
1451	Information Support Services	25.09%	0.17%	17.98 %	2.62%	7.52%	46.62%	100%
1461	Control Systems	96.44%	2.44%	0%	0%	0.56%	0.56%	100%
1462	Field Data Acquisition System (FDAS)	21.43%	0%	0%	0%	0%	78.57%	100%
1463	Operations Systems Services	50.44%	2.91%	6.01%	1.21%	5.95%	33.49%	100%
1466	Enterprise Applications	47.98%	7.30%	1.19%	1.34%	3.47%	38.72%	100%
1467	Settlement Systems Services	27.34%	11.20%	1.83%	2.05%	5.32%	52.25%	100%
1468	Corporate Application Support and Administration	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21%	16.81%	100%
1469	Analytical and Reporting Applications	10%	0%	0%	65%	25%	0%	100%
1471	IT Planning	25.09%	0.17%	17.98 %	2.62%	7.52%	46.62%	100%

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel Issued on: February 9, 2006

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 771

1481	Markets and Scheduling	46.85%	2.86%	23.68	2.5%	17.64%	6.48%	100%
	System Services			%				
1482	Market Systems Support	44.94%	1.05%	18.51	6.17%	23.78%	5.54%	100%
	Services			%				
1500	Grid Operations	66.71%	33.29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Division							
1511	VP Grid Operations	66.71%	33.29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
1542	Outage Coordination	95.11%	4.89%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
1543	Loads and Resources	48.95%	51.05%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
1544	Real-Time Scheduling	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
1545	Grid Operations	67.47%	32.53%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
1546	Security Coordination	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
1547	Engineering and	46.42%	53.58%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Maintenance							
1548	OSAT Group - General	93.2%	6.80%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
1549	Operations Training	50.48%	49.52%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
1554	Special Projects	42.86%	57.14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Engineering							
1555	Operations Support	55.56%	44.44%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Group							
1558	Transmission	58.46%	41.54%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Maintenance							
1559	Operations Application	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Support							
1561	Operations Engineering	65.32%	34.68%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	South							
1562	Operations Engineering	55.15%	44.85%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	North							
1563	Operations Coordination		25.45%		0%	0%	0%	100%
1564	Operations Scheduling	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
1565	Pre-Scheduling and	76.92%	23.08%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Support		<u> </u>					

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

Issued on: February 9, 2006

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 772

1566	Regional Coordination - General	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	General							
1600	Legal and Regulatory	35.80%	21.78%	3.73%	7.18%	16.97%	14.54%	100%
	Division							
1611	VP General Counsel - General	35.80	21.78%	3.73%	7.18%	16.97%	14.54%	100%
1631	Legal and Regulatory	44.01%	21.51%	3.78%	4.61%	10.45%	15.63%	100%
1641	Market Analysis	15.32%	26.33%	0%	19.90 %	31.38%	7.07%	100%
1642	Market Surveillance Committee	25%	25%	0%	25%	25%	0%	100%
1651	CAISO Governing Board	44.01%	21.51%	3.78%	4.61%	10.45%	15.63%	100%
1661	Compliance - General	21.90%	20.37%	11.90	0%	28.50%	17.33%	100%
1662	Compliance - Audits	8.33%	0%	0%	0%	50%	41.67%	100%
1700	Market Services	17.14%	2.43%	9.46%	9.39%	20.35%	41.23%	100%
	Division							
1711	VP Market Services - General	17.14%	2.43%	9.46%	9.39%	20.35%	41.23%	100%
1721	Billing and Settlements- General	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	75%	100%
1722	Business Development Support	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
1723	RMR Settlements	80.30%	19.70%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
1724	BBS - PSS	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
1725	BBS - FSS	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
1731	Contracts and Special Projects	43.17%	6.83%	0%	0%	0%	50%	100%
1741	Client Relations	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
1751	Market Operations - General	30.66%	0%	15.33 %	15.33 %	34.85%	3.83%	100%

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

## CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 773

1752	Manager of Markets	27.31%	5.46%	27.31	21.84	18.08%	0%	100%
				%	%			
1753	Market Engineering	21.32%	0%	0%	28.43	43.15%	7.11%	100%
					%			
1755	Business Solutions	5.91%	0%	47.27	11.82	29.10%	5.91%	100%
				%	%			
1756	Market Quality - General	0%	0% 0	%	0%	70.939	% 29.07%	100%
1757	Market Integration	7.38%	0% 2	9.52%	29.52%	26.20	% 7.38%	100%
1800	Corporate and	44.04%	21.49%	3.62%	4.21%	10.31%	16.33%	100%
	Strategic Development							
	Division							
1811	VP Corporate and	44.04%	21.49%	3.62%	4.21%	10.31%	16.33%	100%
	Strategic Development -							
	General							
1821	Communications	44.01%	22.51%	3.78%	4.61%	10.45%	15.63%	100%
1831	Strategic Development	44.01%	22.51%	3.78%	4.61%	10.45%	15.63%	100%
1841	Human Resources	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21%	16.81%	100%
1851	Project Office	44.01%	21.51%	3.78%	4.61%	10.45%	15.63%	100%
1861	Regulatory Policy	44.01%	21.51%	3.78%	4.61%	10.45%	15.63%	100%
Other R	levenue and Credits							
	SC Application and	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
	Training Fees							
	WECC	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
	Reimbursement/NERC							
	Reimbursement							
	Interest Earnings	36.64%	12.29%	9.34%	4.97%	11.47%	25.30%	100%
Debt Se	ervice Related	33.49%	7.93%	15.26%	5.19%	9.44%	28.69%	100%
Allocati	ions							

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

<u>Table 2</u>
<u>Capital Cost Allocation Factors</u>

System	CRS	ETS	FS	СМ	MU	SMCR	Total
ACC Upgrades (Communication	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
between CAISO & IOUs)							
Ancillary Services Management	15%	0%	40%	0%	45%	0%	100%
(ASM) Component of SA							
Application Development Tools	23.46%	0.18%	21.78%	2.68%	6.86%	45.04%	100%
Automated Dispatch System	50%	0%	25%	0%	20%	5%	100%
(ADS)							
Automated Load Forecast System	70%	0%	10%	0%	20%	0%	100%
(ALFS)							
Automatic Mitigation Procedure	85%	0%	0%	0%	15%	0%	100%
(AMP)							
Backup systems	23%	0%	22%	3%	7%	45%	100%
(Legato/Quantum)							
Balance of Business Systems	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
(BBS)							
Balancing Energy Ex Post Price	50%	0%	20%	10%	20%	0%	100%
(BEEP) Component of SA							
Bill's Interchange Schedule (BITS)	85%	0%	0%	0%	15%	0%	100%
CaseWise (process modeling tool)	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.219	% 16.81%	6 100%
CHASE	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.219	% 16.81%	6 100%
Common Information Model (CIM)	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Compliance (Blaze)	19.17%	16.27%	9.5%	0%	32.839	% 22.23%	6 100%
Congestion Management (CONG)	10%	0%	0%	65%	25%	0%	100%
(Component of SA)							

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

## CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 775

Congestion Reform-DSOW	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	100%
Congestion Revenue Rights (CRR)	0%	0%	0%	80%	20%	0%	100%
DataWarehouse	24.46%	18.27%	6.40%	8.74%	24.30%	17.82%	100%
Dept. of Market Analysis Tools (SAS/MARS)	15.32%	26.33%	0%	19.90%	31.38%	7.07%	100%
Dispute Tracking System (Remedy)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
Documentum	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21%	16.81%	100%
Electronic Tagging (Etag)	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Energy Management System (EMS)	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Engineering Analysis Tools	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Evaluation of Market Separation	0%	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	100%
Existing Transmission Contracts Calculator (ETCC)	25%	0%	20%	15%	20%	20%	100%
FERC Study Software	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	100%
Firm Transmission Right (FTR) and Secondary Registration System (SRS)	0%	0%	15%	60%	15%	10%	100%
Global Resource Reliability  Management Application  (GRRMA)	75%	15%	0%	0%	10%	0%	100%
Grid Operations Training Simulator (GOTS)	56%	44%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Hour-Ahead Data AnalysisTool, Day-Ahead Data AnalysisTool,	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Human Resources	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21%	16.81%	100%

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 776

37.26%	14.44%	9.54%	3.52%	9.10%	6 26.1	3%	100%
10%	0%	35%	0%	55%	0%		100%
23.46%	0.18%	21.78%	2.68%	6.86%	6 45.0	4%	100%
50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%		100%
44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21	% 16.8	1%	100%
44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21	% 16.8	1%	100%
10%	0%	35%	0%	55%	0%		100%
0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%		100%
20%	0%	20%	0%	55%	5%	,	100%
6.95%	0%	13.86%	10.91%	28.38	% 39.9	0%	100%
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1009	%	100%
23.46%	0%	21.78%	2.68%	6.86%	6 45.0	4%	100%
23.46%	0%	21.78%	2.68%	6.86%	6 45.0	4%	100%
100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		100%
23.46%	0.18%	21.78%	2.68%	6.86%	6 45.0	4%	100%
44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21	% 16.8	1%	100%
44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21	% 16.8	1%	100%
27%	0.%	18%	5%	9%	41%	100	%
	10% 23.46% 50% 44.06% 10% 0% 20% 6.95% 0% 23.46% 100% 23.46%	10%       0%         23.46%       0.18%         50%       0%         44.06%       21.47%         10%       0%         0%       0%         20%       0%         6.95%       0%         0%       0%         23.46%       0%         100%       0%         23.46%       0%         44.06%       21.47%         44.06%       21.47%	10%       0%       35%         23.46%       0.18%       21.78%         50%       0%       0%         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%         10%       0%       35%         0%       0%       20%         6.95%       0%       13.86%         0%       0%       21.78%         23.46%       0%       21.78%         100%       0%       21.78%         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%	10%       0%       35%       0%         23.46%       0.18%       21.78%       2.68%         50%       0%       0%       50%         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%       3.93%         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%       3.93%         10%       0%       35%       0%         0%       0%       0%       0%         20%       0%       0%       0%         6.95%       0%       13.86%       10.91%         0%       0%       0%       0%         23.46%       0%       21.78%       2.68%         100%       0%       0%       0%         23.46%       0.18%       21.78%       2.68%         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%       3.93%         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%       3.93%	10%       0%       35%       0%       55%         23.46%       0.18%       21.78%       2.68%       6.86%         50%       0%       0%       50%       0%         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%       3.93%       10.21         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%       3.93%       10.21         10%       0%       35%       0%       55%         0%       0%       0%       0%       55%         0%       0%       0%       0%       55%         6.95%       0%       13.86%       10.91%       28.38         0%       0%       0%       0%       0%         23.46%       0%       21.78%       2.68%       6.86%         100%       0%       0%       0%       0%         23.46%       0.18%       21.78%       2.68%       6.86%         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%       3.93%       10.21         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%       3.93%       10.21	10%       0%       35%       0%       55%       0%         23.46%       0.18%       21.78%       2.68%       6.86%       45.0         50%       0%       0%       50%       0%       0%         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%       3.93%       10.21%       16.8         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%       3.93%       10.21%       16.8         10%       0%       35%       0%       55%       0%         0%       0%       0%       100%       0%         20%       0%       55%       5%         6.95%       0%       13.86%       10.91%       28.38%       39.9         0%       0%       0%       0%       100%       0%       100%         23.46%       0%       21.78%       2.68%       6.86%       45.0         100%       0%       0%       0%       0%       0%         23.46%       0.18%       21.78%       2.68%       6.86%       45.0         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%       3.93%       10.21%       16.8         44.06%       21.47%       3.51%       3.93%       10.21%       16.8 </td <td>10%         0%         35%         0%         55%         0%           23.46%         0.18%         21.78%         2.68%         6.86%         45.04%           50%         0%         0%         0%         0%           50%         0%         0%         0%         0%           44.06%         21.47%         3.51%         3.93%         10.21%         16.81%           44.06%         21.47%         3.51%         3.93%         10.21%         16.81%           10%         0%         35%         0%         55%         0%           0%         0%         0%         100%         0%           20%         0%         55%         5%           6.95%         0%         13.86%         10.91%         28.38%         39.90%           0%         0%         0%         0%         100%         100%           23.46%         0%         21.78%         2.68%         6.86%         45.04%           23.46%         0.18%         21.78%         2.68%         6.86%         45.04%           44.06%         21.47%         3.51%         3.93%         10.21%         16.81%           44.06%</td>	10%         0%         35%         0%         55%         0%           23.46%         0.18%         21.78%         2.68%         6.86%         45.04%           50%         0%         0%         0%         0%           50%         0%         0%         0%         0%           44.06%         21.47%         3.51%         3.93%         10.21%         16.81%           44.06%         21.47%         3.51%         3.93%         10.21%         16.81%           10%         0%         35%         0%         55%         0%           0%         0%         0%         100%         0%           20%         0%         55%         5%           6.95%         0%         13.86%         10.91%         28.38%         39.90%           0%         0%         0%         0%         100%         100%           23.46%         0%         21.78%         2.68%         6.86%         45.04%           23.46%         0.18%         21.78%         2.68%         6.86%         45.04%           44.06%         21.47%         3.51%         3.93%         10.21%         16.81%           44.06%

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 777

Effective: November 1, 2007

Office Automation - desktop/laptop	44%	27%	4%	4%	10%	17%	100%
(OA)							
Office equipment (scanner, printer,	44%	21%	4%	4%	10%	17%	100%
copier, fax, Communication							
Equipment)							
Open Access Same Time	10%	0%	25%	10%	35%	20%	100%
Information System (OASIS)							
Operational Meter Analysis and	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
Reporting (OMAR)							
Oracle Corporate Financials	44%	21%	4%	4%	10%	17%	100%
Oracle Licenses	27%	0%	18%	5%	9%	41%	100%
Oracle Market Financials BBS	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
Out of Sequence Market Operation	5%	5%	0%	0%	90%	0%	100%
Settlements Information System							
(OOS)							
Outage Scheduler (OS)	50%	0%	10%	20%	20%	0%	100%
Participating Intermittent Resource	0%	0%	93.92%	0%	6.08%	0%	100%
Project (PIRP)							
Physical Facilities Software	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21%	16.81%	100%
Application/Furniture/Leasehold							
Improvements							
Process Information System (PI)	80%	0%	0%	0%	10%	10%	100%
Rational Buyer	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Real Time Energy Dispatch	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
System (REDS)							
Real Time Nodal Market	35%	0%	10%	0%	55%	0%	100%
Reliability Management System	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
(RMS)							

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel Issued on: February 9, 2006

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 778

Remedy (related to Transmission	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Registry, New Resource							
Interconnection, and Resource							
Registry)							
Remote Intelligent Gateway (RIG)	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
& Data Processing Gateway							
(DPG)							
Resource Register (RR)	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
RMR Application Validation Engine	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
(RAVE)							
Scheduling & Logging for CAISO	65%	0%	15%	5%	15%	0%	100%
California (SLIC)							
Scheduling Architecture (SA)	23.96%	0%	19.84%	25.87%	30.33%	0%	100%
Scheduling Infrastructure (SI)	0%	0%	93.92%	0%	6.08%	0%	100%
Scheduling Infrastructure Business	0%	0%	93.92%	0%	6.08%	0%	100%
Rules (SIBR)							
Security Constrained Economic	40%	0%	0%	0%	60%	0%	100%
Dispatch (SCED)							
Security- External/Physical	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21%	16.81%	100%
Security-ISS (CUDA)	23%	0%	22%	3%	7%	45%	100%
Settlements and Market Clearing	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%
Sign Board (Symon Board maint.)	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21%	16.81%	100%
Startup Costs through 3/31/98,	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21%	16.81%	100%
Working Capital-3 months							
Storage (EMC symmetrix)	18.67%	9.55%	13.71%	4.21%	11.77%	42.09%	100%
System Equipment Buyouts (lease	43.27%	1.02%	7.34%	1.79%	11.03%	35.56%	100%
buyouts)							

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

Effective: November 1, 2007

Telephone/PBX	44.06%	21.47%	3.51%	3.93%	10.21%	16.81%	100%
Training Systems	23.46%	0.18%	21.78%	2.68%	6.86%	45.04%	100%
Transmission Constrained Unit Commitment (TCUC) Must Offer Obligation	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Transmission Map Plotting & Display	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%
Trustee Costs, Interest- Capitalized, User Groups	53.60%	0.55%	10.62%	15.74%	17.48%	2%	100%
Utilities – System i.e. Print drivers	23.46%	0.18%	21.78%	2.68%	6.86%	45.04%	100%
Vitria (Middleware)	23.46%	0.18%	21.78%	2.68%	6.86%	45.04%	100%
Wide Area Network (WAN)	40.80%	2.14%	18.68%	1.31%	7.60%	29.48%	100%
Capital Expenditures for Systems not Specified	32.20%	7.40%	15% 5	.50%	10.60%	29.30%	100%

<u>Table 3</u>
<u>Reallocation Factors for Projected Unrecovered Portion of</u>
<u>Settlements, Metering, and Client Relations Revenue Requirement</u>

	CRS	ETS	FS	CM	MU	SMCR	Total
Functional Association of	0.0%	70.34%	0.0%	8.23%	21.43%	0.0%	100.0%
Settlements, Metering, and							
Client Relations							

#### Part F – Other Modifications to the Rates

Consistent with a Settlement Agreement accepted by the FERC in Docket Nos. ER04-115-000, et al., GMC rates and charges shall be calculated consistent with the following additional requirements during the period that the GMC rates and charges specified in that Settlement Agreement remain in effect:

1. The GMC chargeable to a Scheduling Coordinator for transactions representing transfers from the Mohave generation facility to the Loads of the Mohave co-owners located outside of the CAISO Control Area, will be reduced by excluding 65 percent of those Loads from the Energy Transmission

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

## CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 780

Services Net Energy Charge and the Core Reliability Services – Energy Exports Charge. Such excluded Load shall not be included in the denominators used to calculate the rates for the Energy Transmission Services – Net Energy Charge and the Core Reliability Services – Energy Export Charge.

- 2. The Forward Scheduling Charge assessed against Schedules submitted by PG&E solely in its role as Path 15 facilitator will be reduced by excluding 65 percent of the number of such Schedules from the Forward Scheduling Charge. Such excluded Schedules shall not be included in the denominator upon which the Forward Scheduling Charge is calculated.
- Modesto Irrigation District (MID) is a Scheduling Coordinator and also is responsible for a portion of the GMC charges payable by another Scheduling Coordinator, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) pursuant to a contract between them. MID and PG&E have agreed that MID shall pay the CAISO directly \$75,000 each month, in lieu of any payments to PG&E for its share of the GMC charges payable by PG&E and the CAISO shall credit a portion of the amount received from MID to PG&E as an offset to PG&E's obligation for GMC charges. Any difference, positive or negative, between the amount credited to PG&E and the amount paid by MID to the CAISO under this provision shall be reflected in the Operating and Capital Reserves Account. The payment arrangement described in this paragraph is subject to the conditions, and will be implemented pursuant to the procedures, set forth in the Offer of Partial Settlement accepted by the FERC in Docket Nos. ER04-115-000, et al. This arrangement shall not apply to MID's obligation for GMC charges as a Scheduling Coordinator, which shall be governed by the provisions of this Schedule 1 and the other applicable provisions of the CAISO Tariff, except that in the event that MID accepts responsibility for scheduling any load currently scheduled by PG&E under SCID PGAB, the CAISO will not charge any additional GMC at the tariffed GMC rate, but rather will attribute such schedules and load to the fixed \$75,000.00 per month payment set forth above, provided that MID schedules such load under a new and separate SCID and the CAISO shall not assess GMC charges to such SCID.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel
Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 781

CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX F Schedule 2 [Not Used]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX F Schedule 3 High Voltage Access Charge

#### 1. Objectives and Definitions

#### 1.1 Objectives

- The Access Charge will remain utility-specific until a New Participating TO executes the Transmission Control Agreement, at which time the Access Charge will change as discussed below.
- (b) The Access Charge is the charge assessed for using the CAISO Controlled Grid. It consists of three components, the High Voltage Access Charge (HVAC), the Transition Charge and the Low Voltage Access Charge (LVAC).
- (c) The HVAC ultimately will be based on one CAISO Grid-wide rate. Initially, the HVAC will be based on TAC Areas, which will transition 10% per year to the CAISO Grid-wide rate. In the first year after the Transition Date described in Section 4.2 of this Schedule 3, the HVAC will be a blend based on 10% CAISO Grid-wide and 90% TAC Area.
- (d) New High Voltage Facility additions and capital additions to Existing High Voltage Facilities will be immediately included in the CAISO Grid-wide component of the HVAC. The Transmission Revenue Requirement for New High Voltage Facilities will not be included in the calculation of the Transition Charge.
- **(e)** The LVAC will remain utility-specific and will be determined by each Participating TO. Each Participating TO will charge for and collect the LVAC.
- (f) The cost-shift associated with transitioning from utility-specific rates to one CAISO Gridwide rate will be mitigated in accordance with the CAISO Tariff, including this schedule.

#### 1.2 Definitions

#### (a) Master Definition Supplement

Unless the context otherwise requires, any word or expression defined in the Master Definition Supplement shall have the same meaning where used in this Schedule 3.

#### (b) Special Definitions for this Appendix

When used in this Schedule 3 with initial capitalization, the following terms shall have the meanings specified below.

**"High Voltage Utility-Specific Rate"** means a Participating TO's High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement divided by such Participating TO's forecasted Gross Load.

**"TAC Benefit"** means the amount, if any, for each year by which the cost of Existing High Voltage Transmission Facilities associated with deliveries of Energy to Gross Loads in the PTO Service Territory is reduced by the implementation of the High Voltage Access Charge described in Schedule 3 to Appendix F. The Tac Benefit of a New Participating TO shall not be less than zero.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

"Transition Date" means the date defined in Section 4.2 of this Schedule.

#### 2. Assessment of High Voltage Access Charge and Transition Charge.

All UDCs and MSS Operators in a PTO Service Territory serving Gross Loads directly connected to the transmission facilities or Distribution System of a UDC or MSS Operator in a PTO Service Territory shall pay to the CAISO a charge for transmission service on the High Voltage Transmission Facilities included in the CAISO Controlled Grid. The charge will be based on the High Voltage Access Charge applicable to the TAC Area in which the point of delivery is located and the applicable Transition Charge. A UDC or MSS Operator that is also a Participating TO shall pay, or receive payment of, if applicable, the difference between (i) the High Voltage Access Charge and Transition Charge applicable to its transactions as a UDC or MSS Operator; and (ii) the disbursement of High Voltage Access Charge revenues to which it is entitled pursuant to Section 26.1.3 of the CAISO Tariff.

#### 3. TAC Areas.

- 3.1 TAC Areas are based on the Control Areas in California prior to the CAISO Operations Date. Three TAC Areas will be established based on the Original Participating TOs: (1) a Northern Area consisting of the PTO Service Territory of Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the PTO Service Territory of any entity listed in Section 3.3 or 3.5 of this Schedule; (2) an East Central Area consisting of the PTO Service Territory of Southern California Edison Company and the PTO Service Territory of any entity listed in Section 3.4, 3.5 or 3.6 (as indicated therein) of this Schedule 3; and (3) a Southern Area consisting of the PTO Service Territory of San Diego Gas & Electric Company. Participating TOs that are not in one of the above cited PTO Service Territories are addressed below.
- **3.2** If the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power joins the CAISO and becomes a Participating TO, its PTO Service Territory will form a fourth TAC Area, the West Central Area.
- 3.3 If any of the following entities becomes a Participating TO, its PTO Service Territory will become part of the Northern Area: Sacramento Municipal Utility District, Western Area Power Administration Sierra Nevada Region, the Department of Energy California Labs, Northern California Power Agency, City of Redding, Silicon Valley Power, City of Palo Alto, City and County of San Francisco, Alameda Bureau of Electricity, City of Biggs, City of Gridley, City of Healdsburg, City of Lodi, City of Lompoc Utility Department, Modesto Irrigation District, Turlock Irrigation District, Plumas County Water Agency, City of Roseville Electric Department, City of Shasta Lake, and City of Ukiah or any other entity owning or having contractual rights to High Voltage or Low Voltage Transmission Facilities in Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Control Area prior to the CAISO Operations Date.
- **3.4** If any of the following entities becomes a Participating TO, its PTO Service Territory will become part of the East Central Area: City of Anaheim Public Utility Department, City of Riverside Public Utility Department, City of Azusa Light and Water, City of Banning Electric, City of Colton, City of Pasadena Water and Power Department, The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California and City of Vernon or any other entity owning or having contractual rights to High Voltage or Low Voltage Transmission Facilities in Southern California Edison Company's Control Area prior to the CAISO Operations Date.
- **3.5** If the California Department of Water Resources becomes a Participating TO, its High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirements associated with High Voltage Transmission Facilities in the Northern Area would become part of the High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement for the Northern Area while the remainder would be included in the East Central Area.
- **3.6** If the City of Burbank Public Service Department (Burbank) and/or the City of Glendale Public Service Department (Glendale) become Participating TOs after or at the same time as the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power becomes a Participating TO, then the PTO Service Territory of Burbank and/or Glendale would become part of the West Central Area. Otherwise, if Burbank or Glendale becomes a Participating TO, prior to Los Angeles, its PTO Service Territory will become part of the East

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Central Area. Once either Burbank or Glendale are part of the East Central Area, they will not move to the West Central Area if such area is established.

**3.7** If the Imperial Irrigation District or an entity outside the State of California should apply to become a Participating TO, the CAISO Governing Board will review the reasonableness of integrating the entity into one of the existing TAC Areas. If the entity cannot be integrated without the potential for significant cost shifts, the CAISO Governing Board may establish a separate TAC Area.

#### 4. Transition Date

- **4.1** New Participating TOs shall provide the CAISO with a notice of intent to join and execute the Transmission Control Agreement by either January 1 or July 1 of any year and provide the CAISO with an application within 15 days of such notice of intent.
- **4.2** The transition shall begin on either January 1 or July 1 after the date the first New Participating TO's execution of the Transmission Control Agreement takes effect (Transition Date). The Transition Date shall be the same for the Northern Area, East Central Area and the Southern Area. The Transition Date shall also be the same for the West Central Area, should it come into existence in accordance with Section 3.2 of this Schedule 3, unless the CAISO provides additional information demonstrating the need for a deferral. The 10-year transition defined in Section 5.8 of Schedule 3 shall start from that date. If the West Central TAC Area is created after the Transition Date, the applicable High Voltage Access Charge shall transition to a CAISO Grid-wide High Voltage Access Charge over the period remaining from the Transition Date, on the same schedule as the other TAC Areas.
- **4.3 Application to Additional TAC Areas.** For any TAC Areas other than those specified in Section 4.2 of this Schedule 3, created after the Transition Date, including any TAC Area created as a result of the application of Section 3.7 of this Schedule 3, whether and over what period the applicable High Voltage Access Charge shall transition to a CAISO Grid-wide charge shall be determined by the CAISO Governing Board.1
- **4.4 Application to Wheeling Access Charges.** The transition described in this Section 4 shall also apply, on the same schedule, to High Voltage Wheeling Access Charges.
- **4.5 Conversion of Existing Rights.** During the process by which a New Participating TO executes the Transmission Control Agreement, the CAISO and potential New Participating TO that has an obligation to serve Load shall determine the Congestion Credit to be allocated to the New Participating TO in accordance with Section 4.3.1.2 of the CAISO Tariff for each Existing Right that the New Participating TO converts to Converted Rights. In making that determination, the CAISO will consider the amount of contracted transmission capacity, the firmness of the contracted transmission capacity, and other characteristics of the contracted transmission capacity.

#### 5. Determination of the Access Charge.

- The Access Charge consists of a High Voltage Access Charge (HVAC) that is based on a TAC Area component and a CAISO Grid-wide component, a Transmission Charge, and a Low Voltage Access Charge (LVAC) that is based on a utility-specific rate established by each Participating TO in accordance with its TO Tariff.
- 5.2 Each Participating TO will develop, in accordance with Section 6 of this Schedule 3, a High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement (HVTRR <sub>PTO</sub>) consisting of a Transmission Revenue Requirement for Existing High Voltage Facility (EHVTRR <sub>PTO</sub>) and a Transmission Revenue Requirement for New High Voltage Facility (NHVTRR <sub>PTO</sub>). The HVTRR <sub>PTO</sub> includes the TRBA adjustment described in Section 6.1 of this Schedule 3.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

- **5.3** The Gross Load amount in MWh shall be established by each Participating TO and filed at FERC with each Participating TO's Transmission Revenue Requirement (GL<sub>PTO</sub>).
- The HVAC applicable to each UDC or MSS Operator serving Gross Load in the PTO Service Territory, shall be based on a TAC Area component (HVAC<sub>A</sub>) and a CAISO Grid-wide component (HVAC<sub>I</sub>).

$$HVAC = HVAC_A + HVAC_I$$

**5.5** The Existing Transmission Revenue Requirement for the TAC Area component (ETRR<sub>A</sub>) is the summation of each Participating TO's EHVTRR <sub>PTO</sub> in that TAC Area. The Gross Load in the TAC Area (GL<sub>A</sub>) is the summation of each Participating TO's Gross Load in that TAC Area (GL<sub>PTO</sub>). The TAC Area component will be based on the product of Existing Transmission Revenue Requirement for the TAC Area (ETRR<sub>A</sub>) and the applicable annual transition percentage (%TA) in Section 5.8 of this Schedule 3, divided by the Gross Load in the TAC Area (GL<sub>A</sub>).

ETRR <sub>A</sub> = 
$$\Sigma$$
 EHVTRR <sub>PTO</sub>

$$GL_A = \Sigma GL_{PTO}$$

$$HVAC_A = (ETRR_A * \%TA) / GL_A$$

The Existing Transmission Revenue Requirement for the CAISO Grid-wide component (ETRR<sub>I</sub>) will be the summation of all TAC Areas' ETRR <sub>A</sub> multiplied by the applicable annual transition percentage (%IGW) in Section 5.8 of this Schedule 3. The New Transmission Revenue Requirement (NTRR) is the summation of each Participating TO's NHVTRR <sub>PTO</sub>. The CAISO Grid-wide component will be based on the ETRR<sub>I</sub> plus the NTRR, divided by the summation of all Gross Loads in the TAC Areas (GL<sub>A</sub>).

ETRR<sub>I</sub> = 
$$\Sigma$$
 ETRR<sub>A</sub> \* %IGW  
HVAC<sub>I</sub> = (ETRR<sub>I</sub> + NTRR) /  $\Sigma$  GL<sub>A</sub>

The foregoing formulas will be adjusted, as necessary to take account of new TAC Areas.

**5.7** The Transition Charge shall be calculated separately for each Participating TO by

dividing (i) the net difference between (1) the Participating TO's payment responsibility, if any, under Section 26.5 of the CAISO Tariff and Section 7 of this Schedule 3; and (2) the amount, if any, payable to the Participating TO in accordance with Section 26.5 of the CAISO Tariff and Section 7 of this Schedule 3; by (ii) the total of all forecasted Gross Load in the PTO Service Territory of the Participating TO, including the UDC and/or MSS Operator. If greater than zero, the

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Transition Charge shall be collected with the High Voltage Access Charge. If less than zero, the Transition Charge shall be credited with the High Voltage Access Charge. The amount of each Participating TO's NHVTRR shall not be included in the Transition Charge calculation.

The High Voltage Access Charge shall transition over a 10-year period from TAC Area to CAISO Grid-wide. The transition percentage to be used for each year will be based on the following:

Year	TAC Area	CAISO Grid-Wide
	High Voltage	High Voltage
	(%TA)	(%IGW)
1	90%	10%
2	80%	20%
3	70%	30%
4	60%	40%
5	50%	50%
6	40%	60%
7	30%	70%
8	20%	80%
9	10%	90%
10	0%	100%

After the completion of the transition period described in Section 4 of this Schedule 3, the High Voltage Access Charge shall be equal to the sum of the High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirements of all Participating TOs, divided by the sum of the Gross Loads of all Participating TOs

#### 6. High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement.

- **6.1** The High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement of a Participating TO will be determined consistent with CAISO procedures posted on the CAISO Website and shall be the sum of:
  - (a) the Participating TO's High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement (including costs related to Existing Contracts associated with transmission by others and deducting transmission revenues actually expected to be received by the Participating TO related to transmission for others in accordance with Existing Contracts, less the sum of the Standby Transmission Revenues); and
  - (b) the annual high voltage TRBA adjustment shall be based on the principal balance in the high voltage TRBA as of September 30, which shall be calculated as a dollar amount based on the projected Transmission Revenue Credits as adjusted for the true up of the prior year's difference between projected and actual credits. For a Participating TO that

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

is not a UDC, MSS or a Scheduling Coordinator serving End-Use Customers and that does not have Gross Load in its TO Tariff in accordance with Appendix F, Schedule 3, Section 9, the Participating TO shall include any over- or under-recovery of its annual High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement in its high voltage TRBA. If the annual high voltage TRBA adjustment involves only a partial year of operations, the Participating TO's over- or under-recovery shall be based on a partial year revenue requirement, calculated by multiplying the Participating TO's High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement by the number of days the High Voltage Transmission Facilities were under the CAISO's Operational Control divided by the number of days in the year.

#### 7. Limitation

- During each year of the transition period described in this Schedule 3, the increase in the (a) total payment responsibility applicable to Gross Loads in the PTO Service Territory of an Original Participating TO attributable to the total for the year of (i) the amount applicable for the Original Participating TO under Section 26.5 of the CAISO Tariff; plus (ii) the amount applicable to the implementation of the High Voltage Access Charge shall not exceed the amount specified in paragraph (b) of this section. This limitation shall be calculated individually for each Original Participating TO, provided that, if the net effect of clauses (i) and (ii) of this paragraph is positive for one or more Original Participating TOs for any year, the combined net effect shall be allocated among all Original Participating TOs in proportion to the amounts specified in paragraph (b) of this section. This limitation shall be applied by the CAISO's calculation annually of amounts payable by New Participating TOs to Original Participating TOs such that the combined effect of clauses (i) and (ii) of this paragraph, and the payments received by each Original Participating TO shall not exceed the amounts specified in paragraph (b) of this section. The amount receivable by the Original Participating TO from the New Participating TOs to implement the limitation in paragraph (b) of this section, shall be credited through the Transition Charge established pursuant to Section 5.7 of this Schedule 3. Payment responsibility under this section, if any, shall be allocated among New Participating TOs in proportion to their TAC Benefits.
- (b) The maximum annual amounts for Original Participating TO shall be as follows:
  - (i) For Pacific Gas and Electric Company and Southern California Edison Company, the maximum annual amount shall be thirty-two million dollars (\$32,000,000.00) each; and
  - (ii) For San Diego Gas & Electric Company, the maximum annual amount shall be eight million dollars (\$8,000,000.00).

#### 8. Updates to High Voltage Access Charges.

8.1 High Voltage Access Charges and High Voltage Wheeling Access Charges shall be adjusted: (1) on January 1 and July 1 of each year when necessary to reflect the addition of any New Participating TO and (2) on the date FERC makes effective a change to the High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirements of any Participating TO. Using the High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement accepted or authorized by FERC, consistent with Section 9 of this Schedule 3, for each Participating TO, the CAISO will recalculate on a monthly basis the High Voltage Access Charge and Transition Charge applicable during such period. Revisions to the Transmission Revenue Balancing Account adjustment shall be made effective annually on January 1 based on the principal balance in the TRBA as of September 30 of the prior year and a forecast of Transmission Revenue Credits for the next year.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- **8.2** For service provided by a Participating TO prior to the Transition Date, no refund ordered by FERC or amount accrued to that Participating TO's Transmission Revenue Balancing Account related to such service shall be reflected in the High Voltage Access Charge, Low Voltage Access Charge, the High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement, or the Low Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement of a Participating TO. For service provided by a Participating TO following the Transition Date, any refund associated with a Participating TO's Transmission Revenue Requirement that has been accepted by FERC, subject to refund, shall be provided as ordered by FERC. Such refund shall be invoiced separately from the Market Invoice.
- 8.3 If the Participating TO withdraws one or more of its transmission facilities from the CAISO Operational Control in accordance with Section 3.4 of the Transmission Control Agreement, then the CAISO will no longer collect the TRR for that transmission facility through the CAISO's Access Charge effective upon the date the transmission facility is no longer under the Operational Control of the CAISO. The withdrawing Participating TO shall be obligated to provide the CAISO will all necessary information to implement the withdrawal of the Participating TO's transmission facilities and to make any necessary filings at FERC to revise its TRR. The CAISO shall revise its transmission Access Charge to reflect the withdrawal of one or more transmission facilities from CAISO Operational Control.

#### 9. Approval of Updated High Voltage Revenue Requirements

- Participating TOs will make the appropriate filings at FERC to establish their Transmission Revenue Requirements for their Low Voltage Access Charges and the applicable High Voltage Access Charges, and to obtain approval of any changes thereto. All such filings with the FERC will include a separate appendix that states the HVTRR, LVTRR (if applicable) and the appropriate Gross Load data and other information required by the FERC to support the Access Charges. The Participating TO will provide a copy of its filing to the CAISO and the other Participating TOs in accordance with the notice provisions in the Transmission Control Agreement.
- 9.2 Federal power marketing agencies whose transmission facilities are under CAISO Operational Control shall develop their High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirements pursuant to applicable federal laws and regulations, including filing with FERC. All such filings with FERC will include a separate appendix that states the HVTRR, LVTRR (if applicable) and the appropriate Gross Load data and other information required by the FERC to support the Access Charges. The procedures for public participation in a federal power marketing agency's ratemaking process shall be posted on the federal power marketing agency's website. The federal power marketing agency shall also post on the website the Federal Register Notices and FERC orders for rate making processes that impact the federal power marketing agency's High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement. The Participating TO will provide a copy of its filing to the CAISO and the other Participating TOs in accordance with the notice provisions in the Transmission Control Agreement.

#### 10. Disbursement of High Voltage Access Charge and Transition Charge Revenues.

- **10.1** High Voltage Access Charge and Transition Charge revenues shall be calculated for disbursement to each Participating TO on a monthly basis as follows:
  - (a) the amount determined in accordance with Section 26.1.2 of the CAISO Tariff ("Billed HVAC/TC");

(b)

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

- (i) for a Participating TO that is a UDC or MSS Operator and has Gross Load in its TO Tariff in accordance with Appendix F, Schedule 3, Section 9, then calculate the amount each UDC or MSS Operator would have paid and the Participating TO would have received by multiplying the High Voltage Utility-Specific Rates for the Participating TO whose High Voltage Facilities served such UDC and MSS Operator times the actual Gross Load of such UDCs and MSS Operators ("Utilityspecific HVAC"); or
- (ii) for a Participating TO that is not a UDC or MSS Operator and that does not have Gross Load in its TO Tariff in accordance with Appendix F, Schedule 3, Section 9, then calculate the Participating TO's portion of the total Billed HVAC/TC in subsection (a) based on the ratio of the Participating TO's High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement to the sum of all Participating TOs' High Voltage Revenue Requirements.
- (c) if the total Billed HVAC/TC in subsection (a) received by the CAISO less the total dollar amounts calculated in Utility-specific HVAC in subsection (b)(i) and subsection (b)(ii) is different from zero, the CAISO shall allocate the positive or negative difference among those Participating TOs that are subject to the calculations in subsection (b)(i) based on the ratio of each Participating TO's High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement to the sum of all of those Participating TOs' High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirements that are subject to the calculations in subsection (b)(i). This monthly distribution amount is the "HVAC Revenue Adjustment";
- (d) the sum of the HVAC revenue share determined in subsection (b) and the HVAC Revenue Adjustment in subsection (c) will be the monthly disbursement to the Participating TO.
- 10.2 If the same entity is both a Participating TO and a UDC or MSS Operator, then the monthly High Voltage Access Charge and Transition Charge amount billed by the CAISO will be the charges payable by the UDC or MSS Operator in accordance with Section 26.1.2 of the CAISO Tariff less the disbursement determined in accordance with Section 10.1(d). If this difference is negative, that amount will be paid by the CAISO to the Participating TO.
- 11. Determination of Transmission Revenue Requirement Allocation Between High Voltage and Low Voltage Transmission Facilities.
- 11.1 Each Participating TO shall allocate its Transmission Revenue Requirement between the High Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement and Low Voltage Transmission Revenue Requirement based on the Procedure for Division of Certain Costs Between the High and Low Voltage Transmission Access Charges contained in Section 12 of this Schedule.
- 12. Procedure for Division of Certain Costs Between the High and Low Voltage Transmission Access Charges.
- **12.1** <u>Division of Costs:</u>
  - (a) <u>Substations</u>
    Costs for substations and substation equipment, including transformers:
    - (i) If the Participating TO has substation TRR information by facility and voltage, then the TRR for facilities and equipment at or above 200 kV should be allocated to the HVTRR and the TRR for facilities and equipment below 200 kV should be allocated to the LVTRR;

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- (ii) If the Participating TO has substation TRR information by facility but not by voltage, then the TRR for facilities and equipment should be allocated to the HVTRR and to the LVTRR based on the ratio of gross substation investment allocated to HVTRR to gross substation investment allocated to LVTRR pursuant to Section 12.1(a)(i); or
- (iii) If the Participating TO does not have substation TRR information by facility or voltage, then the TRR for facilities and equipment should be allocated to the HVTRR and to the LVTRR based on the Participating TO's transmission system-wide gross plant ratio. The system-wide gross plant ratio is determined once the costs that can be split between High Voltage and Low Voltage for all facilities has been developed in accordance with Sections 12.1(a) through (c), then the resulting cost ratio between High Voltage and Low Voltage shall be used as the system-wide gross plant ratio.
- (iv) Costs of transformers that step down from high voltage (200 kV or above) to low voltage, to the extent the Participating TO does not have the revenue requirement information available on a voltage basis, should be allocated consistent with the procedures for substations addressed above.
- (b) Transmission Towers and Land with Circuits on Multiple Voltages
  For transmission towers that have both High Voltage and Low Voltage facilities on the same tower, the cost of these assets should be allocated two-thirds to the HVTRR and one-third to the LVTRR. If the transmission tower has only High Voltage facilities, then the costs of these assets should be allocated entirely to the HVTRR. If the transmission tower has only Low Voltage facilities, then the TRR of these assets should be allocated entirely to the LVTRR. Provided that the Participating TO does not have land cost information available on a voltage basis, in which case the costs should be allocated based on the bright-line of the voltage levels, the costs for land used for transmission rights-of-way for towers that have both High Voltage and Low Voltage wires should be allocated two-thirds to the HVTRR component and one-third to the LVTRR.
- (c) Operation and Maintenance, Transmission Wages & Salaries, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization, and Capital Costs

  If the Participating TO can delineate costs for transmission operations and maintenance (O&M), transmission wages and salaries, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or capital costs on a voltage basis, the costs shall be applied on a bright-line voltage basis. If the costs for O&M, transmission wages and salaries, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or capital costs, are not available on voltage levels, the allocation to the HVTRR and the LVTRR should be based on the Participating TO's system-wide gross plant ratio defined in Section 12.1(a).
- (d) Existing Transmission Contracts
  If the take-out point for the Existing Contract is a High Voltage Transmission Facility, the Existing Contract revenue will be credited to the HVTRR of the Participating TO receiving such revenue. Similarly, the Participating TO that is paying charges under such an Existing Contract may include the costs in its HVTRR. If the take-out point for the Existing Contract is a Low Voltage Transmission Facility, the Existing Contract revenue will be credited to the HVTRR and the LVTRR of the receiving Participating TO based on the ratio of the Participating TO's HVTRR to its LVTRR, prior to any adjustments for such revenues. The Participating TO that is paying the charges under the Existing Contract will include the costs in its HVTRR and LVTRR in the same ratio as the revenues are recognized by the Participating TO receiving the payments.
- (e) Division of the TRBAA between HVTRR and LVTRR

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 791

Effective: November 1, 2007

- Wheeling revenues associated with transactions exiting the CAISO Controlled Grid at High Voltage Scheduling Points or Take-Out Points shall be reflected as High Voltage components;
- (ii) Wheeling revenues associated with transactions exiting the CAISO Controlled Grid at Low Voltage Scheduling Points or Take-Out Points shall be attributed between High Voltage and Low Voltage TRBAA components based on the High Voltage and Low Voltage Wheeling Access Charge rates assessed to such transactions by the CAISO and/or the Participating TO;
- (iii) CRR revenues shall be assigned to High Voltage or Low Voltage components based on the voltage of the path related to the CRR; and,
- (iv) Other Transmission Revenue Credits shall be allocated between High Voltage and Low Voltage components on a gross plant basis.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

## CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 792

### CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX F Schedule 4

#### **Participating Intermittent Resources Forecasting Fee**

A charge up to \$.10 per MWh shall be assessed on the metered Energy from Participating Intermittent Resources. The amount of the charge shall be specified in the CAISO Tariff.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 793

**CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX G** 

**Must-Run Agreements** 

To be filed upon settlement

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

## CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX H Methodology for Developing the Weighted Average Rate for Wheeling Service

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX H Methodology for Developing the Weighted Average Rate for Wheeling Service

The weighted average rate payable for Wheeling over joint facilities at each Scheduling Point shall be calculated as follows, applying the formula separately to the applicable Wheeling Access Charges:

WBAC = 
$$\sum \left( P_n \times \frac{Q_n}{\sum Q_n} \right)$$

Where:

WBAC = Weighted-average Wheeling Access Charge for each CAISO Scheduling Point

P<sub>n</sub> = The applicable Wheeling Access Charge rate for a TAC Area or Participating TO<sub>n</sub> in \$/kWh as set forth in Section 26.1.4 of the CAISO Tariff and Section 5 of the TO Tariff.

Q<sub>n</sub> = The Available Transfer Capacity (in MW), whether from transmission ownership or contractual entitlements, of each Participating TO<sub>n</sub> for each CAISO Scheduling Point which has been placed within the CAISO Controlled Grid. Available Transfer Capacity shall not include capacity associated with Existing Rights of a Participating TO as defined in Section 16 of the CAISO Tariff.

n = the number of Participating TOs from 1 to n

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **CAISO TARFF APPENDIX I**

[NOT USED]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 797

## CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX J End-Use Meter Standards and Capabilities

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX J**

#### **End-Use Meter Standards and Capabilities**

#### **End-Use Meter Standards & Capabilities Part A**

**End Use Meter Standards.** All metering shall be of a revenue class metering accuracy in accordance with the ANSI C12 standards on metering and any other requirements of the relevant UDC or Local Regulatory Authority that may apply. Such requirements may apply to meters, current transformers and potential transformers, and associated equipment. ANSI C12 metering standards include the following:

- ANSI C12.1 American National Standard Code For Electricity Metering
- ANSI C12.4 American National Standard For Mechanical Demand Registers
- ANSI C12.5 American National Standard For Thermal Demand Meters
- ANSI C12.6 American National Standard For Marking And Arrangement Of Terminals For Phase-Shifting Devices Used In Metering
- ANSI C12.7 American National Standard For Watt-hour Meter Sockets
- ANSI C12.8 American National Standard For Test Blocks And Cabinets For installation Of Self-Contained A-Base Watt-hour Meters
- ANSI C12.9 American National Standard For Test Switches For Transformer-Rated Meters
- ANSI C12.10 American National Standard For Electromechanical Watt-hour Meters
- ANSI C12.11 American National Standard For Instrument Transformers For Revenue Metering, 10 kV BIL Through 350 kV BIL
- ANSI C12.13 American National Standard For Electronic Time-Of -Use Registers For Electricity Meters
- ANSI C12.14 American National Standard For Magnetic Tape Pulse Recorders For Electricity Meters
- ANSI C12.15 American National Standard For Solid-State Demand Registers For Electromechanical Watt-hour Meters
- ANSI C12.16 American National Standard For Solid-State Electricity Meters
- ANSI C12.17 American National Standard For Cartridge-Type Solid-State Pulse Recorders For Electricity Metering
- ANSI C12.18 American National Standard For Protocol Specification For ANSI Type 2 Optical Port

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### Part B

#### PARTICIPATING SELLERS METER STANDARDS AND CAPABILITIES

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Original Sheet No. 800

# CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX K Ancillary Service Requirements Protocol

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX K**

#### **Ancillary Service Requirements Protocol**

## PART A CERTIFICATION FOR REGULATION

A Generator wishing to provide Regulation as an Ancillary Service from a Generating Unit whether pursuant to a CAISO award or as part of a self-provision arrangement must meet the following operating characteristics and technical requirements in order to be certified by the CAISO to provide Regulation service unless granted a temporary exemption by the CAISO in accordance with criteria which the CAISO shall publish on the CAISO's internet Website:

#### A 1.1 Operating Characteristics

- **A 1.1.1** the rated capacity of the Generating Unit must be 1 MW or greater unless the Generating Unit is participating in an aggregation arrangement approved by the CAISO;
- A 1.1.2 the maximum amount of Regulation to be offered must be reached within a period that may range from a minimum of 10 minutes to a maximum of 30 minutes, as such period may be specified by the CAISO and published on the CAISO's Website;

#### A 1.2 Technical Requirements

#### A 1.2.1 Control

- a direct, digital, unfiltered control signal generated from the CAISO EMS through a standard CAISO direct communication and direct control system, must meet the minimum performance standards for communications and control which will be developed and posted by the CAISO on its Website;
- the Generating Unit power output response (in MW) to a control signal must meet the minimum performance standards for control and unit response which will be developed and posted by the CAISO on its Website. As indicated by the Generating Unit power output (in MW), the Generating Unit must respond immediately, without manual Generating Unit operator intervention, to control signals and must sustain its specified ramp rate, within specified Regulation limits, for each minute of control response (MW/minute);

#### A 1.2.2 Monitoring:

the Generating Unit must have a standard CAISO direct communication and direct control system to send signals to the CAISO EMS to dynamically monitor, at a minimum the following:

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 802

- **A 1.2.2.1** actual power output (MW);
- **A 1.2.2.2** high limit, low limit and rate limit values as selected by the Generating Unit operator; and
- **A 1.2.2.3** in-service status indication confirming availability of Regulation service.

#### A 1.2.3 Voice Communications:

CAISO approved primary and back-up voice communication must be in place between the CAISO Control Center and the operator controlling the Generating Unit at the generating site and between the Scheduling Coordinator and the operator. The primary dedicated voice communication between the CAISO Control Center and the operator controlling the Generating Unit at the generating site must be digital voice communication, as provided by a standard CAISO direct communication and direct control system; and

- **A 1.3** the communication and control system and the Generating Unit must pass a qualification test to demonstrate the overall ability to provide Regulation meeting the performance requirements of the ASRP for Regulation.
- A Generator wishing to be considered for certification for Regulation service by the CAISO must make a written request to the CAISO, giving details of the technical capability of the Generating Units concerned and identifying the Scheduling Coordinator through whom the Generator intends to offer Regulation service. The Generator shall at the same time send a copy of its request to that Scheduling Coordinator. Technical review request forms will be available from the CAISO.
- A 3 No later than one week after receipt of the Generator's request, the CAISO shall provide the Generator with a listing of required interface equipment for Regulation, including a standard CAISO direct communication and direct control system. The CAISO shall send a copy of the listing to the Generator's Scheduling Coordinator.
- The Generator may propose alternatives that the Generator believes may provide an equivalent level of communication and control for consideration by the CAISO. Such proposals shall be in writing and contain sufficient detail for the CAISO to make a determination of suitability. The CAISO may request additional information, if required, to assist in its evaluation of the proposal.
- A 5 The CAISO shall respond by accepting the alternative proposal, rejecting the alternative proposal, or suggesting modifications to the alternative proposal. Such acceptance, rejection, or suggested revision must be provided not later than six weeks after the proposal is received by the CAISO. The Generator and the CAISO shall keep the Scheduling Coordinator informed of this process by each sending to the Scheduling Coordinator a copy of any written communication which it sends to the other.
- Upon agreement as to any alternative method of communication and control to be used by the Generator, the CAISO shall provisionally approve the proposal in writing providing a copy to the Generator's Scheduling Coordinator at the same time. If agreed by the CAISO, the Generator may then proceed to procure and install the equipment and make arrangements for the required communication and control.
- Design, acquisition, and installation of the CAISO-approved communication and control equipment shall be under the control of the CAISO. The CAISO shall bear no cost responsibility or functional responsibility for such equipment, except that the CAISO shall arrange for and monitor the maintenance of the communication and control system at the

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Generator's expense, unless otherwise agreed by the CAISO and the Generator. The CAISO shall be responsible for the design, acquisition and installation of any necessary modifications to the CAISO EMS at its own cost.

- A 8 The CAISO, in cooperation with the Generator shall perform testing of the communication and control equipment to ensure that the communication and control system performs to meet the CAISO requirements.
- When the CAISO is satisfied that the communication and control systems meet the CAISO's requirements, the Generator shall request in writing that the CAISO conduct a certification test with a suggested primary date and time and at least two alternative dates and times. The CAISO shall, within two Business Days of receipt of the Generator's request, accept a proposed time if possible or suggest at least three alternatives to the Generator. If the CAISO responds by suggesting alternatives, the Generator shall, within two Business Days of receipt of the CAISO's response, respond in turn by accepting a proposed alternative if possible or suggesting at least three alternatives, and this procedure shall continue until agreement is reached on the date and time of the test. The Generator shall inform its Scheduling Coordinator of the agreed date and time of the test.
- **A 10** Testing shall be performed by the CAISO, with the cooperation of the Generator. Such tests shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
- **A 10.1** confirmation of control communication path performance;
- **A 10.2** confirmation of primary and secondary voice circuits for receipt of Dispatch Instructions;
- **A 10.3** confirmation of the Generating Unit control performance; and
- **A 10.4** confirmation of the CAISO EMS control to include changing the Generating Unit output over the range of Regulation proposed at different Set Points, from minimum to maximum output, and at different rates of change from the minimum to the maximum permitted by the design of the Generating Unit.
- **A 11**Upon successful completion of the test, the CAISO shall certify the Generating Unit as being permitted to provide Regulation as an Ancillary Service and shall provide a copy of the certificate to the Scheduling Coordinator at the same time. The CAISO shall change its Generating Unit data base to reflect the permission for the Generating Unit to provide Regulation service.
- A 12 The Scheduling Coordinator may submit Bids for Regulation service from the certified Generating Unit into the CAISO Markets starting with the Day-Ahead Market for the hour ending 0100 on the second Trading Day after the CAISO issues the certificate.
- **A 13** The certification to provide Regulation shall remain in force until:
  - (a) withdrawn by the Scheduling Coordinator or the Generator by written notice to the CAISO to take effect at the time notified in the notice, which must be the end of a Trading Day; or
  - (b) if the Generating Unit obtained CAISO certification on the basis of a prior communication and control technology, until revoked by the CAISO for failure to comply with the requirement set forth in A 13.1 that the Generating Unit install a CAISO-specified standard CAISO direct communication and direct control system (unless exempted by the CAISO).

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 804

A 13.1 Unless exempted by the CAISO, if the Generating Unit obtained CAISO certification on the basis of a prior communication and control technology, the CAISO shall provide written notice to the Generator of the Generator's obligation to install a CAISO-specified standard direct communication and direct control system along with a required date for said work to be completed as mutually agreed upon by the CAISO and the Generator. Failure to meet the completion date shall be grounds for the revocation of certification, provided that the CAISO must provide the Generator with at least ninety (90) days advance notice of the proposed revocation.

A 14 The certification may be revoked by the CAISO only under provisions of the ASRP or the CAISO tariff.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **PART B**

#### **CERTIFICATION FOR SPINNING RESERVE**

- A Generator wishing to provide Spinning Reserve as an Ancillary Service from a Generating ,Unit or System Resource whether pursuant to a CAISO award or as part of a self-provision arrangement must meet the following requirements in order to be certified by the CAISO to provide Spinning Reserve service:
   B 1.1 the rated capacity of the Generating Unit must be 1 MW or greater unless the Generating
- **B 1.2** the minimum governor performance of the Generating Unit or System Resource shall be

Unit is participating in an aggregation arrangement approved by the CAISO;

**B 1.2.1** 5% drop;

as follows:

- **B 1.2.2** governor deadband must be plus or minus 0.036Hz; and
- **B 1.2.3** the power output must change within one second for any frequency deviation outside the governor deadband.
- the operator of the Generating Unit or System Resource must have a means of receiving Dispatch Instructions to initiate an increase in real power output (MW) within one minute of the CAISO Control Center determination that Energy from Spinning Reserve capacity must be Dispatched;
- the Generating Unit or System Resource must be able to increase its real power output (MW) by the maximum amount of Spinning Reserve to be offered within ten minutes;
- **B 1.5** CAISO approved voice communications services must be in place to provide both primary and alternate voice communication between the CAISO Control Center and the operator controlling the Generating Unit or System Resource; and
- **B 1.6** The communication system and the Generating Unit or System Resource must pass a qualification test to demonstrate the overall ability to meet the performance requirements of the ASRP for Spinning Reserve.
- A Generator or System Unit wishing to be considered for certification for Spinning Reserve service by the CAISO must make a written request to the CAISO, giving details of the technical capability of the Generating Units or System Resources concerned and identifying the Scheduling Coordinator through whom the Generator or System Unit intends to offer Spinning Reserve service. The Generator or System Unit shall at the same time send a copy of its request to that Scheduling Coordinator. Technical review request forms will be available from the CAISO.
- B 3 No later than one week after receipt of the request, the CAISO shall provide the Generator or System Unit with a listing of acceptable communication options and interface equipment options for Spinning Reserve. The CAISO shall send a copy of the listing to the Generator's or System Unit's Scheduling Coordinator.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- The Generator or System Unit may elect to implement any of the approved options defined by the CAISO, and, if it wishes to proceed with its request for certification, shall give written notice to the CAISO of its selected communication option, with a copy to its Scheduling Coordinator.
- When it receives the Generator's or System Unit's notice, the CAISO shall notify the Generator or System Unit and the Scheduling Coordinator in writing no later than two weeks after receipt of the notice confirming receipt of the notice and issuing provisional approval of the selected options. Upon receipt of the CAISO acknowledgment, the Generator or System Unit may proceed as indicated below to secure the necessary facilities and capabilities required.
- The Generator or System Unit may also propose alternatives that it believes may provide an equivalent level of control for consideration by the CAISO. Such proposals shall be in writing and contain sufficient detail for the CAISO to make a determination of suitability. The CAISO may request additional information, if required, to assist in its evaluation of the proposal.
- The CAISO shall respond by accepting the alternative proposal, rejecting the alternative proposal, or suggesting modifications to the alternative proposal. Such acceptance, rejection, or suggested revision must be provided not later than six weeks after the proposal is received by the CAISO. The Generator or the System Unit and the CAISO shall keep the Scheduling Coordinator informed of this process by each sending to the Scheduling Coordinator a copy of any written communication which it sends to the other.
- Upon agreement as to the method of communication and control to be used by the Generator or System Resource, the CAISO shall provisionally approve the Generator's proposal or the System Resource's proposal in writing providing a copy to the Generator's or System Resource's Scheduling Coordinator at the same time. The Generator or System Resource may then proceed to procure and install the equipment and make arrangements for the required communication.
- B 9 Design, acquisition, and installation of the Generator's equipment or the System Resource's equipment shall be under the control of the respective Generator or System Resource. The CAISO shall bear no cost responsibility or functional responsibility for such equipment. The CAISO shall be responsible for the design, acquisition and installation of any necessary modifications to its own equipment at its own cost.
- The Generator or System Resource shall perform its own testing of its equipment to ensure that the control system performs to meet the CAISO requirements.
- When it is satisfied that its plant, equipment and communication systems meet the CAISO's requirements, the Generator or System Resource shall request in writing that the CAISO conduct a certification test with a suggested primary date and time and at least two alternative dates and times. The CAISO shall, within two Business Days of receipt of the request, accept a proposed time if possible or suggest at least three alternatives to the Generator or System Resource. If the CAISO responds by suggesting alternatives, the Generator or System Resource shall, within two Business Days of receipt of the CAISO's response, respond in turn by accepting a proposed alternative if

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 807

Effective: November 1, 2007

possible or suggesting at least three alternatives, and this procedure shall continue until agreement is reached on the date and time of the test. The Generator or System Resource shall inform its Scheduling Coordinator of the agreed date and time of the test.

- **B 12** Testing shall be performed under the direction of the CAISO. Such tests shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
- **B 12.1** confirmation of control communication path performance for Dispatch Instruction;
- **B 12.2** confirmation of primary and secondary voice circuits for receipt of Dispatch Instructions;
- confirmation of the Generating Unit or System Resource performance to include changing the Generating Unit or System Resource output over the range of Spinning Reserve proposed from minimum to maximum output, and at different rates of change from the minimum to the maximum permitted by the design of the Generating Unit or System Resource; and
- **B 12.4** testing the drop characteristic of the Generating Unit or System Resource by simulating frequency excursions outside the allowed deadband and measuring the response of the Generating Unit or System Resource.
- B 13 Upon successful completion of the test the CAISO shall certify the Generating Unit or System Resource as being permitted to provide Spinning Reserve as an Ancillary Service and shall provide a copy of the certificate to the Scheduling Coordinator at the same time. The CAISO shall change the Generating Unit or System Resource data base to reflect the ability of the Generating Unit to provide Spinning Reserve.
- The Scheduling Coordinator may bid Spinning Reserve from the certified Generating Unit or System Resource into the Markets starting with the Day-Ahead Market for the hour ending 0100 on the Second Trading Day after the CAISO issues the certificate.
- The certification to provide Spinning Reserve shall remain in force until withdrawn by the Scheduling Coordinator or the Generator or System Resource by written notice to the CAISO to take effect at the time notified in the notice, which must be the end of a Trading Day.
- **B 16** The certification may be revoked by the CAISO only under provisions of the ASRP or the CAISO Tariff.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

#### **PART C**

#### **CERTIFICATION FOR NON-SPINNING RESERVE**

- An Ancillary Service Provider wishing to provide Non-Spinning Reserve as an Ancillary Service from a Generating Unit or System Resource whether pursuant to the CAISO's auction or as part of a self-provision arrangement must meet the following requirements in order to be certified by the CAISO to provide Non-Spinning Reserve service:
- **C 1.1** the rated capacity of the Generating Unit or System Resource must be 1 MW or greater unless the Generating Unit is participating in an aggregation arrangement approved by the CAISO;
- **C 1.2** the Generating Unit must be able to increase output as soon as possible to the value indicated in a Dispatch Instruction, reaching the indicated value within ten minutes after issue of the instruction and be capable of maintaining output for 2 hours.
- An Ancillary Service Provider wishing to provide Non-Spinning Reserve as an Ancillary Service from Curtailable Demand whether pursuant to a CAISO award or as part of a self-provision arrangement must meet the following requirements in order to be certified by the CAISO to provide Non-Spinning Reserve service:
- **C 2.1** the operator must be able to completely disconnect the required Load pursuant to a Dispatch instruction within ten minutes after issue of the instruction;
- **C 2.2** the minimum change in the electrical consumption of the Load must be at least 1 MW; and
- **C 2.3** the Load must be capable of being interrupted for at least two hours.
- An Ancillary Service Provider wishing to provide Non-Spinning Reserve as an Ancillary Service, whether pursuant to a CAISO award or as part of a self-provision arrangement, must also meet the following requirements in order to be certified by the CAISO to provide Non-Spinning Reserve service:
- the operator of the Generating Unit, System Resource or the Curtailable Demand must have a means of receiving a Dispatch Instruction to initiate an increase in real power output or a reduction in Demand (MW) within one minute of the CAISO Control Center's determination that Non-Spinning Reserve capacity must be Dispatched; and
- **C 3.2** the communication system and the Generating Unit, System Resource or Load must pass a qualification test to demonstrate the overall ability to meet the performance requirements of the ASRP for Non-Spinning Reserve.
- An Ancillary Service Provider wishing to be considered for certification for Non-Spinning Reserve service must make a written request to the CAISO, giving details of the technical capability of the Generating Unit, System Resource or Load concerned and identifying the Scheduling Coordinator through whom the Ancillary Service Provider intends to offer Non-Spinning Reserve. The Ancillary Service Provider shall at the same time send a

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 809

copy of the request to that Scheduling Coordinator. Technical Review request forms will be available from the CAISO.

- No later than one week after receipt of the Ancillary Service Provider's request, the CAISO shall provide the Ancillary Service Provider with a listing of acceptable communication options and interface equipment options for Non-Spinning Reserve. The CAISO shall send a copy of the listing to the Ancillary Service Provider's Scheduling Coordinator.
- The Ancillary Service Provider may elect to implement any of the certification, the Ancillary Service Provider shall give written notice to the CAISO of its selected communication option and interface equipment option, with a copy to its Scheduling Coordinator.
- When it receives the Ancillary Service Provider's notice, the CAISO shall notify the Ancillary Service Provider and the Scheduling Coordinator in writing no later than two weeks after receipt of the notice confirming receipt of the notice and issuing provisional approval of the selected options. Upon receipt of the CAISO acknowledgment the Ancillary Service Provider may proceed as indicated below to secure the necessary facilities and capabilities required.
- The Ancillary Service Provider may also propose alternatives that it believes may provide an equivalent level of control for consideration by the CAISO. Such proposals shall be in writing and contain sufficient detail for the CAISO to make a determination of suitability. The CAISO may request additional information, if required, to assist in its evaluation of the proposal.
- The CAISO shall respond by accepting the alternative proposal, rejecting the alternative proposal, or suggesting modifications to the alternative proposal. Such acceptance, rejection, or suggested revision must be provided not later than six weeks after the proposal is received by the CAISO. The Ancillary Service Provider and the CAISO shall keep the Scheduling Coordinator informed of this process by each sending to the Scheduling Coordinator a copy of any written communication which it sends to the other.
- Upon agreement as to the method of communication and control to be used by the Ancillary Service Provider, the CAISO shall provisionally approve the proposal in writing providing a copy to the Ancillary Service Provider's Scheduling Coordinator at the same time. The Ancillary Service Provider may then proceed to procure and install the equipment and make arrangements for the required communication.
- Design, acquisition, and installation of the Ancillary Service Provider's equipment shall be under the control of the Ancillary Service Provider. The CAISO shall bear no cost responsibility or functional responsibility for such equipment. The CAISO shall be responsible for the design, acquisition and installation of any necessary modifications to the CAISO's equipment at its own cost.
- **C 12** The Ancillary Service Provider shall perform its own testing of its equipment to ensure that the control system performs to meet the CAISO requirements.
- When it is satisfied that its plant, equipment and communication systems meet the CAISO's requirements, the Ancillary Service Provider shall request in writing that the CAISO conduct a certification test with a suggested primary date and time and at least

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 810

Effective: November 1, 2007

two alternative dates and times. The CAISO shall, within two Business Days of receipt of the Ancillary Service Provider's request, accept a proposed time if possible or suggest at least three alternatives. If the CAISO responds by suggesting alternatives, the Ancillary Service Provider shall, within two Business Days of receipt of the CAISO's response, respond in turn by accepting a proposed alternative if possible or suggesting at least three alternatives, and this procedure shall continue until agreement is reached on the date and time of the test. The Ancillary Service Provider shall inform its Scheduling Coordinator of the agreed date and time of the test.

- **C 14** Testing shall be performed under the direction of the CAISO. Such tests shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
- **C 14.1** confirmation of control communication path performance:
- **C 14.2** confirmation of primary and secondary voice circuits for receipt of Dispatch Instructions;
- C 14.3 confirmation of the Generating Unit, System Resource or Load control performance; and
- **C 14.4** confirmation of the range of Generating Unit or System Resource control to include changing the output over the range of Non-Spinning Reserve proposed.
- Upon successful completion of the test, the CAISO shall certify the Generating Unit, System Resource or Load as being permitted to provide Non-Spinning Reserve as an Ancillary Service and shall provide a copy of the certificate to the Scheduling Coordinator at the same time. The CAISO shall change its data base to reflect the permission for the Generating Unit or Load to provide Non-Spinning Reserve service.
- C 16 The Scheduling Coordinator may bid Non-Spinning Reserve service from the certified Generating Unit or Load into the Markets starting with the Day-Ahead Market for the hour ending 0100 on the second Trading Day after the CAISO issues the certificate.
- The certification to provide Non-Spinning Reserve shall remain in force until withdrawn by the Scheduling Coordinator or the Ancillary Service Provider by written notice to the CAISO to take effect at the time notified in the notice, which must be the end of a Trading Day.
- **C 18** The certification may be revoked by the CAISO only under provisions of the CAISO Tariff.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

#### Part D

#### **CERTIFICATION FOR VOLTAGE SUPPORT**

- A Generator wishing to provide Voltage Support as an Ancillary Service from a Generating Unit must meet the following requirements in order to be certified by the CAISO to provide Voltage Support service:
- **D 1.1** the rated capacity of the Generating Unit must be 1 MW or greater unless the Generating Unit is participating in an aggregation arrangement approved by the CAISO;
- the Generating Unit must be able to produce VARs at lagging power factors less than 0.90 and absorb VARs at leading power factors more than 0.95 within the safe operating parameters for the Generating Unit;
- **D 1.3** the Generating Unit must be able to produce or absorb VARs outside the 0.90 lag to 0.95 lead bandwidth over a range of real power outputs which the Generator expects to produce when offering Voltage Support;
- the Generating Unit must be able to produce or absorb VARs at the boundary of the Generating Unit's capability curve by reducing real power output to either absorb or produce additional VARs within the safe operating parameters for the Generating Unit; and
- **D 1.5** metering and SCADA equipment must be in place to provide both real and reactive power data from the Generating Unit providing Voltage Support to the CAISO Control Center.
- A Generator wishing to be considered for certification for Voltage Support service by the CAISO must make a written request to the CAISO, giving details of the technical capability of the Generating Unit concerned and identifying the Scheduling Coordinator through whom the Generator intends to offer Voltage Support service. The Generator shall at the same time send a copy of its request to that Scheduling Coordinator. The details of the Generating Unit's technical capability must include the Generating Unit name plate data, performance limits, and capability curve. The Generator must also define the operating limitations in both real and reactive power (lead and lag) to be observed when Voltage Support is being provided to the CAISO for both normal and reduced real power output conditions. Technical Review request forms will be available from the CAISO.
- No later than one week after receipt of the Generator's request, the CAISO shall provide the Generator with a listing of acceptable communication options and interface equipment options for Voltage Support. The CAISO shall send a copy of the listing to the Generator's Scheduling Coordinator.
- The Generator may elect to implement any of the approved options defined by the CAISO, and, if it wishes to proceed with its request for certification, the Generator shall give written notice to the CAISO of its selected communication option and interface equipment option, with a copy to its Scheduling Coordinator.
- When it receives the Generator's notice the CAISO shall notify the Generator and the Scheduling Coordinator in writing no later than two weeks after receipt of the notice confirming receipt of the notice and issuing provisional approval of the selected options.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Upon receipt of the CAISO acknowledgment the Generator may proceed as indicated below to secure the necessary facilities and capabilities required.

- The Generator may also propose alternatives that the Generator believes may provide an equivalent level of control for consideration by the CAISO. Such proposals shall be in writing no later than two weeks after receipt of the notice and contain sufficient detail for the CAISO to make a determination of suitability. The CAISO may request additional information, if required, to assist in its evaluation of the proposal.
- The CAISO shall respond by accepting the alternative proposal, rejecting the alternative proposal, or suggesting modifications to the alternative proposal. Such acceptance, rejection, or suggested revision shall be provided not later than six weeks after the proposal is received by the CAISO. The Generator and the CAISO shall keep the Scheduling Coordinator informed of this process by each sending to the Scheduling Coordinator a copy of any written communication which it sends to the other.
- Upon agreement as to the method of communication and control to be used by the Generator, the CAISO shall provisionally approve the proposal in writing providing a copy to the Generator's Scheduling Coordinator at the same time. The Generator may then proceed to procure and install the equipment and make arrangements for the required communication.
- Design, acquisition, and installation of the Generator's equipment are under the control of the Generator. The CAISO shall bear no cost responsibility or functional responsibility for such equipment.
- **D 10** The CAISO shall be responsible for the design, acquisition and installation of any necessary modifications to the CAISO's equipment at its own cost.
- **D 11** The Generator shall perform its own testing of its equipment to ensure that the control system performs to meet the CAISO requirements.
- When it is satisfied that its plant, equipment and communication systems meet the CAISO's requirements, the Generator shall request in writing that the CAISO conduct a certification test with a suggested primary date and time and at least two alternative dates and times. The CAISO shall, within two Business Days of receipt of the Generator's request, accept a proposed time if possible or suggest at least three alternatives to the Generator. If the CAISO responds by suggesting alternatives, the Generator shall, within two Business Days of receipt of the CAISO's response, respond in turn by accepting a proposed alternative if possible or suggesting at least three alternatives, and this procedure shall continue until agreement is reached on the date and time of the test. The Generator shall inform its Scheduling Coordinator of the agreed date and time of the test.
- **D 13** Testing shall be performed under the direction of the CAISO. Such tests shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
- **D 13.1** confirmation of control communication path performance;
- **D 13.2** confirmation of primary and secondary voice circuits for receipt of Dispatch Instructions;
- **D 13.3** confirmation of the Generating Unit automatic voltage regulator performance; and

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 813

- **D 13.4** confirmation of the range of Voltage Support service over a range of Generating Unit real power outputs to verify the ability to both produce and absorb reactive power at different operating levels including minimum and maximum real power output.
- Upon successful completion of the test, the CAISO shall certify the Generating Unit as being permitted to provide Voltage Support as an Ancillary Service and shall provide a copy of the certificate to the Scheduling Coordinator at the same time. The CAISO shall change the Generating Unit data base to reflect the permission for the Generating Unit to provide Voltage Support.
- The Scheduling Coordinator may bid Supplemental Energy for Voltage Support from the certified Generating Unit into the market starting with the market for the hour ending 0100 on the first Trading Day after the CAISO issues the certificate.
- D 16 The certification to provide Voltage Support shall remain in force until withdrawn by the Scheduling Coordinator or the Generator by written notice to the CAISO to take effect at the time notified in the notice, which must be the end of a Trading Day.
- **D 17** The certification may be revoked by the CAISO only under provisions of the ASRP or the CAISO Tariff.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **PART E**

#### **CERTIFICATION FOR BLACK START**

E 1 A Generator wishing to provide Black Start capacity from a Generating Unit as an Ancillary Service must meet the requirements stated in Appendix D of the CAISO Tariff in order to be certified by the CAISO to provide Black Start capacity. In addition, the Generating Unit must have a rated capacity 1 MW or greater unless the Generating Unit is participating in an aggregation arrangement approved by the CAISO. E 2 A Generator wishing to be considered for certification for Black Start service by the CAISO must make a written request to the CAISO. Such request must clearly identify the facilities related to the Generating Unit from which the Generator wishes to provide Black Start and shall identify the Scheduling Coordinator through whom the Generator wishes to offer Black Start service. The Generator shall send a copy of its request to its Scheduling Coordinator at the same time as it sends it to the CAISO. The Generator's written request must include at least the following: E 2.1 identification of the Generating Unit including Location Code; E 2.2 a single-line electrical diagram of the Generating Unit connections including auxiliary power busses and the connection to the station switchyard; E 2.3 a description of the fuel supply used for Black Start including on-site storage and resupply requirements: E 2.4 a single-line electrical diagram showing the transmission connection from the Generating Unit station switchyard to a connection point on the CAISO Controlled Grid; E 2.5 a description of the Generating Unit capability to provide both real and reactive power, any Start-Up and Shut-Down requirements, any staffing limitations; and E 2.6 a description of the primary, alternate and emergency back-up communications systems currently available to the Generator for communications to the CAISO Control Center. **E** 3 Upon receipt of the Generator's written request the CAISO shall review the information provided and respond in writing within two weeks of receipt of the request, providing a copy of its response to the Generator's Scheduling Coordinator. The CAISO response may be any of the following: E 3.1 acceptance of the proposal as presented; E 3.2 rejection of the proposal as presented with a rationale for such rejection; or E 3.3 a request for additional information needed by the CAISO to properly evaluate the request. **E4** A Generator receiving a rejection may submit a written request for reconsideration by the CAISO within 60 days of the date of the rejection notice. A request for reconsideration must address the rationale provided by the CAISO. The CAISO shall respond to a request for reconsideration within 60 days of the date of that request.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

E 5

Issued on: February 9, 2006 Effective: November 1, 2007

A Generator receiving a request for additional information shall provide such information within 60 days of such request providing a copy at the same time to its Scheduling

Coordinator. The CAISO shall review the information and respond within 120 days of the date of the CAISO's request for additional information providing a copy at the same time to the Generator's Scheduling Coordinator.

- Upon acceptance by the CAISO of the Generator's request and agreement as to the method of communication and control to be used by the Generator, the CAISO shall provisionally approve the proposal in writing providing a copy at the same time to the Generator's Scheduling Coordinator. The Generator may then proceed to procure and install the equipment and make arrangements for the required communication.
- Design, acquisition, and installation of the Generator's equipment shall be under the control of the Generator. The CAISO shall bear no cost responsibility or functional responsibility for such equipment. The CAISO shall be responsible for the design, acquisition and installation of any necessary modifications to its own equipment at its own cost.
- The Generator shall perform its own testing of its equipment to ensure that the Black Start system performs to meet the CAISO requirements.
- When it is satisfied that its plant, equipment and communication systems meet the CAISO's requirements, the Generator shall request in writing that the CAISO conduct a certification test with a suggested primary date and time and at least two alternative dates and times. The CAISO shall, within two Business Days of receipt of the Generator's request, accept a proposed time if possible or suggest at least three alternatives to the Generator. If the CAISO responds by suggesting alternatives, the Generator shall, within two Business Days of receipt of the CAISO's response, respond in turn by accepting a proposed alternative if possible or suggesting at least three alternatives, and this procedure shall continue until agreement is reached on the date and time of the test. The Generator shall inform its Scheduling Coordinator of the agreed date and time of the test.
- **E 10** Testing shall be performed under the direction of the CAISO. Such tests shall include, but not be limited to, the following:
- **E 10.1** confirmation of control communication path performance;
- **E 10.2** confirmation of primary, secondary, and emergency voice circuits for receipt of Dispatch Instructions:
- **E 10.3** confirmation of the Generating Unit performance; and
- **E 10.4** simulation of a Black Start event.
- Upon successful completion of the test, the CAISO shall certify the Generating Unit as being permitted to provide Black Start capacity as an Ancillary Service and shall provide a copy of the certificate to the Scheduling Coordinator at the same time. The CAISO shall

change its Generating Unit data base to reflect the permission for the Generating Unit to provide Black Start service.

The certification to provide Black Start shall remain in force until withdrawn by the Scheduling Coordinator or the Generator by written notice to the CAISO to take effect at the time noticed in the notice, which must be the end of a Trading Day.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Original Sheet No. 816

**E 13** The certification may be revoked by the CAISO only under provisions of the ASRP or the CAISO Tariff.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Original Sheet No. 817

#### **CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX L**

[not used]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

Original Sheet No. 818

# CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX M [NOT USED]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Original Sheet No. 819

Effective: November 1, 2007

CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX N

Settlements and Billing

[To be updated and removed to BPM]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

# PART A [Not Used] PART B GRID OPERATIONS CHARGE COMPUTATION

#### B 1 Purpose of charge

The Grid Operations Charge is a charge which recovers Redispatch costs incurred due to Intra-Zonal Congestion pursuant to Section 27.1.3 of the ISO Tariff. The Grid Operations Charge is paid by or charged to Scheduling Coordinators in order for the ISO to recover and properly redistribute the costs of adjusting the Balanced Schedules submitted by Scheduling Coordinators.

#### B 2 Fundamental formulae

#### B 2.1 Payments to Scheduling Coordinators with incremented schedules

When it becomes necessary for the ISO to increase the output of a Scheduling Coordinator's Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> or System Resource<sub>i</sub> or reduce a Curtailable Demand<sub>i</sub> in order to relieve Congestion within a Zone, the ISO will pay the Scheduling Coordinator. The amount that ISO pays the Scheduling Coordinator<sub>j</sub> is the price specified in the Scheduling Coordinator's Imbalance Energy bid for the Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> or System Resource<sub>i</sub> or Curtailable Demand<sub>i</sub> multiplied by the quantity of Energy Dispatched. The formula for calculating the payment to Scheduling Coordinator<sub>j</sub> for each block<sub>b</sub> of Energy of its bid curve in Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> is:

$$INC_{bijt} = adjinc_{bijt} * \Delta inc_{bijt}$$

#### B 2.1.1 Total Payment for Trading Interval

The formula for calculating payment to Scheduling Coordinator<sub>j</sub> whose Generating Unit<sub>j</sub> or System Resource<sub>i</sub> has been increased or Curtailable Demand<sub>j</sub> reduced for all the relevant blocks<sub>b</sub> of Energy in the Imbalance Energy bid curve of that Generating Unit or System Resource or Curtailable Demand in the same Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> is:

$$PayTI_{ijt} = \sum_{b} INC_{bijt}$$

#### B 2.2 Charges to Scheduling Coordinators with decremented schedules

When it becomes necessary for the ISO to decrease the output of a Scheduling Coordinator's Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> or System Resource<sub>i</sub> in order to relieve Congestion within a Zone, the ISO will make a charge to the Scheduling Coordinator. The amount that the ISO will charge Scheduling Coordinator<sub>i</sub> for decreasing the output of Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> is

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

the decremental reference price specified for the Scheduling Coordinator as determined in accordance with Section 27.1.1.6.1 multiplied by the quantity of Energy Dispatched. The amount that the ISO will charge Scheduling Coordinator; for decreasing the output of System Resource; is the price specified in the Scheduling Coordinator's Imbalance Energy bid for System Resource; multiplied by the quantity of Energy Dispatched. The formula for calculating the charge to Scheduling Coordinator; for each blockb of Energy in its decremental reference price or Imbalance Energy Bid in Trading Intervalt is:

$$DEC_{bijt} = adjdec_{bijt} * \Delta dec_{bijt}$$

#### B 2.2.1 Total Charge for Trading Interval

The formula for calculating the charge to Scheduling Coordinator<sub>j</sub> whose Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> or System Resource<sub>i</sub> has been decreased for all the relevant blocks<sub>b</sub> of Energy at the decremental reference price for Generating Unit<sub>i</sub>, or Imbalance Energy bid for System Resource<sub>i</sub> in the same Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> is:

$$ChargeTI_{ijt} = \sum_{b} DEC_{bijt}$$

#### B 2.3 Not Used

#### B 2.4 Net ISO Redispatch costs

The Trading Interval net Redispatch cost encountered by ISO to relieve Intra-Zonal Congestion is the sum of the amounts paid by the ISO to those Scheduling Coordinators whose Generation or System Resource was increased or Curtailable Demand was decreased during the Trading Interval less the sum of the amounts received by the ISO from those Scheduling Coordinators whose Generating Units or System Resource were decreased during the Trading Interval. The fundamental formula for calculating the net Redispatch cost is:

$$REDISP_{CONGt} = \sum_{j} PayTI_{ijt} - \sum_{j} ChargeTI_{ijt}$$

Note that *REDISPCONGt* can be either positive or negative. This means that it is possible for the ISO to generate either a net cost or a net income, for any given Trading Interval. In the event the ISO does not make use of equal amounts of incremental and decremental dispatched MWHs, then the net Redispatch cost becomes the sum of the amounts paid (or charged) by the ISO to those Scheduling Coordinators whose Generation or System Resource was increased (or decreased) or Curtailable Demand was decreased (or increased) during the Trading Interval less the sum of the amounts received by the ISO from Scheduling Coordinators through the Imbalance Energy Market.

#### B 2.5 Grid Operations Price

The grid operations price is the Trading Interval rate used by the ISO to apportion net Trading Interval Redispatch costs to Scheduling Coordinators within the Zone with Intra-

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Zonal Congestion. The grid operations price is calculated using the following

formula: 
$$GOP_{t} = \frac{REDISP_{CONG_{t}}}{\sum_{j} QCharge_{jt} + \sum_{j} Export_{jt}}$$

#### B 2.6 Grid Operations Charge

The Grid Operations Charge is the vehicle by which the ISO recovers the net Redispatch costs. It is allocated to each Scheduling Coordinator in proportion to the Scheduling Coordinator's Demand in the Zone with Intra-Zonal Congestion and exports from the Zone with Intra-Zonal Congestion. The formula for calculating the Grid Operations Charge for Scheduling Coordinator; in Trading Intervalt is:

$$GOC_{it} = GOP_t * (QCharge_{it} + EXPORT_{it})$$

#### B 3 Meaning of terms of formulae

#### B 3.1 INCbiit - \$

The payment from the ISO due to Scheduling Coordinator<sub>j</sub> whose Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> or System Resource<sub>i</sub> is increased or Curtailable Load<sub>i</sub> is reduced within a block<sub>b</sub> of Energy in its Imbalance Energy bid in Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> in order to relieve Intra-Zonal Congestion.

#### B 3.2 adjincbiit - \$/MWh

The incremental cost for the rescheduled Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> or System Resource<sub>i</sub> or Curtailable Load<sub>i</sub> taken from the relevant block<sub>b</sub> of Energy in the Imbalance Energy bid submitted by the Scheduling Coordinator<sub>j</sub> or generated by the ISO for the Trading Interval<sub>t</sub>.

#### B 3.3 ∆incbijt - MW

The amount by which the Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> or System Resource<sub>i</sub> or Curtailable Load<sub>i</sub> of Scheduling Coordinator<sub>j</sub> for Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> is increased by the ISO within the relevant block<sub>b</sub> of Energy in its Imbalance Energy bid.

#### B 3.4 PayTl<sub>iit</sub> - \$

The Trading Interval payment to Scheduling Coordinator<sub>j</sub> whose Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> has been increased or System Resource<sub>i</sub> or Curtailable Load<sub>i</sub> reduced in Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> of the Trading Day.

#### B 3.5 **DEC**biit - \$

The charge to Scheduling Coordinator<sub>j</sub> whose Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> or System Resource<sub>i</sub> is decreased for Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> within a block<sub>b</sub> of Energy at the decremental reference price for Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> or in the Imbalance Energy bid for System Resource<sub>i</sub>.

#### B 3.6 adjdecbiit - \$/MWh

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

The decremental cost for the rescheduled Generating  $Unit_i$  or System Resource, taken from the relevant  $block_b$  of Energy at the decremental reference price for Generating  $Unit_i$  or Imbalance Energy bid for System Resource, submitted by Scheduling Coordinator, or generated by the ISO for the Trading Interval<sub>t</sub>.

#### B 3.7 ∆decbiit - MW

The amount by which the Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> or System Resource<sub>i</sub> of Scheduling Coordinator<sub>j</sub> for Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> is decreased by ISO within the relevant block<sub>b</sub> of Energy at the decremental reference price for Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> or Imbalance Energy bid for System Resource<sub>i</sub>.

#### B 3.8 ChargeTliit - \$

The Trading Interval charge to Scheduling Coordinator<sub>j</sub> whose Generating Unit<sub>i</sub> or System Resource<sub>i</sub> has been decreased in Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> of the Trading Day.

- B 3.9 Not Used
- B 3.10 Not Used
- **B 3.10.1** Not Used
- B 3.10.2 Not Used

#### B 3.11 REDISPCONGt - \$

The Trading Interval net cost to ISO to redispatch in order to relieve Intra-Zonal Congestion during Trading Interval<sub>t</sub>.

#### B 3.12 GOP<sub>t</sub> - \$/MWh

The Trading Interval grid operations price for Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> used by the ISO to recover the costs of Redispatch for Intra-Zonal Congestion Management.

#### B 3.13 GOC<sub>it</sub> - \$

The Trading Interval Grid Operations Charge by the ISO for Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> for Scheduling Coordinator; in the relevant Zone with Intra-Zonal Congestion.

#### B 3.14 QCHARGE<sub>it</sub> – MWh

The Trading Interval metered Demand within a Zone for Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> for Scheduling Coordinator; whose Grid Operations Charge is being calculated.

#### B 3.15 EXPORT<sub>it</sub> – MWh

The total Energy for Trading Interval<sub>t</sub> exported from the Zone to a neighboring Control Area by Scheduling Coordinator<sub>i</sub>.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### PART C

#### ANCILLARY SERVICES CHARGES COMPUTATION

#### C 1 Purpose of charges

The Ancillary Services charges reimburse the ISO for the costs of purchasing Ancillary Services in the Day-Ahead and Hour-Ahead Markets. Each Scheduling Coordinator that does not self-provide Ancillary Services must purchase these services from the ISO. The ISO will in turn purchase these Ancillary Services from Scheduling Coordinators in the markets. Ancillary Services purchased and resold by the ISO includes Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve. Any references in this Part C to the Ancillary Service "Regulation" shall be read as referring to "Regulation Up" or "Regulation Down".

This Part C also addresses the payments by ISO to Scheduling Coordinators for the Dispatch of energy from Dispatched Ancillary Services Units and for the Dispatch of Supplemental Energy in the Real Time Market. The ISO recovers the costs of real-time Dispatch of such energy through the Imbalance Energy charges described in Part D of this Appendix.

The reference to a Scheduling Coordinator by Zone refers to the Demand of that Scheduling Coordinator which is located in the Zone. A Generation Unit, Load, or System Resource located in another Control Area is considered to be located in the Zone in which its contract path enters the ISO Controlled Grid.

The ISO will purchase Ancillary Services for each Trading Interval in both the Day-Ahead and Hour-Ahead Markets. Separate payments will be calculated for each service for each Trading Interval and in each market for each Generating Unit, Load and System Resource. The ISO will then calculate a total payment for each Scheduling Coordinator for each Trading Interval for each service for each Zone in each market for all the Generating Units, Loads and System Resources that the Scheduling Coordinator represents. The ISO will charge Scheduling Coordinators for Ancillary Services, other than for energy, which they purchase from the ISO by calculating and applying charges to each Scheduling Coordinator for each Trading Interval for each service in each Zone in each market.

The ISO will allocate the Ancillary Services capacity charges, for both the Day-Ahead Market and the Hour-Ahead Market, on a Zonal basis if the Day-Ahead Ancillary Services Market is procured on a Zonal basis. The ISO will allocate the Ancillary Services capacity charges, for both the Day-Ahead Market and the Hour-Ahead Market, on an ISO Control Area wide basis if the Day-Ahead Ancillary Services Market is defined on an ISO Control Area wide basis.

#### C 2 Fundamental formulas

#### C 2.1 ISO payments to Scheduling Coordinators

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### C 2.1.1 Day-Ahead Market

(a) Regulation. When the ISO purchases Regulation capacity in the Day-Ahead Market, Scheduling Coordinators for Generating Units that provide this capacity will receive payments for each Trading Interval of the Day-Ahead Market. The payment for a given Generating Unit which provides Regulation capacity over a given Trading Interval will be the total quantity of Regulation capacity provided times the Zonal Market Clearing Price for that Trading Interval in that Zone. The required Regulation capacity is defined in Appendix A. Regulation Up and Regulation Down payments shall be calculated separately. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Regulation Up capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCUpPayDA_{ijxt} = AGCUpQDA_{ijxt} * PAGCUpDA_{xt}$$

This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Regulation Down capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCDownPayDA_{ijxt} = AGCDownQDA_{ijxt} * PAGCDownDA_{xt}$$

The total Regulation Up payment to each Scheduling Coordinator for a given Trading Interval in the Day-Ahead Market for all the resources that it represents in a given Zone is calculated by summing all the payments for the resources of the Scheduling Coordinator in the Zone for the Trading Interval. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCUpPayTotalDA_{jxt} = \sum_{i} AGCUpPayDA_{ijxt}$$

The total Regulation Down payment to each Scheduling Coordinator for a given Trading Interval in the Day-Ahead Market for all the resources that it represents in a given Zone is calculated by summing all the payments for the resources of the Scheduling Coordinator in the Zone for the Trading Interval. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCDownPayTotalDA_{jxt} = \sum_{i} AGCDownPayDA_{ijxt}$$

(b) <u>Spinning Reserve.</u> When ISO purchases Spinning Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market. Scheduling Coordinators for Generating Units and System Resources that provide this capacity will receive payments for each Trading Interval of the Day-Ahead Market. The payment for a given Generating Unit or

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

System Resource which provides Spinning Reserve capacity over a given Trading Interval will be the total quantity of Spinning Reserve capacity provided times the Zonal Market Clearing Price for that Trading Interval in that Zone. The required Spinning Reserve capacity is defined in Appendix A. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Spinning Reserve capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$SpinPayDA_{ijxt} = SpinQDA_{ijxt} * PSpinDA_{xt}$$

The total Spinning Reserve payment to each Scheduling Coordinator for a given Trading Interval in the Day-Ahead Market for all the resources that it represents in a given Zone is calculated by summing all the payments for the resources of the Scheduling Coordinator in the Zone for the Trading Interval. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$SpinPayTotalDA_{jxt} = \sum_{i} SpinPayDA_{ixt}$$

- (c) <u>Non-Spinning Reserve</u>. When the ISO purchases Non-Spinning Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market, Scheduling Coordinators for Generating Units, Loads and System Resources that provide this capacity will receive payments for each Trading Interval of the Day-Ahead Market. The payment for a given Generating Unit, Load or System Resource which provides Non-Spinning Reserve capacity over a given Trading Interval will be the total quantity of Non-Spinning Reserve capacity provided times the Zonal Market Clearing Price for that Trading Interval in that Zone. The required Non-Spinning Reserve capacity is defined in Appendix A. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Non-Spinning Reserve capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:
- (d)  $NonSpinPayDA_{ijxt} = NonSpinQDA_{ijxt} * PNonSpinDA_{xt}$

The total Non-Spinning Reserve payment to each Scheduling Coordinator for a given Trading Interval in the Day-Ahead Market for all the resources that it represents in a given Zone is calculated by summing all the payments for the resources of the Scheduling Coordinator in the Zone for the Trading Interval. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$NonSpinPayTotalDA_{jxt} = \sum_{i} NonSpinPayDA_{ijxt}$$

(d) Replacement Reserve. When the ISO purchases Replacement Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market, Scheduling Coordinators for Generating Units, Loads and System Resources that provide this capacity will receive payments for each Trading Interval of the Day-Ahead Market. The payment for a given Generating Unit, Load or System Resource which provides Replacement Reserve capacity over a given Trading Interval will be the total

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

quantity of Replacement Reserve capacity provided times the Zonal Market Clearing Price for that Trading Interval in that Zone. The required Replacement Reserve capacity is defined in Appendix A. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Replacement Reserve capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$ReplPayDA_{ijxt} = ReplQDA_{ijxt} * PReplDA_{xt}$$

The total Replacement Reserve payment to each Scheduling Coordinator for a given Trading Interval in the Day-Ahead Market for all the resources that it represents in a given Zone is calculated by summing all the payments for the resources of the Scheduling Coordinator in the Zone for the Trading Interval. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$ReplPayTotalDA_{jxt} = \sum_{i} ReplPayDA_{ijxt}$$

#### C 2.1.2 Hour-Ahead Market

(a) Regulation. When the ISO purchases Regulation capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market, Scheduling Coordinators for Generating Units that provide this capacity will receive payment for the Trading Interval of the Hour-Ahead Market. The payment for a given Generating Unit which provides Regulation capacity over the Trading Interval will be the total quantity of Regulation capacity provided times the Zonal Market Clearing Price for that Trading Interval in that Zone. The required Regulation capacity is defined in Appendix A. Regulation Up and Regulation Down payments shall be calculated separately. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Regulation Up capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCUpPayHA_{ijxt} = AGCUpQIHA_{ijxt} * PAGCUpHA_{xt}$$

This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Regulation Down capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCDownPayHA_{ijxt} = AGCDownQIHA_{ijxt} * PAGCDownHA_{xt}$$

When a Scheduling Coordinator buys back, in the Hour-Ahead Market, Regulation capacity which it sold to the ISO in the Day-Ahead Market, the payment which the ISO receives will be the total quantity of Regulation capacity bought back times the Zonal Hour-Ahead Market Clearing Price for that Trading Interval in that Zone.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

This payment to the ISO from Scheduling Coordinator j to buy back Regulation Up capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCUpReceiveHA_{ijxt} = AGCUpQDHA_{ijxt} * PAGCUpHA_{xt}$$

This payment to the ISO from Scheduling Coordinator j to buy back Regulation Down capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCDownReceiveHA_{ijxt} = AGCDownQDHA_{ijxt} * PAGCDownHA_{xt}$$

The total Regulation payment for the Trading Interval of the Hour-Ahead Market to each Scheduling Coordinator for all the resources that it represents in a given Zone is calculated by summing all the payments for the resources of the Scheduling Coordinator in the Zone for the Trading Interval and then deducting therefrom any amount payable by the Scheduling Coordinator to the ISO for Regulation bought back by the Scheduling Coordinator from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead Market for the Trading Interval on behalf of resources located in the Zone. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCDownPayTotalHA_{jxt} = \sum_{i} AGCDownPayHA_{ijxt} - \sum_{i} AGCDownReceiveHA_{ijxt}$$
 
$$AGCUpPayTotalHA_{jxt} = \sum_{i} AGCUpPayHA_{ijxt} - \sum_{i} AGCUpReceiveHA_{ijxt}$$

(b) <u>Spinning Reserve</u>. When the ISO purchases Spinning Reserve capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market, Scheduling Coordinators for Generating Units and System Resources that provide this capacity will receive payments for the Trading Interval of the Hour-Ahead Market. The payment for a given Generating Unit or System Resource which provides Spinning Reserve capacity over the Trading Interval will be the total quantity of Spinning Reserve capacity provided times the Zonal Market Clearing Price for that Trading Interval in that Zone. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Spinning Reserve capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$SpinPayHA_{ijxt} = SpinQIHA_{ijxt} * PSpinHA_{xt}$$

When a Scheduling Coordinator buys back in the Hour-Ahead Market Spinning Reserve capacity which it sold to the ISO in the Day-Ahead Market, the payment which the ISO receives will be the total quantity of Spinning Reserve capacity bought back times the Zonal Hour-Ahead Market Clearing Price for that Trading Interval in that Zone.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

This payment to the ISO from Scheduling Coordinator j to buy back Spinning Reserve capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$SpinReceiveHA_{ijxt} = SpinQDHA_{ijxt} * PSpinHA_{xt}$$

The total Spinning Reserve payment to each Scheduling Coordinator for the Trading Interval of the Hour-Ahead Market for all the resources that it represents in a given Zone is calculated by summing all the payments for the resources of the Scheduling Coordinator in the Zone for the Trading Interval and then deducting therefrom any amount payable by the Scheduling Coordinator to the ISO for Spinning Reserve bought back by the Scheduling Coordinator from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead Market for the Trading Interval on behalf of resources located in the Zone. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$SpinPayTotalHA_{jxt} = \sum_{i} SpinPayHA_{ijxt} - \sum_{i} SpinReceiveHA_{ijxt}$$

(c) Non-Spinning Reserve. When the ISO purchases Non-Spinning Reserve capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market, Scheduling Coordinators for Generating Units, Loads and System Resources that provide this capacity will receive payment for the Trading Interval of the Hour-Ahead Market. The payment for a given Generating Unit, Load or System Resource which provides Non-Spinning Reserve capacity over the Trading Interval will be the total quantity of Non-Spinning Reserve capacity provided times the Zonal Market Clearing Price for that Trading Interval in that Zone. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Non-Spinning Reserve capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$NonSpinPayHA_{ijxt} = NonSpinQIHA_{ijxt} * PNonSpinHA_{xt}$$

When a Scheduling Coordinator buys back in the Hour-Ahead Market Non-Spinning Reserve capacity which it sold to the ISO in the Day-Ahead Market, the payment which the ISO receives will be the total quantity of Non-Spinning Reserve capacity bought back times the Zonal Hour-Ahead Market Clearing Price for that Trading Interval in that Zone.

This payment to the ISO from Scheduling Coordinator j to buy back Non-Spinning Reserve capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$NonSpinReceiveHA_{ijxt} = SpinQDHA_{ijxt} * PNonSpinHA_{xt}$$

The total Non-Spinning Reserve payment to each Scheduling Coordinator for the Trading Interval of the Hour-Ahead Market for all the resources that it represents in a given Zone is calculated by summing all the payments for the resources of the Scheduling Coordinator in the Zone for the Trading Interval and then deducting therefrom any amount payable by the Scheduling

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Coordinator to the ISO for Non-Spinning Reserve bought back by the Scheduling Coordinator from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead Market for the Trading Interval on behalf of resources located in the Zone. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$NonSpinPayTotalHA_{jxt} = \sum_{i} NonSpinPayHA_{ijxt} - \sum_{i} NonSpinReceiveHA_{ijxt}$$

(d) Replacement Reserve. When the ISO purchases Replacement Reserve capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market, Scheduling Coordinators for Generating Units, Loads and System Resources that provide this capacity will receive payments for the Trading Interval of the Hour-Ahead Market. The payment for a given Generating Unit, Load or System Resource which provides Replacement Reserve capacity over the Trading Interval will be the total quantity of Replacement Reserve capacity provided times the Zonal Market Clearing Price for that Trading Interval in that Zone. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Replacement Reserve capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$ReplPayHA_{ijxt} = ReplQIHA_{ijxt} * PReplHA_{xt}$$

When a Scheduling Coordinator buys back in the Hour-Ahead Market Replacement Reserve capacity which it sold to the ISO in the Day-Ahead Market, the payment which the ISO receives will be the total quantity of Replacement Reserve capacity bought back times the Zonal Hour-Ahead Market Clearing Price for that Trading Interval in that Zone.

This payment to the ISO from Scheduling Coordinator j to buy back Replacement Reserve capacity from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$ReplReceiveHA_{iixt} = ReplQDHA_{iixt} * PReplHA_{xt}$$

The total Replacement Reserve payment to each Scheduling Coordinator for the Trading Interval of the Hour-Ahead Market for all the resources that it represents in a given Zone is calculated by summing all the payments for the resources of the Scheduling Coordinator in the Zone for the Trading Interval and then deducting therefrom any amount payable by the Scheduling Coordinator to the ISO for Replacement Reserve bought back by the Scheduling Coordinator from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead Market for the Trading Interval on behalf of resources located in the Zone. This payment for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$ReplPayTotalHA_{jxt} = \sum_{i} ReplPayHA_{ijxt} - \sum_{i} ReplReceiveHA_{ijxt}$$

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### C 2.2 ISO allocation of charges to Scheduling Coordinators

#### C 2.2.1 Day-Ahead Market

(a) <u>Regulation</u>. The ISO will charge the Zonal cost of providing Regulation capacity that is not self-provided by Scheduling Coordinators, in the Day-Ahead Market, through the application of a charge to each Scheduling Coordinator for each Trading Interval. This charge will be computed by multiplying the Regulation user rate for the Trading Interval by the Scheduling Coordinator's Regulation obligation, for which it has not self-provided, for the same period.

The Zonal Regulation user rate for the Day-Ahead Market is calculated by dividing the total cost to ISO of purchasing Regulation Capacity within the Zone, for the Trading Interval, by the total ISO Regulation MW purchases for the Trading Interval within the Zone. Regulation Up and Regulation Down payments shall be calculated separately.

The Day-Ahead Regulation Up user rate in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCUpRateDA_{xt} = \frac{\sum\limits_{j} AGCUpPayTotalDA_{jxt}}{AGCUpPurchDA_{xt}}$$

where,

 $AGCUpPayTotalDA_{ixt}$  = Total Regulation Up payments for the Settlement Period t in the Day-Ahead Market for the Zone x.

The Day-Ahead Regulation Down user rate in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCDownRateDAxt = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{j} AGCDownPayTotalDAjxt}{AGCDownPurchDAxt}$$

where,

 $AGCDownPayTotalDA_{jxt}$  = Total Regulation Down payments for the Settlement Period t in the Day-Ahead Market for the Zone x.

The Regulation capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCUpChgDA_{jxt} = AGCUpOblig_{jxt} * AGCUpRateDA_{xt}$$

$$AGCDownChgDA_{ixt} = AGCDownOblig_{ixt} * AGCDownRateDA_{xt}$$

(b) <u>Spinning Reserve</u>. The ISO will charge the Zonal cost of providing Spinning Reserve capacity that is not self-provided by Scheduling Coordinators, in the Day-Ahead Market, through the application of a charge to each Scheduling

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Coordinator for each Trading Interval. This charge will be computed by multiplying the Spinning Reserve capacity user rate for the Trading Interval by the Scheduling Coordinator's Spinning Reserve obligation, for which it has not self-provided, for the same period. The Zonal Spinning Reserve capacity user rate for the Day-Ahead Market is calculated by dividing the total cost to ISO of purchasing Spinning Reserve capacity within the Zone, for the Trading Interval, by the total ISO Spinning Reserve MW purchases for the Trading Interval within the Zone. The Day-Ahead Spinning Reserve capacity user rate in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$SpinRateDA_{xt} = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{j} SpinPayTotalDA_{jxt}}{SpinPurchDA_{xt}}$$

The Spinning Reserve capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$SpinChgDA_{jxt} = SpinOblig_{jxt} * SpinRateDA_{xt}$$

(c) Non-Spinning Reserve. The ISO will charge the Zonal cost of providing Non-Spinning Reserve capacity that is not self-provided by Scheduling Coordinators, in the Day-Ahead Market, through the application of a charge to each Scheduling Coordinator for each Trading Interval. This charge will be computed by multiplying the Non-Spinning Reserve capacity user rate for the Trading Interval by the Scheduling Coordinator's Non-Spinning Reserve obligation, for which it has not self-provided, for the same period.

The Zonal Non-Spinning Reserve capacity user rate for the Day-Ahead Market is calculated by dividing the total cost to ISO of purchasing Non-Spinning Reserve capacity within the Zone, for the Trading Interval, by the total ISO Non-Spinning Reserve MW purchases for the Trading Interval within the Zone. The Day-Ahead Non-Spinning Reserve capacity user rate in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$NonSpinRateDA_{xt} = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{j} NonSpinPayTotalDA_{jxt}}{NonSpinPurchDA_{xt}}$$

The Non-Spinning Reserve capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

 $NonSpinChgDA_{jxt} = NonSpinOblig_{jxt} * NonSpinRateDA_{xt}$ 

Effective: November 1, 2007

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

#### C 2.2.2 Hour-Ahead Market

(a) Regulation. The ISO will charge the Zonal net cost of providing Regulation capacity that is not self-provided by Scheduling Coordinators, in the Hour-Ahead Market through the application of a charge to each Scheduling Coordinator for the Trading Interval concerned. This charge will be computed by multiplying the Regulation user rate for the Trading Interval by the Scheduling Coordinator's Regulation obligation, for which it has not self-provided, for the same period.

The Zonal Regulation capacity user rate for the Hour-Ahead Market is calculated by dividing the total cost to the ISO of purchasing Regulation capacity within the Zone less any amounts payable to the ISO by Scheduling Coordinators for Regulation bought back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead Market on behalf of resources located in the Zone, for the Trading Interval, by the total ISO Regulation capacity MW purchases for the Trading Interval within the Zone. Regulation Up and Down payments shall be calculated separately. The Hour-Ahead Regulation Up capacity user rate in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$AGCUpRateHA_{xt} = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{j} AGCUpPayTotalHA_{jxt}}{AGCUpPurchHA_{xt}}$$

where.

 $AGCUpPayTotalHa_{jxt}$ = Total Regulation Up payments for the Settlement Period t in the Hour-Ahead Market for Zone x.

The Hour-Ahead Regulation Down capacity user rate in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$\frac{\displaystyle\sum_{j} AGCDownPayTotalHA_{jxt}}{AGCDownPurchHA_{xt}}$$

where,

 $AGCDownPayTotalHA_{xt}$  = Total Regulation Down payments for the Settlement Period t in the Hour-Ahead Market for Zone x.

The Regulation capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

 $AGCUpChgHA_{jxt} = (AGCUpOblig_{jxt} * AGCUpRateHA_{xt})$ 

 $AGCDownChgHA_{ixt} = (AGCDownOblig_{ixt} * AGCDownRateHA_{xt})$ 

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

(b) <u>Spinning Reserve</u>. The ISO will charge the Zonal net cost of providing Spinning Reserve capacity that is not self-provided by Scheduling Coordinators, in the Hour-Ahead Market, through the application of a charge to each Scheduling Coordinator for the Trading Interval. This charge will be computed by multiplying the Spinning Reserve capacity user rate for the Trading Interval by the Scheduling Coordinator's Spinning Reserve obligation, for which it has not self-provided, for the same period. The Zonal Spinning Reserve capacity user rate for the Hour-Ahead Market is calculated by dividing the total cost to ISO of purchasing Spinning Reserve capacity within the Zone less any amounts payable to the ISO by Scheduling Coordinators for Spinning Reserve bought back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead Market on behalf of resources located in the Zone, for the Trading Interval, by the total ISO Spinning Reserve MW purchases for the Trading Interval within the Zone. The Hour-Ahead Spinning Reserve capacity user rate in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$SpinRateHA_{xt} = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{j} SpinPayTotalHA_{jxt}}{SpinPurchHA_{xt}}$$

The Spinning Reserve capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$SpinChgHA_{ixt} = (SpinOblig_{ixt} * SpinRateHA_{xt})$$

- (c) Non-Spinning Reserve. The ISO will charge the Zonal net cost of providing Non-Spinning Reserve capacity that is not self-provided by Scheduling Coordinators, in the Hour-Ahead Market, through the application of a charge to each Scheduling Coordinator for the Trading Interval. This charge will be computed by multiplying the Non-Spinning Reserve capacity user rate for the concerned Trading Interval by the Scheduling Coordinator's Non-Spinning Reserve obligation, for which it has not self-provided, for the same period. The Zonal Non-Spinning Reserve capacity user rate for the Hour-Ahead Market is calculated by dividing the total cost to ISO of purchasing Non-Spinning Reserve capacity within the Zone less any amounts
- (d) payable to the ISO by Scheduling Coordinators for Non-Spinning Reserve bought back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead Market on behalf of resources in the Zone, for the Trading Interval, by the total ISO Non-Spinning Reserve MW purchases for the Trading Interval within the Zone. The Hour-Ahead Non-Spinning Reserve capacity user rate in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

$$NonSpinRateHA_{xt} = \frac{\sum_{j} NonSpinPayTotalHA_{jxt}}{NonSpinObligTotal_{xt}}$$

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

The Non-Spinning Reserve capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t is calculated as follows:

 $NonSpinChgHA_{ixt} = (NonSpinOblig_{ixt} * NonSpinRateHA_{xt})$ 

#### C 2.2.3 Replacement Reserve

The user rate per unit of Replacement Reserve obligation for each Settlement Period t for each Zone x shall be as follows:

$$ReplRate_{_{xt}} = \frac{\left(PRepResDA_{_{xt}} * OrigReplReqDA_{_{xt}}\right) + \left(PRepResHA_{_{xt}} * OrigReplReqHA_{_{xt}}\right)}{OrigReplReqDA_{_{xt}} + OrigReplReqHA_{_{xt}}}$$

where:

 $OrigReplReqDA_{xt}$  = Replacement Reserve requirement net of self-provision in the Day-Ahead Market before consideration of any substitutions pursuant to Section 8.2.3.6.

 $OrigReplReqHA_{xt}$  = Incremental change in the Replacement Reserve requirement net of self-provision between the Day-Ahead Market and the Hour-Ahead Market before consideration of any substitutions pursuant to Section 8.2.3.

 $PRepResDA_{xt}$  is the Market Clearing Price for Replacement Reserve in the Day-Ahead Market for Zone x in Settlement Period t.

 $PRepResHA_{xt}$  is the Market Clearing Price for Replacement Reserve in the Hour-Ahead Market for Zone x in Settlement Period t.

For each Settlement Period t, each Scheduling Coordinator shall pay to the ISO a sum calculated as follows for each Zone x:

 $ReplRate_{xt} * ReplOblig_{jxt}$ 

where

 $ReplOblig_{jxt} = DevReplOblig_{jxt} + RemRepl_{jxt} - SelfProv_{jxt} + NetInterSCTrades_{jxt}DevReplOblig_{jxt}$  is the Scheduling Coordinator's obligation for deviation Replacement Reserve in Zone x in the Settlement Period t and  $RemRepl_{jxt}$  is the Scheduling Coordinator's obligation for remaining Replacement Reserve in Zone x for Settlement Period t.

*SelfProv<sub>jxt</sub>* is Scheduling Coordinator's Replacement Reserve self-provision in Zone x for Settlement Period t.

*NetInterSCTrades*<sub>jxt</sub> is the sale of Replacement Reserve less the purchase of Replacement Reserve through Inter-Scheduling Coordinator Trades by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Settlement Period t.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

Deviation Replacement Reserve for Scheduling Coordinator i in Zone x for Settlement Period t is calculated as follows:

If  $ReplObligTotal_{xt} > TotalDeviations_{xt}$  then:

$$DevReplOblig_{xjt} = \left[ Max \left( 0, \sum_{i} GenDev_{ijxt} \right) - Min \left( 0, \sum_{i} LoadDev_{ijxt} \right) \right]$$

If  $ReplObligTotal_{xt} < TotalDeviations_{xt}$  then:

$$DevReplOblig_{xjt} = \frac{ReplObligTotal_{xt}}{TotalDeviations_{xt}} * \left[ Max \left( 0, \sum_{i} GenDev_{ijxt} \right) - Min \left( 0, \sum_{i} LoadDev_{ijxt} \right) \right]$$

where.

$$Total Deviations_{xt} = \sum_{j} \left[ Max \left( 0, \sum_{i} GenDev_{ijxt} \right) - Min \left( 0, \sum_{i} Load Dev_{ijxt} \right) \right] GenDev_{ijxt}$$

= The deviation between scheduled and actual Energy generation for Generator i represented by Scheduling Coordinator I in Zone x during Settlement Period t as referenced in SABP Part D.

 $LoadDev_{iixt}$  = The deviation between scheduled and actual Load consumption for resource I represented by Scheduling Coordinator in Zone x during Settlement Period t as referenced in SABP Part D.

DevReplObligxt is total deviation Replacement Reserve in Zone x for Settlement Period t.

ReplObligTotalxt is total Replacement Reserve Obligation in Zone x for Settlement Period

Remaining Replacement Reserve for Scheduling Coordinator i in Zone x for Settlement Period t is calculated as follows:

$$RemRepl_{xjt} = \frac{MeteredDemand_{jxt}}{TotalMeteredDemand_{xt}} * Total Re m Re pl_{xt}$$

#### where:

MeteredDemand<sub>ixt</sub> is the Scheduling Coordinator's total metered Demand excluding exports in Zone x for Settlement Period t.

Total Metered Demand<sub>xt</sub> is total metered Demand excluding exports in Zone x for Settlement Period t.

 $TotalRemRepl_{xt} = Max[0,ReplObligTotal_{xt} - DevReplOblig_{xt}]$ 

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

# C 2.2.4 Rational Buyer Adjustments

- (a) If, in any Settlement Period, no quantity of Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve or Replacement Reserve is purchased in the Day-Ahead Market or the Hour-Ahead Market due to the operation of Section 8.2.3.6 of the ISO Tariff, then in lieu of the user rate determined in accordance with Section C 2.2.1, C 2.2.2, or C 2.2.3, as applicable, the user rate for the affected Ancillary Service for that Settlement Period shall be determined as follows:
  - (i) If the affected market is a Day-Ahead Market, the user rate for the affected Ancillary Service shall be set at the lowest capacity reservation price for an unaccepted qualified capacity bid in a Day-Ahead Market for that Ancillary Service or for another Ancillary Service that meets the requirements for the affected Ancillary Service. If there are no such unaccepted bids, the user rate for the affected Ancillary Service shall be the lowest Market Clearing Price for the same Settlement Period established in the Day-Ahead Market for another Ancillary Service that meets the requirements for the affected Ancillary Service.
  - (ii) If the affected market is an Hour-Ahead Market, the user rate for the affected Ancillary Service shall be set at the lowest capacity reservation price for an unaccepted qualified capacity bid in the Hour-Ahead Market for the same Settlement Period for that Ancillary Service or for another Ancillary Service that meets the requirements for the affected Ancillary Service. If there are no such unaccepted bids, the user rate for the affected Ancillary Service shall be the user rate for the same Ancillary Service in the Day-Ahead Market in the same Settlement Period.
- (b) With respect to each Settlement Period, in addition to the user rates determined in accordance with Sections C 2.2.1 through C 2.2.3, or Section C 2.2.4(a), as applicable, each Scheduling Coordinator shall be charged an additional amount equal to its proportionate share, based on total purchases by Scheduling Coordinators of Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve and Replacement Reserve of the amount, if any, by which (i) the total payments to Scheduling Coordinators pursuant to Section C 2.1 for the Day-Ahead Market and Hour-Ahead Market and all Zones, exceed (ii) the total amounts charged to Scheduling Coordinators pursuant to Sections C 2.2.1 through C 2.2.3, for the Day-Ahead Market and Hour-Ahead Market and all Zones. If total amounts charged to Scheduling Coordinators exceed the total payments to Scheduling Coordinators, each Scheduling Coordinator will be refunded its proportionate share, based on total purchases by Scheduling Coordinators of Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve and Replacement Reserve.

#### C 2.2.5 Real-Time Market

(a) The ISO will charge the costs of purchasing Instructed Imbalance Energy output from Dispatched Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, Replacement Reserve and Supplemental Energy resources through the Instructed Imbalance Energy settlement process.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- (b) The ISO will charge the costs of purchasing Uninstructed Imbalance Energy (including incremental and decremental Energy from Generating Units providing Regulation) through the Uninstructed Imbalance Energy settlement process.
- (c) The ISO will charge the costs of Regulation Energy Payment Adjustments as calculated in accordance with Section 8.11.5 of the ISO Tariff, in accordance with Section 11.2.9.

# C 3 Meaning of terms of formulae

# C 3.1 AGCUpPayDA<sub>ijxt</sub> - \$

The payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Regulation Up capacity in the Day-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# AGCDownPayDAiixt - \$

The payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Regulation Down capacity in the Day-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.2 AGCUpQDA<sub>ijxt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of Regulation Up capacity provided in the ISO Day-Ahead Market from resource i by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# AGCDownQDAijxt - MW

The total quantity of Regulation Down capacity provided in the ISO Day-Ahead Market from resource i by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.3 PAGCUpDA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

In the case of Capacity made available in accordance with the ISO's Final Day-Ahead Schedules, the Market Clearing Price for units exempt from FERC Ancillary Service rate caps or the bid price for those Units subject to the cap for Regulation Up Capacity in the Day-Ahead Market for Trading Interval t in Zone x. In the case of Capacity not included in the ISO's Final Day-Ahead Schedules but made available in accordance with amended Ancillary Services supplier schedules issued in accordance with Section 8.7, the bid price for the unit for Regulation Up Capacity in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### PAGCDownDA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

In the case of Capacity made available in accordance with the ISO's Final Day-Ahead Schedules, the Market Clearing Price for units exempt from FERC Ancillary Service rate caps or the bid price for those Units subject to the cap for Regulation Down Capacity in the Day-Ahead Market for Trading Interval t in Zone x. In the case of Capacity not included in the ISO's Final Day-Ahead Schedules but made available in accordance with amended Ancillary Services supplier schedules issued in accordance with Section 8.7, the bid price for the unit for Regulation Down Capacity in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# C 3.4 AGCUpPayTotalDA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The total payment for Regulation Up capacity to Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# AGCDownPayTotalDAixt - \$

The total payment for Regulation Down capacity to Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.5 AGCUpPayHA<sub>iixt</sub> - \$

The payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Regulation Up capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# AGCDownPayHAiixt - \$

The payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Regulation Down capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.5.1 AGCUpReceiveHA<sub>iixt</sub> - \$

The payment from Scheduling Coordinator j for buying back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead Regulation Up capacity which the ISO had purchased from Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### AGCDownReceiveHAiixt - \$

The payment from Scheduling Coordinator j for buying back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead Regulation Down capacity which the ISO had purchased from Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.6 AGCUpQIHA<sub>iixt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Regulation Up capacity provided in the ISO Hour-Ahead Market from resource i by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### AGCDownQIHAiixt - MW

The total quantity of incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Regulation Down capacity provided in the ISO Hour-Ahead Market from resource i by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# C 3.7 AGCUpQDHA<sub>iixt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of decremental (less than Day-Ahead) Regulation Up capacity provided in the ISO Hour-Ahead Market from resource i by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# AGCDownQDHAiixt - MW

The total quantity of decremental (less than Day-Ahead) Regulation Down capacity provided in the ISO Hour-Ahead Market from resource i by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.7.1 PAGCUpHA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

The Market Clearing Price for units exempt from FERC Ancillary Service rate caps or the bid price for those units subject to the cap for incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Regulation Up capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market for Trading Interval t in Zone x. On buyback condition, MCP applies.

#### PAGCDownHA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

The Market Clearing Price for units exempt from FERC Ancillary Service rate caps or the bid price for those units subject to the cap for incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Regulation Down capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market for Trading Interval t in Zone x. On buyback condition, MCP applies.

# C 3.8 AGCUpPayTotalHA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The total payment for incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Regulation Up capacity to Scheduling Coordinator j in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, after deduction of payments from Scheduling Coordinator j for buying back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead, Regulation Up capacity which the ISO had purchased from Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# AGCDownPayTotalHAixt - \$

The total payment for incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Regulation Down capacity to Scheduling Coordinator j in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, after deduction of payments from Scheduling Coordinator j for buying back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead, Regulation Down capacity which the ISO had purchased from Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.9 AGCUpRateDA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

The Day-Ahead Regulation Up capacity user rate charged to Scheduling Coordinators by the ISO in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# AGCDownRateDA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

The Day-Ahead Regulation Down capacity user rate charged to Scheduling Coordinators by the ISO in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.10 AGCUpObligTotal<sub>xt</sub> – MW

The net total Regulation Up obligation in Zone x for Trading Interval t as defined in Appendix A. This net total equals the total obligation minus that self-provided.

#### AGCDownObligTotalxt - MW

The net total Regulation Down obligation in Zone x for Trading Interval t as defined in Appendix A. This net total equals the total obligation minus that self-provided.

# C 3.11 AGCUpChgDA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The Regulation Up charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# AGCDownChgDAixt - \$

The Regulation Down charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.12 AGCUpOblig<sub>jxt</sub> – MW

The net Regulation Up obligation for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t as defined in Appendix A. This net obligation equals the obligation minus that self-provided.

# AGCDownObligixt - MW

The net Regulation Down obligation for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t as defined in Appendix A. This net obligation equals the obligation minus that self-provided.

# C 3.13 AGCUpRateHA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

The Hour-Ahead incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Regulation Up capacity user rate charged to Scheduling Coordinators by the ISO in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### AGCDownRateHAxt - \$/MW

The Hour-Ahead incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Regulation Down capacity user rate charged to Scheduling Coordinators by the ISO in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# C 3.14 AGCUpChgHA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Regulation Up charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# AGCDownChgHAixt - \$

The incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Regulation Down charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.15 EnQPayiixt - \$

The payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for Instructed Imbalance Energy output from a resource i in the Real Time Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

### C 3.16 [NOT USED]

# C 3.17 [NOT USED]

# C 3.18 [NOT USED]

# C 3.19 SpinPayDA<sub>ijxt</sub> - \$

The payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Spinning Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.20 SpinQDA<sub>ijxt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of Spinning Reserve capacity provided in the Day-Ahead Market by resource i represented by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.20A REPA<sub>iixt</sub> - \$

The Regulation Energy Payment Adjustment payable for real-time incremental or decremental Energy provided from Regulation resource i of Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x in Trading Interval t.

# C 3.20B RUP<sub>ijxt</sub> – MW

The upward Regulation capacity of Regulation resource i in Zone x included in the Final Schedule for Ancillary Services of Scheduling Coordinator j for Trading Interval t, weighted in proportion to the ISO's need for upward Regulation.

# C3.20C RDN<sub>ijxt</sub> – MW

The downward Regulation capacity of Regulation resource i in Zone x included in the Final Schedule for Ancillary Services of Scheduling Coordinator j for Trading Interval t, weighted in proportion to the ISO's need for downward Regulation.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### C 3.20D CUP – number

The constant established by the ISO and subject to change by resolution of the ISO Governing Board. Initially this shall be set at 1. The ISO may modify the value of CUP within a range of 0-1 either generally in regard to all hours or specifically in regard to particular times of the day, after the ISO Governing Board approves such modifications, by a notice issued by the Chief Executive Officer of the ISO and posted on the ISO Internet "Home Page," at http://www.caiso.com, or such other Internet address as the ISO may publish from time to time, specifying the date and time from which the modification shall take effect, which shall be not less than seven (7) days after the Notice is issued.

#### C 3.20E CDN - number

The constant established by the ISO and subject to change by resolution of the ISO Governing Board. Initially this shall be set at 1. The ISO may modify the value of CDN within a range of 0-1 either generally in regard to all hours or specifically in regard to particular times of the day, after the ISO Governing Board approves such modifications, by a notice issued by the Chief Executive Officer of the ISO and posted on the ISO Internet "Home Page," at http://www.caiso.com, or such other Internet address as the ISO may publish from time to time, specifying the date and time from which the modification shall take effect, which shall be not less than seven (7) days after the Notice is issued.

#### C 3.21 PSpinDA<sub>xt</sub> -\$/MW

In the case of Capacity made available in accordance with the ISO's Final Day-Ahead Schedules, the Day-Ahead Market Clearing Price for units exempt from FERC Ancillary Service rate caps or the bid price for those units subject to the cap for Spinning Reserve Capacity in Zone x for Trading Interval t. In the case of Capacity not included in the ISO's Final Day-Ahead Schedules but made available in accordance with amended Ancillary Services supplier schedules issued in accordance with Section 8.7, the bid price for the unit for Spinning Reserve Capacity in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.22 SpinPayTotalDA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The total payment to Scheduling Coordinator j for Spinning Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.23 SpinPayHA<sub>iixt</sub> - \$

The payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Spinning Reserve capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.23.1 SpinReceiveHA<sub>lixt</sub> - \$

The payment from Scheduling Coordinator j for buying back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead, Spinning Reserve capacity which the ISO had purchased from Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# C 3.24 SpinQIHA<sub>iixt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Spinning Reserve capacity provided in the Hour-Ahead Market by resource i represented by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.25 SpinQDHA<sub>iixt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of decremental (less than Day-Ahead) Spinning Reserve capacity provided in the ISO Hour-Ahead Market from resource i by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.25.1 PSpinHA<sub>xt</sub> -\$/MW

The Hour-Ahead Market Clearing Price for units exempt from FERC Ancillary Service rate caps or the bid price for those units subject to the cap for incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Spinning Reserve capacity in Zone x for Trading Interval t. On Buyback condition, MCP applies charge for HA.

# C 3.26 SpinPayTotalHA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The total payment to Scheduling Coordinator j for incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Spinning Reserve capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, after deduction of payments from Scheduling Coordinator j for buying back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead, Spinning Reserve capacity which the ISO had purchased from Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.27 SpinRateDA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

The Day-Ahead Spinning Reserve capacity user rate charged to Scheduling Coordinators by the ISO in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.28 SpinObligTotal<sub>xt</sub> – MW

The net total Spinning Reserve capacity obligation in Zone x for Trading Interval t as defined in Appendix A. This net total equals the total obligation minus that self-provided.

#### C 3.29 SpinChgDA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The Spinning Reserve capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.30 SpinOblig<sub>ixt</sub> – MW

The net Spinning Reserve capacity obligation for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t as defined in Appendix A. This net obligation equals the obligation minus that self-provided.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# C 3.31 SpinRateHA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

The Hour-Ahead incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Spinning Reserve capacity user rate charged to Scheduling Coordinators by the ISO in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.32 SpinChgHAjxt - \$

The incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Spinning Reserve capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.33 NonSpinPayDA<sub>iixt</sub> - \$

The payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Non-Spinning Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.34 NonSpinQDA<sub>iixt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of Non-Spinning Reserve capacity provided from resource i in the Day-Ahead Market by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.35 PNonSpinDA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

In the case of Capacity made available in accordance with the ISO's Final Day-Ahead Schedules, the Day-Ahead Market Clearing Price for units exempt from FERC Ancillary Service rate caps or the bid price for those units subject to the cap for Non-Spinning Reserve Capacity for Trading Interval t in Zone x. In the case of Capacity not included in the ISO's Final Day-Ahead Schedules but made available in accordance with amended Ancillary Services supplier schedules issued in accordance with Section 8.7, the bid price for the unit for Non-Spinning Reserve Capacity in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.36 NonSpinPayTotalDA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The total payment to Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Non-Spinning Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.37 NonSpinPayHA<sub>iixt</sub> - \$

The payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Non-Spinning Reserve capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.37.1 NonSpinReceiveHA<sub>iixt</sub> - \$

The payment from Scheduling Coordinator j for buying back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead, Non-Spinning Reserve capacity which the ISO had purchased from Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# C 3.38 NonSpinQIHA<sub>iixt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Non-Spinning Reserve capacity provided from resource i in the Hour-Ahead Market by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.39 NonSpinQDHA<sub>iixt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of decremental (less than Day-Ahead) Non-Spinning Reserve capacity provided in the ISO Hour-Ahead Market from resource i by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.39.1 PNonSpinHA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

The Hour-Ahead Zonal Market Clearing Price for units exempt from FERC Ancillary Service rate caps or the bid price for those units subject to the cap for incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Non-Spinning Reserve capacity for Trading Interval t in Zone x. On Buyback condition, MCP applies.

# C 3.40 NonSpinPayTotalHA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The total payment to Scheduling Coordinator j for providing incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Non-Spinning Reserve capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, after deduction of payments from Scheduling Coordinator j for buying back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead, Non-Spinning Reserve capacity which the ISO had purchased from Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.41 NonSpinRateDA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

The Day-Ahead Non-Spinning Reserve capacity user rate charged to Scheduling Coordinators by the ISO in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.42 NonSpinObligTotal<sub>xt</sub> – MW

The net total Non-Spinning Reserve capacity obligation in Zone x for Trading Interval t as defined in Appendix A. This net total obligation equals the total minus that self-provided.

# C 3.43 NonSpinChgDA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The Non-Spinning Reserve Capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.44 NonSpinObligixt – MW

The net Non-Spinning Reserve capacity obligation for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t as defined in Appendix A. This net obligation is the obligation minus that self-provided.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# C 3.45 NonSpinRateHA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

The Hour-Ahead incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Non-Spinning Reserve capacity user rate charged to Scheduling Coordinators by the ISO in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.46 NonSpinChgHA<sub>jxt</sub> - \$

The incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Non-Spinning Reserve Capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.47 NonSpinObligHA<sub>ixt</sub> – MW

The net incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Non-Spinning Reserve capacity obligation in the Hour-Ahead Market for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t as defined in Appendix A. This net obligation is the obligation minus that self-provided.

# C 3.48 ReplPayDA<sub>ijxt</sub> - \$

The payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Replacement Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.49 ReplQDA<sub>iixt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of Replacement Reserve capacity provided in the Day-Ahead Market from resource i by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.50 PRepIDA<sub>xt</sub> -\$/MW

In the case of Capacity made available in accordance with ISO's Final Day-Ahead Schedules, the Day-Ahead Market Clearing Price for units exempt from FERC Ancillary Service rate caps or the bid price for those units not subject to the cap for Replacement Reserve Capacity in Zone x for Trading Interval t. In the case of Capacity not included in the ISO's Final Day-Ahead Schedules but made available in accordance with amended Ancillary Services supplier schedules issued in accordance with Section 8.7, the bid price for the unit for Replacement Reserve Capacity in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.51 ReplPayTotalDA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The total payment to Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Replacement Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.51.1 ReplReceiveHA<sub>iixt</sub> - \$

The payment from Scheduling Coordinator j for buying back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead, Replacement Reserve capacity which the ISO had purchased from Scheduling

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market from a resource i in the Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.52 ReplPayHA<sub>iixt</sub> - \$

The payment for Scheduling Coordinator j for providing of incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Replacement Reserve capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market from a resource i in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.53 ReplQIHA<sub>iixt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Replacement Reserve capacity provided in the Hour-Ahead Market from resource i by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.54 ReplQDHA<sub>ijxt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of decremental (less than Day-Ahead) Replacement Reserve capacity provided in the ISO Hour-Ahead Market from resource i by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.54.1 PRepIHA<sub>xt</sub> -\$/MW

The Hour-Ahead Market Clearing Price for Non-FERC jurisdictional units or the bid price for FERC jurisdictional units for incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Replacement Reserve capacity in Zone x for Trading Interval t. On Buyback condition, MCP applies.

# C 3.55 ReplPayTotalHA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The total payment to Scheduling Coordinator j for providing of incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Replacement Reserve capacity in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, after deduction of payments from Scheduling Coordinator j for buying back from the ISO in the Hour-Ahead, Replacement Reserve capacity which the ISO had purchased from Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x from Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.56 ReplRateDA<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

The Day-Ahead Replacement Reserve capacity user rate charged to Scheduling Coordinators by the ISO in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.57 ReplChgDA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The Replacement Reserve capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# C 3.58 ReplRateHA<sub>xt</sub> – \$/MW

The Hour-Ahead incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Spinning Reserve capacity user rate charged to Scheduling Coordinators by the ISO in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.59 ReplChgHA<sub>ixt</sub> - \$

The incremental (additional to Day-Ahead) Replacement Reserve capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.60 ReplObligTotal<sub>xt</sub> – MW

The net total Replacement Reserve capacity obligation in the Day-Ahead and Hour-Ahead Markets in Zone x for Trading Interval t as defined in Appendix A. This net total obligation is the total obligation minus that self-provided.

# C 3.61 ReplPayTotal<sub>jxt</sub> - \$

The total payment to Scheduling Coordinator j for providing Replacement Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead and Hour-Ahead Markets in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

# C 3.62 PavgRepl<sub>xt</sub> - \$/MW

The average price paid for Replacement Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market and the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x in Trading Interval t.

# C 3.63 UnDispReplChgixt - \$

The undispatched Replacement Reserve Capacity charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in the Day-Ahead and Hour-Ahead Markets in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.64 ReplObligixt – MW

The Replacement Reserve capacity obligation in the Day-Ahead and Hour-Ahead Markets for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t as defined in Appendix A.

# C 3.65 ReplQDisp<sub>xt</sub> – MWh

The Dispatched Replacement Reserve capacity in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x in Trading Interval t.

#### C 3.66 AGCUpPurchDA<sub>xt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of Regulation Up capacity provided in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, not including self-provided quantities.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# AGCDownPurchDA<sub>xt</sub> - MW

The total quantity of Regulation Down capacity provided in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, not including self-provided quantities.

# C 3.67 SpinPurchDA<sub>xt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of Spinning Reserve capacity provided in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, not including self-provided quantities.

#### C 3.68 NonSpinPurchDA<sub>xt</sub> – MW

The total quantity of Non-Spinning Reserve capacity provided in the Day-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, not including self-provided quantities.

# C 3.69 AGCUpPurchHA<sub>xt</sub> – MW

The net quantity of Regulation Up capacity provided in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, not including self-provided quantities.

#### AGCDownPurchHAxt - MW

The net quantity of Regulation Down capacity provided in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, not including self-provided quantities.

# C 3.70 SpinPurchHA<sub>xt</sub> – MW

The net quantity of Spinning Reserve capacity provided in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, not including self-provided quantities.

# C 3.71 NonSpinPurchDA<sub>xt</sub> – MW

The net quantity of Non-Spinning Reserve capacity provided in the Hour-Ahead Market in Zone x for Trading Interval t, not including self-provided quantities.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

#### **PART D**

#### **IMBALANCE ENERGY CHARGE COMPUTATION**

#### D 1 Purpose of charge

The Imbalance Energy charge is the term used for allocating the cost of not only the Imbalance Energy (the differences between scheduled and actual Generation and Demand), but also any Unaccounted for Energy (UFE) and any errors in the forecasted Transmission Losses as represented by the GMMs. Any corresponding cost of Dispatched Replacement Reserve Capacity that is not allocated as an Ancillary Service is also included along with the Imbalance Energy charge.

#### D 2 Fundamental formulae

#### D 2.1.1 Uninstructed Imbalance Energy Charges on Scheduling Coordinators

Uninstructed Imbalance Energy attributable to each Load Take-Out Point, Generating Unit, System Unit, or System Resource for which a Scheduling Coordinator has a Final Hour-Ahead Schedule or Metered Quantity, for each Settlement Interval shall be deemed to be sold or purchased, as the case may be, by the ISO and charges or payments for Uninstructed Imbalance Energy shall be settled by debiting or crediting, as the case may be, the Scheduling Coordinator with an amount for each Settlement Interval.

Uninstructed Imbalance Energy within a Settlement Interval shall be settled in two tiers that are defined in relation to the expected Energy associated with the Final Hour-Ahead Schedule, if any, and the Dispatch Instruction as follows:

- Deviations from the expected Energy associated with a Dispatch Instruction resulting in: 1) under delivery of Instructed Imbalance Energy that is also equal to or greater than the Final Hour-Ahead Schedule, or 2) over delivery of Instructed Imbalance Energy that is also less than or equal to the Final Hour-Ahead Schedule constitutes tier 1 Uninstructed Imbalance Energy that shall be settled at a Resource-Specific Settlement Interval Ex Post Price as described in Part D 2.4.
- 2) Deviations from the expected Energy associated with a Dispatch Instruction resulting in: 1) over delivery of Instructed Imbalance Energy that is also greater than the Final Hour-Ahead Schedule, or 2) under delivery of Instructed Imbalance Energy that is also less than the Final Hour-Ahead Schedule constitutes tier 2 Uninstructed Imbalance Energy and shall be settled at the Zonal Settlement Interval Ex Post Price as described in Part D 2.5.

Imbalance Energy is calculated as follows:

Generator Calculation for ISO Metered Entities:

$$IE_{i,h,o} = ME_{i,h,o} - SE_{i,h,o}$$

Load Calculation:

$$IE_{i,h,o} = SE_{i,h,o} - ME_{i,h,o}$$

System Resource Calculation:

$$IE_{i,h,o} = \sum\limits_{l}^{k}\sum\limits_{l}^{v}REAL\_TIME\_FLOW_{i,h,o,k,v} - SE_{i,h,o}$$

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 852

where,

$$SE_{i,h,o} = \frac{HAfin_{i,h}}{6}$$

 $ME_{i,h,o}$  actual Meter Data for each resource i of each Settlement Interval o for each hour h.

Uninstructed Imbalance Energy is calculated as follows:

$$UIE_{i,h,o} = E_{i,h,o} - IIE\_REG_{i,h,o}$$

where:

$$\begin{split} E_{i,h,o} &= \mathit{IE}_{i,h,o} - \sum_{l}^{k} \mathit{IIE} \_LOSS_{i,h,o,k} - \sum_{l}^{k} \mathit{IIE} \_ML_{i,h,o,k} - \\ & \sum_{l}^{k} \sum_{l}^{m} \mathit{IIE} \_PREDISPATCH_{i,h,o,k,m} - \sum_{l}^{k} RE \_STANDARD_{i,h,o,k} - \sum_{l}^{k} RED_{i,h,o,k} \\ & - \sum_{l}^{k} \sum_{l}^{m} \mathit{IIE} \_ECON_{i,h,o,k,m} - \sum_{l}^{k} \sum_{l}^{L} OOS \_P_{i,h,o,k,L} - \sum_{l}^{k} \sum_{l}^{L} OOS \_N_{i,h,o,k,L} - \sum_{l}^{k} \sum_{l}^{m} \mathit{RIE}_{i,h,o,k,m} \\ & - \sum_{l}^{k} \mathit{IIE} \_RERATE_{i,h,o,k} \end{split}$$

 $\emph{IIE\_REG}_{i,h,o}$  is the Regulating Energy for resource  $\emph{i}$  during Settlement Interval  $\emph{o}$  in hour  $\emph{h}$ 

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

$$UIE\_1_{i,h,o} = \begin{cases} \min \left( UIE_{i,h,o}, -\min \left( 0, \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} IIE\_ECON_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} IIE\_PREDISPATCH_{i,h,o,k,m} \right. \\ + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{L} OOS\_P_{i,h,o,k,L} + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{L} OOS\_N_{i,h,o,k,L} + \sum_{1}^{k} RED_{i,h,o,k} \\ + \sum_{1}^{k} IIE\_LOSS_{i,h,o,k} + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} RIE_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{1}^{k} IIE\_ML_{i,h,o,k} + \sum_{1}^{k} RERATE_{i,h,o,k} \right) \\ \max \left( UIE_{i,h,o}, -\max \left( 0, \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} IIE\_ECON_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} IIE\_PREDISPATCH_{i,h,o,k,m} \\ + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{L} OOS\_P_{i,h,o,k,L} + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} OOS\_N_{i,h,o,k,L} + \sum_{1}^{k} RED_{i,h,o,k} \\ + \sum_{1}^{k} IIE\_LOSS_{i,h,o,k} + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} RIE_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{1}^{k} IIE\_ML_{i,h,o,k} + \sum_{1}^{k} RERATE_{i,h,o,k} \right) \\ + \sum_{1}^{k} IIE\_LOSS_{i,h,o,k} + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} RIE_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{1}^{k} IIE\_ML_{i,h,o,k} + \sum_{1}^{k} RERATE_{i,h,o,k} \right) \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

$$UIEC_{i,h,o} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 * UIE & 1_{i,h,o} * STLMT & PRICE_{i,h,o} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -1 * UIE & 2_{i,h,o} * ZONAL & EX & POST & PRICE_{i,h,o} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $UIE_2_{iho} = UIE_{iho} - UIE_1_{iho}$ 

#### D 2.1.2 Instructed Imbalance Energy Charges on Scheduling Coordinators

Standard Ramping Energy is Energy associated with a Standard Ramp and shall be deemed delivered and settled at a price of zero dollars per MWh.

Ramping Energy Deviation is Energy produced or consumed due to hourly schedule changes in excess of Standard Ramping Energy and shall be paid or charged, as the case may be, at a Resource-Specific Settlement Interval Ex Post Price calculated using the applicable Dispatch Interval Ex Post Prices as described in this Part D 2.4. For Scheduling Coordinators scheduling a MSS that has elected to follow its Load, this Ramping Energy Deviation will account for the units following Load.

Ramping Energy Deviation shall be settled as an explicit component of Instructed Imbalance Energy for each resource i in Dispatch Interval k of Settlement Interval o for hour h, and calculated as follows:

$$REDC_{i,h,o} = \left(\sum_{1}^{k} RED_{i,h,o,k}\right) * STLMT \_ PRICE_{i,h,o}$$

Hourly Predispatched energy from System Resources is an explicit component of Instructed Imbalance Energy for each interchange resource i in Dispatch Interval k of Settlement Interval o for hour o, and settled pursuant to Sections 11.2.4.1.1 and 11.2.4.1.1.2 of the ISO Tariff. The settlement calculation is as follows:

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

```
lf (
(COST\_AT\_STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o} >= 0
And
BID\_COST_{i,h,o} >= 0)
Then
IIEC_{PREDISPATCH_{i,h,o}} = (-1) *
[min(COST\_AT\_STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o}, BID\_COST_{i,h,o})]
+(STLMT PRICE_{i,h,o}*PRE DISP ABC BQ_{i,h,o})
Else
IIEC_PREDISPATCH i.h.o = (-1) *
[BID\_COST_{i,h,o} + (STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o} * PRE\_DISP\_ABC\_BQ_{i,h,o})]
Where
COST_AT_STLMT_PRICE i.h.o =
\left(\sum_{1}^{k} IIE\_PREDISPATCH_{i,h,o,k}\right) * STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o}
BID\_COST_{i,h,o} =
\sum\limits_{i=1}^k\sum\limits_{i=1}^mIIE\_PREDISPATCH\_FOR\_SEGMENT_{i,h,o,k,m}*IIE\_PRICE_{i,h,o,k,m} for the portion of
incremental energy bid segments with \mbox{IIE\_PRICE}_{i,h,o,k,m} less than or equal to the
Maximum Bid Level and all decremental energy bid segments with IIE_PRICE<sub>i,h,o,k,m</sub>
limited to the Bid Floor when IIE_PRICE<sub>i,h,o,k,m</sub>.is less than the Bid Floor.
))
where
PRE\_DISP\_ABC\_BQ_{i,h,o} = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{m} IIE\_PREDISPATCH\_FOR\_SEGMENT_{i,h,o,k,m}
portion of incremental energy bid segments with IIE_PRICEi,h,o,k,m greater than the
Maximum Bid Level.
```

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

The amount of Instructed Imbalance Energy that will be deemed delivered in each Dispatch Interval will be based on Dispatch Instructions, as provided for in Section 34.3, and Final Hour-Ahead Schedules. The amount of Instructed Imbalance Energy to be settled in a Settlement Interval will be equal to the sum of all Instructed Imbalance Energy for all Dispatch Intervals within the relevant Settlement Interval. Instructed Imbalance Energy for each Settlement Interval shall be settled at the relevant Resource Specific Settlement Interval Ex Post Price. Generating Units, Participating Loads, and System Units may be eligible to recover their Energy Bid costs in accordance with Section 11.2.4.1.1.1. Instructed Imbalance Energy from System Resources shall be settled in accordance with Section 11.2.4.1.1.2.

The Instructed Imbalance Energy amount for each resource *i* in Settlement Interval *o* for hour *h* shall be determined as follows:

$$IIEC_{i,h,o} = (-1)* \left( \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} IIE \_ECON_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} RIE_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} RIE_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{1}^{k} IIE \_RERATE_{i,h,o,k} + \sum_{1}^{k} IIE \_ML_{i,h,o,k} \right) *STLMT \_PRICE_{i,h,o}$$

$$+ \ \mathit{IIEC} \_\mathit{OOS}_{i,h,o} \ + \ \mathit{REDC}_{i,h,o} + \mathit{IIEC} \_\mathit{REG}_{i,h,o} + \mathit{IIEC} \_\mathit{PREDISPATC} \ H_{i,h,o}$$

Uninstructed Imbalance Energy is Imbalance Energy due to non-compliance with a Dispatch Instruction and shall be settled as provided for in SABP Part D Section 2.1.1.

#### D 2.2 Unaccounted for Energy Charge

The Unaccounted for Energy Charge on Scheduling Coordinator *g* in Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h* for each relevant Zone *j* is calculated in the following manner:

The UFE for each utility Service Area s, for which separate UFE calculation is performed, is calculated as follows,

$$UFE_{UDC,s,h,o} = \sum_{q \in UDC_*} I_{a,q,j,h,o} - \sum_{q \in UDC_*} E_{a,q,j,h,o} + \sum_{i \in UDC_*} G_{a,i,j,h,o} - \sum_{i \in UDC_*} L_{a,i,j,h,o} - TL_{s,h,o}$$

The Transmission Loss  $TL_{s,h,o}$  in Settlement Interval o of Settlement Period h for utility Service Area s is calculated as follows:

$$TL_{s,h,o} = \left(\sum_{i} \left[G_{a,i,j,h,o} * (I - GMM_{a,i,h})\right] + \sum_{q} \left[I_{a,q,j,h,o} * (I - GMM_{a,q,h})\right]\right) * \frac{PFL_{s,h}}{\sum_{s} PFL_{s,h}} \text{ Where }$$

 $PFL_{s,h}$  are the Transmission Losses for utility Service Area s as calculated by a power flow solution for Settlement Period h, consistent with the calculation of final forecasted Generation Meter Multipliers.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel Issued on: February 9, 2006

Each metered demand point *z* in utility Service Area *s*, either ISO grid connected or connected through UDC *s*, is allocated a portion of the UFE as follows:

$$UFE_{i,j,h,o} = UFE_{UDC,s,h,o} * \frac{L_{i,j,h,o}}{\sum\limits_{i \in UDC_s} L_{i,j,h,o}}$$

The UFE charge for Scheduling Coordinator g for Settlement Interval o of Settlement Period h in Zone g is calculated as a charge or payment using the applicable Zonal Settlement Interval Ex Post Price as follows:

$$UFEC_{g,j,h,o} = \left(\sum_{i \in SCg} UFE_{i,j,h,o,i}\right) * ZONAL\_EX\_POST\_PRICE_{j,h,o}$$

#### D 2.3 Hourly Ex Post Price

The Hourly Ex Post Price is the Energy-weighted average of the Dispatch Interval Ex Post Prices in each Zone *j* during each Settlement Period using the absolute value of Instructed Imbalance Energy procured from all Participating Generators, Participating Load, System Units, and System Resources in each applicable Dispatch Interval. The Hourly Ex Post Price may vary between Zones if Congestion is present.

$$HP_{j,h} = \frac{\sum\limits_{l=1}^{p}\sum\limits_{l}^{i}\left|IIE\_TOTAL_{j,i,h,p}\right|*EX\_POST\_PRICE_{j,h,o,p}}{\sum\limits_{l=1}^{p}\sum\limits_{l}^{i}\left|IIE\_TOTAL_{j,i,h,p}\right|}$$

where,

*p* is the Dispatch Interval index for hour *h*.

#### D 2.4 Resource-Specific Settlement Interval Ex Post Price

The Resource-Specific Settlement Interval Ex Post Price is the weighted-average of the Dispatch Interval Ex Post Prices in each Settlement Interval using the Instructed Imbalance Energy from the respective Participating Generator, Participating Load, or System Resource, in each applicable Dispatch Interval. If there is no Instructed Imbalance Energy from a Participating Generator, Participating Load, or System Resource, in any of the applicable Dispatch Intervals, the Resource-Specific Settlement Interval Ex Post Price for that resource would be the simple average of the applicable Dispatch Interval Ex Post Prices in the Settlement Interval.

The Resource-Specific Settlement Interval Ex Post Price is calculated as follows:

$$STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o} = \frac{\sum\limits_{1}^{k}IIE\_TOTAL_{i,h,o,k}*EX\_POST\_PRICE_{j,h,o,k}}{\sum\limits_{1}^{k}IIE\_TOTAL_{i,h,o,k}}$$

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Where:

$$\begin{split} & IIE\_TOTAL_{i,h,o,k} = \\ & \sum_{1}^{m} IIE\_ECON_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{1}^{m} IIE\_PREDISPATCH_{i,h,o,k,m} + \\ & IIE\_ML_{i,h,o,k} + \sum_{1}^{m} RIE_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{1}^{L} OOS\_P_{i,h,o,k,L} + \\ & \sum_{1}^{L} OOS\_N_{i,h,o,k,L} + IIE\_LOSS_{i,h,o,k} + RED_{i,h,o,k} + \sum_{1}^{k} IIE\_RERATE_{i,h,o,k} \end{split}$$

#### D 2.5 Zonal Settlement Interval Ex Post Price

The Zonal Settlement Interval Ex Post Price is the weighted-average of the Dispatch Interval Ex Post Prices in each Settlement Interval using the absolute value of Instructed Imbalance Energy procured from all Participating Generators, Participating Load, System Units, and System Resources in each applicable Dispatch Interval. If there is no Instructed Imbalance Energy from a Participating Generator, Participating Load, or System Resource, in any of the applicable Dispatch Intervals, the Zonal Settlement Interval Ex Post Price for that Zone would be the simple average of the applicable Dispatch Interval Ex Post Prices in the Settlement Interval.

The Zonal Settlement Interval Ex Post Price is calculated as follows:

$$ZONAL\_EX\_POST\_PRICE_{j,h,o} =$$

$$\frac{\sum\limits_{p=I}^{2}\sum\limits_{1}^{i}\left|IIE\_TOTAL_{i,h,p}\right|*EX\_POST\_PRICE_{j,h,o,p}}{\sum\limits_{p=I}^{2}\sum\limits_{1}^{i}\left|IIE\_TOTAL_{i,h,p}\right|}$$

where p is the Dispatch Interval index for hour h.

# D 2.6 Calculation of Unrecovered Cost Payment for Generating Units, System Units, Dynamically Scheduled System Resources, and Curtailable Demand.

As set forth in 11.2.4.1.1.1, Generating Units, System Units, dynamically scheduled System Resources, and Curtailable Demand resources will be eligible to recover their bid costs (less than or equal to the Maximum Bid Level) for extra-marginal Energy dispatched above Pmin, if such costs are not recovered from the net of expected revenues earned through participation in the ISO's Real Time Market during the Trade Day (24-hour period).

The Unrecovered Cost Payment for each resource i shall be determined for the Trade Day *d* then evenly divided over n-Settlement Intervals as follows:

$$COST\_RECOVERY_{i,d} = min(0, \sum_{i=1}^{h} \sum_{j=1}^{o} \left( MR\_DEFICIT_{i,h,o} + MR\_SURPLUS_{i,h,o} \right))$$

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

where,

*MR\_DEFICIT*<sub>i,h,o</sub> = Market Revenue deficit for resource i in hour h for Settlement interval o based on the difference between the expected revenues earned in the Settlement Interval and and/or its bid cost; *MR\_SURPLUS*<sub>i,h,o</sub>= Market Revenue surplus for resource i in hour h for Settlement interval o based on the difference between the expected revenues earned in the Settlement Interval and/or its bid cost.

Resource i shall receive a share of its total cost recovery in each Settlement Interval o that is included in the  $COST\_RECOVERY_{i,d}$  calculation.

where,

*n* is the number of Settlement Intervals o that are included in the COST\_RECOVERY<sub>i,d</sub> calculation for resource i in Trade Day d.

#### **Calculation of Market Revenue Surplus or Deficit**

The market revenue surplus or deficit for each resource i will be computed for each Settlement Interval o based on the difference between the revenues earned in the Settlement Interval at the relevant 10-minute Ex Post price and the resource's bid cost (less than or equal to the Maximum Bid Level) as follows:

$$\begin{split} MR\_DIFF_{i,h,o} &= \\ \left(\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{m} IIE\_ECON_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{m} RIE_{i,h,o,k,m}\right) * STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o} \\ &- BID\_COST_{i,h,o} - BID\_COST\_RIE_{i,h,o} \end{split}$$

for all incremental energy bid segments m with  $IIE\_PRICE_{i,h,o,k,m}$  and  $RIE\_PRICE_{i,h,o,k,m}$  less than or equal to the Maximum Bid Level and all decremental energy bid segments m with  $IIE\_PRICE_{i,h,o,k,m}$  and  $RIE\_PRICE_{i,h,o,k,m}$  greater than or equal to the Bid Floor.

$$MR\_DEFICIT_{i,h,o} = min(0, MR\_DIFF_{i,h,o})$$

$$MR\_SURPLUS_{i,h,o} = \max(0, MR DIFF_{i,h,o})$$

where,

$$BID\_COST_{i,h,o} = \left(\sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} IIE\_ECON_{i,h,o,k,m} * IIE\_PRICE_{i,h,o,k,m}\right)$$

$$BID\_COST\_RIE_{i,h,o} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{m} RIE_{i,h,o,k,m} * RIE_{j} PRICE_{i,h,o,k,m}$$

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

#### D 2.6.1 Tolerance Band and Performance Check

The ISO shall determine the Tolerance Band for each Settlement Interval *o* for PGA resources and dynamically scheduled System Resources based on the data from the Master File as follows:

TOLERANCE\_BAND<sub>i,h,o</sub> = 
$$\pm \max(FIX \ LIM \ , TOL \ PERCENT * P \max_i) / 6$$

where,

FIX LIM is a fixed MW limit and is initially equal to 5 MW.

TOL\_PERCENT is a fixed percentage and is initially equal to 3%.Pmax<sub>i</sub> is the maximum operating capacity in MW of resource *i* specified in the Master File.

The ISO shall determine the Tolerance Band for each Settlement Interval o for PLA resources as follows:

$$TOLERANCE\_BAND_{i,h,o} = \pm max(FIX\_LIM, TOL\_PERCENT*HAfin_{i,h})/6$$

where *HAfin<sub>i,h</sub>* is the Final Hour Ahead Energy Schedule.

Resources must operate within their relevant Tolerance Band in order to receive any above-Ex Post Price payments. The ISO shall determine the performance status of the resource for each Settlement Interval o. A resource shall have met its performance requirement if its  $UIE_{i,h,o}$  is within its relevant Tolerance Band. A resource meeting its performance requirement in Settlement Interval o will have a PERF\_STAT<sub>i,h,o</sub> = 1. A resource that has not met its performance requirement in Settlement Interval o will have a PERF\_STAT<sub>i,h,o</sub> = 0.

Must-offer resources that produce a quantity of Energy above Minimum Load due to an ISO Dispatch Instruction during a Waiver Denial Period are not subject to the Tolerance Band requirement for purposes of receiving Minimum Load Cost Compensation, as defined in Section 40.1.6.1. Accordingly, the PERF\_STAT<sub>i,h,o</sub> for eligible must-offer resources, as defined in Section 40.1.6.1, shall be set to 1, irrespective of deviations outside of the Tolerance Band, for the purpose of determining eligibility for Minimum Load Cost Compensation during a Waiver Denial Period. The Tolerance Band shall be used to apply UDP during a Waiver Denial Period.

Non-dynamically scheduled System Resources do not have a Tolerance Band. Non-Participating Load Agreement (PLA) load resources are not subject to the performance requirement.

#### D 2.6.2 Unrecovered Costs Neutrality Allocation

For each Settlement Interval *o*, the total Unrecovered Costs for Trade Day *d* shall be allocated pro-rata to each Scheduling Coordinator *g* based on its Metered Demand, calculated as follows:

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

$$URC\_ALLOC_{q,h,o} = M_{q,h,o} * Per Unit Price$$

where,

 $M_{g,h,o}$  = the Metered Demand in the ISO control area for Scheduling

Coordinator *g* in Settlement Interval *o* for hour *h*;

$$\textit{Per Unit Price} = \frac{-1 * \sum\limits_{1}^{i} COST\_RECOVERY_{i,h,o}}{\sum\limits_{1}^{g} M_{g,h,o}}$$

#### D 2.6.3 Calculation of Unrecovered Bid Cost Payment for System Resources

As set forward in Section 11.2.4.1.1.2, System Resources that are pre-dispatched hourly incremental or decremental Instructed Imbalance Energy will be settled based on their Energy bid costs for each Settlement Interval for the quantity of Energy delivered in each Settlement Interval. The hourly pre-dispatched Instructed Imbalance Energy is first settled as set forth in Section D 2.1.2. An additional uplift payment for any applicable Settlement Interval shall be determined when settlement as set forth in Section D 2.1.2 is insufficient recovery of its bid costs for the Settlement Interval. For pre-dispatched hourly Instructed Imbalance Energy, where the resource-specific settlement amount is positive and the bid-cost is positive, an uplift payment is determined for each Settlement Interval based on the minimum of zero or the difference between the resource-specific settlement amount and the bid cost settlement amount as follows:

The predispatched uplift payment for each applicable Settlement Interval is calculated as follows:

$$PREDISPATCH \_PMT_{i,h,o} = PREDISPATCH \_UPLIFT_{i,h} / n$$

If ( 
$$(COST\_AT\_STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o}>=0$$
 And 
$$BID\_COST_{i,h,o}>=0)$$
 Then 
$$PREDISPATCH\_UPLIFT_{i,h,o}=\min \left(0,COST\_AT\_STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o}-BID\_COST_{i,h,o}\right)$$

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Where

$$COST\_AT\_STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o} = \\ \left(\sum_{1}^{k} IIE\_PREDISPATCH_{i,h,o,k}\right) *STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o} \\ BID\_COST_{i,h,o} = \\ \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} IIE\_PREDISPATCH\_FOR\_SEGMENT_{i,h,o,k,m} * IIE\_PRICE_{i,h,o,k,m}$$

Else

$$PREDISPATC\ H\ \_UPLIFT\ _{i,h,o}=0$$

for the portion of incremental energy bid segments with  $IIE\_PRICE_{j,h,o,k,m}$  less than or equal to the Maximum Bid Level and all decremental energy bid segments with  $IIE\_PRICE_{j,h,o,k,m}$  limited to the Bid Floor when  $IIE\_PRICE_{j,h,o,k,m}$  is less than the Bid Floor.

# D 2.6.4 Allocation of Unrecovered Cost Payments for Hourly Pre-dispatched System Resources

For each Settlement Interval o, the total uplift payments ( $PREDISPATCH\_PMT_{i,h,o}$ ) for all hourly pre-dispatched System Resources will be included in the Excess Cost Payments to be allocated to a Scheduling Coordinator's Net Negative Deviation through allocation of excess costs and/or ISO metered Demand through excess cost neutrality allocation.

# D 2.6.5 Excess Cost Payments for Instructed Incremental Energy Bids above the Maximum Bid Level

Incremental Instructed Imbalance Energy above the Maximum Bid Level will receive an additional Excess Cost Payment subject to operating within a resource's Tolerance Band.

Excess cost payments are calculated as follows:

$$EXCESS\_COST_{i,h,o} = \left[ \left( \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} IIE\_ECON_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} IIE\_PREDISPATCH_{i,h,o,k,m} + \sum_{1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{m} RIE_{i,h,o,k,m} \right) * STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o} - BID\_COST_{i,h,o} - BID\_COST\_RIE_{i,h,o} \right] * PERF\_STAT_{i,h,o}$$

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

for the portion of energy bid segments with  $IIE\_PRICE_{i,h,o,k,m}$  and  $RIE\_PRICE_{i,h,o,k,m}$  greater than the Maximum Bid Level.

# D 2.7 Transmission Loss Obligation

The transmission loss obligation charge shall be determined as follows:

For Generators:

$$TL_{i,h,o} = ME_{i,h,o} * (1 - GMMa_h)$$

For System Resources, the transmission loss obligation shall be determined as follows:

$$TL_{i,h,o} =$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{v} REAL\_TIME\_FLOW_{i,h,o,k,v} * (1 - GMMa_h)$$

The transmission loss charge will be calculated based on the following formulation:

$$TLC_{i,h,o} =$$

$$-\sum_{1}^{k} IIE\_LOSS_{i,h,o,k} * STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o} + \ TL_{i,h,o} * STLMT\_PRICE_{i,h,o}$$

# D 2.8 Uninstructed Deviation Penalty Charges

The ISO will calculate but not assess charges for UDP according to this Section 2.8 until the first day of the month two months after the software that calculates UDP is put into service.

For negative Uninstructed Deviation Penalty billable quantities where  $UDP\_BQ_{h,o} < 0$  and  $ZONAL\_EX\_POST\_PRICE_{j,h,o} > 0$ ,

$$UDP\_NEG\_Amt_i AMT_{ih.o} =$$

For positive UDP billable quantities where  $UDP\_BQ_{i,h,o} > 0$  and  $ZONAL\_EX\_POST\_PRICE_{i,h,o} > 0$ , then

where.

 $UDP\_BQ_{i,o,h}$  is the Uninstructed Deviation Penalty (UDP) billable quantity in MWh for a resource, or aggregated resource, denoted by i for Settlement Interval o of hour h.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Original Sheet No. 863

 $UDP\_POS\_AMT_{i,o,h}$  or  $UDP\_NEG\_AMT_{i,o,h}$  are the penalty amounts in Dollars for either an aggregated or individual resource i for Settlement Interval o of hour h.

The ISO will not calculate UDP settlement amounts for Settlement Intervals when the corresponding Zonal Settlement Interval Ex Post Price is negative or zero.

For an MSS that has elected to follow its own Load, the Scheduling Coordinator for the MSS Operator will be assessed the Uninstructed Deviation Penalty charges based on the Deviation Band and Deviation Price in Section 4.9.9.2 of the ISO Tariff.

# D 2.9 Minimum Load Cost Compensation

The ISO shall calculate a Must-Offer Generator's Minimum Load Cost Compensation (MLCC), pursuant to section 40.1.6.1.1 of the ISO Tariff, as the Minimum Load Cost for each resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of hour *h*, as defined in section 40.1.6.1.2 of the ISO Tariff.

#### D 3 Meaning of terms in the formulae

#### D 3.1 [Not Used]

#### D 3.2 COST\_AT\_STLMT\_PRICE<sub>i,h,o</sub> - \$/MWh

The sum of all dollar amounts from each dispatched bid segment for Energy quantities settled at the Resource-Specific Ex Post Price, for resource i during Settlement Interval o of hour h, and limited to those bid segments with Energy Bid prices below the Maximum Bid Level.

#### D 3.3 BID\_COST<sub>i.h.o</sub> - \$/MWh

The sum of all dollar amounts from each dispatched bid portion of Energy quantities settled at the maximum of either the corresponding Energy Bid price for those bids with Energy Bid prices below the Maximum Bid Level or the Bid Floor, for resource i during Settlement Interval o during hour h.

#### D 3.4 PRE\_DISP\_ABC\_BQ<sub>i,h,o</sub> - MWh

The pre-dispatched Energy from all Energy Bids with any Energy Bid price above the Maximum Bid Level, for resource i during Settlement Interval o during hour h.

# D 3.5 IIE\_PREDISPATCH\_FOR\_SEGMENT<sub>i,h,o,k,m</sub> - MWh

The pre-dispatched Energy for resource i during Dispatch Interval k of Settlement Interval o of hour h for bid segment m.

D 3.6 [Not Used]

D 3.6.1 [Not Used]

D 3.6.2 [Not Used]

D 3.6.3 [Not Used]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 864

# D 3.7 $G_{a,i,i,h,o} - MWh$

The total actual metered Generation of Generator i in Zone j during Settlement Interval o during Settlement Period h.

D 3.8 [Not Used]

D 3.9 [Not Used]

D 3.9.1 [Not Used]

D 3.10 [Not Used]

D 3.11 [Not Used]

# D 3.12 $GMM_{a,l,h}$ – fraction

The final forecasted Generation Meter Multiplier (GMM) for a Generator i in Settlement Period h as calculated by the ISO at the hour-ahead stage (but after close of the Hour-Ahead Market).

# D 3.13 $GMM_{a,i,h}$ – fraction

The forecasted Generation Meter Multiplier for an Energy import at Scheduling Point q in Settlement period h as provided to the Scheduling Coordinator by the ISO after close of the Hour-Ahead Market.

# D 3.14 [Not Used]

#### D 3.15 $L_{a,i,j,h,o} - MWh$

The actual metered Demand of Demand i in Zone j in Settlement Interval o during Settlement Period h.

$\mathbf{n}$	2 4	l5.′	1	г	J	ot		۵.	_	41
v	J.	IJ.		ш	u	Uι	u	.5	G.	u

D 3.15.2 [Not Used]

D 3.16 [Not Used]

D 3.17 [Not Used]

D 3.17.1 [Not Used]

D 3.18 [Not Used]

The total actual Energy import of Scheduling Coordinator g through Scheduling Point q in Settlement Interval o during Settlement Period h. This is deemed to be equal to the scheduled Energy over the same interval.

#### D 3.20 [Not Used]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 865

D 3.21	[Not Used]
D 3.22	[Not Used]

E<sub>a.g.l.h.o</sub> - MWh

D 3.23

The total actual Energy export of Scheduling Coordinator g through Scheduling Point q in Settlement Interval o for Settlement Period *h*. This is deemed to be equal to the total scheduled Energy export during the same interval.

D 3.24 [Not Used]
D 3.25 [Not Used]
D 3.25.1 [Not Used]
D 3.26 UFEC<sub>bxt</sub> - \$

The Unaccounted for Energy Charge for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x in Settlement Period t. It is the cost for the Energy difference between the net Energy delivered into each utility Service Area, adjusted for utility Service Area Transmission Losses (calculated in accordance with ISO Tariff Section 27.2.1.), and the total metered Demand within that utility Service Area adjusted for distribution losses using Distribution System loss factors approved by the Local Regulatory Authority.

This Energy difference (UFE) is attributed to meter measurement errors, power flow modeling errors, energy theft, statistical Load profile errors, and distribution loss deviations.

#### D 3.27 UFE<sub>UDC.bkt</sub> – MWh

PN -4 11----

The Unaccounted for Energy (UFE) for utility Service Area k.

#### D 3.28 UFE – MWh

D 0 00

The portion of Unaccounted for Energy (UFE) allocated to metering point z.

D 3.29	[Not Used]
D 3.30	[Not Used]
D 3.31	[Not Used]
D 3.32	[Not Used]
D 3.33	[Not Used]
D 3.34	[Not Used]
D 3.35	[Not Used]
D 3.36	[Not Used]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 866

# D 3.37 TLs,h,o - MWh

D 3.51

The Transmission Losses per Settlement Interval *o* in Settlement Period hour h in utility Service Area *s*.

D 3.38	[Not Used]
D 3.39	[Not Used]
D 3.40	[Not Used]
D 3.41	[Not Used]
D 3.42	[Not Used]
D 3.43	[Not Used]
D 3.44	[Not Used]
D 3.45	[Not Used]
D 3.46	[Not Used]
D 3.47	[Not Used]
D 3.48	[Not Used]
D 3.49	EX_POST_PRICE <sub>j,h,o,k</sub> - \$/MWh
	The Ex-Post Price in Dispatch Interval $k$ of Settlement Interval $o$ in Settlement Period $h$ in Zone $j$ .
D 3.50	HRLY_EX_POST_PRICE <sub>j,h</sub> – \$/MWh

The energy-weighted Ex Post Price for Settlement Period *h* in Zone *j*.

STLMT\_PRICE<sub>I,h,o</sub> - \$/MWh

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel
Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

Original Sheet No. 867

The 10-minute Settlement price (Resource-Specific Settlement Interval Ex Post Price) for resource *i* in the Settlement Interval *o* for the Settlement Period *h*.

# D 3.52 SE<sub>i,h,o</sub> – MWh

The Scheduled Energy from resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h* 

# D 3.53 TOLERANCE\_BAND<sub>i,h,o</sub> – MWh

The Tolerance Band limit for resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

# D 3.54 $IIE\_ECON_{l,h,o,k,m} - MWh$

The dispatched incremental or decremental Instructed Imbalance Energy (IIE) for resource i during Dispatch Interval k in Settlement Interval o of Settlement Period h for bid segment m.

Decremental Energy shall be represented as a negative quantity.

 $IIE\_ECON_{i,h,o,k,m}$  shall be comprised of any of the four  $IIE\_TYPE$ 's: SUPP, SPIN, NSPN or RPLC and be associated with its respective  $IIE\_PRICE_{i,h,o,k,m}$ 

# D 3.55 IIE\_PRICE<sub>i,h,o,k,m</sub>-\$/MWh

The bid price for energy bid segment m for resource i during Dispatch Interval k in Settlement Interval o of Settlement Period h for bid segment m

# D 3.56 IIE\_PREDISPATCH<sub>I,h,o,k,m</sub> - MWh

The Settlement Period pre-dispatched Energy for resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *k* of Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h* for bid segment *m* (MWh).

#### D 3.57 $RIE_{i,h,o,k,m} - MWh$

The Residual Energy for resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *k* in Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h* for bid segment *m*.

#### D 3.58 RIE\_PRICE<sub>i,h,o,k,m</sub> - \$/MWh

The reference bid price for the Residual Energy for resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *k* in Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h* for bid segment *m*.

#### D 3.59 OOS\_PRICE<sub>i,h,o,k,L</sub> - \$/MWh

The Settlement price for the Instructed Out of Stack Energy for resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *k* in Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h* for index number *L*.

# D 3.60 IIE\_REG<sub>i,h,o</sub> – MWh

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Original Sheet No. 868

The Regulating Energy for resource i during Settlement Interval o in Settlement Period h.

# D 3.61 IIE\_PREDISPATCH<sub>I,h,p</sub> – MWh

The Settlement Period pre-dispatched Energy for resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *p* of Settlement Period *h*.

# D 3.62 E<sub>i,h,o</sub> – **MW**h

Calculated as the difference of  $IE_{i,h,o}$  and  $IIE\_TOTAL_{i,h,o,k}$  and is equal to the sum of Uninstructed Imbalance Energy and Regulating Energy of resource i during Settlement Interval o in Settlement Period h.

#### D 3.63 IIEC<sub>i,h,o</sub> - \$

The Instructed Imbalance Energy payment (charge) for resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

# D 3.64 IIEC\_OOS<sub>i,h,o</sub>-\$

The total OOS Energy payment (charge) for resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.65 IIEC\_OOS\_P<sub>i,h,o</sub> - \$

The incremental Instructed OOS Imbalance Energy payment (charge) for resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.66 IIEC\_OOS\_N<sub>i.h.o</sub> - \$

The decremental Instructed OOS Imbalance Energy payment (charge) for resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.67 IIE\_LOSS<sub>I,h,o,k</sub> – MWh

The transmission loss self-provided Energy from resource i during Dispatch Interval k in Settlement Interval o of Settlement Period h.

# D 3.68 IIE\_ML<sub>I,h,o,k</sub> – MWh

The Imbalance Energy due to Minimum Load from resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *k* in Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.69 IIE\_TOTAL<sub>i,h,o,k</sub> – MWh

The total Instructed Imbalance Energy from all energy sources except Regulation for resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *k* in Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.70 IIE\_RERATE<sub>i,h,o,k</sub> – MWh

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Original Sheet No. 869

The SLIC derated Pmin or Pmax value as a result of a Scheduling Coordinator modifying its operating output level for a given resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *k* in Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

# D 3.71 UIE<sub>i,h,o</sub> – **MW**h

The total Uninstructed Imbalance Energy from resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.72 UIE\_1<sub>i,h,o</sub> – MWh

The Uninstructed Imbalance Energy attributed to non-compliance of *IIE\_ECON* from resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

### D 3.73 UIE\_2<sub>i,h,o</sub> – MWh

The Uninstructed Imbalance Energy exclusive of UIE\_1 from resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.74 UIEC<sub>i,h,o</sub> - \$

The Uninstructed Imbalance Energy payment (charge) for resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

# D 3.75 ZONAL\_EX\_POST\_PRICE<sub>i,h,o</sub> - \$/MWh

The energy weighted average Ex Post Price for Imbalance Energy for Zone *j* in Settlement Interval *o* for Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.76 **ME**<sub>i,h,o</sub> – **MW**h

The Metered Energy from resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.77 $RED_{i,h,o,k}-MWh$

The Ramping Energy Deviation from resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *k* in Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.78 REDC<sub>i,h,o</sub> - \$

The Ramping Energy Deviation payment (charge) for resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.79 $MR_ML_{l.h.o}$ – \$

The expected Real Time Market revenue from Minimum Load Energy for resource *i* in Settlement Interval *o* for Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.80 COST\_RECOVERY<sub>i,d</sub>-\$

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

The Unrecovered Cost Payment for resource i for Trading Day d.

#### D 3.81 MR\_DIFF<sub>i,h,o</sub>

is the market revenue surplus or deficit for resource i in Settlement Period h for Settlement Interval o.

#### D 3.82 MR\_DEFICIT<sub>i.h.o</sub>-\$

The market revenue deficit for resource i in Settlement Period h for Settlement Interval o.

#### D 3.83 $MR_SURPLUS_{i,h,o} - $$

The market revenue surplus for resource *i* in Settlement Period *h* for Settlement Interval

# D 3.84 PERF\_STAT<sub>i,h,o</sub> – True/False

The performance status of resource *i* for Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*. The performance status is equal to 1 (compliant) or 0 (non-compliant).

#### D 3.85 BID\_COST <sub>i,h,o</sub> - \$

The bid costs for IIE, except OOS Energy and RIE, for resource *i* in Settlement Period *h* for Settlement interval *o*.

# D 3.86 BID\_COST\_RIE<sub>i,h,o</sub>-\$

The bid costs for RIE for resource *i* in Settlement Period *h* for Settlement Interval *o*.

#### D 3.87 PREDISPATCH\_PMT<sub>i,h,o</sub> - \$

The unrecovered bid cost payment for a Settlement Period pre-dispatched System Resource *i* in Settlement Interval *o* for Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.88 EXCESS\_COST<sub>l.h.o</sub>-\$

The excess cost payment for resource *i* in Settlement Interval *o* for Settlement Period *h*.

# D 3.89 TL<sub>i,h,o</sub> – MWh

The Transmission Loss Obligation for resource *i* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.90 EXCESS\_COST\_ALLOC<sub>a,h,o</sub>-\$

The excess cost allocation for Scheduling Coordinator g in Settlement Period h for Settlement Interval o.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 871

# D 3.91 REAL\_TIME\_FLOW<sub>i,h,o,k,v</sub> - MWh

The real-time actual flow for intertie resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *k* during Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h* for Real Time Flow Type index v. Real Time Flow Type index v must be one of the following Energy types: FIRM NFIRM, SUPP, WHEEL, DYN, ESPN, ENSPN, OOM, ERPLC.

# D 3.92 RE\_STANDARD<sub>i,h,o,k</sub> - MWh

The Standard Ramping Energy from resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *k* of Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h*.

# D 3.93 $OOS_{P_{i,h,o,k,L}} - MWh$

The incremental Out of Stack Energy for resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *k* in Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h* for index number *L*.

#### D 3.94 OOS\_N<sub>i,h,o,k,L</sub> - MWh

The decremental Out of Stack Energy for resource *i* during Dispatch Interval *k* in Settlement Interval *o* of Settlement Period *h* for index number *L*.

### D 3.95 URC\_ALLOC<sub> $\alpha,h,o$ </sub> - \$

The unrecovered cost neutrality allocation for Scheduling Coordinator *g* in Settlement Interval *o* for Settlement Period *h*.

#### D 3.96 IIE\_TYPE<sub>i,h,o,k,m</sub>

is the energy type for *IIE\_ECON*<sub>i,h,o,k,m</sub>. Energy type is one of the following: Supplemental, Spin, Non-Spin or Replacement Reserve Energy.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### PART E

#### **USAGE CHARGE COMPUTATION**

# E 1 Purpose of Charge

The Usage Charge is payable by Scheduling Coordinators who schedule Energy across Congested Inter-Zonal Interfaces pursuant to Section 27.1.1.5 of the ISO Tariff. Scheduling Coordinators who counter-schedule across Congested Inter-Zonal Interfaces are entitled to Usage Charge Payments. The right to schedule across a Congested Inter-Zonal Interface is determined through the ISO's Congestion Management procedures.

The following categories of Payments and Charges are covered in this Part E:

- (a) Usage Charges payable by Scheduling Coordinators for Energy transfers scheduled across Congested Inter-Zonal Interfaces and which contribute to Congestion.
- (b) Usage Charge rebates payable to Scheduling Coordinators for Energy transfers scheduled across Congested Inter-Zonal Interfaces and which contribute to relieving Congestion.
- (c) Credits of net Usage Charge revenues to Participating TOs and FTR Holders.
- (d) Debits of net Usage Charge revenues to Participating TOs and FTR Holders.
- (e) Debits and rebates of Usage Charge to Scheduling Coordinators as set out in E 2.3.3.

#### E 2 Fundamental Formulae

#### E 2.1 ISO Usage Charges on Scheduling Coordinators

Each Scheduling Coordinator j whose Final Schedule includes the transfer of Energy scheduled across one or more Congested Inter-Zonal Interfaces shall (save to the extent that the transfer involves the use of transmission capacity represented by Existing Rights) pay, or be paid, Usage Charges in Trading Interval t calculated in accordance with the following formulae:

In the Day-Ahead Market:

$$UC_{jtd} = \sum_{x} NetZoneImp_{jtxd} * \lambda_{dxt}$$

In the Hour-Ahead Market:

$$UC_{jth} = \sum_{x} (NetZoneImp_{jtxh} - NetZoneImp_{jtxd}) * \lambda_{hxt}$$

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### E 2.2 Payments of Usage Charges to Scheduling Coordinators

Each Scheduling Coordinator j whose Final Schedule includes the transfer of Energy from one Zone to another in a direction opposite that of Congestion shall (save to the extent that the transfer involves the use of transmission capacity represented by Existing Rights) receive a Usage Charge payment from the ISO calculated in accordance with the formulae described in Section E 2.1.

# E 2.3 ISO Credits and Debits to Transmission Owners and FTR Holders of Usage Charge Revenues

### E 2.3.1 Day-Ahead Market

The ISO will pay to the Participating TO n and FTR Holder n its share of the total net Usage Charge revenue for Trading Interval t in the Day-Ahead Market in accordance with the following formula:

$$PayUC \quad _{ntd} = \sum_{y} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{ytd} * K_{yn} * L_{ytd}$$

#### E 2.3.2 Hour-Ahead Market

The ISO will pay to the Participating TO n and FTR Holder n its share of the total net Usage Charge revenue for Trading Interval t in the Hour-Ahead Market in accordance with the following formula:

$$PayUC \qquad _{nth} = \sum_{y} \mu_{yth} *_{Kyn} *_{(Lyth} - L_{ytd})$$

Under normal operating conditions,  $(L_{yth} - L_{ytd})$  is positive and Participating TOs and FTR Holders will receive a refund on the net Usage Charge for the relevant Trading Interval t in the Hour-Ahead Market.

# E 2.3.3 Debits to Participating TOs and FTR Holders and Debits/Rebates to Scheduling Coordinators

If, after the close of the Day-Ahead Market, Participating TOs instruct the ISO to reduce interface limits based on operating conditions or an unscheduled transmission Outage occurs and as a result of either of those events, Congestion is increased and Available Transfer Capacity is decreased in the Inter-Zonal Interface in the Hour-Ahead Market, the ( $L_{yth}$  -  $L_{ytd}$ ) will be negative. In this case:

- (a) Participating TOs and FTR Holders will be charged for the Usage Charge payments they received for the relevant Trading Interval t in the Day-Ahead Market with respect to the reduced interface limits:
- (b) Any Scheduling Coordinator whose Schedule was adjusted for the relevant Trading Interval t in the Hour-Ahead Market due to the reduced interface limits will be credited with  $\mu_{Vth}$  for each MW of the adjustment; and

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

(c) Each Scheduling Coordinator will be charged an amount equal to it proportionate share, based on Schedules in the Day-Ahead Market in the direction of Congestion, of the difference between  $\mu_{yth}(L_{yth} - L_{ytd})$  and the total amount charged to Participating TOs and FTR Holders in accordance with item (a) above.

The ISO will issue a notice to Scheduling Coordinators of the operating hour, and extent, for which the derate will apply in the relevant Hour-Ahead Markets. The timing and form of such notices shall be set forth in ISO procedures.

#### E 3 Meaning of terms of formulae

# E 3.1 UC<sub>jtd</sub> (\$)

The Usage Charge payable by or to Scheduling Coordinator j for the relevant Trading Interval t in the Day-Ahead Market.

# E 3.2 UC<sub>ith</sub> - \$

The Usage Charge payable by or to Scheduling Coordinator j for Trading Interval t in the Hour-Ahead Market.

# E 3.3 NetZoneImpitxd (MWh)

The net Zonal import scheduled by Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for the relevant Trading Interval t in the Day-Ahead Market. For Zones internal to the ISO Control Area, net Zonal import equals scheduled Demand minus scheduled Generation plus transfers. For zones external to the ISO Control Area (i.e., for Scheduling Points), net zonal import equals scheduled imports (i.e., out of the ISO Control Area) minus scheduled exports (i.e., into the ISO Control Area).

### E 3.4 NetZoneImpitxh (MWh)

The net Zonal import scheduled by the Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for the relevant Trading Interval t in the Hour-Ahead Market. For Zones internal to the ISO Control Area, net Zonal import equals scheduled Demand minus scheduled Generation plus transfers. For Zones external to the ISO Control Area (i.e., for Scheduling Points), net zonal import equals scheduled imports (i.e., out of the ISO Control Area) minus scheduled exports (i.e., into the ISO Control Area).

#### E 3.5 $\lambda_{dxt}$ (\$/MWh)

The reference Zonal marginal price for Zone x for the relevant Trading Interval t in the Day-Ahead Market, as calculated by the ISO's Congestion Management computer optimization algorithm.

# E 3.6 $\lambda_{hxt}$ (\$/MWh)

The reference Zonal marginal price for Zone x for the relevant Trading Interval t in the Hour-Ahead Market, as calculated by the ISO's Congestion Management computer optimization algorithm.

#### E 3.7 PayUC<sub>ntd</sub> (\$)

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

The amount calculated by the ISO to be paid to or by the Participating TO n (in respect of its Transmission Revenue Balancing Account) and FTR Holder n for the relevant Trading Interval t in the Day-Ahead Market.

# E 3.7.1 PayUC<sub>nth</sub> (\$)

The amount calculated by the ISO to be paid to the Participating TO n (in respect of its Transmission Revenue Balancing Account) and FTR Holder n for the relevant Trading Interval t in the Hour-Ahead Market.

# E 3.8 $\mu_{\text{Vtd}}$ (\$/MW)

The Day-Ahead Congestion price (shadow price) at Inter-Zonal Interface y for Trading Interval t. This price is calculated by the ISO's Congestion Management computer optimization algorithm.

# E 3.8.1 $\mu_{yth}$ (\$/MW)

The Hour-Ahead Congestion price (shadow price) at Inter-Zonal Interface y for Trading Interval t. This price is calculated by the ISO's Congestion Management computer optimization algorithm.

# E 3.9 Kytn (%)

The percentage of the Inter-Zonal Congestion revenue allocation for Participating TO n and FTR Holder n of the Congested Inter-Zonal interface y for the relevant Trading Interval t for both Day-Ahead and Hour-Ahead Markets.

# E 3.10 L<sub>vtd</sub> (MW)

The total loading of Inter-Zonal Interface y for Trading Interval t in the Day-Ahead as calculated by the ISO's Congestion Management optimization algorithm.

# E 3.11 L<sub>vth</sub> (MW)

The total loading of Inter-Zonal Interface y for Trading Interval t in the Hour-Ahead as calculated by the ISO's Congestion Management optimization algorithm.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **PART F**

#### WHEELING ACCESS CHARGES COMPUTATION

#### F 1 Purpose of Charge

The Wheeling Access Charge is paid by Scheduling Coordinators for Wheeling as set forth in Section 26.1.4 of the ISO Tariff. The ISO will collect the Wheeling revenues from Scheduling Coordinators on a Trading Interval basis and repay these to the Participating TOs based on the ratio of each Participating TO's Transmission Revenue Requirement to the sum of all Participating TOs' Revenue Requirements.

#### F 2 Fundamental Formulae

# F 2.1 ISO Charges on Scheduling Coordinators for Wheeling

The ISO will charge Scheduling Coordinators scheduling a Wheeling Out or a Wheeling Through, the product of the Wheeling Access Charge and the total of the hourly schedules of Wheeling in MWh for each Trading Interval at each Scheduling Point associated with that transaction pursuant to Section 26.1.4 of the ISO Tariff.

#### F 2.1.1 Wheeling Access Charge

The Wheeling Access Charge for each Participating TO shall be as specified in Section 26.1.4 of the ISO Tariff.

#### F 2.1.2 [Not Used]

#### F 2.2 ISO Payments to Transmission Owners for Wheeling

The ISO will pay all Wheeling revenues to Participating TOs on the basis of the ratio of each Participating TO's Transmission Revenue Requirement ("TRR") (less the TRR associated with Existing Rights) to the sum of all Participating TOs' TRRs (less the TRRs associated with Existing Rights) as specified in Section 26.1.4.3 of the ISO Tariff. The Low Voltage Wheeling Access Charge shall be disbursed to the appropriate Participating TO. The sum to be paid to Participating TO<sub>n</sub> for a Trading Interval is calculated as follows:

$$PayTO_n = \frac{TRR_n}{\sum_{i} TRR_n} * \sum_{j} totalWChrg_j$$

#### F 3 Meaning of terms in formulae

# F 3.1 WABC $_{\mathbf{q}}$ (\$/kWh)

The Weighted Average Rate for Wheeling Service for Scheduling Point q.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 877

# F 3.2 P<sub>n</sub> (\$/kWh)

The applicable Wheeling Access Charge rate for TAC Area or Participating TO n in \$/kWh as set forth in Section 26.1.4 of the ISO Tariff and Section 4.6 of the TO Tariff.

# F 3.3 Q<sub>n</sub> (MW)

The Available Transfer Capacity, whether from transmission ownership or contractual entitlements, of each Participating TO n for each ISO Scheduling Point which has been placed within the ISO Controlled Grid. Available Transfer Capacity does not include capacity associated with Existing Rights of a Participating TO as defined in Section 16.2 of the ISO Tariff.

# F 3.4 WChgjq (\$)

The Wheeling Charges by the ISO on Scheduling Coordinator j for Scheduling Point q in Trading Interval t. Both Wheeling Out and Wheeling Through transactions are included in this term.

# F 3.5 QChargeW<sub>iqt</sub> (kWh)

The summation of kWh wheeled over Scheduling Point q by Scheduling Coordinator j in Trading Interval t. Both Wheeling Out and Wheeling Through transactions are included in this term.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **PART G**

# VOLTAGE SUPPORT and BLACK START CHARGES COMPUTATION

# G 1 Purpose of charge

- Voltage Support (VS) and Black Start (BS) charges are the charges made by the ISO to recover costs it incurs under contracts entered into between the ISO and those entities offering to provide VS or BS. Each Scheduling Coordinator pays an allocated proportion of the VS&BS charge to the ISO so that the ISO recovers the total costs incurred.
- All Generating Units are required by the ISO Tariff to provide reactive power by operating within a power factor range of 0.90 lag and 0.95 lead. Additional short-term Voltage Support required by the ISO is referred to as supplemental reactive power. If the ISO requires the delivery of this supplemental reactive power by instructing a Generating Unit to operate outside its mandatory MVar range, the Scheduling Coordinator representing this Generating Unit will only receive compensation if it is necessary to reduce the MW output to achieve the MVar instructed output. Supplemental reactive power charges to Scheduling Coordinators are made on a Trading Interval basis. As of the ISO Operations Date the ISO will contract for long-term Voltage Support Service with the Owner of Reliability Must-Run Units under Reliability Must-Run Contracts.
- The ISO will procure Black Start capability through contracts let on an annual basis. The quantities and locations of the Black Start capability will be determined by the ISO based on system analysis studies. Charges to Scheduling Coordinators for instructed Energy output from Black Start units are made on a Trading Interval basis.

#### G 2 Fundamental formulae

#### G 2.1 Payments to Scheduling Coordinators for providing Voltage Support

Payments to Scheduling Coordinators for additional Voltage Support service comprise:

# G 2.1.1 Lost Opportunity Cost Payments (supplemental reactive power) to Scheduling Coordinators for Generating Units

When the ISO obtains additional Voltage Support by instructing a Generating Unit to operate outside its mandatory MVar range by reducing its MW output the ISO will select Generating Units based on their Supplemental Energy Bids (\$/MWh). Subject to any locational requirements the ISO will select the Generating Unit with the highest decremental Supplemental Energy Bid to reduce MW output by such amount as is necessary to achieve the instructed MVar reactive energy production. Each Trading Interval the ISO will pay Scheduling Coordinator j for that Generating Unit i in Zone x, the lost opportunity cost (\$) resulting from the reduction of MW output in Trading Interval t in accordance with the following formula:

 $VSST_{xiit} = Max \{0, P_{xt} - Sup_{xdecit}\} *DEC_{xit}$ 

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# G 2.1.2 Long-term contract payments to Scheduling Coordinators for Reliability Must-Run Units for Generating Units and other Voltage Support Equipment

The ISO will pay Scheduling Coordinator j for the provision of Voltage Support from its Reliability Must-Run Units located in Zone x in month m a sum (VSLT<sub>xim</sub>) consisting of:

- (a) the total of the Ancillary Service Pre-empted Dispatch Payments if the ISO has decreased the output of the Reliability Must-Run Units for the provision of Voltage Support outside the power factor range of the Reliability Must-Run Unit in any Trading Interval in month m and/or
- (b) (if applicable) the total payments for the provision of Voltage Support in month m requested by the ISO from the synchronous condensers of the Reliability Must-Run Units.

calculated in each case in accordance with the terms of the relevant Reliability Must-Run Contract. Data on these payments will not be generated by the ISO. Such data will be based on the invoices issued by the Owners of Reliability Must-Run Generating Units pursuant to their Reliability Must-Run Contracts and will be verified by the ISO.

#### G 2.2 Charges to Scheduling Coordinators for Voltage Support

#### G 2.2.1 User Rate

The user rate (\$/MWh) for the lost opportunity cost for Voltage Support referred to in G 2.1.1 in Zone x for Trading Interval t will be calculated using the following formula:

$$VSSTRate_{xt} = \frac{\sum_{ij} VSST_{xijt}}{\sum_{j} QCharge \, VS_{xjt}}$$

The user rate (\$/MWh) for month m for long-term Voltage Support referred to in G2.1.2 in Zone x will be calculated using the following formula:

$$VSSTRate_{xm} = \frac{\sum_{j} VSLT_{xjm}}{\sum_{jm} QChargeVS_{xjt}}$$

#### G 2.2.2 Voltage Support Charges

The lost opportunity cost Voltage Support charge (\$) payable to recover the sums under G 2.1.1 for Zone x for Trading Interval t for Scheduling Coordinator j will be calculated using the following formula:

$$VSSTCharge_{xjt} = VSSTRate_{xt} * QChargeVS_{xjt}$$

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

The monthly long-term Voltage Support charge (\$) payable to recover sums under G 2.1.2 for Zone x for month m for Scheduling Coordinator j will be calculated using the following formula:

$$VSLTCharge_{xjm} = VSLTRate_{xm} * \sum_{m} QChargeVS_{xjt}$$

### G 2.3 Payments to Participating Generators for Black Start

Payments to Participating Generators that provide Black Start Energy or capability shall be made in accordance with the agreements they have entered into with the ISO for the provision of Black Start services and shall be calculated as follows:

### G 2.3.1 Black Start Energy Payments

Whenever a Black Start Generating Unit provides a Black Start in accordance with the ISO's instructions, the ISO will pay the Black Start Generator for that Unit for the Generating Unit's energy output and start-up costs. The ISO will pay Black Start Generator for Generating Unit i, the Black Start energy and start-up costs (\$) in Trading Interval t in accordance with the following formula:

$$BSEn_{ijt} = (EnQBS_{ijt} * EnBid_{ijt}) + BSSUP_{ijt}$$

#### G 2.3.2 Black Start Energy Payments to Owners of Reliability Must-Run Units

Whenever a Reliability Must-Run Unit provides a Black Start in accordance with the ISO's instructions, the ISO will pay the Scheduling Coordinator of the Reliability Must-Run Unit the Generating Unit's Energy and start-up costs. The ISO will pay Scheduling Coordinator j for Reliability Must-Run Unit i the Black Start Energy and start-up costs (\$) in Trading Interval t in accordance with the following formula:

$$BSEn_{ijt} = (EnQBS_{ijt} * EnBid_{ijt}) + (BSSUP_{ijt})$$

#### G 2.4 Charges to Scheduling Coordinators for Black Start

#### G 2.4.1 User Rate

The user rate (\$/MWh) for Black Start Energy payments referred to in G 2.3.1 and G 2.3.2 for Trading Interval t will be calculated using the following formula:

$$BSRate_{t} = \frac{\sum_{ij} BSEn_{ijt}}{\sum_{j} QChargeBlackStart_{jt}}$$

# G 2.4.2 Black Start Charges

The user charge (\$/MWh) for Black Start Energy to recover the costs of payments under G 2.3.1 and G 2.3.2 for Trading Interval t for Scheduling Coordinator j will be calculated using the following formula:

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

 $BSCharge_{it} = BSRate_{t} * QChargeBlackStart_{it}$ 

# G 3 Meaning of Terms in the Formulae

# G 3.1 $VSST_{Xiit}$ (\$)

The lost opportunity cost paid by the ISO to Scheduling Coordinator j for Generating Unit i in Zone x, resulting from the reduction of MW output in Trading Interval t.

#### G 3.2 P<sub>xt</sub> (\$/MWh)

The Hourly Ex Post Price for Imbalance Energy in Trading Interval t in Zone x.

# G 3.3 Sup<sub>xdecit</sub> (\$/MWh)

The Supplemental Energy Bid submitted by Scheduling Coordinator j for Generating Unit i in Zone x in Trading Interval t, whose output is reduced by the ISO to provide additional short-term Voltage Support.

# G 3.4 Dec<sub>xit</sub> (MW)

The reduction in MW by Scheduling Coordinator j for Generating Unit i in Zone x in Trading Interval t, in order to provide short-term additional Voltage Support.

# G 3.5 VSLT<sub>xim</sub> (\$)

The payment from the ISO to Scheduling Coordinator j for its Reliability Must-Run Units in Zone x for Voltage Support in month m calculated in accordance with the relevant Reliability Must-Run Contract.

#### G 3.6 VSSTRate<sub>xt</sub> (\$/MWh)

The Trading Interval lost opportunity cost Voltage Support user rate charged by the ISO to Scheduling Coordinators for Trading Interval t for Zone x.

#### G 3.7 VSLTRate<sub>xm</sub> (\$/MWh)

The monthly long-term Voltage Support user rate charged by the ISO to Scheduling Coordinators for month m for Zone x.

# G 3.8 QChargeVS<sub>xit</sub> (MWh)

The charging quantity for Voltage Support for Scheduling Coordinator j for Trading Interval t in Zone x equal to the total metered Demand (including exports to neighboring Control Areas) for Scheduling Coordinator j in Zone x for Trading Interval t.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 882

# G 3.9 VSSTCharge<sub>xit</sub> (\$)

The lost opportunity cost Voltage Support user charge for Zone x for Trading Interval t for Scheduling Coordinator j.

# G 3.10 VSLTCharge<sub>xim</sub> (\$)

The long-term charge for Voltage Support for month m for Zone x for Scheduling Coordinator j.

# G 3.11 BSEn iit (\$)

The ISO payment to Scheduling Coordinator j (or Black Start Generator j) for that Generating Unit i providing Black Start Energy in Trading Interval t.

# G 3.12 EnQBS iit (MWh)

The energy output, instructed by the ISO, from the Black Start capability of Generating Unit i from Scheduling Coordinator j (or Participating Generator j) for Trading Interval t.

# G 3.13 EnBid iit (\$/MWh)

The price for Energy output from the Black Start capability of Generating Unit i of Scheduling Coordinator j or (Black Start Generator j) for Trading Interval t calculated in accordance with the applicable Reliability Must-Run Contract or Interim Black Start Agreement.

# G 3.14 BSSUP<sub>iit</sub> (\$)

The start-up payment for a Black Start successfully made by Generating Unit i of Scheduling Coordinator j (or Black Start Generator j) in Trading Interval t calculated in accordance with the applicable Reliability Must-Run Contract or Interim Black Start Agreement.

### G 3.15 BSRatet (\$/MWh)

The Black Start Energy payment user rate charged by the ISO to Scheduling Coordinators for Trading Interval t.

#### G 3.16 QChargeBlackstartit (MW)

The charging quantity for Black Start for Scheduling Coordinator j for Trading Interval t equal to the total metered Demand (excluding exports to neighboring Control Areas) of Scheduling Coordinator j for Trading Interval t.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 883

# PART H [NOT USED]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 884

# PART I DRAFT SAMPLE OF INVOICE

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 885

# Independent System Operator MARKET INVOICE

 CUSTOMER 1
 Invoice:
 181

 101 N. Harbor Blvd.
 Date:
 20-JUN-97

 Anaheim
 CA 92808
 Customer Number:
 1000

Please send payment to:

1000 South Fremont Avenue For all inquiries contact:
Building A-11 1-800-ISO-HELP

Alhambra CA 91803

Comments:

Charges settlement date: 20-JUN-97 to 20-JUN-97

Charge Type	Description	Amount
0001	0001-Day-Ahead Spinning Reserve due SC	-\$845.00
0002	0002-Day-Ahead Non-Spinning Reserve due SC	-\$1,025.00
0003	0003-Day-Ahead AGC/Regulation due SC	-\$1,025.00
0004	0004-Day-Ahead Replacement Reserve due SC	-\$1,385.00
0051	0051-Hour-Ahead Spinning Reserve due SC	-\$1,565.00
0052	0052-Hour-Ahead Non-Spinning Reserve due SC	-\$1,745.00
0053	0053-Hour-Ahead AGC/Regulation due SC	-\$1,925.00
0054	0054-Hour-Ahead Replacement Reserve due SC	-\$2,105.00
0101	0101-Day-Ahead Spinning Reserve due ISO	\$22,075.00
0102	0102-Day-Ahead Non-Spinning Reserve due ISO	\$23,935.00
0103	0103-Day-Ahead AGC/Regulation due ISO	\$25,795.00
0104	0104-Day-Ahead Replacement Reserve due ISO	\$27,655.00
0251	0251-Hour-Ahead Intra-Zonal Congestion Settlement due ISO	\$385.00
0252	0252-Hour-Ahead Intra-Zonal Congestion Charge/Refund due ISO	\$4,925.00
0253	0253-Hour-Ahead Inter-Zonal Congestion Settlement due ISO	\$5,285.00
0301	0301-Ex-Post A/S Energy due SC	-\$6,005.00
0302	0302-Ex-Post Supplemental Reactive Power due SC	-\$6,365.00
0303	0303-Ex-Post Replacement Reserve due ISO (Dispatched)	\$6,725.00
0304	0304-Ex-Post Replacement Reserve due ISO (Undispatched)	\$7,085.00
Invoice Total		

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 886

# Independent System Operator FERC FEES INVOICE

 CUSTOMER 1
 Invoice:
 181

 101 N. Harbor Blvd.
 Date:
 20-JUN-97

 Anaheim
 CA 92808
 Customer Number:
 1000

Please send payment to:

1000 South Fremont Avenue For all inquiries contact:
Building A-11 1-800-ISO-HELP

Alhambra CA 91803

Comments:

Charges settlement date: 20-JUN-97 to 20-JUN-97

 Charge Type
 Description
 Amount

 [Charge type to be determined]
 \_\_\_\_ FERC Annual Charges due ISO
 [Sample charge]

 Invoice Total
 \_\_\_\_ Sample charge]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **PART J**

#### SETTLEMENT AND BILLING OF RELIABILITY MUST-RUN CHARGES AND PAYMENTS

# 1 Objectives, Definitions and Scope

### 1.1 Objectives

The objective of this Part J is to inform RMR Owners which are responsible for preparation of invoices, and Responsible Utilities, which are responsible for payment of Reliability Must-Run Charges pursuant to Section 30.6.1.2 of the ISO Tariff, of the manner in which the RMR Charges referred to in Section 30.6.1.1 of the ISO Tariff shall be verified and settled and of the procedures regarding the billing, invoicing and payment of these RMR Charges.

#### 1.2 Definitions

# 1.2.1 Master Definitions Supplement

Unless the context otherwise requires, any word or expression defined in the Master Definitions Supplement to the ISO Tariff shall have the same meaning where used in this Part J. A reference to a paragraph is to a paragraph of this Part J. References to Parts are to Parts of Appendix N.

#### 1.2.3 Special Definitions for this Part J

In this Part J the following words and expressions shall have the following meanings:

"Adjusted RMR Invoice" means the monthly invoice issued by the RMR Owner to the ISO for adjustments made to the Revised Estimated RMR Invoice pursuant to the RMR Contract, reflecting actual data for the billing month.

"Business Day" shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the RMR Contract.

"Estimated RMR Invoice" means the monthly invoice issued by the RMR Owner to the ISO for estimated RMR Payments or Refunds pursuant to the RMR Contract.

"Facility Trust Account" means, for each RMR Contract, the account established and operated by the ISO to and from which all payments under this Part J shall be made. Each Facility Trust Account will have two segregated commercial bank accounts, a RMR Owner Facility Trust Account and a Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account.

"Prior Period Change" means any correction, surcharge, credit, refund or other adjustment pertaining to a billing month which is discovered after the Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice for such billing month has been issued.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 888

Effective: November 1, 2007

"Prior Period Change Worksheet" means a worksheet prepared by the RMR Owner and submitted to the ISO following discovery of a necessary change to an RMR invoice after the Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice for the billing month has been issued.

"Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account" means a segregated commercial bank account under the Facility Trust Account containing funds held in trust for the Responsible Utility.

"RMR Invoice" means any Estimated RMR Invoice, Revised Estimated RMR Invoice, Adjusted RMR Invoice, or Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice.

"RMR Owner Facility Trust Account" means a segregated commercial bank account under the Facility Trust Account containing funds held in trust for the RMR Owner.

"RMR Payment" means any amounts which the ISO is obligated to pay to RMR Owners under RMR Contracts, net of any applicable credits under RMR Contracts.

"RMR Payments Calendar" means the Payments Calendar issued by the ISO pursuant to Section 3 of this Part J.

"RMR Refund" means any amounts which RMR Owners are obligated to pay the ISO and the ISO is obligated to pay Responsible Utilities under RMR Contracts, or resulting from an order by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, for deposit into the Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account.

"RMR Security" means the form of security provided by a Responsible Utility to cover its liability under this Part J pursuant to Section 30.6.1.1.3 of the ISO Tariff.

#### 1.2.4 Rules of Interpretation and Other Terms and Conventions

The rules of interpretation set out in the ISO Tariff.

#### 1.3 Scope of Application to Parties

This Part J applies to the RMR Payments owed RMR Owners by the ISO, the RMR Charges owed by the Responsible Utilities to the ISO and the RMR Refunds owed to the ISO by RMR Owners and owed to the Responsible Utilities by the ISO for costs incurred under the RMR Contract.

For the avoidance of doubt, this Part J shall not apply to charges for Energy or Ancillary Services which are payable by the ISO under Sections 8 and 11 of the ISO Tariff to Scheduling Coordinators representing RMR Owners. Such payments shall be made by the ISO to such Scheduling Coordinators pursuant to Section 11 of the ISO Tariff and the provisions of Appendix N. The RMR Owners shall account for such payments received by or due to their Scheduling Coordinators in each RMR Invoice.

# 1.4 Relationship of this Part J with Appendix N

Parts B, G and H of Appendix N shall apply as appropriate to this Part J. Unless otherwise specified, other provisions of Appendix N shall not apply to this Part J.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

# 1.5 Relationship of this Part J with the ISO Tariff

For the avoidance of doubt, Sections 11.3 to 11.24 inclusive of the ISO Tariff shall not apply to this Part J.

#### 2 Accounts

#### 2.1 Facility Trust Account

The ISO shall establish a Facility Trust Account for each RMR Contract. Each Facility Trust Account shall consist of two segregated commercial bank accounts: an RMR Owner Facility Trust Account, which will be held in trust for the RMR Owner, and a Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account, which will be held in trust for the Responsible Utility. RMR Charges paid by the Responsible Utility to the ISO in connection with the RMR Contract will be deposited into the RMR Owner Facility Trust Account and RMR Payments from the ISO to the RMR Owner will be withdrawn from such Account, all in accordance with this Part J, Section 30.6.1.1 of the ISO Tariff and the RMR Contract. RMR Refunds received by the ISO from the RMR Owner in accordance with the RMR Contract will be deposited into the Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account and such RMR Refunds will be withdrawn from such Account and paid to the Responsible Utility in accordance with this Part J., Section 30.6.1.1 of the ISO Tariff, and the RMR Contract. The RMR Owner Facility Trust Account and the Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account shall have no other funds commingled in them at any time.

#### 2.2 RMR Owner's Settlement Accounts

Each RMR Owner shall establish and maintain a settlement account at a commercial bank located in the United States and reasonably acceptable to the ISO which can effect money transfers via Fed-Wire where payments to and from the Facility Trust Accounts shall be made in accordance with this Part J. Each RMR Owner shall notify the ISO of its settlement account details upon entering into its RMR Contract with the ISO and may notify the ISO from time to time of any changes by giving at least 15 days notice before the new account becomes operational.

#### 3 RMR Payments Calendar

The ISO shall issue an RMR Payments Calendar for the purposes of this Part J which shall contain those dates set forth in Section 9.1 (b) of the RMR Contract and the following information:

- (a) the date on which RMR Owners are required to issue to the ISO, with a copy to the Responsible Utility, their Estimated RMR Invoice pursuant to their RMR Contract;
- (b) the date on which the ISO is required to initiate proposed adjustments to the Estimated RMR Invoice to the Responsible Utility and to the RMR Owner;
- (c) the date by which the RMR Owners are required to issue their Revised Estimated RMR Invoice reflecting appropriate revisions to the original Estimated RMR Invoice agreed upon by the Responsible Utility and the RMR Owner (In the event no revisions are required, Owner shall submit an e-mail to the ISO and Responsible Utility stating there are no revisions and the Estimated RMR Invoice should be deemed as the Revised Estimated RMR Invoice.);
- (d) the date on which the ISO is required to issue to the Responsible Utility or RMR Owner, with an e-mail notification to both parties, the ISO Invoice based on the Revised Estimated RMR Invoice;

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- (e) the date on which RMR Owners are required to issue to the ISO, with a copy to the Responsible Utility, their Adjusted RMR Invoice pursuant to their RMR Contract;
- (f) the date on which the ISO is required to initiate proposed adjustments to the Adjusted RMR Invoice to the Responsible Utility and the RMR Owner;
- (g) the date by which the RMR Owners are required to issue their Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice reflecting appropriate revisions to the original Adjusted RMR Invoice agreed upon by the Responsible Utility and the RMR Owner. (In the event no revisions are required, Owner shall submit an e-mail to the ISO and Responsible Utility stating there are no revisions and the Adjusted RMR Invoice should be deemed as the Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice.);
- (h) the date on which the ISO is required to issue to the Responsible Utility or the RMR Owner, with an e-mail notification to both parties, the ISO Invoice based on the Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice;
- (i) the dates by which the Responsible Utility and RMR Owner must have notified the ISO of any dispute in relation to the ISO Invoice, Estimated or Adjusted RMR Invoices (including the Revised Estimated and Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice) or the ISO's proposed adjustments;
- (j) the date and time by which Responsible Utilities or RMR Owners are required to have made payments into the RMR Owner Facility Trust Account or Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account in payment of the ISO Invoices relating

to each Revised Estimated RMR Invoice and each Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice;

(k) the date and time by which the ISO is required to have made payments into the RMR Owners' Facility Trust Accounts or Responsible Utilities' Facility Trust Accounts in payment of the Revised Estimated RMR Invoice and the Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice pursuant to their RMR Contract;

If the day on which any ISO Invoice, any RMR Invoice, or payment is due, is not a Business Day, such statement or invoice shall be issued or payment shall be due on the next succeeding Business Day.

Information relating to charges for Energy or Ancillary Services which are payable by the ISO pursuant to Sections 8 and 11 of the ISO Tariff and Appendix N to the Scheduling Coordinators representing the RMR Owners will be contained in the RMR Payments Calendar pursuant to Section 11.24.

#### 4 Information to be provided by RMR Owners to the ISO

Each RMR Invoice and any Prior Period Change Worksheet shall include, or be accompanied by, information about RMR Payments and RMR Refunds in sufficient detail to enable the ISO to verify all RMR Charges and all RMR Refunds, and such information shall be copied to the Responsible Utility. Each RMR Invoice shall separately show the amounts due for services from each Reliability Must-Run Unit.

This information shall be provided in an electronic form in accordance with the RMR Invoice template developed jointly and agreed to by the ISO, Responsible Utilities and RMR Owners in accordance with the RMR Contracts and the principles in Schedule O to those Contracts, and maintained on the ISO Home Page.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### 5 Validation of RMR Charges and RMR Refunds

The ISO shall validate, based on information provided by each RMR Owner pursuant to paragraph 4, the amount due form the relevant Responsible Utility for RMR Charges and the amount due to the relevant Responsible Utility for RMR Refunds applicable to the Reliability Must-Run Generation and Ancillary Services of that RMR Owner, but shall not represent or warrant the accuracy or completeness of the information provided by the RMR Owner. The ISO shall provide copies of its exception report and information to the relevant Responsible Utility and RMR Owner.

The ISO shall not be obligated to pay the Responsible Utility any RMR Refunds unless and until the ISO has received corresponding RMR Refunds into the Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account from the RMR Owner.

#### 6 Description of the Billing Process

#### 6.1 Issuance of RMR Invoices by the RMR Owner

Each RMR Owner shall provide any RMR Invoice to the ISO in the electronic form, mutually agreed by the parties, which may be updated

by agreement of the ISO, Responsible Utilities and RMR Owners from time to time in accordance with the requirements of Schedule O of the RMR Contract, on each of the days specified in the RMR Payments Calendar, and shall send to the relevant Responsible Utility a copy of that invoice on the day of issue.

#### 6.2 Review of the RMR Invoice by the ISO

The ISO shall review each RMR Invoice within the period specified in the RMR Payments Calendar and is required to initiate proposed adjustments to that invoice to the RMR Owner and the relevant Responsible Utility. Once the ISO initiates proposed adjustments, the RMR Owner shall issue a Revised Estimated RMR Invoice or Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice.

#### 6.3 Issuance of ISO Invoices by the ISO

The ISO shall provide to the Responsible Utility and the RMR Owner on the dates specified in the RMR Payments Calendar ISO Invoices showing:

- (a) the amounts which, on the basis of the Revised Estimated RMR Invoice or the Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice, as the case may be, and pursuant to paragraph 5 of this Part J, are to be paid by or to the relevant Responsible Utility and RMR Owner;
- (b) the Payment Date, being the date on which such amounts are to be paid and the time for such payment;
- (c) details (including the account number, bank name and Fed-Wire transfer instructions) of the RMR Owner Facility Trust Account to which any amounts owed by the Responsible Utility are to be paid, or of the RMR Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account to which any amounts owed by the RMR Owner are to be paid.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# 6.4 Resolving Disputes Relating to Invoices

#### 6.4.1 Review of the Invoices by the Responsible Utility

Each Responsible Utility shall have the review period specified in the RMR Payments Calendar to review RMR Invoices, and ISO Invoices, validate, and propose adjustments to such invoices and notify the ISO of any dispute. Notwithstanding the above, each Responsible Utility shall have the review time specified in ISO Tariff Section 30.6.1.1 to dispute such invoice.

### 6.4.2 Dispute Notice

If a Responsible Utility disputes any item or calculation relating to any Revised RMR Invoice, or any ISO Invoice, it shall provide the ISO, with a copy to the RMR Owner, via email or such other communication mode as the parties may mutually agree upon, a notice of dispute at any time from the receipt of the copy of such invoice from the RMR Owner or the ISO to the expiration of the period for review set out in Section 6.4.1. The ISO shall initiate a corresponding dispute with the RMR Owner under the RMR Contract.

# 6.4.3 Contents of Dispute Notice

The notice of dispute shall state clearly the Revised Estimated RMR Invoice, Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice, or ISO Invoice in dispute, the item disputed (identifying specific Reliability Must-Run Units and time periods), the reasons for the dispute, and the proposed amendment (if appropriate) and shall be accompanied by all available evidence reasonably required to support the claim.

# 6.4.4 Prior Period Change Agreed to by the RMR Owner

Subject to paragraph 6.4.5 or 6.4.6 of this Part J, if the RMR Owner agrees with the proposed change, the change shall be shown in a Prior Period Change Worksheet and included in the next appropriate May or December Estimated RMR Invoice as specified in Article 9.1 of the RMR Contract.

#### 6.4.5 Dispute Involving the RMR Owner

If the dispute relates to an item originating in any RMR Invoice the applicable provisions of the RMR Contract and Section 30.6.1.1.1 of the ISO Tariff shall apply.

# 6.4.6 Dispute Involving an Alleged Error or Breach or Default of the ISO's Obligations Under Section 5.2.7 of the ISO Tariff

If the dispute relates to an alleged error or breach or default of the ISO's obligations under Section 30.6.1.1 of the ISO Tariff, the applicable provisions of the RMR Contract and Section 30.6.1.1.1 of the ISO Tariff shall apply.

#### 6.4.7 Payment Pending Dispute

Subject to Section 30.6.1.1.1 of the ISO Tariff, if there is any dispute relating to an item originating in an RMR Invoice that is not resolved prior to the Payment Date, the Responsible Utility shall be obligated to pay any amounts shown in the relevant ISO Invoice on the Payment Date irrespective of whether any such dispute has been resolved or is still pending. The Responsible Utility may notify the ISO that the

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

payment is made under protest, in which case the ISO shall notify the RMR Owner that payment is made under protest. In accordance with Section 9.6 of the RMR Contract, if such dispute is subsequently resolved in favor of the Responsible Utility that made the payment under protest, then any amount agreed or determined to be owed by the RMR Owner to the ISO shall be repaid by the RMR Owner to the ISO, with interest at the interest rate specified in the RMR Contract from the date of payment by the ISO to the RMR Owner of the disputed amount to the date of repayment by the RMR Owner, as specified in Section 6.4.4 of this Part J. If RMR Owner does not agree to make the change pursuant to Section 6.4.4, then such repayment shall be made by ISO's deduction of such amount from the next ISO Invoices until extinguished, or if the RMR Contract has terminated, by paying a RMR Refund in such amount to the Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account, subject to the limitation of Section 30.6.1.1.1.1 of the ISO Tariff.

# 7 Payment Procedures

### 7.1 Payment Date

The Payment Date for RMR Payments to and RMR Refunds from RMR Owners shall be the Due Date specified in the RMR Contract and in the RMR Payments Calendar and the same shall be the Payment Date for the ISO and Responsible Utilities in relation to RMR Charges, provided that the RMR Owner has furnished the Responsible Utility and the ISO with the Revised Estimated RMR Invoice or the Revised Adjusted RMR Invoice no less than 9 calendar days before the Due Date. The Payment Date shall be stated on the ISO Invoice.

#### 7.2 Payment Method

All payments and refunds by the ISO to RMR Owners and Responsible Utilities shall be made via Fed-Wire.

However, if the RMR Owner is also the Responsible Utility, at the discretion of the RMR Owner, payments and refunds may be made by memorandum account instead of wire transfer.

#### 7.3 Payment by RMR Owners and Responsible Utilities

Each RMR Owner shall remit to the Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account the amount shown on the relevant ISO Invoice as payable by that RMR Owner not later than 10:00 am on the Payment Date.

Subject to Section 30.6.1.1 of the ISO Tariff, each Responsible Utility shall remit to the RMR Owner Facility Trust Account the amount shown on the relevant ISO Invoice not later than 10:00 am on the Payment Date.

#### 7.4 Payment by the ISO

The ISO shall verify the amounts available for distribution to Responsible Utilities and/or RMR Owners on the Payment Date and shall give instructions to the ISO Bank to remit from the relevant Facility Trust Account to the relevant settlement account maintained by each Responsible Utility or RMR Owner the amounts determined by the ISO to be available for payment to each Responsible Utility or RMR Owner.

#### 7.5 Payment Default by RMR Owner or Responsible Utility

If by 10.00 am on a Payment Date the ISO, in its reasonable opinion, believes that all or any part of any amount due to be remitted to the relevant Facility Trust Account by the RMR Owner or the Responsible Utility will not or has not been remitted ("the Default Amount") the ISO shall immediately notify the RMR

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Owner and the Responsible Utility. Where the Default Amount was due from the Responsible Utility, the ISO and RMR Owner shall proceed as set forth in Section 30.6.1.1 of the ISO Tariff and the applicable provision of the RMR Contract. Where the Default Amount was due from the RMR Owner, the ISO and the

Responsible Utility shall proceed as set forth in the applicable provision of the RMR Contract.

#### 7.5.1 Default relating to Market Payments

For the avoidance of doubt, non payment to RMR Owners, or their respective Scheduling Coordinators, of charges for Energy or Ancillary Services which are payable by the ISO to Scheduling Coordinators representing such RMR Owners shall be dealt with pursuant to Sections 11.3 to 11.24 (inclusive) of the ISO Tariff and the provisions of Appendix N.

#### 7.6 Set-off

#### 7.6.1 Set-off in the case of a defaulting Responsible Utility

The ISO is authorized to apply any amount to which any defaulting Responsible Utility is or will be entitled from the Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account in or towards the satisfaction of any amount owed by that Responsible Utility to the RMR Owner Facility Trust Account arising under the settlement and billing process set out in this Part J.

For the avoidance of doubt, neither the ISO nor any Responsible Utility will be authorized to set off any amounts owed by that Responsible Utility in respect of one Facility Trust Account against amounts owed to that Responsible Utility in respect of another Facility Trust Account or any amounts owed by that Responsible Utility under this Part J against amounts owed to that Responsible Utility except as provided by Section 30.6.1.1 of the ISO Tariff.

# 7.6.2 Set-off in the case of a defaulting RMR Owner

The ISO is authorized to apply any amount to which any defaulting RMR Owner is or will be entitled from the RMR Owner Facility Trust Account in or towards the satisfaction of any amount owed by that RMR Owner to the Responsible Utility Facility Trust Account in accordance with Article 9 of the RMR Contract and Sections 30.6.1.1 and 8.12 of the ISO Tariff.

For the avoidance of doubt, neither the ISO nor any RMR Owner will be authorized to set off any amounts owed by that RMR Owner in respect of one Facility Trust Account against amounts owed to that RMR Owner in respect of another Facility Trust Account or any amounts owed by that RMR Owner under this Part J against amounts owed to that RMR Owner under the RMR Contract.

#### 7.7 Default Interest

Responsible Utilities shall pay interest on Default Amounts to the ISO at the interest rate specified in the RMR Contract for the period from the relevant Payment Date to the date on which the payment is received by the ISO.

RMR Owners shall pay interest to the ISO on Default Amounts at the interest rate specified in the RMR Contract for the period from the date on which payment was due to the date on which the payment is received by the ISO.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

The ISO shall pay interest to RMR Owners at the interest rate specified in the RMR Contract for the period from the date on which payment is due under the RMR Contract to the date on which the payment is received by the RMR Owner.

The ISO shall pay interest to Responsible Utilities at the interest rate specified in the relevant RMR Contract for the period from the date following the date it received an RMR Refund from the relevant RMR Owner to the date in which the payment is received by the relevant Responsible Utility.

Where payment of a Default Amount is made by exercise of a right of set-off or deduction, payments shall be deemed received when payment of the sum which takes that set-off or deduction into account is made.

# 8 Overpayments

The provisions of Sections 11.18.2.a and 11.18.2.b shall apply to RMR Owners and Responsible Utilities which have been overpaid by the ISO and references to "ISO Creditors" in these sections and in the relevant Sections of the ISO Tariff shall be read, for the purposes of this Part J, to mean RMR Owners and Responsible Utilities as applicable. Disputed amounts shall not be considered to be overpayments until and unless the dispute is resolved.

#### 9 Communications

#### 9.1 Method of Communication

ISO Invoices will be issued by the ISO via Electronic Data Interchange ("EDI"). RMR Invoices and Prior Period Change Worksheets will be issued by the RMR Owner in an electronic form mutually agreed by the parties and maintained on the ISO's Home Page. ISO shall also post prior period change examples and prior period change guidelines as specified in Article 9.1 of the RMR Contract.

#### 9.2 Emergency Procedures

#### 9.2.1 Emergency Affecting the ISO

In the event of an emergency or a failure of any of the ISO software or business systems, the ISO may deem any Estimated RMR Invoice or any Adjusted RMR Invoice to be correct without thorough verification and may implement any temporary variation of the timing requirements relating to the settlement and billing process contained in this Part J.

#### 9.2.2 Emergency Affecting the RMR Owner

In the event of an emergency or a failure of any of the RMR Owner's systems, the RMR Owner may use Estimated RMR Invoices as provided in the applicable section of the RMR Contract or may implement any temporary variation of the timing requirements relating to the settlement and billing process contained in this Part J and its RMR Contract. Details of the variation will be published on the ISO Home Page.

Communications of an emergency nature on a Due Date or a Payment Date relating to payments shall be made by the fastest practical means including by telephone.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF
AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 896

Effective: November 1, 2007

# 10 Confidentiality

The provisions of Sections 11.9A, 20.5, and 11 shall apply to this Part J between and among the RMR Owners, the ISO and Responsible Utilities.

Except as may otherwise be required by applicable Law, all information and data provided by RMR Owner or the ISO to the Responsible Utility pursuant to the RMR Contract, Section 30.6.1.1 of the ISO Tariff or this Part J ("confidential information") shall be treated as confidential and proprietary to the providing party to the extent required by Section 12.5 and Schedule N of the RMR Contract and will be used by the receiving party only as permitted by such Section 12.5 and Schedule N.

#### 11 Amendments to this Part J

If the ISO determines a need for an amendment to this Part J, the ISO shall follow the requirements as set forth in Section 22.11 of the ISO Tariff, provided that ISO may not modify Part J as it applies to any RMR contract without the consent of the relevant RMR Owner and Responsible Utility.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 897

# CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX O Metering

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### PART A

#### **FAILURE OF CAISO FACILITIES**

#### A 1 CAISO Secure Communication System Unavailable

#### A 1.1 Unavailable Functions of the CAISO Secure Communication System

During a total disruption of the CAISO secure communication system the CAISO will not be able to:

- (a) communicate with CAISO Metered Entities or Scheduling Coordinators to acquire or provide any Meter Data or Settlement Quality Meter Data; and
- (b) communicate general information.

### A 1.2 Communications during the CAISO Secure Communication System Unavailability

During any period of the CAISO secure communication system unavailability, the CAISO shall:

- (a) make all reasonable efforts to provide general information to CAISO Metered Entities and Scheduling Coordinators using voice communications; and
- (b) inform CAISO Metered Entities and Scheduling Coordinators of the methods they must use to provide Meter Data and Settlement Quality Meter Data to the CAISO during that period.

#### A 2 Primary RMDAPS Master Station Completely Unavailable

#### A 2.1 Notification of Loss of Primary RMDAPS Master Station

In the event that the primary RMDAPS master station becomes completely unavailable, the CAISO will use alternate communications to notify the redundant RMDAPS master station that the primary RMDAPS master station is unavailable. The CAISO will post information on the situation on the CAISO secure communication system. Additional voice notifications will be made as time permits.

#### A 2.2 Notification of Restoration of Primary RMDAPS Master Station

The CAISO will post confirmation on the CAISO secure communication system that all computer systems are functioning normally (if such be the case) and use the redundant RMDAPS master station to take complete control of the all RMDAPS functions. Once the primary RMDAPS master station is again available, all functions will be transferred back to the primary RMDAPS master station and the CAISO will notify all CAISO Metered Entities and Scheduling Coordinators via the CAISO secure communication system.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **PART B**

#### **CERTIFICATION PROCESS FOR METERING FACILITIES**

Paragraphs B1 to B3 of this Part describe the steps that CAISO Authorized Inspectors and the CAISO will take to certify Metering Facilities of CAISO Metered Entities.

The steps described here will also be applicable to Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entities where no certification requirements are imposed on a Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entity by its Local Regulatory Authority.

Paragraph B5 of this Part describes the manner in which requests must be made to the CAISO to perform the certification of Metering Facilities.

#### B 1 Documentation to be Provided by CAISO/Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entity

The CAISO Metered Entity or Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entity shall provide the CAISO and the CAISO Authorized Inspector with schematic drawings (both detailed and one line) of the Metering Facilities being considered for CAISO certification. Such drawings shall be dated, bear the current drawing revision number and show all wiring, connections and devices in the circuits. Drawings shall also be provided for instrument transformers to the meter and the meter to the CAISO secure communication system.

In addition, the CAISO Metered Entity or Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entity will provide the CAISO and the CAISO Authorized Inspector with a completed CAISO Meter Certification Form (a copy of which forms part of this Part) in respect of each set of Metering Facilities being considered for CAISO certification.

### B 2 Documentation to be completed by the CAISO Authorized Inspector

The CAISO Authorized Inspector will complete a CAISO approved site verification form (an internal CAISO document) in relation to each set of Metering Facilities that it inspects. The site verification form and the CAISO Meter Certification Form will be the official forms used to document whether Metering Facilities meet the CAISO certification criteria.

If there are any discrepancies between the CAISO certified drawings on file and the actual metering circuitry inspected by the CAISO Authorized Inspector or the CAISO, then the CAISO Authorized Inspector or the CAISO will document that discrepancy and revise the schematic drawings provided to the CAISO. The CAISO Authorized Inspector will notify the CAISO of the discrepancy and give the CAISO Metered Entity or Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entity a notice detailing the discrepancies within 24 hours of that notification.

#### B 3 Review by the CAISO

The CAISO will review all documentation provided to it by the CAISO Metered Entity or Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entity (including the CAISO Meter Certification Form) and the site verification form prepared by the CAISO Authorized Inspector.

If the CAISO finds that the data is incomplete or fails to meet the relevant standards referred to in the CAISO Tariff and this Appendix, the CAISO shall provide written notice of

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

the deficiencies to the CAISO Metered Entity or Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entity within seven days of receiving the documentation referred to above.

If the CAISO finds that the data is complete, it shall, subject to any exemptions granted under 10.3.18.5.1 in relation to providing Meter Data directly to RMDAPS, initiate tests to certify the RMDAPS interface with the relevant Metering Facilities.

Upon successful completion of the RMDAPS interface tests the CAISO will issue a Certificate of Compliance. The CAISO shall return the original schematic drawings, stamped by the CAISO as approved and certified, and the original CAISO Meter Certification Form and site verification form. The CAISO will retain copies of these documents. Once all conditions have been satisfied to the CAISO's satisfaction, the CAISO shall promptly issue an original Certificate of Compliance.

#### B 4 Provisional Certification

If the CAISO finds that:

- (a) the data provided to it by the CAISO Metered Entity or Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entity is incomplete or fails to meet the relevant standards referred to in the CAISO Tariff and this Appendix; or
- (b) the Metering Facilities fail the RMDAPS interface test,

the CAISO may, at its discretion, elect to issue a provisional Certificate of Compliance in respect of those Metering Facilities. The term of and conditions on which such a provisional Certificate of Compliance is issued shall be at the CAISO's discretion. However, the CAISO will not issue an original Certificate of Compliance to the CAISO Metered Entity until such time as all of the conditions of the provisional Certificate of Compliance have been fulfilled to the satisfaction of the CAISO.

#### B 5 Requests for the CAISO to Perform Certification

If a CAISO Metered Entity would like the CAISO to perform the certification of its Metering Facilities in accordance with Section 10.2.4.1, that CAISO Metered Entity shall submit a written request to the CAISO. The written request must:

- (a) specify the Metering Facilities to be certified;
- (b) provide the documentation referred to in paragraph B1 of this Part; and
- (c) detail the reasons why it would be impossible or impractical for the CAISO Metered Entity to engage the services of a CAISO Authorized Inspector to perform the certification.

The CAISO will, within 14 days of receiving a request for it to certify Metering Facilities, inform the CAISO Metered Entity whether it will undertake the certification or require the CAISO Metered Entity to engage a CAISO Authorized Inspector to perform the certification.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

			C	CAISO I	Meter	Cer	tification Form					
Facility Informa	tion											
Name:							Unit Name:					
Address:						Drawing Numbers: (see note 1)						
CAISO Metered	Entit	ty Contac	ct :				Phone Number:					
Scheduled CAIS	O In	spection	Dat	te:								
Generator Info	mati	ion										
Gross Output	out					Auxiliary Load						
Net Output					Voltage / Connecti	rections						
Revenue Billing	j Info	ormation	)									
Meter Manufacti	ırer						Register Constant					
Meter Serial Number							Program ID Number					
Meter Type							Device ID					
Meter Form						IP Address/Router Port #						
Does meter have	e ext	ernal pul	se i	nputs fo	or tota	lizati	ion purposes? Yes	s □ (ir	nfo. is	attache	d) No	
			I	nternal	Mass	Mer	mory Constants					
Function		Channel		K <sub>e</sub>		PI	RI KWH Constant	Inter Siz	-	Display Sequence		
KWH DELIVERED												
KVARH DEL												
KVARH REC												
KWH RECEIVE	D											
Voltage Transformer Information						Current Transformer Information						
Name Plate		A□		В□	C		Name Plate	A		В□	C□	
Manufa cturer							Manufacturer					
Serial Number							Serial Number					
Туре		-					Туре					

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 902

Effective: November 1, 2007

Ratio				Ratio					
Voltage Class				Voltage Class					
BIL Rating				BIL Rating					
Accuracy Class				Accuracy Class					
Burden Rating				Rating Factor					
Connected Burden				Burden Rating					
				Connected Burden					
				Applied Test Burden					
				Burden Test	Pass □Fail	Pass □Fail	Pass □Fail □		
Instrument Transf (see note 2)	former Correc	tion Fa	ictors (FC	F)					
Full Load Power Factor			r Factor	Light Load					
Line Loss Compe	nsation Value	s (at F	ull Load N	leter Rating) (se	ee note 2 ar	nd 3)			
% Watt Fe Loss				% Var Fe Loss					
% Watt Cu Loss		% Var Cu Loss							
Total Compensation	on Values (at	Full Lo	ad Meter	Rating)					
% Watt Total Loss				% Var Total Loss					
Completed by:				Date:					
Remarks:									
Reviewed by:									

#### Notes:

- 1. CAISO Metered Entities shall provide a copy of the one line diagram and schematics detailing the connections from the instrument transformer to the meter, communication circuit and local meter data server (if applicable)in accordance with this Part.
- 2. CAISO Metered Entities shall attach a copy of the calculations used to determine these values.
- 3. For Power Transformer Loss Correction and Radial Line Loss Correction values the appropriate sign (+/-) should be utilized depending on the flow of Energy (delivered/received) and the location of the CAISO Meter Point.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

#### PART C

#### **METER CONFIGURATION CRITERIA**

#### C 1 Power Flow Conventions

Meters shall be installed and configured in such a manner so as to define the 4 Quadrants referred to in Exhibit 1 to Part D of this Appendix.

#### C 2 CAISO Standard Meter Memory Channel Assignments

Metering Facilities shall be installed and configured in such a manner so as to comply with the following CAISO requirements:

Channel 1 shall record active power delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid;

Channel 2 shall record reactive power delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid;

Channel 3 shall record reactive power received by the CAISO Controlled Grid; and

Channel 4 shall record active power received by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

For metering with bi-directional power flows, the CAISO reserves the right to require metering which will measure 4 quadrant Vars. Situations like a generating plant that nets gross generator output and auxiliary loads on one meter which could swap from a supplying to a buying mode and vice versa may require this type of metering. To properly account for such cases, six channels of data will be required. This configuration is considered optional unless specified by CAISO as required. Such Metering Facilities shall be installed and configured in such a manner so as to comply with the following CAISO requirements:

Channel 1 shall record active power delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid;

Channel 2 shall record quadrant 1 reactive power delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid;

Channel 3 shall record quadrant 3 reactive power received by the CAISO Controlled Grid;

Channel 4 shall record active power received by the CAISO Controlled Grid;

Channel 5 shall record quadrant 2 reactive power delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid; and

Channel 6 shall record quadrant 4 reactive power received by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

#### C 3 CAISO Standard Meter Display Modes

The following display readings shall be displayed in the normal display mode to comply with CAISO requirements.

Normal Display Mode (Standard Configuration, Uni-directional/Bi-directional kWh and kVarh)

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

For standard metering applications the display items should be utilized in the sequence listed below. When metering uni-directional power flows, the quantities listed below that do not apply (i.e. for generation only applications, the delivered quantities should have zero accumulation) may be omitted. The only exception to this would be where the display items correlate to the load profile channel assignments. The 4 display readings that correlate to the 4 load profile channels must also be displayed.

Date MM:DD:YY.

Time HH:MM:SS (Pacific Standard Time, military format).

Total kWh delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Maximum kWd (5 minute or hourly demand interval) delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Date and time of maximum kWd delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Total kVarh delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Total kVarh received by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Total kWh received by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Maximum kWd (5 minute or hourly demand interval) received by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Date and time of maximum kWd received by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

# Normal Display Mode (Optional Configuration, Bi-directional Kwh and Four Quadrant kVarh)

For metering bi-directional power flows in which CAISO requires optional 4 quadrant Var measurement, the following display items should be displayed in the sequence listed below:

Date MM:DD:YY.

Time HH:MM:SS (Pacific Standard time, military format).

Total kWh delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Maximum kWd (5 minute or hourly demand interval) delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Date and time of maximum kWd delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Total kVarh for Quadrant 1.

Total kVarh for Quadrant 2.

Total kVarh for Quadrant 3.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Total kVarh for Quadrant 4.

Total kWh received by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Maximum kWd (5 minute or hourly demand interval) received by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Date and time of maximum kWd received by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

#### **Consumption Values**

The consumption values shall be in XXXXX.X format and demand in XXXX.XX format. The register scaling factor should be set such that the display does not roll over in less than 60 days.

#### **Alternative Display Mode**

The values listed below should be displayed in the alternate display mode to comply with CAISO requirements:

Phase A voltage magnitude and phase angle.

Phase B voltage magnitude and phase angle.

Phase C voltage magnitude and phase angle.

Phase A current magnitude and phase angle.

Phase B current magnitude and phase angle.

Phase C current magnitude and phase angle.

Neutral current magnitude and phase angle (if available).

Instantaneous kW delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid (for bi-directional power flows and/or applications where the power flow is out of CAISO Controlled Grid).

Instantaneous kW received by the CAISO Controlled Grid (for bi-directional power flows and/or applications where the power flow is received by the CAISO Controlled Grid).

When available, the alternative display mode may also be used by CAISO Metered Entities to display other definable quantities in sequence after the values defined above.

#### C 4 Instantaneous Power Factor - Test Mode

The following values should be displayed in the test mode to comply with CAISO requirements:

total pulse count for test; and

total consumption during test.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

During the test mode the above values should be provided for each function being tested (Watts, Vars). The data displayed by the meter while in test mode shall not change the normal mode display registers nor shall it be recorded in the load profile channels. This requirement is imposed to prevent the test data from being recorded as actual load/generation data.

CAISO Metered Entities may add additional display quantities in sequence in the test mode after the values defined above.

#### C 5 Transformer and Line Loss Correction

The CAISO Metered Entity will be responsible for properly calculating and applying the transformer and line loss corrections to its meters in accordance with this Appendix to reflect the actual meter usage (on the low side) as opposed to the theoretical meter usage at the transmission point.

#### C 6 CT/VT and Cable Loss Correction Factors

Where the connected burden of a metering circuit exceeds the burden rating of a CT or VT or if an existing instrument transformer does not meet the minimum CAISO accuracy requirements, then one of the actions listed below must to be taken:

- (a) replace the instrument transformer(s) with higher burden rated revenue class units; or
- (b) reduce the burden on the circuit to comply with the name plate of existing instrument transformer(s); or
- (c) apply correction factors to the meter to adjust the meter's registration to compensate for inaccuracies.

The CAISO preferred action is that referred to in paragraph (a) above.

The CAISO Metered Entity will be responsible for properly calculating and applying the CT/VT and cable loss correction factors to its meters in accordance with this Appendix to adjust for inaccuracies in the metering circuit.

### C 7 Special Applications, Configurations and Unique Situations

CAISO Metered Entities are responsible for providing the CAISO with the necessary Meter Data and other information to enable the CAISO to prepare Settlement Quality Meter Data. For instance, where there is a generating plant with multiple generators and auxiliary loads, the CAISO Metered Entity must provide appropriate information (i.e. documentation, descriptions, one line diagrams, etc.) to the CAISO to ensure that the CAISO can properly account for the net generator output of each unit under all combinations of generation and load (e.g. where only one generator is operating but all auxiliary loads are being supplied).

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## **PART D**

## STANDARDS FOR METERING FACILITIES

The standards for Metering Facilities referred to in this Part provide additional details to the standards referred to in Appendix J to the CAISO Tariff.

The standards referred to in Appendix J to the CAISO Tariff and this Part apply to CAISO Metered Entities and, where the relevant Local Regulatory Authority has not set any standards, to Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entities.

## D 1 Standards for Existing Metering Facilities

Existing Metering Facilities are those facilities that are fully installed as of the CAISO Operations Date. Existing Metering Facilities used by CAISO Metered Entities shall meet the following general standards:

revenue quality instrument transformers at the generator output level (specifically at all main generators, banks and local distribution load supplied from the generator) must have an accuracy of 0.3% or better

generator auxiliary load metering must have an overall accuracy of 3%

revenue quality instrument transformers at transmission metering points must have an accuracy of 0.3% or better

#### D 2 General Standards for New Meters

New Meters are those meters that are installed after the CAISO Operations Date. New Meters used by CAISO Metered Entities shall meet the following general standards:

they must be revenue quality in an accuracy class of 0.25%

they must be remotely accessible, reliable, 60 Hz, three phase, bi-directional, programmable and multifunction electronic meters

they must be capable of measuring kWh and kVarh and providing calculated three phase values for kVah, kVa

they must have a demand function including cumulative, rolling, block interval demand calculation and maximum demand peaks

there must be battery back-up for maintaining RAM and a real-time clock during outages of up to thirty days

there must be AC potential indicators on each of the three phases

they must be capable of being powered either internally from the bus or externally from a standard 120 volt AC source.

they must be capable of providing RMDAPS (MV-90) addressable metering protocol

they must be capable of 60 days storage of kWh and KVarh interval data

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

If there is any inconsistency between these general standards and the detailed standards referred to in paragraphs D3 and D4 of this Part, the detailed standards shall prevail.

#### D 3 Detailed Standards for New Meters

Exhibit 1 to this Part provides the detailed specifications with which new meters must comply.

## D 4 Detailed Standards for New Oil Filled, Wound Instrument Transformers

Exhibit 2 to this Part provides the detailed specifications with which new oil filled, wound instrument transformers must comply.

## D 5 Standards for Compatible Meter Data Servers

In order for a meter data acquisition and processing system of a metered entity to be certified by the CAISO as a Compatible Meter Data Server, that metered entity must satisfy the CAISO that the server is capable of providing:

- Meter Data and/or Settlement Quality Meter Data to RMDAPS in the Meter Data Exchange Format via the CAISO secure communication system and/or REMnet via File Transfer Protocol (FTP);
- Meter Data to the CAISO which is real data at least comparable to data obtained directly by RMDAPS from meters;
- Meter Data and/or Settlement Quality Meter Data to the CAISO on demand within 10 minutes of receiving such a demand from the CAISO;
- System Back Up procedures that permit submission of data within 41 days of a Trading Day to RMDAPS even in the event of a major facility or system problem. Back Up procedures must be documented and available for review by CAISO.
- System Security procedures that limit the accessibility to meter data and the system parameters. The System Security procedures must be documented and available for review by CAISO.
- If applicable, procedures that define methods of profiling consumption meter data into intervals. These procedures must be documented, they must follow any appropriate regulatory guidelines and they must be available for review by the v.
- System day-to-day operational procedures, these procedures should be available for CAISO review and audit.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## **EXHIBIT 1 TO PART D**

#### **SPECIFICATION MTR1-96**

ENGINEERING SPECIFICATION
FOR POLYPHASE SOLID-STATE
ELECTRICITY REVENUE QUALITY METERS
FOR USE ON THE CAISO CONTROLLED GRID

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	GENERAL INFORMATION
2.	SCOPE
2.1	General
2.2	Applicability
3.	METERING FUNCTIONS
3.1	Measured Quantities
3.2	<b>Basic Default Metering Function</b>
3.3	<b>Demand Metering Function</b>
3.4	Time-of-Use (TOU) Metering Function
3.5	Self-Read TOU Metering Function
3.6	Load Profile Function
3.7	Function during Power Disturbances
3.8	Meter Test Mode Function
4.	DISPLAY REQUIREMENTS
4.1	LCD Display
4.2	Viewing Characteristics
4.3	Display Components
4.4	Digits
4.5	Time Format
4.6	Date Format
4.7	Operating Modes
4.8	Normal Mode
4.9	Alternate Mode
4.10	Display Items
4.11	Constants and Correction Factors.
4.12	Identifiers
5.	METER DIAGNOSTICS
5.1	Self-test
5.2	Diagnostic Checks
5.3	Pulse Overrun
5.4	Error and Warning Displays
5.5	Error Reset
6	PROGRAMMING AND SOFTWARE
6.1	Optical Communications Interface.
6.2	Meter Programmers
6.3	Software
6.4	Rate Development Program
6.5	Rate Development Program Functions
6.6	Field Program
6.7	Field Program Functions

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 911

6.8	Field Disk Serialization Program
6.9	DOS or Windows
6.10	Communication Protocol
6.11	Optical Probe
7	COMMUNICATION
7.1	Optical Port
7.2	Baud Rate
7.3	Optical Port Location
7.4	Optical Port Cable
7.5	RS232 or RS 485 or RSXXX.
8	OPTIONAL METER FUNCTIONS
8.1	Pulse Outputs
8.2	Current Loop
8.3	Internal Modem
8.4	Demand Threshold Alarm
9	ACCURACY
9.1	ANSI C12.10
9.2	Factory Calibration
9.3	Test Equipment
9.4	Creep
9.5	Starting Current
9.6	Start-up Delay
9.7	Pulse Outputs
10	ELECTRICAL REQUIREMENTS
10.1	Meter Forms, Voltage Ratings and Classes
10.2	Circuit Boards
10.3	LCD Display Connectors
10.4	Metering Application
10.5	Connections
10.6	Meter Register Power Supply
10.7	Clock
10.8	Batteries
10.9	Electromagnetic Compatibility
10.10	Radio Interference Suppression
11	MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS
11.1	General
11.2	Corrosion Protection
11.3	Solar Radiation
11.4	Corrosive Atmospheres
11.5	Meter Package
11.6	Nameplate

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 912

12.	SECURITY
12.1	Billing Period Reset
12.2	Meter Password
12.3	Test Mode
12.4	Program Security
12.5	Revenue Protection
13	METER APPROVAL TESTING
13.1	General Requirement
13.2	Meter Failure Definition
13.3	Meter Design Rejection Criteria
13.4	Test Setup
13.5	Functional Test (No Load Test)
13.6	Accuracy Test
13.7	Line Voltage Variation Test
13.8	Momentary Power Loss
13.9	Power Failure Backup System Test
13.10	Brownout and Extended Low Voltage Test
13.11	Effect of Power Failure Backup System Voltage Variation on Clock Accuracy
13.12	Effect of Temperature Variation on Clock Accuracy
13.13	Temperature Cycle Test
13.14	Humidity Cycle Test
13.15	Insulation Withstand Test
13.16	Standard Waveform Surge Withstand Test
13.17	Fast Transient Waveform Surge Withstand Test
13.18	Powerline Surge Voltage and Current Test
13.19	Electrostatic Susceptibility Test
13.20	Visual Inspection
13.21	Shipping Test
14	SAFETY
14.1	Hazardous Voltage
14.2	Grounding
14.3	Toxic Materials
14.4	Fire Hazard
15	DATA SECURITY AND PERFORMANCE
16	DOCUMENTATION
16.1	Hardware Documentation To Be Provided For CAISO Review
16.2	Software
17	APPLICABLE STANDARDS
18	DEFINITIONS

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### 1 General Information

This Exhibit applies to all solid-state polyphase electricity meters used in revenue metering applications on the CAISO Controlled Grid (Meters).

## 2 Scope

#### 2.1 General

This Exhibit provides the minimum functional and performance requirements for Meters. All requirements in this Exhibit are intended to ensure the expected life cycles, security, accuracy, reliability and minimum maintenance requirement of Meters. Some requirements, however, are specified to maintain the compatibility and interchangeability of the Meter.

## 2.2 Applicability

Meters approved under this Exhibit may not be required to have all of the specified features. Meters shall meet the specified minimum requirements and the requirements of Section 13 (Meter Approval Testing) of this Exhibit.

## 3 Metering Functions

#### 3.1 Measured Quantities

As used in this Exhibit, the term "delivered" applies to Energy flowing out of the CAISO Controlled Grid and the term "received" applies to Energy flowing into the CAISO Controlled Grid.

#### 3.1.1 Consumption

The following consumption quantities are required for all Meters approved for use on the CAISO Controlled Grid:

- (a) Kilowatt-hours—delivered;
- (b) Kilowatt-hours—received;
- (c) Kilovar-hours—delivered, received, for each quadrant;
- (d) Kilovoltamp-hours—delivered, received, for each quadrant;
- (e) Ampere-squared-hours; and
- (f) Volts-squared-hours.

#### 3.1.2 **Demand**

The following demand quantities are required for all meters approved for use on the CAISO Controlled Grid:

- (a) Kilowatts—delivered;
- (b) Kilowatts—received;

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- (c) Kilovars—delivered, received, for any quadrant; and
- (d) Kilovoltamps—delivered, received, for any quadrant.

#### 3.1.3 Power Factors

The CAISO may specify average power factors for the previous demand sub-interval in any quadrant or any combination of two quadrants.

#### 3.1.4 Reverse Consumption/Demand

The Meter shall be programmable to take one of the following actions for reverse consumption and demand quantities:

- (a) ignore the reverse quantities; and
- (b) add the reverse quantities to the appropriate consumption and demand quantities.

#### 3.2 Basic Default Metering Function

When power is applied to the Meter, it shall immediately begin recording bi-directional total kilowatt-hours. Reverse power flow shall carry a negative sign. This function shall be performed regardless of whether the Meter is programmed or not and shall not require a battery. An unprogrammed Meter shall indicate that it is unprogrammed. The CAISO may request a Meter to be programmed with a specific program.

## 3.3 Demand Metering Function

Meters shall have the following demand metering functions:

- (a) as a minimum, the Meter shall be programmable for fixed and/or rolling interval demand calculations on bi-directional kilowatts and kilovars;
- (b) a battery shall not be required to perform demand calculations, to save the results or to communicate the results to a handheld meter reader connected to the optical port;
- (c) the Meter shall be programmable for one minute delivered kilowatt demand (as an approximation of "instantaneous" kilowatts delivered) in addition to the rolling interval demand calculation. The one minute demand is not required to be synchronous with the other demand quantities;
- (d) the Meter shall be programmable for rolling interval demand calculations for any optional demand quantity (see Section 3.1.2) that CAISO specifies.
- (e) demand intervals shall be programmable for a duration of 5, 10, 15, 30 or 60 minutes;
- (f) the demand interval shall be composed of an integral number of sub-intervals. Sub-interval duration shall be a programmable duration of 1, 5, 10, 15 or 30 minutes;
- (g) demand functions shall be capable of temporary suspension for a programmable time interval after power is restored following a power outage. The length of time shall be programmable from zero to 60 minutes in one minute intervals:

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- (h) after a demand reset, further manual demand resets shall be prevented with a programmable lockout time. A demand reset from a Meter Programmer connected to the optical port is not subject to this delay and can be initiated as frequently as required; and
- (i) if the Meter has been programmed for Time-of-Use (TOU) functions, the time at which maximum demand occurred shall be recorded at the end of that demand interval.

#### 3.4 Time-of-Use (TOU) Metering Function

Meters shall have the following TOU metering functions:

- (a) as a minimum, the Meter shall be programmable for TOU calculations for bi-directional kilowatt-hours and kilovarhours and bi-directional kilowatt and kilovar demand.:
- (b) the Meter shall be programmable for TOU calculations for any optional consumption or demand quantity (see Section 3.1.1 or 3.1.2) that the CAISO specifies;
- (c) the calendar shall be programmable into one to four mutually exclusive seasons;
- (d) each season shall be further programmable into one to four mutually exclusive daily TOU schedules;
- (e) the Meter shall be capable of distinguishing weekdays, weekends, days of the week, and holidays.
- each consumption and demand quantity shall be metered independently for each TOU schedule;
- (g) only one season and one TOU schedule shall be active at a given time. There shall always be one active season and one active TOU schedule;
- (h) each daily TOU schedule shall be capable of a minimum of eight switch points with a minimum resolution of a quarter hour;
- the calendar shall be capable of accommodating leap years, daylight saving time changes and recurring holidays; and
- (j) the Meter shall have capacity for a minimum calendar of 20 years, taking into account 12 holidays/year, 4 seasons/year, and 2 daylight savings time adjustments/year.

#### 3.5 Self-Read TOU Metering Function

Meters shall have the following self-read TOU metering functions:

- as a minimum the Meter shall perform a self-read of all consumption and demand quantities on season changes. A self-read shall consist of reading the quantities, resetting the demand and storing the data;
- (b) the change of season self-reads shall occur at midnight of the day before the season change;

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Effective: November 1, 2007

- (c) the CAISO may specify that the Meter be programmable for up to three consecutive selfreads. The self-reads shall be programmable for:
  - i. a specific day of each month at midnight;
  - ii. a specific number of days from the last demand reset (read) at midnight; and
  - iii. self-read time of use metering; and
- (d) self-read data, other than previous season data, need not be displayed but shall be retrievable with a Meter Programmer connected to the optical port.

#### 3.6 Load Profile Function

Meters shall have the following load profile functions:

- (a) the CAISO may specify that the Meter provide load profile recording of interval data for 1 to 4 channels of consumption quantities;
- (b) load recording of interval data shall operate independently of the TOU functions;
- (c) date and time shall be stored with the load recording of interval data;
- (d) load recording of interval data shall use a "wraparound" memory that stores new interval data by writing over the oldest interval data;
- (e) the load recording of interval data function shall be capable of storing and communicating a minimum of 60 days of 4 channel, 5 minute interval data, in addition to allowances for event recording (power outages, resets, time sets, etc.);
- (f) the load recording of interval data function shall have the capacity to count and store at least 16,000 counts in a 15 minute period of time; and
- (g) load recording of interval data shall continue while the Meter is communicating with a Meter Programmer connected to the optical port.

#### 3.7 Function during Power Disturbances

Meters shall have the following functions during power disturbances:

- (a) during powerline disturbances such as brownout or outage conditions the Meter shall maintain all meter data as well as time keeping functions. Display and communication functions are not required during these conditions;
- (b) the Meter shall withstand the following outages during a continuous ten year or longer service without the need to maintain its auxiliary power system, including replacing the battery:
  - i. 20 short outages per year of less than 30 seconds per outage; and
  - ii. 40 days of continuous/cumulative outage;

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

- (c) during a power outage, critical program and billing data shall be written to non-volatile memory. When power is restored, data shall be returned to active memory and data collection resumed:
- (d) following a power outage, register "catch-up" time shall be a maximum of 30 seconds. During the "catch-up" time the Meter shall still calculate consumption and demand quantities. Optional outputs shall also function during this time;
- (e) during power outages, time shall be maintained with a cumulative error of no more than 2 minutes per week (0.02%);
- (f) the Meter shall record the date and time of any power outage; and
- (g) Meters may also record the duration of any power outage.

#### 3.8 Meter Test Mode Function

Meters shall have the following meter test mode functions:

- (a) the Meter shall have the capability of a Test Mode function that suspends normal metering operation during testing so that additional consumption and demand from the tests are not added to the Meter's totals:
- (b) the Test Mode function shall be activated by a permanently mounted physical device that requires removal of the Meter cover to access or by a Meter Programmer connected to the optical port;
- (c) activation of the Test Mode shall cause all present critical billing data to be stored in non-volatile memory and restored at the time of exit from the Test Mode;
- (d) upon activation of the Test Mode, register displays shall accumulate beginning from zero;
- (e) actuation of the billing period reset device during Test Mode shall reset the test mode registers;
- (f) after a programmable time-out period, the Meter will automatically exit from Test Mode and return to normal metering; and
- (g) the default Test Mode registers for an unprogrammed meter shall include as a minimum:
  - i. time remaining in the test interval;
  - ii. maximum kilowatt block demand; and
  - iii. total kilowatt-hours.

#### 4 Display Requirements

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## 4.1 LCD Display

The Meter shall have an electronic display for displaying the consumption and demand quantities. A liquid crystal display (LCD) is preferred.

## 4.2 Viewing Characteristics

Digits for displaying the consumption and demand quantities shall be a minimum of 7/16" in height and be legible in normal daylight conditions from a distance of six feet by an observer. The viewing angle shall be a minimum of fifteen degrees from the front Meter face line of sight.

## 4.3 Display Components

The display shall provide the following:

- (a) six digits for display of the consumption and demand quantities and constants with decimal points for the three least significant digits;
- (b) three digits for numeric display identifiers (ID numbers);
- (c) alternate and Test Mode indication;
- (d) potential indication for each phase;
- (e) current TOU rate indicator;
- end of interval indicator;
- (g) visual representation of the magnitude and direction of kilowatt loading;
- (h) visual representation of the magnitude and direction of kilovar loading if the Meter is capable of measuring kilovars; and
- (i) Annunciators for most consumption and demand quantities.

## 4.4 Digits

Consumption and demand quantities shall be programmable for display with leading zeroes in four, five or six digits with a decimal point at any of the least significant three digits.

#### 4.5 Time Format

Time shall be displayed in the 24 hour military format.

#### 4.6 Date Format

Date shall be displayed programmable in either Day/Month/Year or Month/Day/Year format.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## 4.7 Operating Modes

The display shall have at least three of the following operating modes:

- (a) Normal Mode in this mode, the display shall scroll automatically through the programmed displays for normal meter reading;
- (b) Alternate Mode in this mode, the display shall scroll automatically, scroll manually or freeze for up to one minute for alternate programmed displays;
- (c) Test Mode in this mode, the display shall scroll automatically, scroll manually or freeze for up to one minute for test quantity displays; and
- (d) Segment Check in this mode, all segments or displays are activated to verify display integrity.

Display ID numbers and display sequence shall be independently programmable for each of the modes referred to above. Display times shall be programmable.

#### 4.8 Normal Mode

Upon power-up, the Meter display shall operate in the Normal Mode. The Meter display shall operate in Normal Mode until power is disconnected or until either the Alternate Mode or the Test Mode is activated.

#### 4.9 Alternate Mode

The Alternate Mode shall be initiated with a display control device that does not require Meter cover removal or with a Meter Programmer connected to the optical port.

Display Items

As a minimum, the Meter shall provide the display quantities and items for each of the modes referred to in Section 4.7 as detailed in Attachment 2.

#### 4.10 Constants and Correction Factors.

The Meter shall have programmable multi-variable polynomial function multipliers and/or summers to account for instrument transformer ratios, instrument transformer correction factors, the Meter constant, radial line losses and power transformer loss correction.

## 4.11 Identifiers

The Meter shall have programmable identifiers for the Meter ID, the person who programmed the Meter (programmer ID) and the current program ID. The Meter ID shall be capable of eight alphanumeric characters.

#### 5 Meter Diagnostics

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### 5.1 Self-test

The Meter register shall be capable of performing a self-test of the register software. As a minimum, the self-test shall be performed at the following times:

- (a) whenever communications are established to the register;
- (b) after a power-up; and
- (c) once per day.

## 5.2 Diagnostic Checks

As a minimum, the following diagnostic checks shall be performed during a self-test:

- (a) check the backup battery capacity;
- (b) verify the program integrity; and
- (c) verify the memory integrity.

#### 5.3 Pulse Overrun

The register shall be capable of detecting that the maximum number of pulses have been exceeded during a demand interval.

## 5.4 Error and Warning Displays

Meters shall be capable of the following displays:

- (a) any detected error or warning shall be stored in memory and an error or warning code displayed on the display;
- (b) error code displays shall freeze the display; and
- (c) warning code displays shall be programmable to one of the following choices:
  - i. freeze the warning code on the display;
  - ii. ignore the warning code (not displayed); or
  - iii. warning code display at the end of the Normal, Alternate or Test Modes display sequences.

#### 5.5 Error Reset

Error or warning conditions shall only be reset upon an explicit command invoked via the Meter Programmer or upon some other explicit action by the Meter technician.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## 6 Programming and Software

## 6.1 Optical Communications Interface.

The Meter shall be capable of communicating with a handheld reader (Itron DataCap or similar) through the optical port.

## 6.2 Meter Programmers

The CAISO and CAISO Authorized Inspectors will use PC DOS based laptop and handheld computers with LCD displays as meter reader/programming devices (Meter Programmers). Communications with the Meter shall be through the optical port.

#### 6.3 Software

The CAISO Metered Entity shall ensure that its supplier provides all software for maintenance, programming and operation of the Meter. The software shall include the following:

- (a) Rate Development Program;
- (b) Field Program;
- (c) Field Disk Serialization Program; and
- (d) Password protection to preclude 3rd party access for all levels of access except readonly.

## 6.4 Rate Development Program

The CAISO Metered Entity shall ensure that its supplier provides a Rate Development Program software package which allows the CAISO to customize the Meter's rate schedules and the Meter's operating parameters. The Rate Development Program shall be capable of utilizing all programmable functions of the Meter.

## 6.5 Rate Development Program Functions

The Rate Development Program as a minimum shall provide the following functions in a "user-friendly" manner:

- (a) originate or modify Meter configuration records;
- (b) validate user entries for format and range;
- (c) translate user entry into code for configuring the Meter;
- (d) send and receive configurations to and from the Meter;
- (e) compare configuration files from the Meter with desired files and report discrepancies;
- (f) read Meter billing data and load profile data;
- (g) generate Meter data and diagnostic reports for printing; and

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

(h) generate configuration files for loading into the Meter via the Field Program.

## 6.6 Field Program

The CAISO Metered Entity shall ensure its supplier provides a Field Program software package for use with CAISO's Meter Programmer. The Field Program in conjunction with any such Meter Programmer shall be capable of loading the rate schedule and meter operating parameters as generated by the Rate Development Program into the Meter.

## 6.7 Field Program Functions

The Field Program as a minimum shall provide the following functions:

- (a) set date and time on the Meter;
- (b) preset the Meter consumption registers;
- (c) send and receive configurations to and from the Meter;
- (d) compare configuration files from the Meter with desired files and report discrepancies;
- (e) read Meter billing data and load profile data;
- (f) generate Meter data and diagnostic reports for printing;
- (g) read, display and modify the present settings of field configurable items;
- (h) execute a billing period reset;
- (i) reset all consumption and demand quantities; and
- (j) not have the capability to alter the configuration files as generated by the Rate Development Program.

#### 6.8 Field Disk Serialization Program

The CAISO Metered Entity shall ensure that its supplier provides a Field Disk Serialization Program software package that associates an unique password with each copy of the Field Program. The Field Disk Serialization Program shall use an ASCII text file in a specified format as input and place a different password on one or more copies of a field disk generated by the Rate Development Program.

#### 6.9 DOS or Windows

All software programs shall be PC DOS or Windows based. The Rate Development Program shall be either a Microsoft Windows 9x application or a DOS application capable of running under Microsoft Windows 9x without any loss of function. The Field Program and the Field Disk Serialization Program shall be DOS applications capable of running under PC-DOS Version 7 or later.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### 6.10 Communication Protocol

The protocol used for communication with the Meter through either the optical port or the optional modem shall be an asynchronous, byte oriented protocol.

## 6.11 Optical Probe

The Rate Development Program and the Field Program shall support use of a compatible optical probe (ABB Unicomm or similar) connected to the standard PC serial port of the Meter Programmer.

#### 7 Communication

## 7.1 Optical Port

The primary communication port to the Meter for reading and programming of the internal data shall be an optically isolated communication port per ANSI C12.13, Type 2 or other serial port.

## 7.2 Baud Rate

The optical port shall communicate at a minimum of 9600 baud.

#### 7.3 Optical Port Location

The optical port shall be located in the front of the Meter and be accessible without removing the Meter's cover. The optical port shall also be functional with the Meter cover removed.

## 7.4 Optical Port Cable

There shall be no cable connection between the optical port on the Meter cover and the register.

## 7.5 RS232 or RS 485 or RSXXX.

One RSXXX port shall be provided at the Meter for bi-directional communications (with security provisions included) to computers and/or data acquisition devices. The Meter must have the capability for being polled every 15 minutes for data by RMDAPS or a Compatible Meter Data Server. An optional RSXXX port or ports with read-only access can be provided for others desiring the data. All RSXXX ports shall be optically isolated.

The Meter shall be capable of being polled simultaneously by more than one entity on one or more of it's ports without loss of data, interference, lockup or other such problems. In all cases, priority servicing shall be given to the CAISO required RSXXX port (used by RMDAPS).

The Meter shall support and be implementable with the CAISO secure communication system chains, including:

- (a) Meter RSXXX port to ISDN line (or lease line) to ATM Cloud POP to RMDAPS; and
- (b) Meter RSXXX port to Compatible Meter Data Server to Frame Relay or ISDN line to ATM Cloud POP to RMDAPS.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## 8 Optional Meter Functions

## 8.1 Pulse Outputs

The CAISO may specify one to four channels of pulse outputs that are proportional to the consumption quantities. The pulse output values shall be programmable with pulse durations of at least 100 milliseconds. The outputs may be either 2-wire, Form A or 3-wire, Form C configuration.

## 8.2 Current Loop

The CAISO may specify an additional serial communication port consisting of a 2-wire, 20 milliamp current loop that is optically isolated from the rest of the Meter. At a minimum, the baud rate shall be selectable as 300/ 1200/ 2400/ 9600 baud.

#### 8.3 Internal Modem

The CAISO may specify an internal modem having telephone communications at autobaud rates of up to 28800 baud. The modem shall include automatic baud select, configurable answer time window and configurable answer ringcounter. The ring detect circuitry shall not be affected by spurious voltage rises in the telephone line.

#### 8.4 Demand Threshold Alarm

The CAISO may specify a kilowatt threshold relay that closes at a programmable demand value and stays closed for the remainder of the interval and until at least one complete interval does not exceed the threshold value. The value shall be independently programmable for each TOU rate season and schedule.

## 9 Accuracy

#### 9.1 ANSI C12.10

The Meter shall meet or exceed the accuracy specifications contained in ANSI C12.10 over its entire service life without the need for adjustment.

## 9.2 Factory Calibration

The Meter shall be calibrated to provide the following level of accuracy:

- (a)  $\pm$  0.2% at full load at power factor of 100%;
- (b)  $\pm$  0.25% at full load at power factor of 50% lag;
- (c)  $\pm$  0.25% at full load power factor at 50% lead; and
- (d)  $\pm$  0.25% at light load at power factor of 100%.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## 9.3 Test Equipment

Meter accuracy and calibration tests, both shop and field, shall require only standard test equipment. No special laboratory-type test equipment or test procedures shall be required to assure accuracy of the Meter.

## 9.4 Creep

The Meter shall not creep. No pulse generation or registration shall occur for any consumption or demand quantity which depends on current while the current circuit is open.

## 9.5 Starting Current

The Meter shall start to calculate consumption and demand quantities when the per phase current reaches Class 20 - 5 milliamps.

## 9.6 Start-up Delay

The Meter shall start to calculate consumption and demand quantities less than 3 seconds after power application.

#### 9.7 Pulse Outputs

Pulse outputs shall have the same accuracy as the Meter displays.

## 10 Electrical Requirements

#### 10.1 Meter Forms, Voltage Ratings and Classes

The following forms, voltage ratings and classes of Meters are approved for installation on the CAISO Controlled Grid:

- (a) A Base Type, FORMS 5A and 9A, 120 Volts, Class 10 and Class 20;
- (b) Socket Type, FORMS 5S and 9S, 120 Volts, Class 10 and Class 20;
- (c) Switchboard Type, 2 Element and 3 Element, 120 Volts, Class 10 & Class 20; and
- (d) Rack mounted meter assemblies 2 element and 3 element, Class 10 & Class 20.

## 10.2 Circuit Boards

All circuit boards in the Meter shall be designed to meet CAISO's environmental and electrical testing requirements and the service life and performance expectations detailed in this Exhibit.

#### 10.3 LCD Display Connectors

Gold pins encased in an elastomer or carbonized contacts, or some other better construction, shall be used to connect the LCD display to the register circuit board.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## 10.4 Metering Application

The Meter shall be used to meter electrical service on a continuous duty.

#### 10.5 Connections

The Meter's internal electrical connections shall be in accordance with ANSI C12.10.

## 10.6 Meter Register Power Supply

The Meter register shall be powered from the line side of the Meter and shall have provision for external backup power. Neither the normal power supply nor the backup power supply (when so equipped) shall be fused.

#### 10.7 Clock

Clocks shall meet the following requirements:

- (a) the clock internal to the Meter shall be accurate within 2 minutes per week (0.02%) when not synchronized to the CAISO Controlled Grid operation line frequency and shall be resettable through the CAISO communications interface. The CAISO will transmit a periodic master synchronizing signal to the meter;
- (b) the internal clock shall have two modes of operation as follows:
  - the clock shall synchronize with the CAISO Controlled Grid operation line frequency until an outage occurs. During the outage, the clock will then synchronize with its own internal crystal. When power returns, the clock shall resynchronize with the CAISO's master synchronizing signal and follow line frequency; and
  - ii. the clock shall always synchronize with its own internal crystal, as a default; and
- (c) the choice of clock mode shall be programmable.

#### 10.8 Batteries

Batteries shall meet the following requirements:

- (a) when the Meter design requires a battery as auxiliary power supply, the requirements of Section 3.7 shall apply;
- (b) the battery shall be secured with a holder securely attached to the Meter. The battery holder and electrical connections shall be designed to prevent the battery from being installed with reversed polarity;
- replaceable batteries shall be easily accessible by removing the Meter cover. Battery replacement while the Meter is in service shall not interfere with any of the specified functions;
- (d) no fuse external to the battery shall be installed in the battery circuit;

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 927

- (e) the Meter battery shall provide a minimum carryover capability at 23° C for the functions listed in Section 3.7 and have a 15 year shelf life; and
- (f) the following information shall be clearly identified on the battery:
  - i. manufacturer;
  - ii. date of manufacture, including year and month (i.e. 9601) or year and week (i.e. 9644);
  - iii. polarity;
  - iv. voltage rating; and
  - v. type.

## 10.9 Electromagnetic Compatibility

The Meter shall be designed in such a way that conducted or radiated electromagnetic disturbances as well as electrostatic discharges do not damage nor substantially influence the Meter.

#### 10.10 Radio Interference Suppression

The Meter shall:

- (a) not generate conducted or radiated radio frequency noise which could interfere with other equipment; and
- (b) meet FCC Part 15 Class B computing device radio frequency interference standards.

## 11 Mechanical Requirements

#### 11.1 General

The Meter shall not pose any danger when operating under rated conditions in its normal working position. Particular attention should be paid to the following:

- (a) personnel protection against electric shock;
- (b) personnel protection against effects of excessive temperature;
- (c) protection against the spread of fire; and
- (d) protection against penetration of solid objects, dust or water.

## 11.2 Corrosion Protection

All parts of the Meter shall be effectively protected against corrosion under normal operating conditions. Protective coatings shall not be damaged by ordinary handling nor damaged due to exposure to air. The Meter shall be capable of operating in atmospheres of up to (and including) 95% relative humidity condensing.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### 11.3 Solar Radiation

The functions of the Meter shall not be impaired, the appearance of the Meter shall not be altered and the legibility of the Meter nameplate and other labels shall not be reduced due to exposure to solar radiation throughout the service life of the Meter.

## 11.4 Corrosive Atmospheres

CAISO may specify additional requirements for Meters used in corrosive atmospheres.

## 11.5 Meter Package

The Meter Package shall meet the following requirements:

- (a) the socket Meter's dimensions shall be in accordance with ANSI C12.10;
- (b) the socket Meter shall be designed for mounting outdoors in a standard meter socket;
- (c) Meters shall have a twist-on self locking cover in accordance with ANSI C12.10 requirements. The Meter cover shall:
  - i. not contain a metal or conducting locking ring;
  - ii. shall be resistant to ultraviolet radiation;
  - iii. be sealed in such a way that the internal parts of the Meter are accessible only after breaking the seal(s);
  - iv. for any non-permanent cover deformation, not prevent the satisfactory operation of the meter:
  - v. for the "sprue" hole (mold fill hole), not affect the ability to read the Meter; and
  - vi. have an optical port per ANSI C12.13, Type 2.
- (d) the method of securing the socket Meter to the meter socket shall be with either a sealing ring or a high security sealing device;
- (e) the billing period demand reset device shall accommodate a standard electric meter seal and shall remain in place with friction if not sealed; and
- (f) filtered ventilation shall be provided in the base of the Meter to prevent condensation inside the Meter.

## 11.6 Nameplate

The Meter nameplate shall:

- (a) comply with the minimum information requirements of ANSI C12.10;
- (b) include the Meter's serial number and the date of manufacture. The manufacturing date shall include the year and month (i.e. 9601) or the year and week (i.e. 9644);

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- (c) have the following attributes:
  - i. it shall be mounted on the front of the Meter;
  - ii. it shall not be attached to the removable Meter cover:
  - iii. it shall be readable when the Meter is installed in the Meter socket or panel; and
  - iv. it shall not impair access for accuracy adjustment or field replacement of components (such as the battery).
- (d) include ANSI standard bar coding; and
- (e) include an easily erasable strip with minimum dimensions of 3/8 inch by 1½ inches for penciling in items such as meter multiplier or the Meter tester's initials.

## 12 Security

#### 12.1 Billing Period Reset

Operation of the billing period demand reset mechanism shall require breaking of a mechanical sealing device. Use of common utility-type sealing devices shall be accommodated.

#### 12.2 Meter Password

The Meter shall be programmable by the Meter Programmer with up to four unique passwords to prevent unauthorized tampering by use of the optical port or the optional modem. For meters procured after 1/1/98, passwords must be a minimum of four (4) alpha/numeric characters. Access rights and capabilities shall be individually programmable for each password. The Meter shall accept multiple requests from different sources without error, lockup or loss of data.

#### 12.3 Test Mode

Removal of the Meter cover shall be required to activate the Test Mode.

#### 12.4 Program Security

At least four levels of security shall be available for the Rate Development Program and the Field Program. These levels include:

- (a) Read Register— the user can only read billing and load profile data;
- (b) Read Register— the user can only read billing and load profile data, and perform a billing period reset;
- (c) Read/Modify Register— the user can perform functions listed in 12.4(a) and 12.4(b), plus download Meter configuration files and operate other features of the Field Program; and
- (d) Read/Modify/Program Register— the user can perform functions listed in 12.4(a), 12.4(b) and 12.4(c), plus develop Meter configuration files and operate additional features of the Rate Development Program.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### 12.5 Revenue Protection

Meters that help prevent Energy diversion are preferred.

## 13 Meter Approval Testing

#### 13.1 General Requirement

This Section outlines the testing required by the CAISO to assure the quality of Meters, the CAISO will not approve Meters which have not undergone the testing referred to in this Section.

CAISO Testing using Independent Laboratory

In addition to the required manufacturer testing specified in this Section, the CAISO reserves the right to require independent laboratory test data resulting from the performance of tests as outlined in this Section.

In addition to the applicable testing requirements of the ANSI C12 standards, the qualification tests specified in this Section shall be conducted to confirm correct operation of the Meter. The qualification testing is required for new Meter designs and for Meter product changes.

The CAISO Metered Entity shall ensure that its supplier provides a certified test report documenting the tests and their results. The test report will be signed by the supplier and shall include all charts, graphs and data recorded during testing.

#### 13.2 Meter Failure Definition

A Meter shall be designated as failed if any of the following events occur:

- (a) failure of the Meter to perform all of the specified functions;
- (b) failure of the Meter to meet the technical performance specifications included in this Exhibit;
- (c) signs of physical damage or performance degradation as a result of a test procedure, including effects which could shorten the service life of the Meter;
- (d) the occurrence of an unexpected change of state, loss of data or other unacceptable mode of operation for the Meter as a consequence of a test procedure; and
- (e) failures shall be classified as a hardware, firmware or software failure or a combination according to the following definitions:
  - i. firmware failures are errors made during the fabrication of programmable read only memory (PROM) chips such that the required program or instruction set that the microprocessor is to perform is incorrect;
  - ii. hardware failures are failures that are physical in nature and directly traceable to the component level. Visual observances such as discoloration, cracking, hardening of cables, poor solder joints, etc. are also included. Failures of DIP switches, jumpers, and links are also included; and

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

iii. software failures are failures such as the loss or unintended change of data, the inability to program the Meter, the loss of the Meter program or the erroneous output or display of false information.

## 13.3 Meter Design Rejection Criteria

A Meter design will be rejected if any of the following events occur:

(a) the failure of one Meter during one test procedure and the failure of a second Meter during another test procedure; and

the failure of two or more Meters during the same test procedure.

## 13.4 Test Setup

- (a) the Meter shall be connected to its normal operating supply voltage with a fully charged Power Failure Backup System. The Meter shall be energized throughout the duration of the test procedures, unless otherwise stated;
- (b) before testing commences, the Meter shall be energized for a minimum of two hours at room temperature;
- (c) all tests shall be conducted at room temperature unless otherwise specified; and
- (d) the Meter shall be loaded to the nameplate test amperes at 100% power factor for all tests unless otherwise indicated.

#### 13.5 Functional Test (No Load Test)

This test confirms the operation of the Meter functions in accordance with this Exhibit:

- (a) the Meter shall be energized with no load;
- (b) the Meter shall be programmed with the CAISO supplied parameters using a Meter Programmer;
- (c) operation of the specified functions will be verified over 24 hours by observing the Meter display and by interrogating the contents of Meter registers via a Meter Programmer; and
- (d) to pass this test, the Meter shall operate as specified with no observed anomalies.

## 13.6 Accuracy Test

This test confirms the accuracy of the Meter:

- (a) the accuracy of the Meter shall be tested for all combinations of the following conditions:
  - i. at ambient temperature, 85°C and -20°C;
  - ii. at power factors of 100%, 50% lag and 50% lead; and

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

iii. at 0% to 120% of class current;

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

- (b) accuracy curves shall be provided for all combinations of the conditions; and
- (c) to pass this test, the Meter shall have the indicated accuracy at ambient temperature for the following load conditions:
  - i.  $\pm$  0.2% at Full load at power factor of 100%;
  - ii.  $\pm$  0.25% at Full load at power factor of 50% lag;
  - iii.  $\pm$  0.25% at Full load at power factor of 50% lead; and
  - iv.  $\pm$  0.25% at Light load at power factor of 100%.

#### 13.7 Line Voltage Variation Test

This test confirms the Meter's correct operation under varying line voltage conditions:

- (a) the Meter shall be tested at line voltages ranging from 80% to 120% of rated voltage under the following load conditions:
  - i. full load at power factor of 100%; and
  - ii. light load at power factor of 100%; and
- (b) to pass this test the Meter shall meet the following criteria:
  - i. operate as specified;
  - ii. have an accuracy as specified in Section 13.6(c) throughout the 80% to 120% voltage range; and
  - iii. the Power Failure Backup System shall not take over when the voltage is above 80% and below 120% of rated.

## 13.8 Momentary Power Loss

This test confirms the Meter's ability to withstand momentary power outages:

- (a) the test will be performed by opening the AC power supply input for the specified duration;
- (b) twelve tests shall be conducted using the following sequence:
  - i. energize the Meter;
  - ii. simulate a power loss of 0.5 cycles at 60 hertz;
  - iii. lengthen each succeeding simulated power outage by 0.5 cycles until a duration of 6.0 cycles is attained; and

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

- iv. the start of each successive test shall be delayed by one minute; and
- (c) to pass this test, the Meter shall operate as specified with no observed anomalies.

## 13.9 Power Failure Backup System Test

This test confirms the carryover capability of the Power Failure Backup System:

- (a) this test shall be conducted at ambient temperature using a new or fully charged battery;
- (b) the test shall be conducted using the following sequence:
  - i. Energize the Meter at full load for two hours;
  - ii. De-energize the Meter for 24 hours; and
  - iii. Verify the integrity of programs and metering data stored in memory; and
- (c) to pass this test, the Meter shall operate as specified with no observed anomalies.

## 13.10 Brownout and Extended Low Voltage Test

This test confirms the Meter's ability to withstand brownouts and extended low voltage conditions:

- (a) the test shall be conducted using the following sequence:
  - i. Energize the Meter and verify correct operation;
  - ii. Slowly lower the line voltage to 80% of nominal;
  - iii. Operate the Meter at this voltage level for 6 hours;
  - iv. Verify correct Meter operation;
  - v. Lower the line voltage to 50% of nominal;
  - vi. Operate the Meter at this voltage level for 6 hours; and
  - vii. Verify correct operation of the Meter and the Power Failure Backup System; and
- (b) to pass this test, the Meter shall operate as specified with no observed anomalies.

#### 13.11 Effect of Power Failure Backup System Voltage Variation on Clock Accuracy

This test confirms the effects of the battery voltage on the Meter's clock accuracy:

the Meter shall be tested with the battery disconnected and an auxiliary DC power supply connected to the battery carryover circuit. The DC power shall be varied from 95% to 105% of nominal battery voltage; and

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

(b) to pass this test, the accuracy of the Meter clock shall be within 0.02% (2 minutes per week) with a voltage variation of 5 % of nominal battery voltage at ambient temperature.

## 13.12 Effect of Temperature Variation on Clock Accuracy

This test confirms the effects of temperature on the Meter clock accuracy:

- (a) this test shall be conducted with the register in the battery carryover mode;
- (b) the temperature shall be varied from 85°C to -20°C;
- (c) the Meter shall be exposed to each temperature for a least 2 hours prior to testing; and
- (d) to pass this test, the accuracy of the Meter clock shall be within 0.02% (2 minutes per week) at ambient temperature, 85°C, and -20°C.

## 13.13 Temperature Cycle Test

This test confirms the effects of an accelerated temperature cycle on the Meter:

- (a) the Meter cover shall be removed during this test;
- (b) the test duration shall be 7 days (168 hours);
- (c) the temperature shall be cycled once per 24 hour period;
- (d) temperature shall be varied linearly during the tests at a constant rate not to exceed 20°C per hour;
- (e) humidity shall not be controlled during the test;
- (f) the Meter shall be de-energized during the fourth and fifth cycles of the test to verify the performance of the Power Failure Backup System during temperature fluctuations;
- (g) each 24 hour cycle shall consist of the following:
  - i. begin test at +20°C (or room temperature if within 5°C);
  - ii. ramp up to +85°C in approximately 3.25 hours;
  - iii. hold at +85°C for approximately 10.75 hours;
  - iv. ramp down to -20 C in approximately 5.25 hours;
  - v. hold at -20°C for approximately 2.75 hours;
  - vi. ramp up to +20°C in approximately 2.00 hours; and
  - vii. begin next 24 hour cycle or end test after 7 cycles; and
- (h) to pass this test, the Meter shall operate as specified with no observed anomalies for the entire test period.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## 13.14 Humidity Cycle Test

This test confirms the effects of an accelerated humidity cycle on the Meter:

- the Meter cover shall be removed during this test, or a meter cover with a large hole at the bottom may be substituted;
- (b) the duration of the test shall be 24 hours;
- (c) condensation may form on the Meter during the test;
- (d) temperature shall be varied linearly during the tests at a constant rate not to exceed 20°C per hour;
- (e) humidity shall not be controlled during temperature changes;
- (f) the test shall consist of the following sequence:
  - i. begin at +20°C (or room temperature if within 5°C);
  - ii. ramp up to +85°C in approximately 3.25 hours;
  - iii. ramp up to a relative humidity of 95% in approximately 1 hour;
  - iv. hold at +85°C at a relative humidity of 95% ±1% for approximately 14.5 hours;
  - v. ramp down to +20°C in approximately 3.25 hours;
  - vi. concurrently with Section 13.14(f)v. ramp down to a relative humidity of 75% in approximately 15 minutes;
  - vii. hold relative humidity at 75% for remainder of temperature ramp down; and
  - viii. hold at 20°C at a relative humidity of 75% ±1% for approximately 2 hours; and
- (g) to pass this test, the Meter shall operate as specified with no observed anomalies for the entire test period.

#### 13.15 Insulation Withstand Test

This test confirms the insulation levels of the Meter:

- (a) the Meter shall not be energized for this test;
- (b) the insulation between power line voltage and current carrying parts and any other metallic or conductive part shall be tested by applying 2500 volts rms, 60 Hz for a period of one minute; and
- (c) to pass this test the leakage current shall not exceed one milliamp for the duration of the test and the Meter shall operate after completion of the test.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## 13.16 Standard Waveform Surge Withstand Test

This test confirms the ability of the Meter to withstand voltage transients:

- (a) the Meter shall be energized but not loaded during the test;
- (b) the test shall be conducted in accordance with the latest recognized industry standards;
- (c) the oscillatory test wave shall be applied at a repetition rate of 100 tests per second for 25 seconds:
- (d) the test signal shall be applied in both the common and transverse modes;
- (e) the test shall be conducted on all voltage, current, and optional equipment inputs and outputs;
- (f) this test will be performed two times with a maximum period of 1 minute between tests; and
- (g) to pass this test, the Meter shall operate as specified with no observed anomalies;

## 13.17 Fast Transient Waveform Surge Withstand Test

This test confirms the ability of the Meter to withstand fast voltage transients:

- (a) the Meter shall be energized but not loaded during the test;
- (b) this test shall be conducted in accordance with the latest industry recognized standard;
- (c) the unipolar test wave shall be applied at a repetition rate of 100 tests per second for 25 seconds:
- (d) the test signal shall be applied in both the common and transverse modes;
- the test shall be conducted on all voltage, current, and optional equipment inputs and outputs;
- (f) this test will be performed two times with a maximum period of 1 minute between tests; and
- (g) to pass this test, the Meter shall operate as specified with no observed anomalies.

#### 13.18 Powerline Surge Voltage and Current Test

This test confirms the ability of the Meter to withstand power line voltage and current surges:

- (a) the meter shall be energized but not loaded during the test;
- (b) the test shall be performed using the unipolar and the ring waveform specified in the latest industry recognized standard;

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I
  - (c) the test surges shall be applied to the power line in both the normal and common modes;
  - (d) the following number of surges shall be applied at the indicated voltages:
    - i. 12 surges at 6 kV;
    - ii. 12 surges at 5 kV; and
    - iii. 36 surges at 4 kV.
  - (e) the first test surges at 5 kV and 6 kV shall be injected at 0 degrees on the positive half-cycle of the waveform. Each successive test surge shall be shifted 15 degrees on the positive half-cycle of the waveform up to 180 degrees;
  - (f) the first test surge at 4 kV shall be injected at 0 degrees on the positive half-cycle of the waveform. Each successive test surge shall be shifted 15 degrees on both the positive and negative half-cycles of the waveform up to 360 degrees;
  - (g) sufficient time shall be allowed in between test surges for the electronic components to return to normal operating temperatures. A minimum of 5 minutes shall be allowed between each surge test;
  - (h) the applied test signals shall be monitored and recorded. The Meter under test shall be monitored to confirm that correct operation is maintained;
  - after the tests each meter shall be inspected for visible damage, such as signs of arcing, etc.; and
  - to pass this test, the Meter shall operate as specified with no visible damage observed.

#### 13.19 Electrostatic Susceptibility Test

This test verifies the ability of the Meter to withstand electrostatic discharges:

- this test shall be tested in accordance with the latest revision of Military Handbook DOD-HDBK-263;
- (b) the test generator shall simulate a human body with a capacitance of 100 picofarads and a series resistance of 1500 ohms;
- (c) the test probe shall be a 3/8 inch rod with a rounded tip;
- (d) the following procedures shall be followed:
  - i. test all surfaces, including switches and buttons and other components that will be contacted by personnel under normal handling, installation and use of the Meter. This shall include any safety grounded or neutral terminals on the exterior of the meter enclosure:
  - ii. with the test probe voltage set at 10 kV, contact each of the above surfaces with the probe;

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 938

- iii. with the test probe voltage set to 15 kV, locate the probe to within approximately 0.5 inch (avoiding contact) with each of the above surfaces; and
- iv. the functions of the Meter shall be periodically verified for correct operation; and
- (e) to pass this test, the Meter shall operate as specified with no observed anomalies.

## 13.20 Visual Inspection

This test shall be performed after all of the other tests except the Shipping Test have been performed:

- (a) visual inspection shall be performed for all electronic circuit boards in the Meter; and
- (b) to pass this test, the Meter shall not have any defect which would result in rejection under the latest recognized industry standards on any electronic circuit board.

## 13.21 Shipping Test

This test confirms the ability of the Meter and its packaging to withstand the rigors of shipping and handling:

- (a) the Meter shall not be energized during this test, but shall be programmed and operating in the power Backup mode;
- (b) the packaged Meter shall be subjected to the following tests:
  - i. the National/International Safe Transit Association Pre-shipment Test Procedures, Project IA; and
  - ii. Method B, Single Container Resonance Test, of the latest revision of American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard D-999. Test intensities, frequency ranges and test durations shall meet or exceed the recommended values of ASTM D-999; and
- (c) to pass this test, the Meter shall be inspected and tested to verify that no damage had occurred and that the time and all stored data is correct.

## 14 Safety

## 14.1 Hazardous Voltage

Hazardous voltages shall not be easily accessible with the Meter cover removed.

#### 14.2 Grounding

All accessible conductive parts on the exterior of the Meter and conductive parts that are accessible upon removal of the Meter cover shall be electrically connected to the Meter grounding tabs. All connections in the grounding circuit shall be made with an effective bonding technique.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### 14.3 Toxic Materials

No materials that are toxic to life or harmful to the environment shall be exposed in the Meter during normal use.

#### 14.4 Fire Hazard

Materials used in the construction of the Meter shall not create a fire hazard.

#### 15 Data Security And Performance

- (a) Manual access for changing data or reprogramming shall require the physical removal or breaking of a CAISO seal by the CAISO or a CAISO Authorized Inspector.
- (b) No loss of data shall occur as a result of the following events within design specifications:
  - i. power outages, frequency changes, transients, harmonics, reprogramming, reading; and
  - ii. environmental factors—dampness, heat, cold, vibration, dust.
- (c) 5-minute interval data for the most recent 60 day period shall always be available and accessible via the communications interface or the optical interface.

#### 16 Documentation

## 16.1 Hardware Documentation To Be Provided For CAISO Review

- (a) Drawing(s) showing the external meter connections.
- (b) Instruction booklets detailing the necessary procedures and precautions for installation of the Meter provided for use by field personnel during initial installation written in the style of a step by step outline.
- (c) One (1) technical/maintenance manual and one (1) repair manual shall be provided for each Meter style. These manuals shall be sufficiently detailed so that circuit operation can be understood and equipment repair facilitated.
- (d) The above documents shall be submitted for approval by CAISO before equipment is installed. Approval of documents by the CAISO shall not relieve any responsibility for complying with all the requirements of this Exhibit.

#### 16.2 Software

A complete set of manuals detailing the operation of the Rate Development Program, the Field Program, and the Field Disk Serialization Program shall be provided to CAISO for review. These manuals shall explain to a person with only basic computer knowledge how to generate and download Meter configuration files.

## 17 Applicable Standards

The standards referred to in Appendix J to the CAISO Tariff shall apply to all Meters.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### 18 Definitions

The following terms and expressions used in this Exhibit are detailed as set forth below:

- "Ambient Temperature" means temperature of 23°±2° Celsius.
- **"Average Power Factor"** means the power factor calculated using the average active and reactive power flows over the latest demand interval.
- **"Delivered"** means Energy (active, reactive, or apparent) that flows from the CAISO Controlled Grid to an End-User.
- **"Failed Meter"** means a Meter in which any part or component, except the removable battery, has failed.
- "Failure" means any hardware, firmware or software failure, or any combination.
- **"Field Disk Serialization Program"** means a software package that allows the user to assign a separate password to each disk copy of the Field Program.
- **"Field Program"** means a software package that allows the user to download Meter configuration files into the Meter and perform other testing and maintenance activities.
- "Hazardous Voltage" means any voltage exceeding 30 volts rms.
- **"Meter"** means all single phase and three phase electricity meters with electronic registers, including hybrid and solid state meters, but excluding solid state recorders, and including any optional devices included under the Meter cover.
- **"Meter Programmer"** means the PC DOS based laptop computers used for meter reading/programming.
- "MSDS" means the Material Safety Data Sheet.
- **"Power Failure Backup System"** means a sub-system in the Meter that provides power to the electronic circuitry when the normal power line voltage is below operating limits. The sub-system usually consists of a battery and may or may not include a super capacitor.
- **"Quadrant"** means the term used to represent the direction of power flows (active and reactive) between the CAISO Controlled Grid and an End-User. The 4 quadrants are defined as follows:
- (a) Quadrant 1 shall measure active power and reactive power delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid:
- (b) Quadrant 2 shall measure active power received by CAISO Controlled Grid and reactive power delivered by the CAISO Controlled Grid;
- (c) Quadrant 3 shall measure active power and reactive power received by the CAISO Controlled Grid; and
- (d) Quadrant 4 shall measure active power delivered by CAISO Controlled Grid and reactive power received by the CAISO Controlled Grid.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 941

**"Rate Development Program"** means a software package that allows the user to generate Meter configuration files including operating parameters and TOU schedules.

**"Received"** means Energy (active, reactive or apparent) that flows from a Generator to the CAISO Controlled Grid.

"RFI" means the Radio Frequency Interference.

"Temperature tolerance" means ±2° Celsius.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

# Attachment 1 Physical and Electronic Attribute Criterion for Electricity Meters

	Test / Inspection Description	Pass	Fail
1.	Bayonets		
A.	Missing or loose parts, i.e., cotter pin, arc gap, etc.		
2.	Meter Base		
A.	Any cracked and/or missing/damaged gasket		
B.	Any broken leg		
C.	Missing or loose voltage link or screw		
D.	Any missing or loose arc gaps		
E.	Missing or damaged ventilation screen or filter on applicable meter		
F.	Sealing hole unusable for sealing		
G.	Any chips on upper half of meter (gasket ring area)		
Н.	Any chips which may jeopardize meter integrity		
I.	Any sign of water damage in meter such as corrosion, oxidation, stain		
J.	Missing or loose rivets holding frame to base		
3.	Meter Frame		
A.	Nameplate data incorrect or flawed		
B.	Missing or loose hardware on frame		
4.	Module		
A.	Loose or defective power connectors		
B.	Improper routing of voltage leads		
C.	Improper fit (loose or crooked)		
D.	Crimped or pinched voltage leads		
E.	Incorrect module		
F.	Calibration screw access should not be significantly affected (or covered)		
5.	Meter Cover		
A.	Wiring to communication port is correct & solid		
В.	Proper meter cover is used for meter type and class		
C.	Mechanical reset mechanism works properly		
D.	Proper alignment, positioning, and operation of all cover mechanisms		

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# **Attachment 1** Physical and Electronic Attribute Criterion for Electricity Meters (cont.)

6.	6. Electronic Register		
A.	Program register to verify acceptance of rate schedule		
B.	Check display that all segments are operational		
C.	Check battery carryover function, if appropriate		
D.	Check register tracking by inputting disk revolutions		
E.	Check for any visual defects in the register assembly		

Only scratches and/or chips that are cosmetically or functionally objectionable will be classified as defective and failing.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel Effective: November 1, 2007

Effective: November 1, 2007

# Attachment 2 Meter Display Items

Display Item	Normal Mode	Alternate Mode	Test Mode
Minimum Requirements for Delivered kWh	Wode	Mode	Mode
Complete Display (Segment) Test	x	x	
Demand Reset Count		x	
Demand Reset Date		x	
Instantaneous kW	x	x	
Interval length		x	
Minutes of Battery Use		x	
Present time	х	x	
Previous Billing Rate A kWh		x	
Previous Billing Rate A Maximum kW		x	
Previous Billing Rate B kWh		x	
Previous Billing Rate B Maximum kW		x	
Previous Billing Rate C kWh		x	
Previous Billing Rate C Maximum kW		x	
Previous Billing Rate D kWh		x	
Previous Billing Rate D Maximum kW		x	
Previous Billing Total kWh		x	
Previous Season Rate A kWh	х	x	
Previous Season Rate A Maximum kW	х	x	
Previous Season Rate B kWh	х	x	
Previous Season Rate B Maximum kW	х	x	
Previous Season Rate C kWh	х	x	
Previous Season Rate C Maximum kW	х	x	
Previous Season Rate D kWh	х	x	
Previous Season Rate D Maximum kW	х	x	
Previous Season Total kWh		x	
Program ID		x	
Rate A kWh	х	x	
Rate A Maximum kW	х	x	
Rate B kWh	х	x	
Rate B Maximum kW	х	x	
Rate C kWh	х	х	
Rate C Maximum kW	х	х	
Rate D kWh	х	x	
Rate D Maximum kW	х	x	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# Attachment 2 Meter Display Items (cont.)

Diaming House	Normal	Alternate	Test
Display Item	Mode	Mode	Mode
Minimum Requirements for Delivered kWh (cont.)			.,
Total kWh	Х	X	Х
Wh per disk revolution (Kh)		X	
Wh per pulse (Ke)		Х	
Minimum Requirements for Test Mode			
Present Interval Demand—kW			Х
Pulse count			Х
Time left in subinterval			Х
Total kWh			X
Additional requirements for Received kWh (if specified)			
Previous Billing Total Received kWh		Х	
Previous Season Total Received kWh		Х	
Total Received kWh	Х	Х	
Additional requirements for kVARh (if specified)			
Maximum Delivered kVAR		X	
Maximum Received kVAR		X	
Previous Billing Maximum Delivered kVAR		X	
Previous Billing Maximum Received kVAR		X	
Previous Billing Total Delivered kVARh		х	
Previous Billing Total Received kVARh		х	
Previous Season Maximum Delivered kVAR		х	
Previous Season Maximum Received kVAR		х	
Previous Season Total Delivered kVARh		х	
Previous Season Total Received kVARh		х	
Total Delivered kVARh		x	
Total Received kVARh		Х	
Previous Billing Maximum Delivered kVA		Х	
Previous Billing Maximum Received kVA		Х	
Previous Billing Total Delivered kVAh		Х	
Previous Billing Total Received kVAh		Х	
Previous Season Maximum Delivered kVA		Х	
Previous Season Maximum Received kVA		x	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 946

# Attachment 2 Meter Display Items (cont.)

Additional requirements for kVAh (cont.)		
Previous Season Total Delivered kVAh	x	
Previous Season Total Received kVAh	x	
Total Delivered kVAh	x	
Total Received kVAh	x	
Additional requirements for Power Factor (if specified)		
Quadrant 1 Average Power Factor	x	
Quadrant 2 Average Power Factor	x	
Quadrant 3 Average Power Factor	x	
Quadrant 4 Average Power Factor	x	
Total Average Power Factor Delivered	x	
Total Average Power Factor Received	x	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# **EXHIBIT 2 TO PART D**

CAISO SPECIFICATION FOR CERTIFICATION OF OIL-FILLED, WOUND INSTRUMENT TRANSFORMERS FOR REVENUE METERING

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# 1 Purpose

This Exhibit specifies the technical requirements for reliable high-accuracy Current Transformers (CT) and Voltage Transformers (VT) to be used for revenue quality metering on the CAISO Controlled Grid.

# 2 Scope

- **2.1** This Exhibit applies only to the following:
  - Oil-filled Single-Phase CTs 35kV-230kV.
  - Oil-filled Single-Phase VTs 35kV-230kV.
  - Oil-filled Single-Phase Combination Current/Voltage Transformers 35kV-230kV.
- **2.2** This Exhibit applies only to the following Oil-filled Wound Devices, which are VTs < 35kv.

VTs > 230kv must be individually specified in accordance with the engineered installations.

#### 3 Standards

All instrument transformers covered by this Exhibit shall be designed, manufactured, tested and supplied in accordance with the applicable standards referred to in Appendix J to the CAISO Tariff.

#### 4 Definitions

"Hermetically Sealed" means completely sealed by fusion, soldering, etc., so as to keep air or gas from getting in or out (i.e. airtight).

**"Metering Unit"** means one or more Voltage element(s) and one or more Current element(s) contained in one common housing.

"BIL Rating" means basic lightning impulse insulation level.

**"Burden Rating"** means the total impedance (in ohms) that can be connected to the secondary circuit(s) of an instrument transformer while still maintaining metering accuracy of plus-or-minus 0.3%

#### 5 Specifications

#### 5.1 General

All instrument transformers covered by this Exhibit shall be hermetically sealed, oil-filled type and have a minimum BIL Rating appropriate for the designated nominal System voltage:

- 60 69 kV 350 kV BIL
- 115 kV 550 kV BIL

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

• 230 kV – 900 kV BIL

#### 5.2 Current Transformers

# **5.2.1** Current Transformer windings (typical configurations) shall be either:

- (a) a single primary winding and single secondary winding with dual ratio tap;
- (b) a dual primary winding and a single ratio tap;
- (c) a single primary winding and one or more secondary windings with dual ratio tap(s); or
- (d) other combinations as available and approved by the CAISO.

# 5.2.2 Rated primary current

The rated primary current must be as specified by the CAISO Metered Entity.

# 5.2.3 Rated secondary current

The rated secondary current must be 5 amperes @ rated primary current.

#### 5.2.4 Accuracy and burden

All current transformers shall have an accuracy and burden of:

- (a) standard plus-or-minus 0.3% @ B0.1 1.8 ohms, 10% 100% rated current; or
- (b) optional plus-or-minus 0.15 % @ B0.1 1.8 ohms, 5% 100 % rated current.

#### 5.2.5 Continuous current rating factor

All current transformers shall have a continuous current rating factor of:

- (a) standard 1.5 @ 30 degrees C Ambient; or
- (b) optional 1.0 @ 30 degrees C Ambient.

## 5.2.6 Short time thermal current rating

The short time thermal current rating varies with transformer rating as follows:

25/50: 5 ratio, 4 kA RMS to 1500/3000:5 ratio, 120 kA RMS.

# 5.2.7 Mechanical short time current rating

The mechanical short time current rating varies with transformer rating as follows:

25/50:5 ratio, 3 kA RMS to 1500/3000:5 ratio, 90 kA RMS.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## 5.3 Voltage Transformers

- **5.3.1** Transformer windings shall consist of a single primary winding and one or more tapped secondary windings.
- **5.3.2** Rated primary voltage, as specified by the CAISO Metered Entity, must be 34,500 volts through 138.000 volts. L-N.
- **5.3.3** Rated secondary voltage must typically be 115/69 volts.
- **5.3.4** The ratio of primary to secondary windings must be 300/500:1 through 1200/2000:1.

# 5.3.5 Accuracy and burden

All voltage transformers shall have accuracy and burden of:

- (a) standard plus-or-minus 0.3% through B. ZZ @ 90% through 110% of nominal voltage;or
- (b) optional plus-or-minus 0.15% through B. Y 90% through 110% of nominal voltage.

## 5.3.6 Thermal burden rating

All voltage transformers shall have a thermal burden rating of:

- (a) 34.5 kV 2500 VA, 60 hertz;
- (b) 60 kV & 69 kV 4000 VA, 60 hertz; or
- (c) 115 kV 6000 VA, 60 hertz.

# 5.4 Combination Current/Voltage Transformers (Metering Units)

Combination Current/Voltage Transformers shall maintain the same electrical, accuracy and mechanical characteristics as individual CTs and VTs. Physical dimensions may vary according to design.

# 5.5 Grounding

The neutral terminal of the VT shall exit the tank via a 5kV insulated bushing and be grounded by means of a removable copper strap to a NEMA 2-hole pad.

# 5.6 Primary Terminals

The primary terminals shall be tin-plated NEMA 4-hole pads (4"x4").

#### 5.7 Paint

Exterior metal non current-carrying surfaces shall be painted with a weather-resistant paint system consisting of one primer and two industry recognized gray finish coats. As an option, for

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

high-corrosion areas, special corrosion-resistant finishes (e.g. zinc-rich paint, stainless steel tank) shall be used.

#### 5.8 Porcelain

Porcelain shall be of one-piece wet-process, glazed inside and outside. The outside color shall be in accordance with industry recognized gray glaze. The minimum creepage and strike-to-ground distances for various voltages shall be as follows:

Voltage (nominal kV)	Creepage (inches)	Strike (inches)
34.5	34	13
60 & 69	52	24
115	101	42
230	169	65
230 (1050 BIL)	214	84

## 5.9 Insulating Oil

The nameplate shall be of non-corroding material and shall indicate that the dielectric fluid is free of polychlorinated biphenyls by the inscription:

## "CONTAINS NO PCB AT TIME OF MANUFACTURE".

#### 5.10 Accessories

All units shall be equipped with the following standard accessories:

- 1/2" brass ball drain valve with plug
- 1" oil filling opening with nitrogen valve
- Magnetic oil level gauge, readable from ground level
- Primary bypass protector
- Sliding CT shorting link
- Four 7/8"x 2-3/8" mounting slots
- Four 1" eyebolts on base for four-point lifting sling
- 1/4" threaded stud secondary terminals

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

• Two conduit boxes, each with three 1-1/2" knockout

#### 6 Testing

The CAISO Metered Entity shall ensure that, before shipment, each transformer is subjected to testing as prescribed by recognized industry standards and other tests including:

- (a) Applied voltage test for primary and secondary winding withstand to ground;
- (b) Induced voltage test for proper turn-to-turn insulation;
- (c) Accuracy test for ratio correction factor and phase-angle verification to confirm 0.3% metering accuracy per recognized industry standards;
- (d) Ratio test;
- (e) Insulation Power Factor test;
- (f) Polarity test;
- (g) Leak test to assure integrity of gaskets and seals; and
- (h) Partial Discharge Test may be done in conjunction with applied voltage testing to assure proper line-to-ground withstand.

The tests shall be submitted to the CAISO on a formal certified test report.

### 7 Required Information

The following drawings and information shall be required:

- (a) 3 sets of drawings showing physical dimensions including mounting holes and primary CT terminal details, nameplate. The CAISO Metered Entity shall ensure that it receives a schematic of connections from its supplier; and
- (b) a copy of quality controls/quality assurance (QC/QA) manuals applicable to production of the transformer(s).

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## PART E

## TRANSFORMER AND LINE LOSS CORRECTION FACTORS

#### E 1 Introduction

Transformer loss correction refers to the practice of metering electrical Energy delivered at a high-voltage billing point using metering equipment connected on the low-voltage side of the delivery point. The metering equipment is provided with a means of correction that adds to, or subtracts from, the actual active and reactive metered values in proportion to losses that are occurring in the transformer.

Transformer losses are divided into two parts:

the core or iron loss (referred to as the no-load loss); and

the copper loss (referred to as the load loss).

Both the no-load loss and the load loss are further divided into Watts and Var components.

The no-load (iron) loss is composed mostly of eddy current and hystersis losses in the core. No-load loss varies in proportion to applied voltage and is present with or without load applied. Dielectric losses and copper loss due to exciting current are also present, but are generally small enough to be neglected.

The load (copper) watt loss ( $I^2$  + stray loss) is primarily due to the resistance of conductors and essentially varies as the square of the load current. The Var component of transformer load loss is caused by the leakage reactance between windings and varies as the square of the load current.

Line losses are considered to be resistive and have  $I^2R$  losses. The lengths, spacings and configurations of lines are usually such that inductive and capacitive effects can be ignored. If line losses are to be compensated, they are included as part of the transformer load losses (Watts copper).

The coefficients, which are calculated at the calibration point of the meter, are entered into the meter as Percent Loss Watts Copper %LWCU), Percent Loss Watts Iron (%LWFE), Percent Loss Vars Copper (%LVCU), and Percent Loss Vars Iron (%LVFE).

Percent losses are losses expressed as a percent of the full load on a meter.

The formulas used to determine the compensation values at a particular operating point are:

WATTS		Measured Voltage <sup>2</sup>		Measured Current <sup>2</sup>	
Compensation	=		* %LWFE +		* %LWCU
Value		Calibration Point Voltage <sup>2</sup>		Calibration Point Current <sup>2</sup>	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

Vars		Measured Voltage <sup>4</sup>		Measured Current <sup>2</sup>	
Compensation	=		* %LVFE +		* %LVCU
Value		Calibration Point Voltage 4		Calibration Point Current <sup>2</sup>	

#### E 2 Calculating Transformer Loss Constants

Transformer Loss correction calculations with electronic meters are accomplished internally with firmware. Various setting information and test data is required to calculate the four values which are to be programmed into the meter.

The following information is required about meter installations:

the transformer high voltage (HV) voltage rating

the transformer kVa rating

the transformer high voltage (HV) tap settings

the transformer low voltage (LV) tap settings

the transformer connection (wye or delta)

the transformer phases (1 or 3)

the voltage transformer (VT) ratio

the current transformer (CT) ratio

the number of meter elements

The following data from a transformer test report is required:

no-load (iron) loss

full-load (copper) loss

percent impedance

percent excitation current

The test data required may be obtained from the following sources:

the manufacturer's test report

a test completed by a utility or independent electrical testing company

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

If the transformer bank is used to deliver power to more than one entity (that is, it is a joint use transformer bank) additional data is required, including the:

maximum available kVa from the transformer bank

contracted amount of load to be compensated in kW

contractual power factor amount to be used in calculations

#### E 3 Calculating Line Loss Constants

Line Loss correction calculations with electronic meters are accomplished internally with firmware. Various information about the radial line is required to calculate the value which is programmed into the meter. The resistance of the conductors are used to calculate a value which is added to the Watts copper loss value which is programmed into the meter. It is not practical to compensate for line losses in a network connected line, only radial lines.

The following information is required about the transmission line:

the transmission line type

the ohms per mile

the length in miles of each type of line

#### E 4 Applications

Joint Use Transformers

Where a transformer bank is used to deliver power to more than one entity (that is, a joint use transformer bank), no-load iron losses are adjusted by the transformer percent use. This percent use is determined by dividing a negotiated contract kW load (*Contract kW*) at a negotiated power factor (% *Power Factor*) by the maximum available kVa from the transformer bank (*Max. Available kVa*).

$$Percent \ Use = \frac{Contract \ kW}{Max. Available \ kVa}$$

Switched Lines

Line Loss correction for radial lines which are switched, must be based on a negotiated average resistance based on the typical operating characteristics.

Transformer Load Tap Changer

Transformers equipped with a load tap changer (i.e., which has the capability to change transformer voltage tap positions or settings under Load) for regulating voltage, must have the corrections calculated at the median tap voltage. Differences in the corrections

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

must be minimal and must even out over time as the bank operates above and below the median tap voltage.

#### E 5 Worksheets

A pro forma Transformer and Line Loss Correction Worksheet which can be used to perform the above calculation is attached to this Part. Instructions for completing the worksheet are as follows:

Complete the Name, Delivery, Location and Revision Date fields using the CAISO Metered Entity's name, operating name, city, state, and the date of the calculation.

Enter Transformer High Voltage (HV) winding rated voltage, this is the voltage at which the transformer tests were performed.

Enter the HV and Low Voltage (LV) transformer tap settings.

Enter 'Y' or 'D' to indicate the secondary winding connection of either wye or delta.

Enter '1' to indicate that the transformer bank is comprised of single phase units or '3' to indicate the bank is comprised of three phase units.

Enter '2' or '3' to indicate the number of elements in the meter.

Enter the VT and CT ratios of the instrument transformers used in the metering.

Enter 'Y' or 'N' to indicate if the transformer bank is utilized by more than one entity.

Enter the negotiated contract and power factor for the joint use portion of the transformer (if any).

If compensation coefficients are required at a calibration point other than five amps, enter the new value.

Space is provided to make comments about the calculation or delivery configuration.

Enter the manufacturer and serial number of the transformer(s).

Enter the kVa rating of each bank. For multiple rated banks, the base kVa should be used. Enter the test data collected at base kVa.

Enter the no-load losses in Watts from the test data.

Enter the load losses in Watts from the test data.

Enter the impedance from the test data.

Enter the Exciting current from the test data.

If the maximum available kVa from the transformer bank is more than the rated kVa, this value can be entered manually. An example may be for a triple rated transformer that has fans with a rating which is more than the base kVa. This value only affects the percent use calculation.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Enter the line type for each type of line to be compensated.

Enter the resistance in ohms per mile of each type of line to be compensated.

Enter the total length in miles of each type of line to be compensated.

#### E 6 Reference Materials

The following additional references may be referred to for assistance when calculating the correction factors referred to in this Part.

Handbook For Electricity Metering, Ninth Edition. Edison Electric Institute. Washington, D.C.

Eastern Specialty Company Bulletin No. 63.

American National Standard Institute. Test Code for Distribution, Power and Regulating Transformers.

System Loss Compensation, Schlumberger Industries, Quantum Multifunction Meter Hardware Instruction Manual 1610, November 1993.

Transformer Loss Calculation Method, Process System Manual, Appendix E.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# Transformer and Line Loss Correction Worksheet (Example) TRANSFORMER AND LINE LOSS CORRECTION

Name: Acme Power Company
Delivery: Delivery Number 5
Location: Surf Beach, CA

Rev. Date: 5/6/97

HV Rated Voltage:	110000 V	VT Ratio:	60:1
HV Tap:	101200 V	CT Ratio:	600:5
LV Tap:	13090 V	Joint Use (Y/N):	N
Trf. Conn. (Y/D):	Y	Metering Trf. Use:	100 %
Trf. Phase (1 or 3)	3	Contract kW:	10,000 kW
# Meter Elem.:	3	Power Factor:	95 %

Compensation Values (@ 5A F.L.)		Compensation Values at:	10 A
Watt Fe Loss:	0.16 %	Watt Fe Loss:	.08 %
Watt Cu Loss:	0.53 %	Watt Cu Loss:	1.06 %
Watt Tot. Loss:	0.69 %	Watt Tot. Loss:	1.14 %
Var Fe Loss:	0.31 %	Var Fe Loss:	0.16 %
Var Cu Loss:	10.96 %	Var Cu Loss:	21.92 %
Var Tot. Loss:	11.27 %	Var Tot. Loss:	22.08 %

Comments:

#### TRANSFORMER DATA

Serial Number	KVa Rating	No Load (Fe) Loss	Load (Cu) Loss	(Z) Impedance	(IE) Exciting
					Current
ABB 1000001	12000	22200 w	51360 w	8.84 %	0.45 %

Total kVa rating:	12000		Max Available kVa:	12000
-------------------	-------	--	--------------------	-------

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# **LINE DATA**

	Resistance	Length
#1 Line Type:	Ohms/mile	miles
#2 Line Type:	Ohms/mile	miles
#3 Line Type:	Ohms/mile	miles
#4 Line Type:	Ohms/mile	miles
#5 Line Type:	Ohms/mile	miles
#6 Line Type:	Ohms/mile	miles

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# Transformer and Line Loss Correction Worksheet (Example, continued) TRANSFORMER AND LINE LOSS CORRECTION

Name: ACME Power Company
Delivery: Delivery Number 5
Location: Surf Beach, CA

Rev. Date: 5/6/97

HV Rated Voltage:	110000 V	VT Ratio:	60:1
HV Tap:	101200 V	CT Ratio:	600:5
LV Tap:	13090 V	Joint Use (Y/N):	N
Trf. Conn. (Y/D):	Υ	Metering Trf. Use:	100 %
Trf. Phase (1 or 3)	3	Contract kW:	10,000 kW
# Meter Elem.:	3	Power Factor:	95 %

#### **TRANSFORMERS**

Serial Number	kVa
ABB 1000001	12000

<sup>\*\*</sup>TRANSFORMER LOSS COMPENSATION TEST POINTS FOR WATTHOURS\*\*

## **SERIES TEST**

Test Load	% Iron	% Copper	% Total
Light	1.60	0.05	1.65
Full	0.16	0.53	0.69
0.5 P.F.	0.32	1.06	1.38

<sup>\*\*</sup>TRANSFORMER LOSS COMPENSATION TEST POINTS FOR VARHOURS\*\*

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **SERIES TEST**

Test Load	% Iron	% Copper	% Total
Light	3.10	1.10	4.20
Full	0.31	10.96	11.27
0.5 P.F.	0.62	21.92	22.54

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

# Pro Forma Transformer and Line Loss Correction Worksheet TRANSFORMER AND LINE LOSS CORRECTION

Name:
Delivery:
Location:
Rev. Date:

HV Rated Voltage:	V	VT Ratio:	:1
HV Tap:	V	CT Ratio:	:5
LV Tap:	V	Joint Use (Y/N):	
Trf. Conn. (Y/D):		Metering Trf. Use:	100 %
Trf. Phase (1 or 3)		Contract kW:	kW
# Meter Elem.:		Power Factor:	%

Compensation Values (@ 5A F.L.)		Compensation Values at:	10 A
Watt Fe Loss:	%	Watt Fe Loss:	%
Watt Cu Loss:	%	Watt Cu Loss:	%
Watt Tot. Loss:	%	Watt Tot. Loss:	%
Var Fe Loss:	%	Var Fe Loss:	%
Var Cu Loss:	%	Var Cu Loss:	%
Var Tot. Loss:	%	Var Tot. Loss:	%

Comments:

# TRANSFORMER DATA

Serial	KVa	No Load	Load	(Z)	(IE)
Number	Rating	(Fe)	(Cu) Loss	Impedance	Exciting
		Loss			Current

Total kVa rating:	Max Available kVa:
-------------------	--------------------

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# **LINE DATA**

	Resistance	Length
#1 Line Type:	Ohms/mile	miles
#2 Line Type:	Ohms/mile	miles
#3 Line Type:	Ohms/mile	miles
#4 Line Type:	Ohms/mile	miles
#5 Line Type:	Ohms/mile	miles
#6 Line Type:	Ohms/mile	miles

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

# Pro Forma Transformer and Line Loss Correction Worksheet (continued) TRANSFORMER AND LINE LOSS COMPENSATION

Name:	
Delivery:	
Location:	
Rev. Date:	

HV Rated Voltage:	V	VT Ratio:	:1
HV Tap:	V	CT Ratio:	:5
LV Tap:	V	Joint Use (Y/N):	
Trf. Conn. (Y/D):		Metering Trf. Use:	100 %
Trf. Phase (1 or 3)		Contract kW:	kW
# Meter Elem.:		Power Factor:	%

#### **TRANSFORMERS**

Serial Number	kVa
---------------	-----

<sup>\*\*</sup>TRANSFORMER LOSS COMPENSATION TEST POINTS FOR WATTHOURS\*\*

#### **SERIES TEST**

Test Load	% Iron	% Copper	% Total
Full			
0.5 P.F.			
Light			

<sup>\*\*</sup>TRANSFORMER LOSS COMPENSATION TEST POINTS FOR VARHOURS\*\*

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **SERIES TEST**

Test Load	% Iron	% Copper	% Total
Full			
0.5 P.F.			
Light			

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## **PART F**

# INSTRUMENT TRANSFORMER RATIO AND CABLE LOSS CORRECTION FACTORS

#### **Background**

All current transformers (CTs) and voltage transformers (VTs) (collectively, instrument transformers) have inherent errors due to their design and the physical properties of the materials used in their construction. These errors are manifested as a magnitude and phase angle difference between the "ideal" nameplate ratio and the waveform actually present on the secondary of the transformer. The terms used to denote these errors are Ratio Correction Factor (RCF) and Phase Angle Correction Factor (PACF).

The burden (load) connected to instrument transformer secondaries has an effect on the RCF and PACF of the units. All wiring and instrumentation of any kind is part of the burden. On a CT, the burden is designated in ohms and is represented by a number ranging from B-0.1 through B-1.8. On a VT, burden is measured in volt-amps and indicated by an alpha character, such as W, X, M, Y, Z or ZZ. The magnitude of these burdens must be known and kept within specified limits or additional errors will occur in the metering.

Significant impedance in the leads between the VTs and the meter can be another source of error, where a voltage drop in the leads is caused by the load of the meter and any other connected devices between the VTs and the meter. Conductors which are too small or too long can cause metering error.

# Correction when the Burden Rating is exceeded

Where the connected burden of a metering circuit exceeds the burden rating of a CT or VT or if an existing instrument transformer does not meet minimum CAISO accuracy requirements, then one of the actions listed below must to be taken:

- i. The preferred action is to correct the problem by either replacing the instrument transformer(s) with higher burden rated revenue class units or reducing the burden on the circuit to comply with the name plate of existing instrument transformer(s).
- ii. An acceptable action is to apply CAISO approved correction factors to the meter to adjust the meter's registration to compensate for inaccuracies.

The CAISO Metered Entity will be responsible for properly calculating and applying the CT/VT and cable loss correction factors to its meter to adjust for inaccuracies in the metering circuit. CAISO approved algorithms and spreadsheets for calculating correction factors are included in this Part.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

#### **CT Ratio Correction Factor**

Current transformers are usually tested by the manufacturer for the value of RCF and phase angle at both 5 and 0.5 amp secondary currents. The values for each CT in an installation would be averaged together to determine the CT Ratio Correction Factor (RCFI) and CT Phase Angle (b). If the current transformers used are revenue metering with an accuracy class of 0.3 % and are operated at or below their rated burden, then the correction factors may be disregarded.

#### **VT Ratio Correction Factor**

Voltage transformers are usually tested by the manufacturer for the value of RCF and phase angle at rated voltage. The values for each VT in an installation would be averaged together to determine the VT Ratio Correction Factor (RCFE) and VT Phase Angle (g). If the voltage transformers used are revenue metering with an accuracy class of 0.3 % and are operated at or below their rated burden, then the correction factors may be disregarded.

#### **Cable Loss Correction Factor**

The secondary voltage cables at an installation can be tested to determine the losses and phase angle of each. These values would then be averaged together to get the Cable Loss Correction Factor (CLCF) and the Phase Angle (a) for the installation. If the calculated connected burden of each phase do not exceed the VT burden rating, then the correction factors may be disregarded.

#### **Final Correction Factor**

The PACF for an installation is determined by the following formula:

$$PACF = \frac{cos(Q + b - a - g)}{cos Q}$$

Where cosQ is the secondary apparent power factor.

The Final Correction Factor (FCF) can then be determined as follows:

The Percent Error is the amount of error caused by the instrument transformers and cable loss, it is calculated as follows:

Percent Error = (1-FCF)\*(100)

The Percent Meter Adjustment is the adjustment to the meter required to compensate for the Percent Error, it is calculated as follows:

Percent Adjustment Factor = (FCF-1)\*(100)

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

The FCF is applied to the calibration of the meter, usually through adjustment of the calibration potentiometer or through a change in the programmed calibration values. After an adjustment to the meter is made, the meter should be tested at all test points to show that the meter is within calibration limits with the calibration values applied. A FCF which results in a correction of less than 0.6% can be disregarded since this is less than the required combined accuracy of the instrument transformers. However, if any correction factor (full load, light load or power factor) results in a correction of more than 0.6%, they should all be applied.

## **Applications**

#### Typical Installation

The preferred meter installation would utilize revenue metering class instrument transformers (0.3 %) operated at or below rated burden. If this is not the case, one or more of the following actions may be used to correct the problem:

Replace instrument transformers with higher burden rated units.

Reduce the burden on the circuit to comply with the existing rated burden.

Apply correction factors to the meter to compensate for inaccuracies.

#### Paralleling CTs

In normal revenue metering, current transformers would not be paralleled, but there are some applications where paralleling is done because the cost of the installation is reduced and the possibility of reduced meter accuracy is acceptable. A typical installation of this type would be to meter the net output of a generating station on a single meter rather than metering gross generator output and auxiliary power separately. In these type of installations additional rules apply:

All of the transformers must have the same nominal ratio regardless of the ratings of the circuits in which they are connected.

All transformers which have their secondaries paralleled must be connected in the same phase of the primary circuits.

The secondaries must be paralleled at the meter and not at the current transformers.

There should only be one ground on the secondaries of all transformers. This should be at their common point at the meter. Each utility may use their established grounding procedures.

Modern current transformers with low exciting currents and, therefore, little shunting effect when one or more current transformers are "floating" at no load should be used. Three or more "floating" current transformers might have an effect that should be investigated.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

The secondary circuits must be so designed that the maximum possible burden on any transformer will not exceed its rating. The burden should be kept as low as possible as its effects are increased in direct proportion to the square of the total secondary current.

A common voltage and frequency must be available for the meter.

If adjustments are made at the meter to compensate for ratio and phase angle errors, the ratio and phase angle error corrections used must represent the entire combination of transformers as a unit.

The watthour meter must be able to carry, without overload errors, the combined currents from all the transformers to which it is connected.

While servicing meters and equipment on parallel CT secondaries, all CTs must be by-passed (shorted). When work is completed all by-passes must be removed.

#### Worksheets

A worksheet which can be used to perform the above calculations is attached to this Part. Instructions for completing the worksheet follow:

Complete the Name, Delivery and Location fields using the CAISO Metered Entity's name, the operating name of the delivery, and the city and state for the location.

Enter the values of RCF and phase angle as tested at full load and light load for each CT in the circuit. Record the manufacturer and serial number of each transformer.

Enter the values of RCF and phase angle as tested at rated voltage for each VT in the circuit. Record the manufacturer and serial number of each transformer.

Enter the values of the Cable Loss Correction Factor and Phase Angle for the secondary voltage cables.

The worksheet will calculate the Final Correction Factors, Percent Errors and Percent Adjustment Factors to be applied to the meter calibration.

#### **Reference Materials**

The following additional reference may be referred to for assistance when calculating the correction factors referred to in this Part.

Handbook For Electricity Metering, Ninth Edition. Edison Electric Institute. Washington, D.C.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 970

Effective: November 1, 2007

# **CT/VT Ratio and Cable Loss Correction Worksheet (Example)**

Na	ame:			
De	elivery:			
Lo	ocation:			
			Full Load	Light Load
C1	Γ Test Data:		L	I
	Phase 'A' CT	Mfr. & Serial Nur	mber:	
	Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>1</sup> )		1.0003	1.0002
	Phase Angle (β) (minutes)		-0.3	2.2
	Phase 'B' CT	Mfr. & Serial Nur	nber:	
	Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>I</sup> )		1.0004	1.0029
	Phase Angle (β) (minutes)		-0.4	2.2
	Phase 'C' CT	Mfr. & Serial Nur	mber:	
	Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>1</sup> )		1.0019	1.0028
	Phase Angle (β) (minutes)		-0.3	3.1

Average of CT's	Mfr. & Serial Number:
Avciage of 0 1 3	IVIII. & OCHAI NUHBCI.

Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>I</sup> )	1.0009	1.0020
Phase Angle (β) (minutes)	-0.3	2.5

# **VT Test Data:**

Phase 'A' VT Mfr. & Serial Number:

Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>E</sup> )	0.9997

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 971

Effective: November 1, 2007

Phase Angle (γ) (minutes)	1.5

#### Phase 'B' VT Mfr. & Serial Number:

Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>E</sup> )	0.9996
Phase Angle (γ) (minutes)	1.5

# Phase 'C' VT Mfr. & Serial Number:

Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>E</sup> )	0.9997
Phase Angle (γ) (minutes)	1.7

# Average of VT's Mfr. & Serial Number:

Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>E</sup> )	0.9997
Phase Angle (γ) (minutes)	1.6

# **Cable Loss Test Data:**

## Phase 'A'

Ratio Correction Factor (CLCF)	0.9969
Phase Angle (α) (minutes)	4.3

#### Phase 'B'

Ratio Correction Factor (CLCF)	0.9949
Phase Angle (α) (minutes)	4.2

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 972

Effective: November 1, 2007

#### Phase 'C'

Ratio Correction Factor (CLCF)	0.9959
Phase Angle (α) (minutes)	4.7

# Average Cable Loss Data

Ratio Correction Factor (CLCF)	0.9959
Phase Angle (α) (minutes)	4.4

**Correction Factors:** Full Load Power Factor Light Load

Avg. Combined Corr. Factor	0.9964	0.9964	0.9975
Phase Ang Corr Factor (PACF)	1.0003	1.0032	1.0001
Final Correction Factor (FCF)	0.9967	0.9996	0.9977
Percent Error	+ 0.33	+ 0.04	+ 0.23
Percent Meter Adjustment	- 0.33	- 0.04	- 0.23

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# **CT/VT Ratio and Cable Loss Correction Worksheet**

Na	me:			
De	livery:			
Lo	cation:			
			Full Load	Light Load
СТ	Test Data:			
	Phase 'A' CT	Mfr. & Serial Num	nber:	
	Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>I</sup> )			
	Phase Angle (β) (minutes)			
	Phase 'B' CT	Mfr. & Serial Nun	nber:	
	Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>I</sup> )			
	Phase Angle (β) (minutes)			
	Phase 'C' CT	Mfr. & Serial Nun	nber:	
	Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>I</sup> )			
	Phase Angle (β) (minutes)			
•	Average of CT's	Mfr. & Serial Nun	nber:	
	Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>I</sup> )			
	Phase Angle (β) (minutes)			
VT	Test Data:		1	
	Phase 'A' VT	Mfr. & Serial Nun	nber:	
	Ratio Correction Factor (RCF <sup>E</sup> )			

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 974

Effective: November 1, 2007

Phas	e Angle (γ) (minutes)			
	Phase 'B' VT	Mfr. & Serial Numl	per:	
	Ratio Correction Factor (RCF	<sup>=E</sup> )		
	Phase Angle (γ) (minutes)			
	Phase 'C' VT	Mfr. & Serial Numl	per:	
	Ratio Correction Factor (RCI	<sup>=E</sup> )		
	Phase Angle (γ) (minutes)			
	Average of VT's	Mfr. & Serial Numl	per:	
	Ratio Correction Factor (RCI	<sup>-E</sup> )		
	Phase Angle (γ) (minutes)			
Cable Lo	ess Test Data:			
	Phase 'A'			
	Ratio Correction Factor (CLC	CF)		
	Phase Angle (α) (minutes)			
	Phase 'B'			
	Ratio Correction Factor (CLC	CF)		
	Phase Angle (α) (minutes)			

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 975

Effective: November 1, 2007

#### Phase 'C'

Phase Angle (α) (minutes)		rection Factor (CLCF)	Ra
		gle (α) (minutes)	Ph

# Average Cable Loss Data

Ratio Correction Factor (CLCF)	
Phase Angle (α) (minutes)	

	Phase Angle (α) (minute	s)		
Co	prrection Factors:	Full Load	Power Factor	Light Load
	Avg. Combined Corr. Factor			
	Phase Ang Corr Factor (PACF	)		
	Final Correction Factor (FCF)			
	Percent Error			
	Percent Meter Adjustment			

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## **PART G**

# CAISO DATA VALIDATION, ESTIMATION AND EDITING PROCEDURES

This Part is provided for information purposes only, it gives an overview of the procedures that the CAISO will use to validate, edit and estimate Meter Data received from CAISO Metered Entities and, where an exemption applies, Meter Data received from Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entities.

#### G 1 Validation

# G 1.1 Timing of Data Validation

Meter Data will be remotely retrieved via the CAISO secure communication system from CAISO Metered Entities by RMDAPS on a daily basis. Validation will be performed on the new Meter Data as it is retrieved from the meter or Compatible Meter Data Server in order to detect:

missing data;

data that could be invalid based upon status information returned from the meter; or

meter hardware or communication failure.

Additional validation will be performed on a daily basis to verify data against load patterns, check meters, schedules, RMDAPS load interval data and data obtained by SCADA.

# G 1.2 Data Validation Conditions

RMDAPS will detect the following conditions so that erroneous data will not be used for Settlement or billing purposes:

## G 1.2.1 Validation of metering/communications hardware:

meter hardware/firmware failures;

metering CT/VT failures (for example, losing one phase voltage input to the meter);

communication errors;

data which is recorded during meter tests;

mismatches between the meter configuration and host system master files;

meter changeouts (including changing CT/VT ratios);

gaps in data;

overflow of data within an interval;

ROM/RAM errors reported by the meter; and

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

alarms/phase errors reported by the meter.

#### G 1.2.2 Validation of RMDAPS load Interval Data characteristics:

data which exceeds a defined tolerance between main and check meters;

data which exceeds a defined tolerance between metering and SCADA data:

load factor limits;

power factor limits; and

for End-Users, validation of load patterns against historical load shapes.

#### G 1.3 Validation Criteria

Validation criteria will be defined by the CAISO for each channel of RMDAPS load interval data (kW/kVar/kVa/Volts, etc.) depending on the load characteristics for each meter location and the type of data being recorded.

For loads that do not change significantly over time or change in a predictable manner, percentage changes between intervals will be used.

For loads that switch from no-load to load and for reactive power where capacitors may be switched to control power factors, validation will be based upon historical data for that meter location. If no historical data is available, data such as the rating of transformers or the maximum output from a Generator will be used to set maximum limits on interval data.

Validation will be based upon reasonable criteria that can detect both hardware and operational problems with a high degree of confidence but will be set so as to avoid unnecessary rejection of data.

#### G 1.4 Validation for Stated Criteria

Data validation will be performed only for the validation criteria that has been entered for each meter channel of data. For example, the number of intervals of zero Energy recorded by the meter for the channel indicated will be validated only when a non-zero value is entered for this criteria.

Additional validation will be performed on a daily basis to verify data which is based upon load patterns, comparisons to check meters, schedules, RMDAPS load profiles or data obtained by SCADA.

#### G 1.5 Validation Failure

Data that fails validation will be flagged with the reason for the failure, where applicable. Data that fails checks such as load factor limits or comparisons of a RMDAPS load profile to the previous day, check meter or other load shape will be identified so that manual intervention can be used to estimate the correct values in order to edit the data or to manually accept the data.

#### G 1.6 Validation Criteria

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# G 1.6.1 Time of Application of Criteria

Validation Criteria	Hourly	Daily
Meter Readings vs. RMDAPS load profile (Energy Tolerance)		Yes
Intervals Found vs. Intervals Expected		Yes
Time Tolerance Between RMDAPS and Meter	Yes	Yes
Number of Power Outage Intervals		Yes
Missing Intervals (Gap In Data)		Yes
High/Low Limit Check On Interval Demand	Yes	Yes
High/Low Limit Check on Energy		Yes
CRC/ROM/RAM Checksum Error	Yes	Yes
Meter Clock Error	Yes	Yes
Hardware Reset Occurred	Yes	Yes
Watchdog Timeout	Yes	Yes
Time Reset Occurred	Yes	Yes
Data Overflow In Interval	Yes	Yes
Parity Error (Reported By Meter)	Yes	Yes
Alarms (From Meter)	Yes	Yes
Load Factor Limit		Yes
Power Factor Limit		Yes
Main vs. Check Meter Tolerance		Yes
Actual vs Scheduled Profile		Yes
Actual vs SCADA Data		Yes
Comparison Of Current Day To Previous Day		Yes
Percent Change Between Intervals		Yes

#### G 1.6.2 Validation Criteria

### (a) Meter Reading vs. RMDAPS load Interval Data (Energy Tolerance)

Meter readings will be obtained from CAISO approved meters on a daily basis in order to validate interval Energy measurements

obtained from the RMDAPS approved meters data and Energy from the meter readings. This Energy tolerance check will be used to detect meter changeouts or changes in metering CT/PT ratios that have not been reflected in the RMDAPS master files (meter configuration files). A "tolerance type" parameter will be set in the RMDAPS system parameter to define the type of check to be performed.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

The types of check that will be used will include the following (the constant used to convert the meter readings to kWh):

ID	Term	Description
М	Multiplier	Allows a percentage of the meter multiplier difference between the meter reading the recorded interval total energy.
P	Percent	Allows a percentage of the metered total energy difference between the metered total energy and the recorded total energy. The percent of allowed difference will be defined by the CAISO on an individual meter channel basis.
Q	Same as Percent	Based on 30 days of data. If the data relates to a period less than 30 days then the total usage will be projected to 30 days as follows:  Projected Usage=Total Usage * (30/Total Days)
D	Dual Check	Percent Method (P) is the primary check. If it fails, then the Multiplier Method (M) is used.
E	Dual Method	Percent Method (Q) is the primary check. If it fails, then the Multiplier Method (M) is used.
N	None	No tolerance check

# (b) Intervals Found vs Intervals Expected

RMDAPS will calculate the expected number of time intervals between the start and stop time of the RMDAPS load profile data file and compare that number against the actual number of time intervals found in the RMDAPS data file. The calculation used to determine the expected number of time intervals will take into account the size or duration of the actual time intervals for the particular meter/data file (e.g., 5 min, 15 min, 30 min and 60-min interval sizes).

# (c) Time Tolerance Between RMDAPS and Meter

When RMDAPS retrieves data from a meter, the RMDAPS workstation clock will be compared against the meter's clock. RMDAPS will be configured to automatically update the meter clocks within certain tolerances, limits and rules including:

i. a time tolerance parameter (in seconds) which indicates the allowable difference between the RMDAPS workstation clock and the meter clock (if the meter clock is within that parameter, RMDAPS will not update the meter clock);

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- ii. an upper limit for auto timeset which is the maximum number of minutes a meter can be out of time tolerance before RMDAPS will perform an auto timeset;
- iii. the RMDAPS will not perform auto timesets across interval boundaries; and
- iv. the auto timeset feature will support DST changes and time zone differences. Since all CAISO Metered Entity's meters that are polled by RMDAPS will be set to PST, this rule will not generally apply.

## (d) Number of Power Outage Intervals

The CAISO approved meter will record a time stamped event for each occurrence of a loss of AC power and a restoration of AC power. During the Meter Data retrieval process, RMDAPS will flag each RMDAPS interval between occurrences of AC power loss and AC power restoration with a power outage status bit. RMDAPS will sum the total number of power outages for a time frame of RMDAPS data and compare that value against a CAISO defined Power Outage Interval Tolerance value stored in the RMDAPS validation parameters.

# (e) Missing Intervals (Gap in Data)

The RMDAPS validation process will compare the stop and start times of two consecutive pulse data files for a meter and will report if a missing interval/gap exists. The RMDAPS automatic estimation process for "plugging" missing intervals/gaps in data is described in more detail in the Data Estimation section of this Part.

# (f) High/Low Limit Check on Interval Demand

The RMDAPS validation process will compare the Demand High/Low Limits entered by the RMDAPS operator on a meter channel basis in the RMDAPS meter channel table against the actual Demand value collected from the meter. This comparison will be performed on an interval by interval basis. If the actual Demand value is less than the Low Limit or greater than the High Limit, the RMDAPS validation process fails.

## (g) High/Low Limit Check on Energy

The RMDAPS validation process compares the Energy High/Low Limits entered by the RMDAPS operator on a meter channel basis in the RMDAPS meter channel table against the actual total Energy collected from the meter for the time period. If the actual total Energy is less than the Low Limit or greater than the High Limit, the RMDAPS validation process fails.

## (h) CRC/ROM/RAM Checksum Error

This general meter hardware error condition can occur during an internal status check or an internal read/write function within the meter. This error code may not be standard on some meters (reference should be made to the meter's user manual). When available, this internal status information will be collected during the RMDAPS Meter Data retrieval process and stored for review/reporting purposes.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# (i) Meter Clock Error

This meter hardware error condition can occur whenever an internal meter hardware clock error results in an invalid time, day, month, year, etc. This error code may not be standard on some meters (reference should be made to the meter's user manual). When available this interval status information is collected during the RMDAPS Meter Data retrieval process and stored for review/reporting purposes.

# (j) Hardware Reset Occurred

This meter hardware error condition occurs whenever an internal meter hardware reset occurs. This error code may not be standard on some meters (reference should be made to the meter's user manual). When available this interval status information is collected during the RMDAPS Meter Data retrieval process and stored for review/reporting purposes.

## (k) Watchdog Timeout

This error code may not be standard on some meters (reference should be made to the meter's user manual). When available, this feature watches for meter inactivity, indicating a possible meter failure.

## (I) Time Reset Occurred

This is a meter error code that indicates that the meter time has been reset. See paragraph (c) above.

## (m) Data Overflow In Interval

This error code occurs when the amount of data in an interval exceeds the memory capabilities of the meter to store the data. This alerts RMDAPS that there is corrupt data for the interval.

# (n) Parity Error (Reported by Meter)

Parity error is another indicator of corrupted data.

#### (o) Alarms (From Meter)

CAISO RMDAPS operator will evaluate all meter alarms to determine if the alarm condition creates data integrity problems that need to be investigated.

# (p) Load Factor Limit

The RMDAPS validation process compares the daily Load Factor to the limit entered by the RMDAPS operator. RMDAPS will prompt the operator to investigate data integrity if the limit is out of tolerance.

## (q) Power Factor Limit

The RMDAPS validation process compares the actual Power Factor to the limit entered by the RMDAPS operator. RMDAPS will prompt the operator to investigate if the limit is out of tolerance.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## (r) Main vs Check Meter Tolerance

The main and check meters can be configured in RMDAPS to be compared on a channel by channel basis to the check meter ID, channel number, percent tolerance allowance and the type of check. Interval or daily Meter Data will be entered into the corresponding main meter RMDAPS meter channel table record. This information will remain constant unless:

- i. a meter changeout occurs at the site;
- ii. the percent tolerance allowance needs adjusting; and/or
- iii. the type of check is switched.

If the percentage difference between the main channel interval Demand and the check channel interval Demand exceeds the Percent Tolerance allowed, the RMDAPS validation will fail. If, after applying this validation test, the percentage difference between the main channel total Energy and the check channel total Energy for each Trading Day exceeds the allowed percentage, the RMDAPS validation will fail. In both cases, if the percentage difference is less than the Percent Tolerance allowed, the RMDAPS validation will be accepted.

## (s) Actual vs. Scheduled Profile

Data is compared on an interval by interval basis like Main vs Check.

# (t) Actual vs. SCADA Data

Data is compared on an interval by interval basis like Main vs Check.

# (u) Comparison Of Current Day To Previous Day

The RMDAPS validation process compares the last complete day's Demand and Energy in the validation time period to one of the following parameters configured by the RMDAPS operator:

- i. previous day;
- ii. same day last week; or
- iii. same day last month.

#### **Validation Failure**

If the percentage difference between the Demand and Energy exceeds the tolerance setup in the RMDAPS validation parameters, the data subjected to the validation process fails.

# (v) Percent Change Between Intervals

The RMDAPS validation process uses the Interval Percent Change Tolerance set by the RMDAPS operator on a meter channel basis in the RMDAPS meter channel table to compare the percentage change in the pulses for the channel between two consecutive intervals.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

If the percent change exceeds the Interval Percent Change Tolerance set for that channel, the RMDAPS validation process fails.

#### G 2 Data Estimation Criteria

When interval data is missing due to there not being any response from the meter or the meter reports it as missing, RMDAPS will supply estimated data for the missing intervals based on the guidelines discussed below.

If a certified Check Meter is available and that data is valid, the data from the Check Meter will be used to replace the invalid or missing data from the main meter. When reading meters on a frequency basis, the point-to-point linear interpolation method will be used to estimate the current interval(s) of data. This method will only normally be used when estimating one hour or less of contiguous missing interval data when the previous and next intervals are actual values from the meter. If data is missing for an extended time period, historical data will be used as the reference date so that data can be matched to time of day and day of week.

#### G 2.1 Data Estimation Methods

The following data estimation methods are configurable by the RMDAPS operator on a meter-by-meter basis. The algorithms for each method are described below in order of precedence as implemented by the RMDAPS automatic estimation application software. The RMDAPS operators can alter this order by simply not activating a certain method. In addition, the RMDAPS operator can manually select each data estimation method at any time during the data analysis process.

## G 2.2 Main vs Check Meter

The global primary and Check Meters can be configured in the RMDAPS meter channel table to be compared on a channel-by-channel basis. The Check Meter ID and channel number will be entered into the corresponding primary meter RMDAPS meter channel table record. This information remains constant unless a meter changeout at the site occurs. During the RMDAPS automatic estimation process, if missing data is encountered and actual values from a certified Check Meter are available, the values for the corresponding intervals from that Check Meter will be substituted into the data file for the primary meter. All copied intervals will be tagged as an edited interval. In order for actual values from the check meter to be deemed acceptable for use in the automatic estimation process, the values must reside in an accepted data file that passed the validation criteria referred to earlier in this Part and no error codes or alarms can be set on the interval values. Meter Data from Check Meters may only be used where Meter Data is not available from the primary meter.

#### G 2.3 Point-to-Point Linear Interpolation

When reading meters on a frequency basis, the Point-to-Point Linear Interpolation Algorithm described below can be used to estimate the missing intervals of data. This method will only normally be used to estimate a maximum of one hour of contiguous missing interval data when the previous and next intervals are actual values from the meter. Even though this method will not normally be used above that maximum of one hour, the RMDAPS allows this maximum threshold to be set by the RMDAPS operator on a meter-by-meter basis. The same rules for defining acceptable actual values apply as

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

detailed in Main vs. Check Meter description above. All estimated intervals will be tagged as an edited interval.

Point to Point Linear Interpolation Algorithm

Estimated Interval =Next Actual - Previous Actual Interval

+ Previous Actual Interval

Number of Missing Intervals + 1

## G 2.4 Historical Data Estimation

Historical data estimation is the process of replacing missing or corrupt interval data in the RMDAPS data files. The data is replacing using historical data as a reference. There are two basic requirements when estimating data to be inserted or replaced:

Controls the option to perform automatic estimation

the amount of data to add or replace; and

the shape or contour of the data over the time span requested.

#### G 2.4.1 Estimation Parameters

Auto Plug

The following estimation parameters are required on a per meter basis:

(Y/N)	Controls the option to perform automatic commutation	
Auto Plug Option	Indicates where to get the reference data used in the	
(W/C/P/L)	estimation process:	
	W - use the previous week as the reference data (all data for the week must be present).	
	C - use the current month as reference data.	
	P - use the previous month as reference data.	
	L - use the current month of last year as reference data.	
Reference ID	ID from which the reference data is retrieved. The contour of the data is determined from this ID. The Reference ID can be the same as the meter ID (i.e. use historical data from the same meter) or a different Reference ID.	
Auto Plug Missing Days Limit	Verifies that the number of missing days of data is less then the missing day limit in order to invoke automatic estimation.	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Auto Plug Identifies a percent adjustment for situations where there is a need to factor the reference data by a percent increase or decrease. If this value is set to "0",

the adjustment is not performed.

Auto Plug Indicates if intervals with a power outage status are to

Power Outage be estimated/replaced automatically.

Reference Identifies the reference time span for the historical

Time Span data.

#### G 2.4.2 Total Data

The estimation algorithm used depends on the total amount of data to be added or replaced and the shape of that data. The RMDAPS operator can give the total data or that can be calculated to balance the meter usage in the file. The shape of the data is defined with the use of the reference data.

#### G 2.4.3 Reference Data

The reference data is based on the day of the week. All reference data is averaged and stored into a 7-day table of values for each interval. The table includes a day's worth of intervals for each day of the week (Sunday-Saturday). When the shape of a day's data is needed, this weekly table is referenced. Two data tables are set up to use in the algorithm. One stores the number of times that an interval value is needed from the reference data. While the other table maps the interval value in the reference data to the correct data in the update file. The data from the reference must be scaled up or down to match the magnitude of the data needed for the update file. This is determined by comparing the data total from the reference file with the data needed for the update file. This ratio is used when getting reference data to use for the update file.

#### G 2.4.4 Iterations

Iterations will be used to get the best reproduction of data in the update file. This process will attempt to get the correct shape for the data and also to get as close to the requested total data as possible by using up to ten iterations. Since RMDAPS data will be integer data and cannot have decimal values, the total data used will not be exactly what is requested. Definition of some of the tables and variables are:

REFTOT Total data from the reference file for the time

requested.

REQTOT Total requested data.

REFADJ Adjusted total reference data.

IP() A table containing the total times that a value

is used from the reference data.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

NP () A table containing the data in the update file

for that value in the reference data. A table mapping the reference data to the update data

according to the needed ratio.

# G 2.4.5 Population of Tables

The first step is to populate the tables. All intervals for the requested time are read from the reference data. These values are stored into table NP(). The number of times a value is used is stored into the table IP(). For example:

If the value 54 is needed 3 times, then IP(54)=3 and NP(54)=54

The table IP() is used to quickly add up the totals. The table NP() is modified by the ratio REQROT/REFADJ. For example:

If: REQTOT=22000

REFTOT=44000

Then: REQTOT/REFTOT=0.50

and NP(54) = 0.50\* NP(54) = 27

After modifying the complete NP() table, the total data is added to determine how close this total is to the requested total (REQTOT). The NP() values have to be rounded to whole numbers. This total is calculated by adding up all of the values in the NP() table multiplied by the times the value is needed (IP()). Each value used (IP(x) not zero) is multiplied by the value (NP(x)). Then each of the results is added up to a total. If the total is close enough to the requested total then the iteration process ends. After ten iterations the total will automatically be considered close enough to the requested total.

## G 2.4.6 Update File

As the data is needed to insert into the update file, the reference data is read from the reference file. The mapping table (NP) modifies the value. This modified value is inserted into the update file. All intervals are inserted in this manner to complete the data estimation.

#### G 3 Editing

All estimated intervals will be tagged as an edited interval in RMDAPS. The CAISO RMDAPS operator will notify the Metered Entity of the edited interval start and stop times, new value and technique used to estimate the data.

If estimation and editing is frequently required for the Meter Data received from a particularly metered entity, the CAISO may require re-certification and or facility maintenance or repair to correct the continued provision of erroneous or missing data.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX P CAISO Department of Market Monitoring and Market Surveillance Committee

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX P**

# **CAISO Department of Market Monitoring and Market Surveillance Committee**

#### 1 CAISO DEPARTMENT OF MARKET MONITORING

#### 1.1 Establishment

There shall be established within the CAISO a Department of Market Monitoring that shall be responsible for the ongoing development, implementation, and execution of the CAISO Market monitoring and information scheme described in this CAISO Tariff and the adherence to its objectives, as set forth in Section 38.

# 1.2 Composition

The Department of Market Monitoring shall be adequately staffed by the CAISO with full-time CAISO staff with the experience and qualifications necessary to fulfill the functions referred to in this CAISO Tariff. Such qualifications may include professional training pertinent to and experience in the operation of markets analogous to CAISO Markets, in the electric power industry, and in the field of competition and antitrust law, economics and policy. The Department of Market Monitoring shall be under the general management of the CAISO CEO, provided that the CEO may designate another CAISO officer for day-to-day oversight of the Department.

# 1.3 Accountability and Responsibilities

# 1.3.1 Department of Market Monitoring

The Department of Market Monitoring shall report to and be accountable to the CAISO CEO and his or her designee on all matters pertaining to policy and other matters that may affect the effectiveness and integrity of the monitoring function, including matters pertaining to market monitoring, information development and dissemination and pertaining to generic or entity-specific investigations, corrective actions or enforcement.

#### 1.3.2 CEO and MSC

The CAISO CEO and the MSC shall each have the independent authority to refer any of the matters referred to in Section 1.3.1 of this Appendix to the CAISO Governing Board for approval of recommended actions.

## 1.3.3 Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

- **1.3.3.1** The Department of Market Monitoring shall report to and be accountable to the CAISO CEO and his or her designee on all matters relating to administration of the Department and the internal resources and organization of the CAISO in accordance with Appendix P, Section 1.3.3.2.
- **1.3.3.2** The CAISO, through its CEO and Governing Board, shall determine that the Department of Market Monitoring has adequate resources and full access to data and the full cooperation of all parts of the CAISO organization in developing the database necessary for the effective functioning of the Department of Market Monitoring and the fulfillment of its monitoring function.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

# 1.3.4 Regulatory and Antitrust Enforcement Agencies

Where considered necessary and appropriate, or where so ordered by the regulatory or antitrust agency with jurisdiction over the matter in question, or by a court of competent jurisdiction, the CAISO shall refer a matter to the regulatory or antitrust enforcement agency concerned, e.g., in cases of serious abuse requiring expeditious investigation or action by the agency. In all such cases of direct referral, the CAISO CEO shall promptly inform the CAISO Governing Board and the MSC of the fact of and the content of the referral.

## 1.3.5 Complaints

Any Market Participant, or any other interested entity, may at any time submit information to or make a complaint to the Department of Market Monitoring concerning any matter that it believes may be relevant to the Department of Market Monitoring's monitoring responsibilities. Such submissions or complaints may be made on a confidential basis in which case the Department of Market Monitoring shall preserve the confidentiality thereof. The Department of Market Monitoring, at its discretion, may request further information from such entity and carry out any investigation that it considers appropriate as to the concern raised. The Department of Market Monitoring shall periodically make reports to the CAISO CEO and CAISO Governing Board on complaints received.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

#### **CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX P1**

# **CAISO Department of Market Monitoring**

# P1.1 CAISO Department of Market Monitoring

# P1.1.1 Information Gathering and Market Monitoring Indices for Evaluation

## P1.1.1.1 Information System

The Department of Market Monitoring shall be responsible for developing an information system and criteria for evaluation that will permit it to effectively monitor the CAISO Markets to identify and investigate abuses of that market, whether caused by exercises of market power or by other actions or inactions.

# P1.1.1.2 Data Categories

To develop the information system set forth in Section P1.1.1.1, the Department of Market Monitoring shall initially develop, and shall refine on the basis of experience, a detailed catalog of all the categories of data it will have the means of acquiring, and the procedures it will use (including procedures for protecting confidential data) to handle such data.

## P1.1.1.3 Catalog of Market Monitoring Indices

The Department of Market Monitoring shall initially develop, and shall refine on the basis of experience, a catalog of the CAISO Market monitoring indices that it will use to evaluate the data so collected.

#### P1.1.2 Evaluation of Information

## P1.1.2.1 Ongoing Evaluation

The Department of Market Monitoring shall evaluate and reevaluate on an ongoing basis the data categories and market monitoring indices that it has developed under Appendix P1, Sections P1.1.1.2 and P1.1.1.3, and the information it collects and receives from various other sources, including and in particular the CAISO's operation of the CAISO Markets. Such ongoing evaluations shall provide the basis for its reporting and publication responsibilities as set forth in this CAISO Tariff, for recommendations on proposed changes to the CAISO Tariff and CAISO Business Practice Manuals and other potential rules affecting the CAISO Markets, and for the development of criteria or standards for the initiation of proposed corrective or enforcement actions. In evaluating such information, the Department of Market Monitoring may consult the MSC or such external bodies as may be appropriate.

# P1.1.2.2 Submission of Evaluation Results

The final results of the Department of Market Monitoring's ongoing evaluations under Appendix P1, Section P1.1.2.1 shall routinely and promptly be submitted to the CAISO CEO and to the MSC for comment.

#### P1.1.3 Review of Rules of Conduct

The Department of Market Monitoring shall review Rules of Conduct for their effectiveness and consistency with its market monitoring activities and standards. The Department of Market Monitoring may at that time, and from time to time thereafter based on its experience in monitoring the CAISO

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Markets, propose to the CAISO CEO and/or the CAISO Governing Board that changes be made in such Rules of Conduct.

# P1.1.4 Reports and Recommendations

# P1.1.4.1 CAISO CEO and Governing Board

On the basis of the evaluation conducted under Appendix P1, Section P1.1.2 or the review conducted under Section P1.1.3, the Department of Market Monitoring shall prepare periodic reports, as required by the CAISO CEO, and specific ad hoc reports as appropriate, for the CAISO CEO and CAISO Governing Board on the state of competition in or the efficiency of the CAISO Markets; and on its monitoring activities, the results of its evaluation and review activities, and its development and implementation of recommendations. Where appropriate, the CAISO Department of Market Monitoring may recommend to the CAISO CEO and/or the CAISO Governing Board actions to be taken, including the amendment of the CAISO Tariff and CAISO Business Practice Manuals and corrective or enforcement action against specific entities. Such reports shall be made not less frequently than quarterly in the case of the CAISO CEO and annually in the case of the CAISO Governing Board and shall contain such information and be in such form as specified by such entities. Such reports shall be made public and publicized as specified by such entities except to the extent that they contain confidential or commercially sensitive information or to the extent such entities determine that effective enforcement of the monitoring function dictates otherwise.

# P1.1.4.2 Regulatory Agencies

As required in the CAISO Tariff or by the CAISO CEO and CAISO Governing Board, or as required by the regulatory agency with jurisdiction over the matters in question, the Department of Market Monitoring shall prepare reports to the FERC and other regulatory agencies, which shall be reviewed and approved by the CAISO CEO or his or her designee and then submitted as required. When publicly available reports are made to one regulatory agency with competent jurisdiction, such as the FERC, the Department of Market Monitoring may simultaneously make such reports available to other regulatory agencies with legitimate interests in their contents, such as the Electricity Oversight Board, the California Public Utilities Commission, the California Energy Commission and/or the California Attorney General.

#### P1.1.4.3 CAISO Market Surveillance Committee

All reports and recommendations to be made to regulatory agencies under Appendix P1, Section P1.1.4.2, unless urgency requires otherwise, shall first be submitted to the MSC for comments, which comments shall be reflected in any submittal to the CAISO Governing Board seeking approval of any such reports or recommendations. All final reports made to external regulatory agencies shall be simultaneously submitted to the MSC.

## P1.1.5 Market Participants

#### P1.1.5.1 Collection of Data

The Department of Market Monitoring may request that Market Participants or other entities whose activities may affect the operation of the CAISO markets submit any information or data determined by the Department of Market Monitoring to be potentially relevant. This data will be subject to due safeguards to protect confidential and commercially sensitive data. Failures by Market Participants to provide such data shall be treated under Section 37. In the event of failures by other entities to provide such data, the CAISO may take whatever action is available to it and appropriate for it to take, including reporting the failure to the pertinent regulatory agency, after providing such entity the opportunity to respond in writing as to the reason for the alleged failure and may include possible exclusion from the CAISO Markets or termination of any relevant CAISO agreements or certifications. Before any such

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF
AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 992

action is taken, the CAISO Market Participant shall be provided the opportunity to respond in writing as to the reason for the alleged failure.

#### P1.1.5.2 Dissemination of Data

Any Market Participant may request that the CAISO provide data that the CAISO has collected concerning that Market Participant; and, such data may, subject to constraints on the CAISO's resources and at the CAISO's sole discretion, be provided by the CAISO subject to due safeguards to protect confidential and commercially sensitive data. Where such activity imposes a significant burden or expense on the CAISO, the data may be provided on the condition that a reasonable contribution to the cost incurred by the CAISO is made to the CAISO by the requesting party.

# P1.1.6 External Consulting Assistance and Expert Advice

In carrying out any of its responsibilities under this CAISO Tariff, including the development of an information system, market monitoring indices and evaluation criteria, and the catalogs associated therewith, and in its analysis and ongoing evaluation of these catalogs and of the Rules of Conduct, the Department of Market Monitoring may hire consulting assistance subject to the budgetary approval of the CAISO CEO and may seek such expert external advice as it believes necessary.

# P1.1.7 Liability for Damages

As provided in Section 14 of the CAISO Tariff, the Department of Market Monitoring, the MSC, the CAISO CEO and other CAISO staff, and the CAISO Governing Board shall not be liable to any Market Participant under any circumstances whatsoever for any matter described in those sections, including but not limited to any financial loss or loss of economic advantage resulting from the performance or non-performance by such CAISO entities of their functions under this CAISO Tariff.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX P2**

#### **Market Surveillance Committee**

#### P2.2 Market Surveillance Committee

#### P2.2.1 Establishment

There shall be established a Market Surveillance Committee (MSC), whose role it shall be to provide independent external expertise on the CAISO market monitoring process and, in particular, to provide independent expert advice and recommendations to the CAISO CEO and Governing Board. Members of the Committee shall not be, and shall not be understood to be, employees or agents of the CAISO.

## P2.2.2 Composition

### P2.2.2.1 Qualifications

The MSC shall comprise a body of three or more independent and recognized experts whose combined professional expertise and experience shall encompass the following:

- economics, with emphasis on antitrust, competition, and market power issues in the electricity industry;
- **(b)** experience in operational aspects of Generation and transmission in electricity markets;
- (c) experience in antitrust or competition law in regulated industries; and
- **(d)** financial expertise relevant to energy or other commodity trading.

# P2.2.2.2 Criteria for Independence

Each member of the MSC must meet the following criteria for independence:

- **P2.2.2.2.1** no material affiliation, through employment, consulting or otherwise, with any Market Participant or Affiliate thereof consistent with the pertinent FERC Standards of Conduct; and
- **P2.2.2.2.2** no material financial interest in any Market Participant or Affiliate thereof consistent with the pertinent FERC Standards of Conduct.
- **P2.2.2.2.3** during their time on the Committee, members may not provide paid expert witness testimony or other commercial services to the CAISO or to any other party in connection with any legal or regulatory proceeding relating to the CAISO or any trade or other transaction involving the CAISO markets (except that the Committee may consult with and make recommendations concerning the functioning of the markets to CAISO Management or the CAISO Governing Board in connection with legal or regulatory proceedings).

# P2.2.3 Appointments to the MSC

For each position on the MSC, the CAISO CEO shall conduct a thorough search and requisite due diligence to develop a nomination to the CAISO Governing Board, which nomination shall be consistent with meeting the combined professional expertise and experience of the MSC set forth in Appendix P2, Section P2.2.2.1 and with the criteria for independence set forth in Appendix P2, Section P2.2.2.2. The

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

CAISO Governing Board shall expeditiously consider such nominations. If the nomination is approved, the CAISO

CEO shall appoint the candidate so nominated to the MSC. If the nomination is rejected, the CAISO CEO shall expeditiously proceed to develop another nomination.

## P2.2.4 Compensation and Reimbursements

Members of the MSC shall be compensated on such basis as the CAISO Governing Board shall from time to time determine.

Members of the MSC shall receive prompt reimbursement for all expenses reasonably incurred in the execution of their responsibilities under this Appendix P2, Section P2.2.

# P2.2.5 Liability for Damages

As provided in Section 14 of the CAISO Tariff, the Department of Market Monitoring, the MSC, the CAISO CEO and other CAISO staff, and the CAISO Governing Board shall not be liable to any Market Participant under any circumstances whatsoever for any matter described in those sections, including but not limited to any financial loss or loss of economic advantage resulting from the performance or non-performance by such CAISO entities of their functions under this CAISO Tariff.

## P2.2.6 SPECIFIC FUNCTIONS OF MARKET SURVEILLANCE COMMITTEE (MSC)

# P2.2.6.1 Information Gathering and Evaluation Criteria

The MSC shall review the initial catalogs of information and data and of evaluation criteria developed by the Department of Market Monitoring pursuant to Appendix P1, Section P1.1 and shall propose such changes, additions or deletions to such catalogs or items therein as it sees fit. In so doing, the MSC shall have full discretion to specify database items or evaluation criteria for inclusion in the pertinent catalog.

#### P2.2.6.2 Evaluation of Information

The MSC may, upon request of the Department of Market Monitoring, the CAISO Management or the CAISO Governing Board, or on its own volition, evaluate such information or data, including as may be collected by the Department of Market Monitoring on the basis of the evaluation criteria developed by the Department of Market Monitoring or on such further articulated evaluation criteria developed by the MSC.

## P2.2.6.3 Reports and Recommendations

## P2.2.6.3.1 Required Reports

All evaluations carried out by the MSC pursuant to Appendix P2, Section P2.2.6.2, and any recommendations emanating from such evaluations, shall be embodied by the MSC in written reports to the CAISO CEO and CAISO Governing Board and shall be made publicly available subject to due restrictions on dissemination of confidential or commercially sensitive information. The MSC may submit any MSC report to FERC, subject to due restrictions on dissemination of confidential or commercially sensitive information.

## P2.2.6.3.2 Additional Reports

The MSC may make such additional reports and recommendations as it sees fit relating to the monitoring program referred to in this CAISO Tariff, the analysis of information, the evaluation criteria or any

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

corrective or enforcement actions proposed by the Department of Market Monitoring or proposed of its own volition.

### P2.2.6.4 Publication of Reports and Recommendations

Upon request of the MSC, the CAISO shall publish reports and recommendations of the MSC or incorporate them, if consistent, into the CAISO's own reports or recommendations.

# P2.2.7 IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

## P2.2.7.1 Plan and Rules of Conduct Changes

Following a recommendation of the MSC, the CAISO Governing Board may make such changes as it believes are appropriate to the CAISO Tariff, any CAISO Business Practice Manual or Agreement, or any Rules of Conduct applicable in accordance with Section 22.11 of this CAISO Tariff. .

# P2.2.7.2 Tariff Changes

Upon recommendation of the MSC, the CAISO Governing Board shall consider and may adopt proposed CAISO Tariff changes in accordance with Section 22.11 of this CAISO Tariff.

#### P2.2.7.3 Sanctions and Penalties

Upon recommendation of the MSC, the CAISO may impose such sanctions or penalties as it believes necessary and as are permitted under the CAISO Tariff and related protocols approved by FERC; Section 37.9 or it may make any such referral to such regulatory or antitrust agency as it sees fit to recommend the imposition of sanctions and penalties.

## P2.2.8 PUBLICATION OF INFORMATION

# P2.2.8.1 Market Monitoring Data and Indices

The CAISO Department of Market Monitoring shall, pursuant to Appendix P1, Section P1.1.1, develop a catalog of data and indices. Upon approval of the CAISO CEO, such catalogs shall be duly published on the CAISO Website and disseminated to all Market Participants.

#### P2.2.8.2 Reports to Regulators

The CAISO shall develop annual reports of market performance for delivery to FERC, and such other reports as may be required by FERC, which shall be submitted for review to the MSC. The Department of Market Monitoring shall prepare and submit such reports to the CAISO CEO, CAISO Governing Board and to the regulatory agency concerned.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 996

# CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX P [NOT USED]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 997

# CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX Q Eligible Intermittent Resources Protocol

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **APPENDIX Q**

# **Eligible Intermittent Resources Protocol**

#### EIRP 1.3 Scope

## EIRP 1.3.1 Scope of Application to Parties

This Protocol applies to the CAISO and to:

- (a) Scheduling Coordinators (SCs);
- (b) Eligible Intermittent Resources; and
- (c) Participating Intermittent Resources.

# EIRP 1.3.2 Liability of the CAISO

Any liability of the CAISO arising out of or in relation to this Protocol shall be subject to Section 14 of the CAISO Tariff as if references to the CAISO Tariff were references to this Protocol.

#### EIRP 2 PARTICIPATING INTERMITTENT RESOURCE CERTIFICATION

# EIRP 2.1 No Mandatory Participation

Eligible Intermittent Resources may elect to be scheduled and settled as the CAISO Tariff provides for Generating Units, and are not required to seek certification as Participating Intermittent Resources.

## **EIRP 2.2 Minimum Certification Requirements**

Those Eligible Intermittent Resources that intend to become Participating Intermittent Resources must meet the following requirements.

## EIRP 2.2.1 Agreements

The following agreements must be executed:

- (a) A Participating Generator Agreement that, among other things, binds the Participating Intermittent Resource to comply with the CAISO Tariff;
- (b) A Meter Service Agreement for CAISO Metered Entities; and
- (c) A letter of intent to become a Participating Intermittent Resource, which when executed and delivered to the CAISO shall initiate the process of certifying the Participating Intermittent Resource. The form of the letter of intent shall be specified by the CAISO and published on the CAISO Website.

# **EIRP 2.2.2** Composition

The CAISO shall develop criteria to determine whether one or more Eligible Intermittent Resources may be included within a Participating Intermittent Resource. Such criteria shall include:

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 999

- (a) A Participating Intermittent Resource must be at least 1 MW rated capacity.
- (b) A Participating Intermittent Resource may include one or more Eligible Intermittent Resources that have similar response to weather conditions or other variables relevant to forecasting Energy, as determined by the CAISO.
- (c) Each Participating Intermittent Resource shall be electrically connected at a single point on the CAISO Controlled Grid, except as otherwise permitted by the CAISO on a case-by-case basis as may be allowed under the CAISO Tariff.
- (d) The same Scheduling Coordinator must schedule all Eligible Intermittent Resources aggregated into a single Participating Intermittent Resource.

# EIRP 2.2.3 Equipment Installation

A Participating Intermittent Resource must install and maintain the communication equipment required pursuant to EIRP 3, and the equipment supporting forecast data required pursuant to EIRP 6.

#### EIRP 2.2.4 Forecast Model Validation

The CAISO must determine that sufficient historic and real-time telemetered data are available to support an accurate and unbiased forecast of Energy generation by the Participating Intermittent Resource, according to the forecasting process validation criteria described in EIRP 4.

#### EIRP 2.3 Notice of Certification

When all requirements described in EIRP 2.2 have been fulfilled, the CAISO shall notify the Scheduling Coordinator and the representatives of the Eligible Intermittent Resources comprising the Participating Intermittent Resource that the Participating Intermittent Resource has been certified, and is eligible for the settlement terms provided under Section 11.12 of the CAISO Tariff, as conditioned by the terms of this EIRP.

## EIRP 2.4 Requirements After Certification

# EIRP 2.4.1 Forecast Fee

Beginning on the date first certified, a Participating Intermittent Resource must pay the Forecast Fee for all metered Energy generated by the Participating Intermitted Resource over the duration of the commitment indicated in the letter of intent described in EIRP 2.2.1(c).

The amount of the Forecast Fee shall be determined so as to recover the projected annual costs related to developing Energy forecasting systems, generating forecasts, validating forecasts, and monitoring forecast performance, that are incurred by the CAISO as a direct result of participation by Participating Intermittent Resources in CAISO Markets, divided by the projected annual Energy production by all Participating Intermittent Resources.

The initial rate for the Forecast Fee, and all subsequent rate changes as may be necessary from time to time to recover costs incurred by the CAISO for the forecasting conducted on the behalf of Participating Intermittent Resources, shall be posted on the

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

CAISO Website. In no event shall the level of the Forecast Fee exceed the amount specified in CAISO Tariff Appendix F, Schedule 4.

# EIRP 2.4.2 Modification of Participating Intermittent Resource Composition

A Participating Intermittent Resource may seek to modify the composition of the Participating Intermittent Resource (e.g., by adding or eliminating an Eligible Intermittent Resource from the Participating Intermittent Resource). Such changes shall not be implemented without prior written approval by the CAISO. The CAISO will apply consistent criteria and expeditiously review any proposed changes in the composition of a Participating Intermittent Resource.

# EIRP 2.4.3 Changes in Scheduling Coordinator

This EIRP does not impose any additional requirement for CAISO approval to change the Scheduling Coordinator for an approved Participating Intermittent Resource than would otherwise apply under the CAISO Tariff to changes in the Scheduling Coordinator representing a Generating Unit.

# **EIRP 2.4.4** Continuing Obligation

A Participating Intermittent Resource must meet all obligations established for Participating Intermittent Resources under the CAISO Tariff and this EIRP, and must fully cooperate in providing all data and other information the CAISO reasonably requests to fulfill its obligation to validate forecast models and explain deviations.

## EIRP 2.4.5 Failure to Perform

If the CAISO determines that a material deficiency has arisen in the Participating Intermittent Resource's fulfillment of its obligations under the CAISO Tariff and this EIRP, and such Participating Intermittent Resource fails to promptly correct such deficiencies when notified by the CAISO, then the eligibility of the Participating Intermittent Resource for the settlement accommodations provided in Section 11.12 of the CAISO Tariff shall be suspended until such time that the unavailable data is provided or other material deficiency is corrected to the CAISO's reasonable satisfaction. Such suspension shall not relieve the Scheduling Coordinator for the deficient Participating Intermittent Resource from paying the Forecast Fee over the duration of the period covered by the letter of intent described in EIRP 2.2.1(c).

# EIRP 3 COMMUNICATIONS

#### EIRP 3.1 Forecast Data

The CAISO may require various data relevant to forecasting Energy from the Participating Intermittent Resource to be telemetered to the CASIO, including appropriate operational data, meteorological data or other data reasonably necessary to forecast Energy.

#### EIRP 3.2 Standards

The standards for communications shall be the monitoring and communications requirements for Generating Units providing only Energy; as such standards may be amended from time to time, and published on the CAISO Website.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# EIRP 3.3 Cost Responsibility

An applicant for certification as a Participating Intermittent Resource is responsible for expenses associated with engineering, installation, operation and maintenance of required communication equipment.

#### EIRP 4 FORECASTING

The CAISO is responsible for overseeing the development of tools or services to forecast Energy for Participating Intermittent Resources. The CAISO will use its best efforts to develop accurate and unbiased forecasts, as limited by the availability of relevant explanatory data. Objective criteria and thresholds for unbiased, accurate forecasts shall be published on the CAISO Website, and shall be used to certify Participating Intermittent Resources in accordance with EIRP 2.2.4.

#### EIRP 4.1 Hour-Ahead Forecast

The CAISO shall develop expert, independent hourly forecasts of Energy generation on each Participating Intermittent Resource. A forecast shall be published each hour on the half hour for each of the next seven operating hours. Other forecasts, including a Day-Ahead forecast, may be developed at the CAISO's discretion. The Scheduling Coordinator representing the Participating Intermittent Resource must use the Hour-Ahead Forecast that is available 30 minutes prior to the deadline for submitting the HASP/RT Bids. The CAISO shall use best efforts to provide reliable and timely forecasts. However, if the CAISO fails to deliver the Hour-Ahead Forecast to the Scheduling Coordinator prior to 15 minutes before the deadline for submitting HASP/RT Bids, then the Hour-Ahead Forecast shall be the most recent Energy forecast provided by the CAISO to the Scheduling Coordinator for the operating hour for which Bids are next due.

# EIRP 4.2 Forecast Calibration

The CAISO shall calibrate the forecast to eliminate bias as measured by net MWh deviations across any and all relevant time periods to minimize the expected cumulative net charges or payments that are recovered or allocated through Section 11.12 of the CAISO Tariff.

# EIRP 4.3 Confidentiality

The CAISO shall maintain the confidentiality of proprietary data for each Participating Intermittent Resource in accordance with Section 20 of the CAISO Tariff.

#### EIRP 5 SCHEDULING AND SETTLEMENT

# EIRP 5.1 Schedules

Scheduling Coordinators shall be required to submit HASP/RT Bids(MWh) for the Generating Units that comprise each Participating Intermittent Resource that are identical, in the aggregate, to the Hour-Ahead Forecast published for that Participating Intermittent Resource (MWh).

#### EIRP 5.2 Settlement

After a Participating Intermittent Resource is certified, settlement shall be determined for each Settlement Period based on consistency of Bids submitted on behalf of such

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Participating Intermittent Resources with the rules specified in the CAISO Tariff and this Protocol.

## EIRP 6 DATA COLLECTION FACILITIES

The Participating Intermittent Resource must install and maintain equipment to collect, record and transmit data that the CAISO reasonably determines is necessary to develop and support a forecast model that meets the requirements of EIRP 4.

#### EIRP 6.1 Wind Resources

A Participating Intermittent Resource powered by wind must install at least one meteorological tower at a project location that is representative of the microclimate within the project boundary.

The meteorological tower must rely on equipment typically used in the wind industry to continuously monitor weather conditions at a wind resource site. Data collected shall be consistent with requirements published on the CAISO Website. Such data must be gathered and telemetered to the CAISO in accordance with EIRP 3.

If objective standards developed by the CAISO indicate that the meteorological data may not be sufficiently representative of conditions affecting Energy output or changes in Energy output by that Participating Intermittent Resource, then the CAISO may require that additional meteorological equipment be temporarily installed at another location within the project boundary. The cost of such equipment, which may be temporarily installed by the Participating Intermittent Resource or the CAISO, shall be the responsibility of the Participating Intermittent Resource.

If objective standards indicate that the data collected from such a temporary site contribute significantly to the development of an accurate and unbiased forecast, then the Participating Intermittent Resource shall be responsible for installing and arranging for the telemetry of data from an additional permanent meteorological tower at such site, and for the reasonable cost, if any, that the CAISO may have incurred to install and remove the temporary equipment. Relocation of the original meteorological tower to the new site will be allowed if the CAISO determines that a sufficiently accurate and unbiased forecast can be generated from a single relocated meteorological tower.

# EIRP 6.2 Other Eligible Intermittent Resources

Eligible Intermittent Resources other than wind projects that wish to become Participating Intermittent Resources will be required to provide data of comparable relevance to estimating Energy generation. Standards will be developed as such projects are identified and will be posted on the CAISO Website.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### EIRP 7 PROGRAM MONITORING

The CAISO shall monitor the operation of these rules, and will in particular seek to eliminate any gaming opportunities provided by the flexibility provided Participating Intermittent Resources to self-select participation on an hourly basis.

Participating Intermittent Resources are expected to schedule and otherwise perform in good faith, and not seek to act strategically in a manner that causes financial gain through systematic behavior, where such gain results solely from the settlement accommodations provided under CAISO Tariff Section 11.12.

If requirements specified in this technical standard are not met, then Participating Intermittent Resource certification may be revoked pursuant to EIRP 2.4.5. Any patterns of strategic behavior by Participating Intermittent Resources will be tracked, and the statistical significance of such deviations will be used by the CAISO to evaluate whether changes in the rules defined in this EIRP are appropriate.

The CAISO will monitor the impact of rules for Participating Intermittent Resources on Imbalance Energy and Regulation costs to the CAISO.

## EIRP 8 AMENDMENTS

If the CAISO determines a need for an amendment to this Protocol, the CAISO will follow the requirements as set forth in Section 15 of the CAISO Tariff.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX R
UDP Aggregation Protocol (UDPAP)

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX R**

# **UDP Aggregation Protocol (UDPAP)**

#### UAP 1.3 Scope

There are two types of UDP Aggregation Classifications:

- (1) Basic UDP Aggregations: composed of Generating Units connected at the same substation and stepping up to the same voltage level bus bar, or
- Custom UDP Aggregations: composed of Generating Units connected at different substations and/or different voltage levels, particularly where the Generating Units to be aggregated are separated by CAISO Controlled Grid facilities. Examples of a proposed Custom UDP Aggregation include hydroelectric units operating on a common watershed (but having multiple different interconnection points), or geothermal units fed from a common geothermal steam supply.

#### UAP 2 SUBMITTAL OF A REQUEST FOR UDP AGGREGATION

Requests for UDP Aggregation are submitted to the CAISO and must include the following documentation:

- A completed UDP Aggregation Request form, which is available for downloading on the CAISO website;
- (2) A simplified electrical one-line diagram, which illustrates each resource, the connection of the resources to each other and to the CAISO Control Area;
- (3) For Custom UDP Aggregations, a detailed description that explains physical operating interrelationships between the units, or, if there are no interrelationships, how the units are compatible and why an aggregation of these units for the purpose of calculating Uninstructed Deviation Penalties is reasonable.

# UAP 3 CAISO REVIEW OF A UDP AGGREGATION REQUEST

Upon receipt of a completed request form and accompanying attachments, the CAISO shall review the request according to the criteria outlined herein. For Basic UDP Aggregations, the CAISO shall review and approve or reject it within one week of receipt. The CAISO shall review and approve or reject a request for a Custom UDP Aggregation within thirty (30) days of receipt.

# UAP 3.1 Criteria for Reviewing a Request

# **UAP 3.1.1** Scheduling Coordinator and Interconnection Point

Uninstructed Deviations may be aggregated for resources that are:

(1) Represented by the same Scheduling Coordinator and

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

(2) Connected to the same CAISO Controlled Grid bus and voltage level. The CAISO will consider, on a case-by-case basis, requests to aggregate Uninstructed Deviations among resources represented by the same Scheduling Coordinator but not sharing a common CAISO Controlled Grid bus and voltage level based on a CAISO review of impact on the CAISO Controlled Grid. In particular, the CAISO will consider whether the request concerns resources related by a common flow of fuel which cannot be interrupted without a substantial loss of efficiency of the combined output of all components; whether the Energy production from one resource necessarily causes Energy production from other resource(s); and whether the operational arrangement of resources determines the overall physical efficiency of the combined output of all of the resources.

## **UAP 3.1.2** Additional Criteria

Additional eligibility criteria for a UDP Aggregation are as follows:

- (1) Only Generating Units shall be eligible for UDP Aggregation. As a general rule, pump-generating Units (or a Physical Scheduling Plant [PSP] containing a pump-generating Unit) cannot be part of a UDP Aggregation. However, it is possible that generating Units could form a UDP Aggregation comprised entirely of pump-generating Units whose operation is uniform, that is, Units all operating in either Generation mode or all in pump mode, but never mixed.
- (2) UDP Aggregations cannot include any of the following:
  - (a) Load;
  - (b) Condition 2 Reliability Must Run (RMR) Units;
  - (c) Participating Intermittent Resources; or
  - (d) Generating Units less than 5 MW.
- (3) The resources must have CAISO direct telemetry and must be fully compliant with the CAISO's direct telemetry standards.
- (4) The Generating Units must have the same relative effect on all network elements for which the Generating Units have at least a five (5) percent effectiveness factor, that is, for those network elements for which a 1 MW change in the output of the Generating Unit changes the flow across that element by at least 0.05 MW. For the purposes of this item (4), the "same relative effect" means that the effectiveness factors of any Generating Unit relative to a network element cannot differ by more than 10% from the midpoint effectiveness factor of all the units. The midpoint effectiveness is the arithmetic mean of the two most different effectiveness factors to be aggregated.
- (5) Custom UDP Aggregations involving units not directly connecting to the CAISO Controlled Grid must recognize the transfer limits and status of the intermediate local facilities.
- (6) The applicable Pmax of aggregated groups of resources will exclude units that are not operating.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006 Effective: Upon Notice On Or After May 1, 2004

# UAP 3.1.3 Approval of a Request

If a UDP Aggregation request is approved, the CAISO shall create a new unique Resource ID, which reflects the identity or location of the units and stipulates the UDP Aggregation, but which cannot be used for scheduling purposes. The CAISO shall inform the Scheduling Coordinator of the approval and ask the Scheduling Coordinator to confirm the desired start date of the UDP Aggregation. When that confirmation has been received, the new aggregation will be entered into the CAISO systems. Unless otherwise agreed to by the Scheduling Coordinator and the CAISO, the UDP Aggregation will become effective on the first day of the month following approval. The Units in an approved UDP Aggregation are obligated to follow their individual schedules and instructions at all times.

# UAP 3.1.4 Rejection of a Request

If the CAISO determines that the proposed UDP Aggregation is likely to impact grid reliability or the reliability of transmission systems or equipment of intermediate entities between the relevant resources and the CAISO grid, the request will be rejected. If the CAISO rejects a request, the CAISO shall inform the Scheduling Coordinator, and forward to it the reason for the rejection. The CAISO may suggest alternative solutions if it has adequate time and data. The Scheduling Coordinator may choose to resubmit based on the CAISO's recommendations, or to close the request.

#### UAP 4 MODIFICATIONS TO AN EXISTING UDP AGGREGATION

## UAP.4.1 Status of UDP Aggregation

An approved UDP Aggregation shall be considered active until otherwise requested by the Scheduling Coordinator.

## UAP 4.2 Suspension by the CAISO

The CAISO may temporarily suspend any aggregation as needed to ensure reliability. The CAISO may also suspend previously approved UDP Aggregations if, due to changes to the grid, to the aggregated Generating Units, or to the facilities connecting aggregated Generating Units to the grid, the UDP Aggregation no longer meets the criteria set forth in Sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 of this CAISO Protocol.

If the CAISO must suspend the UDP Aggregation due to a forced outage or other unanticipated event, the CAISO shall provide notice that the UDP Aggregation has been suspended as soon as practical after the affecting event, but in no case longer than 72 hours after that event. If the CAISO must suspend the UDP Aggregation due to future changes, the CAISO shall notify the affected Scheduling Coordinator (1) that the UDP Aggregation will be suspended as soon as practical after the CAISO determines the UDP Aggregation must be suspended.

The CAISO shall write a report that explains the reason for the suspension and that specifies the effective date and time. The CAISO will forward the report to the Scheduling Coordinator and take steps to have the aggregation removed from the CAISO systems.

In the event that a resource in a UDP Aggregation changes from one Scheduling Coordinator to another, the UDP Aggregation will be suspended. In order to reinstate the aggregation, the new Scheduling Coordinator must submit a new request reflecting the change.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006 Effective: Upon Notice On Or After May 1, 2004

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1008

# UAP 4.3 Request for Modification by a Scheduling Coordinator

A Scheduling Coordinator may request a modification to an existing aggregation up to once per calendar month. A request for modification will follow the same procedures as a new request.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## **CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX S**

[Reserved for Station Power Protocol]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1010

CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX T
Scheduling Coordinator Application

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# The information provided for this application will be treated as confidential information

#### **PART A**

#### SCHEDULING COORDINATOR APPLICATION FORM

This application is for approval as a Scheduling Coordinator ("SC") by the California Independent System Operator Corporation ("CAISO") in accordance with the CAISO Tariff.

ddress of principal place of business:	
uthorized Representative:	
ddress:	
Phone:	
ax:	
-mail:	
ntity:	

## II. Scheduling Coordinator Customer Information

- 2.1 The information required under Part C, the CAISO Application File Template, must be provided for represented Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entities, which are Generators. The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant must submit all requested information prior to final certification, which must occur fourteen (14) days before the commencement of service.
- 2.2 Information for Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entities, which are End Users or Eligible Customers, must be kept in a standard business format based on generally accepted accounting principals. The CAISO shall have the right to inspect and audit a Scheduling Coordinator's accounts and files relating to its Scheduling Coordinator Metered Entities after giving two Business Days notice in writing.
- 2.3 The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant must submit a list of all CAISO Metered Entities, which it will represent.

# III. Security Requirement

3.1 The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant has an Approved Credit Rating as set forth in the CAISO Tariff: (yes/no).

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1012

The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant's credit rating is
Please attach certified documentation of an Approved Credit Rating from Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investors Services or the equivalent. Scheduling Coordinator Applicant must also submit, befo final certification, an executed letter of understanding for payment providing contact details in case of default. OR
3.2 The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant will provide an irrevocable and unconditional guarantee from a company which has an Approved Credit Rating: (yes / no).
The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant must submit a signed irrevocable and unconditional guarantee in a CAISO approved form and certified documentation of the other company's Approved Credit Rating before final certification. OR

3.3 The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant will provide an irrevocable and unconditional letter of credit: (yes / no).

Amount:	\$

The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant must submit a signed irrevocable and unconditional letter of credit in a CAISO approved form before final certification. OR

3.4 The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant will provide a cash deposit: (yes / no).

Amount: \$	. The Scheduling Coordinator	Applicant must enter into an escre	w
agreement in a CAISO approved	form before final certification.	AND	

3.5 The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant must provide its bank account information before final certification. The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant's bank must be capable of performing Fed-Wire System transfers.

#### IV. Technical Requirements

- 4.1 Does the Scheduling Coordinator Applicant have the computer hardware, software and communication capabilities for interface compatibility with the CAISO system for data transmission, for electronic data interchange (EDI) and for Fed-Wire System transfer accounts? (yes / no) If no, please submit a proposed completion date to be fully operational so that a CAISO staff site visit can be arranged.
- 4.2 For Loads and Generating Units located within the CAISO Controlled Grid, does the Scheduling Coordinator Applicant have any scheduling restrictions imposed by the parties they represent? (yes / no) If yes, provide full details on a separate sheet of paper.
- 4.3 Does the Scheduling Coordinator Applicant have adequate staffing to operate a Scheduling Coordinator's operational facility twenty-four (24) hours a day for 365 days a year? (yes / no). If no, please submit a proposed completion date to be fully operational so that a CAISO staff site visit can be arranged.

## V. Third Party Contractual Requirements

5.1 The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant confirms that all of its Scheduling Coordinator Customers which are located within the CAISO Controlled Grid and which should execute agreements with the CAISO have entered into or will enter into, prior to the certification of the Scheduling Coordinator Applicant, all required agreements with the CAISO to enable them to meet the requirements of the CAISO Tariff: (yes / no).

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1013

- (a) Represented Generators have signed Participating Generator Agreements: (yes / no).
- (b) Represented UDCs have signed UDC Operating Agreements and Meter Service Agreements: (yes / no).
- (c) Represented CAISO Metered Entities have signed Meter Service Agreements: (yes / no).
- (d) Wholesale Customers it will represent have warranted to the Scheduling Coordinato Applicant that they are eligible for wholesale transmission service pursuant to the provisions of the FPA Section 212(h): (yes / no).
- (e) Each End-Use Customer it will represent which requests Direct Access service has warranted to the Scheduling Coordinator Applicant that the End-Use Customer is eligible for such service: (yes / no).
- 5.2 The SCHEDULING COORDINATORApplicant confirms that all of the parties which it represents as Scheduling Coordinator Customers have granted it all necessary agency authority, whether actual, implied or inherent, to enable the Scheduling Coordinator to perform all of its obligations under the CAISO Tariff: (yes / no).
- 5.3 Notwithstanding 5.2, the Scheduling Coordinator confirms that it will have the primary responsibility, as the principal, for all Scheduling Coordinator payment obligations under the CAISO Tariff : (yes / no).

# VI. Additional Information and Obligations

- 6.1 The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant agrees to provide such further information to the CAISO as the CAISO may deem necessary to process the application and certify the Scheduling Coordinator Applicant as a Scheduling Coordinator now and on a continuing basis.
- 6.2 Subject to the CAISO Tariff, the Scheduling Coordinator Applicant agrees to promptly report to the CAISO within seven (7) Business Days or earlier any changes regarding the information provided by it referred to in the CAISO Tariff and in the application with the exception of the security requirement data referred to in Part III of Part A in this Appendix which must be updated within three (3) Business Days. The Scheduling Coordinator shall be responsible if a failure to submit revised technical data more promptly extends the period during which schedules are rejected by the CAISO.
- The Scheduling Coordinator Applicant agrees to enclose herein the non-refundable application fee of \$500 to cover the application processing costs, site visit and costs of providing CAISO Tariff.

Please make check payable to:

## The California Independent System Operator Corporation

- 6.4 Scheduling Coordinator Applicant agrees to promptly execute and return the Scheduling Coordinator Agreement, Meter Service Agreements, Interim Black Start Agreements, software licensing agreement, letter of understanding, letter of credit, guarantee, escrow agreement, as applicable, and Fed-Wire System bank account number, after receiving its application approval letter from the CAISO.
- 6.5 Final certification is contingent upon Scheduling Coordinator Applicant fulfilling all financial and technical requirements as referenced in the CAISO Tariff (including Part C of this Appendix, the CAISO Application File Template).

# Scheduling Coordinator Applicant certifies by its signature on this Application Form that:

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

may be in force or amended from time to time.

all information it is submitting is correct and accurate; and that

(1)

Original Sheet No. 1014

Effective: November 1, 2007

(2) the Scheduling Coordinator Applicant has read and agrees to be bound by the CAISO Tariff as

Name of Organization:				
Scheduling Coordinator Applicant's Name (please print):				
Scheduling Coordinator Applicant's Title:	<del> </del>			
Scheduling Coordinator Applicant's Signature:				
State of}				
County of}	[ <b>SEAL</b> ]			
Sworn and subscribed				
before me this day of,19				
Notary's Signature: Please send application and required information to:				
riease senu application and required information to.				

California Independent System Operator Corporation c/o Schedule Coordinator Application Processing Office

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

151 Blue Ravine Road, Folsom, CA 95630

Effective: November 1, 2007

# **Scheduling Coordinator Application PART B**

# **Procedures for Changes or Additions to**

# Scheduling Coordinator's (SC's) Information

The Scheduling Coordinator must update, amend and / or correct the information originally submitted to the CAISO during the Scheduling Coordinator application process using the format set forth in this Part and/or a revised Part C, the CAISO Application File Template. The Scheduling Coordinator must submit all changes or additional information by first class postage paid mail to:

California Independent System Operator Corporation

c/o SC Application Processing Office

151 Blue Ravine Road

Folsom, CA 95630

The Scheduling Coordinator must notify the CAISO of any change to the information that it has previously submitted to the CAISO, or any additional information, at least three Business Days before the change will take effect.

The CAISO will send a written acknowledgment of receipt of the Scheduling Coordinator's changes within three Business Days of receipt. The receipt shall be sent to the address on file with the CAISO or the address specified in the notice of change received by the CAISO.

Prior Information						
New Information						
Explanation and Reason for Change						

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1016

# **Scheduling Coordinator Application PART C**

### **CAISO APPLICATION FILE TEMPLATE**

The CAISO Application File Template is an Excel template used to load resources into the CAISO's database. There is also a customer help file created to work with a Microsoft Access Database which are used together to gather application information.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX U Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (LGIP)

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (LGIP)

# **Table of Contents**

LGIP 1	OBJE	CTIVES, DEFINITIONS, AND INTERPRETATION	1117	
LGIP 1.1	Objec	Objectives		
LGIP 1.2	Defini	tions	1117	
LGIP	1.2.1	Master Definitions Supplement	1117	
LGIP	1.2.2	Special Definitions for this LGIP	1117	
LGIP	1.2.3	Rules of Interpretation	1118	
LGIP 2	SCOP	PE AND APPLICATION	1118	
LGIP 2.1	Appli	cation of Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures	1118	
LGIP 2.2	Comp	parability	1118	
LGIP 2.3	Base	Case Data	1118	
LGIP 2.4	No Ap	oplicability to Transmission Service	1118	
LGIP 3	GIP 3 INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS			
LGIP 3.1	Gene	ral	1119	
LGIP 3.2	Roles	and Responsibilities	1119	
LGIP 3.3	Interc	connection Service	1119	
LGIP	3.3.1	The Product	1119	
LGIP	3.3.2	The Interconnection Studies	1120	
LGIP	3.3.3	Deliverability Assessment	1120	
LGIP	3.3.3.1	The Product	1120	
LGIP	3.3.3.2	The Assessment	1120	
LGIP 3.4	NETW	ORK UPGRADES	1121	
LGIP	3.4.1	Initial Funding	1121	
LGIP	3.4.2	[Section Intentionally Omitted]	1121	
LGIP	3.4.3	Repayment of Amounts Advanced for Network Upgrades	1121	
LGIP	3.4.4	Special Provisions for Affected Systems and Other Affected Participating TOs	1121	
LGIP 3.5	Valid	Interconnection Request	1122	
LGIP	3.5.1	Initiating an Interconnection Request	1122	
LGIP	3.5.2	Acknowledgement of Interconnection Request	1122	
LGIP	3.5.3	Deficiencies in Interconnection Request	1122	
LGIP	3.5.4	Scoping Meeting	1123	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1019 **LGIP 3.6 Internet Posting** 1123 **LGIP 3.7 Coordination with Affected Systems** 1124 **LGIP 3.8** Withdrawal 1124 LGIP 4 **QUEUE POSITION** 1125 **LGIP 4.1** General 1125 **LGIP 4.2** Clustering 1125 **LGIP 4.3 Transferability of Queue Position** 1126 **LGIP 4.4 Modifications** 1126 LGIP 4.4.1 [No Subheading Title] 1126 LGIP 4.4.2 [No Subheading Title] 1127 LGIP 4.4.3 1127 [No Subheading Title] LGIP 4.4.4 [No Subheading Title] 1127 LGIP 4.4.5 [No Subheading Title] 1127 LGIP 5 PROCEDURES FOR INTERCONNECTION REQUESTS **SUBMITTED** PRIOR TO EFFECTIVE DATE OF STANDARD LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION PROCEDURES 1127 **LGIP 5.1 Queue Position for Pending Requests** 1127 LGIP 5.1.1 [No Subheading Title] 1127 LGIP 5.1.1.1 1127 [No Subheading Title] 1127 LGIP 5.1.1.2 [No Subheading Title] LGIP 5.1.1.3 [No Subheading Title] 1128 LGIP 5.1.2 **Transition Period** 1128 **LGIP 5.2 New Participating TO** 1128 LGIP 6 INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY 1128 1128 **LGIP 6.1 Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement LGIP 6.2 Scope of Interconnection Feasibility Study** 1129 **LGIP 6.3 Interconnection Feasibility Study Procedures** 1130 LGIP 6.3.1 Meeting with the Participating TO(s) and CAISO 1130 **LGIP 6.4** 1130 Re-Study LGIP 7 INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY 1131 **LGIP 7.1 Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement** 1131 **LGIP 7.2** 1131 **Execution of Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement LGIP 7.3 Scope of Interconnection System Impact Study** 1132 **LGIP 7.4 Interconnection System Impact Study Procedures** 1133 1133 **LGIP 7.5** Meeting with the Participating TO and CAISO **LGIP 7.6** Re-Study 1134 LGIP 8 INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY 1134

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

**LGIP 8.1 Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement** 1134 **LGIP 8.1.1** [No Subheading Title] 1134 **LGIP 8.2 Scope of Interconnection Facilities Study** 1135 **LGIP 8.3 Interconnection Facilities Study Procedures** 1135 **LGIP 8.4 Meeting with Participating TO and CAISO** 1136 **LGIP 8.5** 1136 Re-Study LGIP 9 **ENGINEERING & PROCUREMENT ("E&P") AGREEMENT** 1136 **LGIP 10 OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY** 1137 **LGIP 10.1 Optional Interconnection Study Agreement** 1137 **LGIP 10.2** Scope of Optional Interconnection Study 1138 **LGIP 10.3 Optional Interconnection Study Procedures** 1138 **LGIP 11** STANDARD LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT (LGIA) 1138 **LGIP 11.1 Tender** 1138 **LGIP 11.2** 1139 Negotiation **LGIP 11.3 Execution and Filing** 1139 **LGIP 11.4 Commencement of Interconnection Activities** 1140 **LGIP 11.5** Interconnection Customer to Meet Requirements of the Participating **TO's Interconnection Handbook** 1140 **LGIP 12** CONSTRUCTION OF PARTICIPATING TO'S INTERCONNECTION **FACILITIES AND NETWORK UPGRADES** 1140 **LGIP 12.1 Schedules** 1140 **LGIP 12.2 Construction Sequencing** 1140 LGIP 12.2.1 General 1140 LGIP 12.2.2 Advance Construction of Network Upgrades that are an Obligation of an Entity other than the Interconnection Customer 1140 LGIP 12.2.3 Advancing Construction of Network Upgrades that are Part of an Expansion Plan of the Participating TO 1141 LGIP 12.2.4 Amended Interconnection Study 1141 **LGIP 13 MISCELLANEOUS** 1142 **LGIP 13.1** Confidentiality 1142 LGIP 13.1.1 Scope 1142 LGIP 13.1.2 Release of Confidential Information 1143 LGIP 13.1.3 Rights 1143 LGIP 13.1.4 No Warranties 1143 LGIP 13.1.5 Standard of Care 1143 LGIP 13.1.6 Order of Disclosure 1143 LGIP 13.1.7 Remedies 1144

Original Sheet No. 1020

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED	AND REST	TATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I	Original Sheet No. 1021
LGI	IP 13.1.8	Disclosure to FERC, its Staff, or a State	1144
LGI	IP 13.1.9	[No Subheading Title]	1144
LGI	IP 13.1.10	[No Subheading Title]	1145
LGI	IP 13.1.11	[No Subheading Title]	1145
LGIP 13.2	Delega	ation of Responsibility	1145
LGIP 13.3	Obliga	ation for Study Costs	1145
LGIP 13.4	Third	Parties Conducting Studies	1145
LGIP 13.5	Disput	tes	1146
LGI	IP 13.5.1	Submission	1146
LGI	IP 13.5.2	External Arbitration Procedures	1147
LGI	IP 13.5.3	Arbitration Decisions	1147
LGI	IP 13.5.4	Costs	1147
<b>LGIP 13.6</b>	Local	Furnishing Bonds	1148
LGI	IP 13.6.1	Participating TOs That Own Facilities Financed by Local Furnishing Bonds	1148
LGI	IP 13.6.2	Alternative Procedures for Requesting Interconnection Se	rvice 1148
LGIP Part 1	I		1149

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

# SECTION 1. OBJECTIVES, DEFINITIONS, AND INTERPRETATION.

# 1.1 Objectives.

The objective of this LGIP is to implement FERC's Order No. 2003 setting forth the requirements for Large Generating Facility interconnections to the CAISO Controlled Grid.

#### 1.2 Definitions.

#### 1.2.1 Master Definitions Supplement.

Unless the context otherwise requires, any word or expression defined in the Master Definitions Supplement to the CAISO Tariff shall have the same meaning where used in this LGIP. A reference to a Section or an Appendix is a reference to a Section or an Appendix of the CAISO Tariff. References to LGIP are to this Protocol or to the stated paragraph of this Protocol.

# 1.2.2 Special Definitions for this LGIP.

In this LGIP, the following words and expressions shall have the meanings set opposite them:

**"Confidential Information"** shall mean any confidential, proprietary or trade secret information of a plan, specification, pattern, procedure, design, device, list, concept, policy or compilation relating to the present or planned business of a Party, which is designated as confidential by the Party supplying the information, whether conveyed orally, electronically, in writing, through inspection, or otherwise, subject to Section 13.1 of the LGIP.

**"Dispute Resolution"** shall mean the procedure set forth in this LGIP for resolution of a dispute between the Parties.

**"Force Majeure"** shall mean any act of God, labor disturbance, act of the public enemy, war, insurrection, riot, fire, storm or flood, explosion, breakage or accident to machinery or equipment, any order, regulation or restriction imposed by governmental, military or lawfully established civilian authorities, or any other cause beyond a Party's control. A Force Majeure event does not include acts of negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Party claiming Force Majeure.

"Governmental Authority" shall mean any federal, state, local or other governmental, regulatory or administrative agency, court, commission, department, board, or other governmental subdivision, legislature, rulemaking board, tribunal, or other governmental authority having jurisdiction over the Parties, their respective facilities, or the respective services they provide, and exercising or entitled to exercise any administrative, executive, police, or taxing authority or power; provided, however, that such term does not include the Interconnection Customer, CAISO, or Participating TO, or any Affiliate thereof.

**"Party" or "Parties"** shall mean the CAISO, Participating TO(s), Interconnection Customer or the applicable combination of the above.

**"Reasonable Efforts"** shall mean, with respect to an action required to be attempted or taken by a Party under the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures, efforts that are timely and consistent with Good Utility Practice and are otherwise substantially equivalent to those a Party would use to protect its own interests.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# 1.2.3 Rules of Interpretation.

- (a) Unless the context otherwise requires, if the provisions of this LGIP and the CAISO Tariff conflict, the CAISO Tariff will prevail to the extent of the inconsistency.
- (b) A reference in this LGIP to a given agreement, CAISO Protocol or instrument shall be a reference to that agreement or instrument as modified, amended, supplemented or restated through the date as of which such reference is made.
- (c) The captions and headings in this LGIP are inserted solely to facilitate reference and shall have no bearing upon the interpretation of any of the terms and conditions of this LGIP.
- (d) This LGIP shall be effective as of the date specified by FERC.

# Section 2. Scope and Application.

#### 2.1 Application of Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.

Sections 2 through 13 of this LGIP apply to processing an Interconnection Request pertaining to a Large Generating Facility.

# 2.2 Comparability.

The CAISO and the applicable Participating TO shall receive, process and analyze Interconnection Requests in a timely manner as set forth in this LGIP. The CAISO and the Participating TOs will use the same Reasonable Efforts in processing and analyzing Interconnection Requests from all Interconnection Customers, whether the Generating Facilities are owned by the Participating TO, its subsidiaries or Affiliates or others.

#### 2.3 Base Case Data.

The applicable Participating TO or CAISO shall provide base power flow, short circuit and stability databases, including all underlying assumptions, and contingency list upon request subject to applicable confidentiality provisions in LGIP Section 13.1. The applicable Participating TO or the CAISO is permitted to require that the Interconnection Customer sign a confidentiality agreement before the release of commercially sensitive information or Critical Energy Infrastructure Information (as that term is defined by FERC) in the Base Case data. Such Base Cases shall include (i) generation projects and (ii) transmission projects, including merchant transmission projects that are proposed for the transmission system for which a transmission expansion plan has been submitted and approved by the applicable authority.

#### 2.4 No Applicability to Transmission Service.

Nothing in this LGIP shall constitute a request for transmission service or confer upon an Interconnection Customer any right to receive transmission service.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# Section 3. Interconnection Requests.

# 3.1 General.

Pursuant to CAISO Tariff Section 25.1, an Interconnection Customer shall submit to the CAISO an Interconnection Request in the form of Part 1 to this LGIP and a refundable deposit of \$10,000. The CAISO will forward the deposit and a copy of the Interconnection Request to the applicable Participating TO within one (1) Business Day of receipt. The Participating TO shall apply the deposit toward the cost of an Interconnection Feasibility Study. The Interconnection Customer shall submit a separate Interconnection Request for each site and may submit multiple Interconnection Requests for a single site. The Interconnection Customer must submit a deposit with each Interconnection Request even when more than one request is submitted for a single site. An Interconnection Request to evaluate one site at two different voltage levels shall be treated as two Interconnection Requests.

At the Interconnection Customer's option, the Participating TO, the CAISO and Interconnection Customer will identify alternative Point(s) of Interconnection and configurations at the Scoping Meeting to evaluate in this process and attempt to eliminate alternatives in a reasonable fashion given resources and information available. Interconnection Customer will select the definitive Point(s) of Interconnection to be studied no later than the execution of the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement.

# 3.2 Roles and Responsibilities.

- (a) For each Interconnection Request, the CAISO will direct the applicable Participating TO to perform the required Interconnection Studies and any additional studies the CAISO determines to be reasonably necessary. The CAISO will coordinate with Affected System Operators in accordance with LGIP Section 3.7.
- (b) Any applicable Participating TO will complete or cause to be completed all studies directed by the CAISO within the timelines provided in this LGIP. Any studies performed by the CAISO or by a third party at the direction of the CAISO shall also be completed within timelines provided in this LGIP.
- (c) Each Interconnection Customer shall pay the reasonable costs of all Interconnection Studies performed by or at the direction of the CAISO or the applicable Participating TO, and any additional studies the CAISO determines to be reasonably necessary in response to the Interconnection Request.

# 3.3 Interconnection Service.

**The Product**. Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer to connect the Large Generating Facility to the CAISO Controlled Grid and be eligible to deliver the Large Generating Facility's output using the available capacity of the CAISO Controlled Grid. Interconnection Service does not in and of itself convey any right to deliver electricity to any specific customer or point of delivery.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

**The Interconnection Studies**. The Interconnection Studies consist of, but are not limited to, short circuit/fault duty, steady state (thermal and voltage) and stability analyses. The Interconnection Studies will include short circuit/fault duty, steady state and stability analyses and will identify direct Interconnection Facilities and required Reliability Network Upgrades necessary to address short circuit, overload and stability issues associated with the requested Interconnection Service.

The Interconnection Studies will also identify necessary Delivery Network Upgrades to allow full output of the proposed Large Generating Facility under a variety of potential system conditions, and the maximum allowed output, under a variety of potential system conditions, of the interconnecting Large Generating Facility without the Delivery Network Upgrades.

# 3.3.3 Deliverability Assessment.

3.3.3.1 The Product. A Deliverability Assessment will be performed which shall determine the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility's ability to deliver its energy to the CAISO Controlled Grid under peak load conditions. The Deliverability Assessment will provide the Interconnection Customer with information as to the level of deliverability without Network Upgrades, and the Deliverability Assessment will provide the Interconnection Customer with information as to the required Network Upgrades to enable the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility the ability to deliver the full output of the proposed Large Generating Facility to the CAISO Controlled Grid based on specified study assumptions.

Thus, the Deliverability Assessment results will provide the Interconnection Customer two (2) data points on the scale of deliverability: 1) a deliverability level with no Network Upgrades, and 2) the required Network Upgrades to support 100% deliverability.

Deliverability of a new Large Generating Facility will be assessed on the same basis as all other existing resources interconnected to the CAISO Controlled Grid.

3.3.3.2 The Assessment. The Deliverability Assessment will identify the facilities that are required to enable the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility to meet the requirements for deliverability and as a general matter, that such Large Generating Facility's interconnection is also studied with the CAISO Controlled Grid at peak load, under a variety of severely stressed conditions, to determine whether, with the Large Generating Facility at full output, the aggregate of generation in the local area can be delivered to the aggregate of load on the CAISO Controlled Grid, consistent with the CAISO's reliability criteria and procedures. This approach assumes that some portion of existing resources that are designated as deliverable is displaced by the output of the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility. This Deliverability Assessment in and of itself does not convey any right to deliver electricity to any specific customer or point of delivery. The CAISO Controlled Grid may also be studied under non-peak load conditions. However, upon request by the Interconnection Customer, the Deliverability Assessment must explain in writing to the Interconnection Customer why the study of non-peak load conditions is required for reliability purposes.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# 3.4 Network Upgrades.

# 3.4.1 Initial Funding

Unless the Participating TO elects to fund the capital for Reliability and Delivery Network Upgrades, they shall be solely funded by the Interconnection Customer.

#### 3.4.2 [Section Intentionally Omitted]

#### 3.4.3 Repayment of Amounts Advanced for Network Upgrades.

Upon the Commercial Operation Date, the Interconnection Customer shall be entitled to a repayment for the cost of Network Upgrades. Such amount shall be paid to the Interconnection Customer by the Participating TO on a dollar-for-dollar basis either through (1) direct payments made on a levelized basis over the five-year period commencing on the Commercial Operation Date; or (2) any alternative payment schedule that is mutually agreeable to the Interconnection Customer and Participating TO, provided that such amount is paid within five (5) years of the Commercial Operation Date. Any repayment shall include interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in FERC's regulations at 18 C.F.R. §35.19a(a)(2)(iii) from the date of any payment for Network Upgrades through the date on which the Interconnection Customer receives a repayment of such payment. The Interconnection Customer may assign such repayment rights to any person.

Instead of direct payments, the Interconnection Customer may elect to receive Congestion Revenue Rights (CRRs) in accordance with the CAISO Tariff associated with the Network Upgrades that were funded by the Interconnection Customer, to the extent such CRRs or alternative rights are available under the CAISO Tariff at the time of the election. Such CRRs would take effect upon the Commercial Operation Date of the Large Generating Facility in accordance with the LGIA.

# 3.4.4 Special Provisions for Affected Systems and Other Affected Participating TOs.

The Interconnection Customer shall enter into an agreement with the owner of the Affected System and/or other affected Participating TO(s), as applicable. The agreement shall specify the terms governing payments to be made by the Interconnection Customer to the owner of the Affected System and/or other affected Participating TO(s) as well as the repayment by the owner of the Affected System and/or other affected Participating TO(s). If the affected entity is another Participating TO, the initial form of agreement will be the LGIA, as appropriately modified.

Any repayment by the owner of the Affected System shall be in accordance with FERC Order No. 2003-B (109 FERC ¶ 61,287).

# 3.5 Valid Interconnection Request.

### 3.5.1 Initiating an Interconnection Request.

To initiate an Interconnection Request, the Interconnection Customer must submit all of the following: (i) a \$10,000 deposit, (ii) a completed application in the form of LGIP Part 1, and (iii) demonstration of Site Control or a posting of an additional deposit of \$10,000. Such deposits may be applied toward any Interconnection Studies pursuant to the Interconnection Request. If the Interconnection Customer demonstrates Site Control within the cure period specified in LGIP Section 3.5.3 after submitting its Interconnection

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Request, the additional deposit shall be refundable; otherwise, all such deposit(s), additional and initial, become non-refundable.

The expected In-Service Date of the new Large Generating Facility or increase in capacity of the existing Generating Facility shall be no more than the process window for the regional expansion planning period (or in the absence of a regional planning process, the process window for the CAISO's expansion planning period) not to exceed seven years from the date the Interconnection Request is received by the CAISO, unless the Interconnection Customer demonstrates that engineering, permitting and construction of the new Large Generating Facility or increase in capacity of the existing Generating Facility will take longer than the regional expansion planning period. The In-Service Date may succeed the date the Interconnection Request is received by the CAISO by a period up to ten years, or longer where the Interconnection Customer, the applicable Participating TO and the CAISO agree, such agreement not to be unreasonably withheld.

# 3.5.2 Acknowledgment of Interconnection Request.

The CAISO shall acknowledge receipt of the Interconnection Request within six (6) Business Days of receipt of the request and attach a copy of the received Interconnection Request to the acknowledgement.

# 3.5.3 Deficiencies in Interconnection Request.

An Interconnection Request will not be considered to be a valid request until all items in LGIP Section 3.5.1 have been received by the CAISO and are deemed complete by the applicable Participating TO and the CAISO. If an Interconnection Request fails to meet the requirements set forth in LGIP Section 3.5.1, the CAISO shall notify the Interconnection Customer within six (6) Business Days of receipt of the initial Interconnection Request of the reasons for such failure and that the Interconnection Request does not constitute a valid request. The Interconnection Customer shall provide the CAISO the additional requested information needed to constitute a valid request within ten (10) Business Days after receipt of such notice. Failure by the Interconnection Customer to comply with this LGIP Section 3.5.3 shall be treated in accordance with LGIP Section 3.8.

# 3.5.4 Scoping Meeting.

Within ten (10) Business Days after receipt of a valid Interconnection Request, the applicable Participating TO, in coordination with the CAISO, shall establish a date agreeable to the Interconnection Customer for the Scoping Meeting, and such date shall be no later than thirty (30) Calendar Days from receipt of the valid Interconnection Request, unless otherwise mutually agreed upon by the Parties. The CAISO shall determine whether the Interconnection Request is at or near the boundary of an affected Participating TO(s) service territory or of any other Affected System(s) so as to potentially affect such third parties. If such a determination is made, the CAISO shall invite the affected Participating TO(s) and/or Affected System Operator(s), in accordance with Section 3.7, to the Scoping Meeting by informing such third parties of the time and place of the scheduled Scoping Meeting as soon as practicable.

The purpose of the Scoping Meeting shall be to discuss alternative interconnection options, to exchange information including any transmission data that would reasonably be expected to impact such interconnection options, to analyze such information and to determine the potential feasible Points of Interconnection. The Participating TO, the CAISO and the Interconnection Customer will bring to the meeting such technical data, including, but not limited to: (i) general facility loadings, (ii) general instability issues, (iii)

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

general short circuit issues, (iv) general voltage issues, and (v) general reliability issues, as may be reasonably required to accomplish the purpose of the meeting. The Participating TO, the CAISO and the Interconnection Customer will also bring to the meeting personnel and other resources as may be reasonably required to accomplish the purpose of the meeting in the time allocated for the meeting. On the basis of the meeting, the Interconnection Customer shall designate its Point of Interconnection, pursuant to LGIP Section 6.1, and one or more available alternative Point(s) of Interconnection. The duration of the meeting shall be sufficient to accomplish its purpose.

The Participating TO shall prepare minutes from the meeting, verified by the Interconnection Customer and the CAISO, that will include, at a minimum, discussions of what the Participating TO and the CAISO expect the results of the Interconnection Feasibility Study will be.

# 3.6 Internet Posting.

The CAISO will maintain on the CAISO Website a list of all Interconnection Requests. The list will identify, for each Interconnection Request: (i) the maximum summer and winter megawatt electrical output; (ii) the location by county and state; (iii) the station or transmission line or lines where the interconnection will be made; (iv) the projected In-Service Date; (v) the status of the Interconnection Request, including Queue Position; (vi) the availability of any studies related to the Interconnection Request; (viii) the date of the Interconnection Request; (viii) the type of Generating Facility to be constructed (combined cycle, base load or combustion turbine and fuel type); and (ix) for Interconnection Requests that have not resulted in a completed interconnection, an explanation as to why it was not completed.

Except in the case of an Affiliate, the list will not disclose the identity of the Interconnection Customer until the Interconnection Customer executes an LGIA or requests that the Participating TO file an unexecuted LGIA with FERC. The CAISO shall post on the CAISO Website an advance notice whenever a Scoping Meeting will be held with an Affiliate of a Participating TO.

The CAISO shall post to the CAISO Website any deviations from the study timelines set forth herein. Interconnection Study reports and Optional Interconnection Study reports shall be posted to the CAISO Website subsequent to the meeting among the Interconnection Customer, the Participating TO and the CAISO to discuss the applicable study results. The CAISO shall also post any known deviations in the Large Generating Facility's In-Service Date.

# 3.7 Coordination with Affected Systems.

The CAISO will notify the Affected System Operators that are potentially affected by the project proposed by the Interconnection Customer. The CAISO will coordinate the conduct of any studies required to determine the impact of the Interconnection Request on Affected Systems with Affected System Operators, to the extent possible, and, if possible, the Participating TO will include those results (if available) in its applicable Interconnection Study within the time frame specified in this LGIP. The CAISO will include such Affected System Operators in all meetings held with the Interconnection Customer as required by this LGIP. The Interconnection Customer will cooperate with the CAISO in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Affected Systems, including signing separate study agreements with Affected System owners and paying for necessary studies. An entity which may be an

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Affected System shall cooperate with the CAISO in all matters related to the conduct of studies and the determination of modifications to Affected Systems.

#### 3.8 Withdrawal.

The Interconnection Customer may withdraw its Interconnection Request at any time by written notice of such withdrawal to the CAISO and the applicable Participating TO. In addition, if the Interconnection Customer fails to adhere to all requirements of this LGIP, except as provided in LGIP Section 13.5 (Disputes), the CAISO shall deem the Interconnection Request to be withdrawn and shall provide written notice to the Interconnection Customer within five (5) Business Days of the deemed withdrawal and an explanation of the reasons for such deemed withdrawal. Upon receipt of such written notice, the Interconnection Customer shall have fifteen (15) Business Days in which to either respond with information or actions that cures the deficiency or to notify the Participating TO and the CAISO of its intent to pursue Dispute Resolution.

Withdrawal shall result in the loss of the Interconnection Customer's Queue Position, if any. If an Interconnection Customer disputes the withdrawal and loss of its Queue Position, then during Dispute Resolution, the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request is eliminated from the queue until such time that the outcome of Dispute Resolution would restore its Queue Position. An Interconnection Customer that withdraws or is deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request shall pay to the Participating TO all costs that the Participating TO prudently incurs or irrevocably has committed to be incurred with respect to that Interconnection Request prior to the Participating TO's receipt of notice described above. The Interconnection Customer must pay all monies due to the Participating TO before it is allowed to obtain any Interconnection Study data or results.

The CAISO shall update the CAISO Website Queue Position posting. The Participating TO shall refund to the Interconnection Customer any portion of the Interconnection Customer's deposit or study payments that exceeds the costs that the Participating TO has incurred, including interest calculated in accordance with section 35.19a(a)(2) of FERC's regulations. In the event of such withdrawal, the Participating TO and CAISO, subject to the confidentiality provisions of LGIP Section 13.1, shall provide, at the Interconnection Customer's request, all information that the Participating TO and CAISO developed for any completed study conducted up to the date of withdrawal of the Interconnection Request.

#### Section 4. Queue Position.

#### 4.1 General.

The CAISO shall assign a Queue Position based upon the date and time of receipt of the valid Interconnection Request; provided that, if the sole reason an Interconnection Request is not valid is the lack of required information on the application form, and the Interconnection Customer provides such information in accordance with LGIP Section 3.5.3, then the CAISO shall assign the Interconnection Customer a Queue Position based on the date the application form was originally filed. Moving a Point of Interconnection shall result in a lowering of Queue Position if it is deemed a Material Modification under LGIP Section 4.4.3.

The queue position of each Interconnection Request will be used to determine the order of performing the Interconnection Studies and determination of cost responsibility for the facilities necessary to accommodate the Interconnection Request. A higher Queue Position Interconnection Request is one that has been placed "earlier" in the CAISO's

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

queue in relation to another Interconnection Request that is lower queued. The cost of the common upgrades for clustered Interconnection Requests may be allocated without regard to queue position.

# 4.2 Clustering.

At the CAISO's option and with concurrence of the applicable Participating TO, Interconnection Requests may be studied serially or in clusters for the purpose of the Interconnection System Impact Study.

Clustering shall be implemented on the basis of Queue Position. If the Participating TO and the CAISO elect to study Interconnection Requests using Clustering, all Interconnection Requests received within a period not to exceed one hundred and eighty (180) Calendar Days, hereinafter referred to as the "Queue Cluster Window" shall be studied together without regard to the nature of the underlying Interconnection Service. The deadline for completing all Interconnection System Impact Studies for which an Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement has been executed during a Queue Cluster Window shall be in accordance with LGIP Section 7.4, for all Interconnection Requests assigned to the same Queue Cluster Window. The Participating TO and CAISO may agree to study an Interconnection Request separately to the extent warranted by Good Utility Practice based upon the electrical remoteness of the proposed Large Generating Facility.

Clustering Interconnection System Impact Studies shall be conducted in such a manner to ensure the efficient implementation of the applicable regional transmission expansion plan in light of the transmission system's capabilities at the time of each study.

The Queue Cluster Window shall have a fixed time interval based on fixed annual opening and closing dates. Any changes to the established Queue Cluster Window interval and opening or closing dates shall be announced with a posting on the CAISO Website beginning at least one hundred and eighty (180) Calendar Days in advance of the change and continuing thereafter through the end date of the first Queue Cluster Window that is to be modified.

# 4.3 Transferability of Queue Position.

An Interconnection Customer may transfer its Queue Position to another entity only if such entity acquires the specific Generating Facility identified in the Interconnection Request and the Point of Interconnection does not change.

#### 4.4 Modifications.

The Interconnection Customer shall submit to the CAISO, in writing, modifications to any information provided in the Interconnection Request. The CAISO will forward the Interconnection Customer's modification to the applicable Participating TO within one (1) Business Day of receipt. The Interconnection Customer shall retain its Queue Position if the modifications are in accordance with LGIP Sections 4.4.1, 4.4.2 or 4.4.5, or are determined not to be Material Modifications pursuant to LGIP Section 4.4.3.

Notwithstanding the above, during the course of the Interconnection Studies, either the Interconnection Customer, the Participating TO, or the CAISO may identify changes to the planned interconnection that may improve the costs and benefits (including reliability) of the interconnection, and the ability of the proposed change to accommodate the Interconnection Request. To the extent the identified changes are acceptable to the Participating TO, the CAISO, and Interconnection Customer, such acceptance not to be

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

unreasonably withheld, the Participating TO and/or the CAISO shall modify the Point of Interconnection and/or configuration in accordance with such changes and proceed with any re-studies necessary to do so in accordance with LGIP Section 6.4, LGIP Section 7.6 and LGIP Section 8.5 as applicable and the Interconnection Customer shall retain its Queue Position.

- 4.4.1 Prior to the return of the executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement to the Participating TO, modifications permitted under this Section shall include specifically: (a) a decrease of up to 60 percent of electrical output (MW) of the proposed project; (b) modifying the technical parameters associated with the Large Generating Facility technology or the Large Generating Facility step-up transformer impedance characteristics; and (c) modifying the interconnection configuration. For plant increases, the incremental increase in plant output will go to the end of the queue for the purposes of cost allocation and study analysis.
- Prior to the return of the executed Interconnection Facility Study Agreement to the Participating TO, the modifications permitted under this Section shall include specifically: (a) additional 15 percent decrease of electrical output (MW), and (b) Large Generating Facility technical parameters associated with modifications to Large Generating Facility technology and transformer impedances; provided, however, the incremental costs associated with those modifications are the responsibility of the requesting Interconnection Customer.
- 4.4.3 Prior to making any modification other than those specifically permitted by LGIP Sections 4.4.1, 4.4.2, and 4.4.5, the Interconnection Customer may first request that the Participating TO and the CAISO evaluate whether such modification is a Material Modification. In response to the Interconnection Customer's request, the Participating TO and the CAISO shall evaluate the proposed modifications prior to making them and inform the Interconnection Customer in writing of whether the modifications would constitute a Material Modification. Any change to the Point of Interconnection, except those deemed acceptable under Sections 4.4.1, 6.1, 7.2 or so allowed elsewhere, shall constitute a Material Modification. The Interconnection Customer may then withdraw the proposed modification or proceed with a new Interconnection Request for such modification.
- 4.4.4 Upon receipt of the Interconnection Customer's request for modification permitted under this LGIP Section 4.4, the Participating TO and/or CAISO shall commence and perform any necessary additional studies as soon as practicable, but in no event shall the Participating TO and/or CAISO commence such studies later than thirty (30) Calendar Days after receiving notice of the Interconnection Customer's request. Any additional studies resulting from such modification shall be done at the Interconnection Customer's cost.
- **4.4.5** Extensions of less than three (3) cumulative years in the Commercial Operation Date of the Large Generating Facility to which the Interconnection Request relates are not material and should be handled through construction sequencing.
- Section 5. Procedures for Interconnection Requests Submitted Prior to Effective Date of Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.
- 5.1 Queue Position for Pending Requests.
- **5.1.1** Any Interconnection Customer assigned a queue position prior to the effective date of this LGIP shall retain that relative queue position.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- **5.1.1.1** If an Interconnection Study agreement has not been executed as of the effective date of this LGIP, then such Interconnection Study, and any subsequent Interconnection Studies, shall be processed in accordance with this LGIP.
- If an Interconnection Study agreement has been executed prior to the effective date of this LGIP, such Interconnection Study shall be completed in accordance with the terms of such agreement. With respect to any remaining studies for which an Interconnection Customer has not signed an Interconnection Study agreement prior to the effective date of the LGIP, the Participating TO must offer the Interconnection Customer the option of either continuing under the Participating TO's existing interconnection study process pursuant to CAISO Tariff Appendix W or going forward with the completion of the necessary Interconnection Studies (for which it does not have a signed Interconnection Studies agreement) in accordance with this LGIP.
- **5.1.1.3** If an agreement to interconnect a Generating Unit has been submitted to FERC for approval before the effective date of the LGIP, then the agreement would be grandfathered.

#### 5.1.2 Transition Period.

To the extent necessary, the Participating TO and/or the CAISO and Interconnection Customers with an outstanding request (i.e., an interconnection request or application for which an agreement to interconnect a Generating Unit has not been submitted to FERC for approval as of the effective date of this LGIP) shall transition to this LGIP within a reasonable period of time not to exceed sixty (60) Calendar Days. The use of the term "outstanding request" herein shall mean any interconnection request or application, on the effective date of this LGIP: (i) that has been submitted but not yet accepted by the CAISO or the Participating TO; (ii) where the related interconnection agreement has not vet been submitted to FERC for approval in executed or unexecuted form. (iii) where the relevant interconnection study agreements have not yet been executed, or (iv) where any of the relevant interconnection studies are in process but not yet completed. Any Interconnection Customer with an outstanding request as of the effective date of this LGIP may request a reasonable extension of any deadline, otherwise applicable, if necessary to avoid undue hardship or prejudice to its Interconnection Request. A reasonable extension shall be granted by the Participating TO or CAISO, as applicable, to the extent consistent with the intent and process provided for under this LGIP.

# 5.2 New Participating TO.

If the Participating TO transfers control of its portion of the CAISO Controlled Grid to a successor Participating TO during the period when an Interconnection Request is pending, the original Participating TO shall transfer to the successor Participating TO any amount of the deposit or payment with interest thereon that exceeds the cost that it incurred to evaluate the request for interconnection. Any difference between such net deposit amount and the costs that the successor Participating TO incurs to evaluate the request for interconnection shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate. The original Participating TO shall coordinate with the successor Participating TO and CAISO to complete any Interconnection Study, as appropriate, that the original Participating TO has begun but has not completed. If the original Participating TO has tendered a draft LGIA to the Interconnection Customer but the Interconnection Customer has not either executed the LGIA or requested the filing of an unexecuted LGIA with FERC, unless otherwise provided, the Interconnection Customer must complete negotiations with the successor Participating TO and the CAISO.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# Section 6. Interconnection Feasibility Study.

# 6.1 Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement.

Simultaneously with the acknowledgement of a valid Interconnection Request, the applicable Participating TO shall provide to the Interconnection Customer an Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement. The Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement shall specify that the Interconnection Customer is responsible for the actual cost of the Interconnection Feasibility Study. Within five (5) Business Days following the Scoping Meeting, the Interconnection Customer shall specify for inclusion in the attachment to the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement the Point(s) of Interconnection and any reasonable alternative Point(s) of Interconnection. Within five (5) Business Days following the applicable Participating TO's receipt of such designation, the Participating TO in coordination with the CAISO shall provide to the Interconnection Customer a signed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, which shall include a good faith estimate of the cost for completing the Interconnection Feasibility Study. The Interconnection Customer shall execute and deliver to the Participating TO the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement along with an additional \$10,000 deposit no later than thirty (30) Calendar Days after its receipt.

On or before the return of the executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement to the applicable Participating TO, the Interconnection Customer shall provide to the Participating TO and the CAISO the technical data called for in LGIP Part 1, Attachment A.

If the Interconnection Feasibility Study uncovers any unexpected result(s) not contemplated during the Scoping Meeting, a substitute Point of Interconnection identified by the Interconnection Customer, the applicable Participating TO or CAISO, and acceptable to the others, such acceptance not to be unreasonably withheld, will be substituted for the designated Point of Interconnection specified above without loss of Queue Position, and re-studies shall be completed pursuant to LGIP Section 6.4 as applicable. If the Participating TO and the Interconnection Customer cannot agree that the results were unexpected, then the CAISO will make a determination that the results were either expected or unexpected. For the purpose of this LGIP Section 6.1, if the Participating TO, CAISO and Interconnection Customer cannot agree on the substituted Point of Interconnection, then the Interconnection Customer may direct that one of the alternatives as specified in the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, as specified pursuant to LGIP Section 3.5.4, shall be the substitute.

If the Interconnection Customer, the applicable Participating TO and CAISO agree to forgo the Interconnection Feasibility Study, the applicable Participating TO will tender an Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement pursuant to the procedures specified in Section 7 of this LGIP and apply the deposits made in accordance with LGIP Section 3.5.1, in addition to the deposit made in accordance with LGIP Section 7, towards the Interconnection System Impact Study.

### 6.2 Scope of Interconnection Feasibility Study.

The Interconnection Feasibility Study shall preliminarily evaluate the feasibility of the proposed interconnection to the applicable Participating TO's portion of the CAISO Controlled Grid.

The Interconnection Feasibility Study will consider Base Cases as well as all generating facilities (and with respect to (iv), any identified Network Upgrades) that, on the date the Interconnection Feasibility Study is commenced: (i) are directly interconnected to the

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

CAISO Controlled Grid; (ii) are interconnected to Affected Systems and may have an impact on the Interconnection Request; (iii) have a pending request to interconnect to an Affected System; (iv) have a pending higher queued Interconnection Request to interconnect to the CAISO Controlled Grid; and (v) have no Queue Position but have executed an LGIA or requested that an unexecuted LGIA be filed with FERC. The Interconnection Feasibility Study will consist of a power flow and short circuit analysis on the applicable Participating TO's portion of the CAISO Controlled Grid. The Interconnection Feasibility Study will provide a list of facilities on the applicable Participating TO's portion of the CAISO Controlled Grid and a non-binding good faith estimate of cost responsibility and a non-binding good faith estimated time to construct. In addition, the Interconnection Feasibility Study will describe what results are expected in the Interconnection System Impact Study.

# 6.3 Interconnection Feasibility Study Procedures.

Prior to commencement of the Interconnection Feasibility Study, the CAISO will determine the responsibilities for the CAISO and applicable Participating TO to perform the study. The applicable Participating TO and/or CAISO shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable when performing the study. The applicable Participating TO and/or CAISO shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete a draft Interconnection Feasibility Study no later than forty-five (45) Calendar Days after the Participating TO receives the fully executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement. The Participating TO and CAISO shall share study results for review and comment, provide the study results to any other potentially-impacted Participating TO, and incorporate comments and issue a final Interconnection Feasibility Study to the Interconnection Customer within sixty (60) Calendar Days following receipt of the fully executed Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement. At the request of the Interconnection Customer or at any time the Participating TO and/or CAISO determines that the entity performing the study will not meet the required time frame for completing the Interconnection Feasibility Study, the Participating TO and/or CAISO shall notify the Interconnection Customer as to the schedule status of the Interconnection Feasibility Study. If the Participating TO and/or CAISO is unable to complete the Interconnection Feasibility Study within that time period, it shall notify the Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required.

Upon request, the applicable Participating TO and/or CAISO shall provide the Interconnection Customer supporting documentation, workpapers and relevant power flow and short circuit databases for the Interconnection Feasibility Study, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent with LGIP Section 13.1.

#### 6.3.1 Meeting with the Participating TO(s) and CAISO.

Within ten (10) Business Days of providing an Interconnection Feasibility Study report to the Interconnection Customer, the applicable Participating TO, CAISO, and the Interconnection Customer shall meet to discuss the results of the Interconnection Feasibility Study. Any other potentially-impacted Participating TO shall also be included in the meeting.

#### 6.4 Re-Study.

If re-study of the Interconnection Feasibility Study is required due to a higher queued project dropping out of the queue, or a modification of a higher queued project subject to LGIP Section 4.4, or re-designation of the Point of Interconnection pursuant to LGIP Section 6.1, or any other effective change in information which necessitates a re-study, the applicable Participating TO shall notify the Interconnection Customer and the CAISO

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

in writing along with providing a description of the expected results of the re-study. Upon receipt of such notice, the Interconnection Customer shall provide the applicable Participating TO within ten (10) Business Days either a written request that the Participating TO (i) terminate the study and withdraw the Interconnection Request; or (ii) continue the study. If the Interconnection Customer requests the applicable Participating TO to continue the study, the Interconnection Customer shall pay the Participating TO an additional \$10,000 deposit for the re-study along with providing written notice for the Participating TO to continue.

Such re-study shall take not longer than forty-five (45) Calendar Days from the date the applicable Participating TO receives the Interconnection Customer's written notice to continue the study and payment of the additional \$10,000 deposit. The applicable Participating TO and the CAISO shall share study results for review, provide the study results for review and comment to any other potentially-impacted Participating TOs, incorporate comments, and issue a final study to the Interconnection Customer within sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date the Participating TO receives the Interconnection Customer's written notice to continue the study and payment of the additional \$10,000 deposit. If the applicable Participating TO and/or the CAISO is unable to complete the Interconnection Feasibility Study within that time period, it shall notify the Interconnection Customer and the CAISO and provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. Any and all costs of the re-study shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer being re-studied.

# Section 7. Interconnection System Impact Study.

### 7.1 Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement.

Simultaneously with the delivery of the Interconnection Feasibility Study to the Interconnection Customer, the applicable Participating TO shall provide to the Interconnection Customer an Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement. In addition, any other potentially-impacted Participating TO in coordination with the CAISO shall determine if an Interconnection System Impact Study will be required on such other Participating TO's electrical system pursuant to a separate Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement shall provide that the Interconnection Customer shall compensate the Participating TO for the actual cost of the Interconnection System Impact Study. Within three (3) Business Days following the Interconnection Feasibility Study results meeting, the Participating TO in coordination with the CAISO shall provide to the Interconnection Customer a signed System Impact Study Agreement which shall include a non-binding good faith estimate of the cost and timeframe for completing the Interconnection System Impact Study.

# 7.2 Execution of Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement.

The Interconnection Customer shall execute the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement and deliver the executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement to the Participating TO no later than thirty (30) Calendar Days after its receipt along with a \$50,000 deposit.

If the Interconnection Customer does not provide all such technical data when it delivers the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, the CAISO shall notify the Interconnection Customer of the deficiency within five (5) Business Days of the receipt of the executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement and the Interconnection Customer shall cure the deficiency within ten (10) Business Days of receipt of the notice, provided, however, such deficiency does not include failure to deliver the executed Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement or deposit.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

If the Interconnection System Impact Study uncovers any unexpected result(s) not contemplated during the Scoping Meeting and the Interconnection Feasibility Study, a substitute Point of Interconnection identified by either the Interconnection Customer, the CAISO, or the Participating TO, and acceptable to the others, such acceptance not to be unreasonably withheld, will be substituted for the designated Point of Interconnection specified above without loss of Queue Position, and re-studies shall be completed pursuant to LGIP Section 7.6 as applicable. If the Participating TO and the Interconnection Customer cannot agree that the results were unexpected, then the CAISO will make a determination that the results were either expected or unexpected. For the purpose of this LGIP Section 7.2, if the Participating TO, CAISO and Interconnection Customer cannot agree on the substituted Point of Interconnection, then the Interconnection Customer may direct that one of the alternatives as specified in the Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, as specified pursuant to LGIP Section 3.5.4, shall be the substitute.

# 7.3 Scope of Interconnection System Impact Study.

The applicable Participating TOs' Interconnection System Impact Study, or Studies if applicable, shall evaluate the impact of the proposed interconnection on the reliability of the applicable Participating TO's electric system. The Interconnection System Impact Study will consider Base Cases as well as all generating facilities (and with respect to (iv) below, any identified Network Upgrades associated with such higher queued Interconnection Request) that, on the date the Interconnection System Impact Study is commenced: (i) are directly interconnected to the CAISO Controlled Grid; (ii) are interconnected to Affected Systems and may have an impact on the Interconnection Request; (iii) have a pending request to interconnect to an Affected System; (iv) have a pending higher queued Interconnection Request to interconnect to the CAISO Controlled Grid; and (v) have no Queue Position but have executed an LGIA or requested that an unexecuted LGIA be filed with FERC.

The Interconnection System Impact Study will consist of a short circuit analysis, a stability analysis, a power flow analysis and a Deliverability Assessment as described in LGIP Section 3.3.3. The Interconnection System Impact Study will state the assumptions upon which it is based; state the results of the analyses; and provide the requirements or potential impediments to providing the requested Interconnection Service, including a preliminary indication of the cost and length of time that would be necessary to correct any problems identified in those analyses and implement the interconnection. The Interconnection System Impact Study will provide a list of facilities on the applicable Participating TO's portion of the CAISO Controlled Grid that are required as a result of the Interconnection Request and a non-binding good faith estimate of cost responsibility and a non-binding good faith estimated time to construct.

#### 7.4 Interconnection System Impact Study Procedures.

Prior to commencement of the Interconnection System Impact Study, the CAISO will determine the responsibilities for the CAISO and Participating TO to perform the study. The CAISO shall coordinate the Interconnection System Impact Study with any Affected System that is affected by the Interconnection Request pursuant to LGIP Section 3.7 above. The Participating TO and/or CAISO shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable when performing the study. The Participating TO and/or CAISO shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete a draft Interconnection System Impact Study within ninety (90) Calendar Days after the receipt of the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, study payment, and technical data. The Participating TO and/or CAISO shall share results for review and comment, and incorporate comments and issue a final

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Interconnection System Impact Study Report to the Interconnection Customer within one hundred twenty (120) days after the receipt of the Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, study payment, and technical data. If the Participating TO and/or CAISO uses Clustering, the Participating TO and/or CAISO shall use Reasonable Efforts to deliver a completed Interconnection System Impact Study within one hundred twenty (120) Calendar Days after the close of the Queue Cluster Window.

At the request of the Interconnection Customer or at any time the Participating TO and/or CAISO determines that it will not meet the required time frame for completing the Interconnection System Impact Study, the Participating TO and/or CAISO shall notify the Interconnection Customer as to the schedule status of the Interconnection System Impact Study. If the Participating TO and/or CAISO is unable to complete the Interconnection System Impact Study within the time period, it shall notify the Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required.

Upon request, the Participating TO and/or CAISO shall provide the Interconnection Customer all supporting documentation, workpapers and relevant pre-Interconnection Request and post-Interconnection Request power flow, short circuit and stability databases for the Interconnection System Impact Study, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent with LGIP Section 13.1.

# 7.5 Meeting with the Participating TO and CAISO.

Within ten (10) Business Days of providing an Interconnection System Impact Study report to the Interconnection Customer, the Participating TO, the CAISO and the Interconnection Customer shall meet to discuss the results of the Interconnection System Impact Study.

#### 7.6 Re-Study.

If re-study of the Interconnection System Impact Study is required due to a higher queued project dropping out of the queue, a modification of a higher queued project subject to LGIP Section 4.4, or re-designation of the Point of Interconnection pursuant to LGIP Section 7.2, or any other effective change in information which necessitates a re-study, the Participating TO shall notify the Interconnection Customer and the CAISO in writing along with providing a description of the expected results of the re-study. Upon receipt of such notice, the Interconnection Customer shall provide the CAISO and the Participating TO within ten (10) Business Days either a written request that the Participating TO (i) terminate the study and withdraw the Interconnection Request; or (ii) continue the study. If the Interconnection Customer requests the Participating TO to continue the study, the Interconnection Customer shall pay the Participating TO an additional \$10,000 deposit for the re-study along with providing written notice for the Participating TO to continue.

Such re-study shall take no longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date the Participating TO receives the Interconnection Customer's written notice to continue the study and payment of the additional \$10,000 deposit. The Participating TO and the CAISO shall share study results for review and comment and incorporate comments and issue a final study to the Interconnection Customer within eighty (80) Calendar Days following receipt of the Interconnection Customer's written notice to continue the study and payment of the additional \$10,000 deposit. If the Participating TO and/or the CAISO is unable to complete the Interconnection System Impact Study within that time period, it shall notify the Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. Any and all costs of restudy shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer being re-studied.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# Section 8. Interconnection Facilities Study.

# 8.1 Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement.

Simultaneously with the delivery of the Interconnection System Impact Study to the Interconnection Customer, the Participating TO shall provide to the Interconnection Customer an Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement. The Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall provide that the Interconnection Customer shall compensate the Participating TO for the actual cost of the Interconnection Facilities Study. Within three (3) Business Days following the Interconnection System Impact Study results meeting, the Participating TO in coordination with the CAISO shall provide to the Interconnection Customer a signed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement which shall include a non-binding good faith estimate of the cost and timeframe for completing the Interconnection Facilities Study. The Interconnection Customer shall execute the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement and deliver the executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to the Participating TO within thirty (30) Calendar Days after its receipt, together with the required technical data and the greater of \$100,000 or the Interconnection Customer's portion of the estimated monthly cost of conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study.

**8.1.1** For studies where the estimated cost exceeds \$100,000, the Participating TO may invoice the Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection Facilities Study for the remaining balance of the estimated Interconnection Facilities Study cost. The Interconnection Customer shall pay invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice. The Participating TO shall continue to hold the amounts on deposit until settlement of the final invoice.

### 8.2 Scope of Interconnection Facilities Study.

The Interconnection Facilities Study shall specify and estimate the cost of the equipment, engineering, procurement and construction work needed on the Participating TO's electric system to implement the conclusions of the Interconnection System Impact Study in accordance with Good Utility Practice to physically and electrically connect the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities to the CAISO Controlled Grid. The Interconnection Facilities Study shall also identify the electrical switching configuration of the connection equipment, including, without limitation: the transformer, switchgear, meters, and other station equipment; the nature and estimated cost of any Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades necessary to accomplish the interconnection; and an estimate of the time required to complete the construction and installation of such facilities.

#### 8.3 Interconnection Facilities Study Procedures.

The CAISO shall coordinate the Interconnection Facilities Study with any Affected System pursuant to LGIP Section 3.5 above. The Participating TO and/or CAISO shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable in performing the Interconnection Facilities Study. The Participating TO and/or CAISO shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete the study and issue a draft Interconnection Facilities Study report to the Interconnection Customer. Prior to issuing draft study results to the Interconnection Customer, the Participating TO and CAISO shall share results for review and incorporate comments within the following number of days after receipt of an executed Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement: one hundred twenty (120) Calendar Days, with no more than a +/- 20 percent cost estimate contained in the report; or two hundred

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

ten (210) Calendar Days, if the Interconnection Customer requests a +/- 10 percent cost estimate. At the request of the Interconnection Customer or at any time the Participating TO and/or CAISO determines that it will not meet the required time frame for completing the Interconnection Facilities Study, the Participating TO and/or CAISO shall notify the Interconnection Customer as to the schedule status of the Interconnection Facilities Study. If the Participating TO and/or CAISO is unable to complete the Interconnection Facilities Study and issue a draft Interconnection Facilities Study report within the time required, it shall notify the Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated completion date and an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required.

The Interconnection Customer shall, within thirty (30) Calendar Days after receipt of the draft report, either (i) provide written comments to the Participating TO and CAISO, which the Participating TO and/or CAISO shall include in the final report, or (ii) provide a statement to the Participating TO and CAISO that it will not provide comments. The Participating TO and/or CAISO shall issue the final Interconnection Facilities Study report within fifteen (15) Business Days of receiving the Interconnection Customer's comments or promptly upon receiving the Interconnection Customer's statement that it will not provide comments. The Participating TO and/or CAISO may reasonably extend such fifteen-day period upon notice to the Interconnection Customer if the Interconnection Customer's comments require the Participating TO and/or CAISO to perform additional analyses or make other significant modifications prior to the issuance of the final Interconnection Facilities Report. Upon request, the Participating TO and/or CAISO shall provide the Interconnection Customer supporting documentation, workpapers, and databases or data developed in the preparation of the Interconnection Facilities Study, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent with LGIP Section 13.1.

# 8.4 Meeting with Participating TO and CAISO.

Within ten (10) Business Days of providing a draft Interconnection Facilities Study report to the Interconnection Customer, the Participating TO, the CAISO and the Interconnection Customer shall meet to discuss the results of the Interconnection Facilities Study. Within ten (10) Business Days of this meeting the Interconnection Customer shall make the election of which Delivery Network Upgrades identified in the Interconnection Facilities Study are to be installed. Any operating constraints on the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility arising out of the Interconnection Customer's election not to install the Delivery Network Upgrades shall be as set forth in Article 9 and Part C of the LGIA.

#### 8.5 Re-Study.

If re-study of the Interconnection Facilities Study is required due to a higher queued project dropping out of the queue or a modification of a higher queued project pursuant to LGIP Section 4.4, or any other effective change in information which necessitates a restudy, the Participating TO shall so notify the Interconnection Customer and the CAISO in writing. Upon receipt of such notice, the Interconnection Customer shall provide the Participating TO within ten (10) Business Days a written request that the Participating TO either (i) terminate the study and withdraw the Interconnection Request; or (ii) continue the study. If the Interconnection Customer requests the Participating TO to continue the study, the Interconnection Customer shall pay the Participating TO an additional \$10,000 deposit for the re-study along with providing written notice for the Participating TO to continue.

Such re-study shall take no longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date the Participating TO receives the Interconnection Customer's written notice to continue the study and payment of the additional \$10,000 deposit. The Participating TO and CAISO

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

shall share study results for review and comment and incorporate comments and issue a final study to the Interconnection Customer within eighty (80) Calendar Days following receipt of the Interconnection Customer's written notice to continue the study and payment of the additional \$10,000 deposit. If the Participating TO and/or the CAISO is unable to complete the Interconnection Facilities Study within that time period, it shall notify the Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. Any and all costs of re-study shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer being re-studied.

#### Section 9. Engineering & Procurement ("E&P") Agreement.

Prior to executing an LGIA, an Interconnection Customer may, in order to advance the implementation of its interconnection, request and the Participating TO shall offer the Interconnection Customer, an E&P Agreement that authorizes the Participating TO to begin engineering and procurement of long lead-time items necessary for the establishment of the interconnection. However, the Participating TO shall not be obligated to offer an E&P Agreement if the Interconnection Customer is in Dispute Resolution as a result of an allegation that the Interconnection Customer has failed to meet any milestones or comply with any prerequisites specified in other parts of the LGIP. The E&P Agreement is an optional procedure and it will not alter the Interconnection Customer's Queue Position or In-Service Date. The E&P Agreement shall provide for the Interconnection Customer to pay the cost of all activities authorized by the Interconnection Customer and to make advance payments or provide other satisfactory security for such costs.

The Interconnection Customer shall pay the cost of such authorized activities and any cancellation costs for equipment that is already ordered for its interconnection, which cannot be mitigated as hereafter described, whether or not such items or equipment later become unnecessary. If the Interconnection Customer withdraws its application for interconnection or either Party terminates the E&P Agreement, to the extent the equipment ordered can be canceled under reasonable terms, the Interconnection Customer shall be obligated to pay the associated cancellation costs. To the extent that the equipment cannot be reasonably canceled, the Participating TO may elect: (i) to take title to the equipment, in which event the Participating TO shall refund the Interconnection Customer any amounts paid by Interconnection Customer for such equipment and shall pay the cost of delivery of such equipment, or (ii) to transfer title to and deliver such equipment to the Interconnection Customer, in which event the Interconnection Customer shall pay any unpaid balance and cost of delivery of such equipment.

#### Section 10. Optional Interconnection Study.

# 10.1 Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.

On or after the date when the Interconnection Customer receives Interconnection System Impact Study results, the Interconnection Customer may request, and the Participating TO or CAISO shall perform, a reasonable number of Optional Interconnection Studies. The request shall describe the assumptions that the Interconnection Customer wishes the Participating TO or CAISO to study within the scope described in LGIP Section 10.2. Within five (5) Business Days after receipt of a request for an Optional Interconnection Study, the Participating TO or CAISO shall provide to the Interconnection Customer an Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.

The Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall: (i) specify the technical data that the Interconnection Customer must provide for each phase of the Optional Interconnection Study, (ii) specify the Interconnection Customer's assumptions as to

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

which Interconnection Requests with higher Queue Positions will be excluded from the Optional Interconnection Study case and assumptions as to the type of interconnection service for Interconnection Requests remaining in the Optional Interconnection Study case, and (iii) the Participating TO's or CAISO's estimate of the cost of the Optional Interconnection Study. To the extent known by the Participating TO or CAISO, such estimate shall include any costs expected to be incurred by any Affected System whose participation is necessary to complete the Optional Interconnection Study. Notwithstanding the above, the Participating TO or CAISO shall not be required as a result of an Optional Interconnection Study request to conduct any additional Interconnection Studies with respect to any other Interconnection Request.

The Interconnection Customer shall execute the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement within ten (10) Business Days of receipt and deliver the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, the technical data and a \$10,000 deposit to the Participating TO or CAISO as applicable.

# 10.2 Scope of Optional Interconnection Study.

The Optional Interconnection Study will consist of a sensitivity analysis based on the assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. The Optional Interconnection Study will also identify the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades, and the estimated cost thereof, that may be required to provide transmission service or Interconnection Service based upon the results of the Optional Interconnection Study. The Optional Interconnection Study shall be performed solely for informational purposes. The Participating TO or CAISO shall use Reasonable Efforts to coordinate the study with any Affected Systems that may be affected by the types of Interconnection Services that are being studied. The Participating TO or CAISO shall utilize existing studies to the extent practicable in conducting the Optional Interconnection Study.

#### 10.3 Optional Interconnection Study Procedures.

The Participating TO or CAISO shall use Reasonable Efforts to complete the Optional Interconnection Study within a mutually agreed upon time period specified within the Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. If the Participating TO or CAISO is unable to complete the Optional Interconnection Study within such time period, it shall notify the Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated completion date and an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. Any difference between the study payment and the actual cost of the study shall be paid to the Participating TO or CAISO, as applicable, or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate. Upon request, the Participating TO or CAISO shall provide the Interconnection Customer supporting documentation and workpapers, and databases or data developed in the preparation of the Optional Interconnection Study, subject to confidentiality arrangements consistent with LGIP Section 13.1.

# Section 11. Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement (LGIA).

### 11.1 Tender.

Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the Participating TO and the CAISO receive the Interconnection Customer's written comments, or notification of no comments, to the draft Interconnection Facilities Study report, the Participating TO shall tender a draft LGIA, together with draft appendices. The draft LGIA shall be in the form of the FERC-approved standard form LGIA. The Interconnection Customer shall provide written

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

comments, or notification of no comments, to the draft appendices to the Participating TO and the CAISO within (30) Calendar Days of receipt.

# 11.2 Negotiation.

Notwithstanding LGIP Section 11.1, at the request of the Interconnection Customer, the Participating TO, and CAISO as necessary, shall begin negotiations with the Interconnection Customer concerning the appendices to the LGIA at any time after the Interconnection Customer executes the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement. The Participating TO and CAISO, as necessary, and the Interconnection Customer shall negotiate concerning any disputed provisions of the appendices to the draft LGIA for not more than sixty (60) Calendar Days after tender of the final Interconnection Facilities Study report. If the Interconnection Customer determines that negotiations are at an impasse, it may request termination of the negotiations at any time after tender of the draft LGIA pursuant to LGIP Section 11.1 and request submission of the unexecuted LGIA with FERC or initiate Dispute Resolution procedures pursuant to LGIP Section 13.5. If the Interconnection Customer requests termination of the negotiations, but within ninety (90) Calendar Days after issuance of the final Interconnection Facilities Study report fails to request either the filing of the unexecuted LGIA or initiate Dispute Resolution, it shall be deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, if the Interconnection Customer has not executed and returned the LGIA, requested filing of an unexecuted LGIA, or initiated Dispute Resolution procedures pursuant to LGIP Section 13.5 within ninety (90) Calendar Days after issuance of the final Interconnection Facilities Study report, it shall be deemed to have withdrawn its Interconnection Request. The Participating TO and CAISO shall provide to the Interconnection Customer a final LGIA within fifteen (15) Business Days after the completion of the negotiation process.

#### 11.3 Execution and Filing.

At the time that the Interconnection Customer either returns the executed LGIA or requests the filing of an unexecuted LGIA as specified below, the Interconnection Customer shall provide the Participating TO (A) reasonable evidence of continued Site Control or (B) posting of \$250,000, non-refundable additional security, which shall be applied toward future construction costs. At the same time, the Interconnection Customer also shall provide reasonable evidence that one or more of the following milestones in the development of the Large Generating Facility, at the Interconnection Customer election, has been achieved: (i) the execution of a contract for the supply or transportation of fuel to the Large Generating Facility; (ii) the execution of a contract for the supply of cooling water to the Large Generating Facility; (iii) execution of a contract for the engineering for, procurement of major equipment for, or construction of, the Large Generating Facility; (iv) execution of a contract for the sale of electric energy or capacity from the Large Generating Facility; or (v) application for an air, water, or land use permit.

The Interconnection Customer shall either: (i) execute four originals of the tendered LGIA and return one to the Participating TO and two to the CAISO; or (ii) request in writing that the Participating TO and CAISO file with FERC an LGIA in unexecuted form. As soon as practicable, but not later than ten (10) Business Days after receiving either the executed originals of the tendered LGIA (if it does not conform with a FERC-approved standard form of interconnection agreement) or the request to file an unexecuted LGIA, the Participating TO and CAISO shall file the LGIA with FERC, as necessary, together with an explanation of any matters as to which the Interconnection Customer and the Participating TO or CAISO disagree and support for the costs that the Participating TO proposes to charge to the Interconnection Customer under the LGIA. An unexecuted LGIA should contain terms and conditions deemed appropriate by the Participating TO

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

and CAISO for the Interconnection Request. If the Parties agree to proceed with design, procurement, and construction of facilities and upgrades under the agreed-upon terms of the unexecuted LGIA, they may proceed pending FERC action.

#### 11.4 Commencement of Interconnection Activities.

If the Interconnection Customer executes the final LGIA, the Participating TO, CAISO and the Interconnection Customer shall perform their respective obligations in accordance with the terms of the LGIA, subject to modification by FERC. Upon submission of an unexecuted LGIA, the Interconnection Customer, Participating TO and CAISO may proceed to comply with the unexecuted LGIA, pending FERC action.

# 11.5 Interconnection Customer to Meet Requirements of the Participating TO's Interconnection Handbook.

The Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities shall be designed, constructed, operated and maintained in accordance with the Participating TO's Interconnection Handbook.

# Section 12. Construction of Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades.

#### 12.1 Schedule.

The Participating TO and the Interconnection Customer shall negotiate in good faith concerning a schedule for the construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades.

#### 12.2 Construction Sequencing.

#### 12.2.1 General.

In general, the in-service date in the LGIA of an Interconnection Customer seeking interconnection to the CAISO Controlled Grid will determine the sequence of construction of Network Upgrades.

# 12.2.2 Advance Construction of Network Upgrades that are an Obligation of an Entity other than the Interconnection Customer.

An Interconnection Customer with an LGIA, in order to maintain its In-Service Date, may request that the Participating TO advance to the extent necessary the completion of Network Upgrades that: (i) were assumed in the Interconnection Studies for such Interconnection Customer, (ii) are necessary to support such In-Service Date, and (iii) would otherwise not be completed, pursuant to a contractual obligation of an entity other than the Interconnection Customer that is seeking interconnection to the Participating TO's portion of the CAISO Controlled Grid, in time to support such In-Service Date. Upon such request, the Participating TO will use Reasonable Efforts to advance the construction of such Network Upgrades to accommodate such request; provided that the Interconnection Customer commits to pay the Participating TO: (i) any associated expediting costs and (ii) the cost of such Network Upgrades.

The Participating TO will refund to the Interconnection Customer both the expediting costs and the cost of Network Upgrades, in accordance with Article 11.4 of the LGIA. Consequently, the entity with a contractual obligation to construct such Network Upgrades shall be obligated to pay only that portion of the costs of the Network Upgrades

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

that the Participating TO has not refunded to the Interconnection Customer. Payment by that entity shall be due on the date that it would have been due had there been no request for advance construction. The Participating TO shall forward to the Interconnection Customer the amount paid by the entity with a contractual obligation to construct the Network Upgrades as payment in full for the outstanding balance owed to the Interconnection Customer. The Participating TO then shall refund to that entity the amount that it paid for the Network Upgrades, in accordance with Article 11.4 of the LGIA.

# 12.2.3 Advancing Construction of Network Upgrades that are Part of an Expansion Plan of the Participating TO.

An Interconnection Customer with an LGIA, in order to maintain its in-service date as specified in the LGIA, may request that the Participating TO advance to the extent necessary the completion of Network Upgrades that: (i) are necessary to support such in-service date and (ii) would otherwise not be completed, pursuant to an expansion plan of the Participating TO, in time to support such in-service date. Upon such request, the Participating TO will use Reasonable Efforts to advance the construction of such Network Upgrades to accommodate such request; provided that the Interconnection Customer commits to pay the Participating TO any associated expediting costs. The Interconnection Customer shall be entitled to refunds, if any, in accordance with this LGIP and the LGIA, for any expediting costs paid.

# 12.2.4 Amended Interconnection Study.

An Interconnection Study will be amended, as needed, to determine the facilities necessary to support the requested in-service date as specified in the LGIA. This amended study will include those transmission facilities, Large Generating Facilities and any other generating facilities that are expected to be in service on or before the requested in-service date. If an amendment to an Interconnection Study is required, the Participating TO shall notify the Interconnection Customer and the CAISO in writing. Upon receipt of such notice, the Interconnection Customer shall provide the CAISO and the Participating TO within ten (10) Business Days a written request that the Participating TO either (i) terminate the amended study and withdraw the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request or (ii) continue with the amended study. If the Interconnection Customer requests the Participating TO to continue with the amended study, the Interconnection Customer shall pay the Participating TO an additional \$10,000 deposit for the amended study along with providing written notice for the Participating TO to continue. Such amended study shall take no longer than sixty (60) Calendar Days from the date the Participating TO receives the Interconnection Customer's written notice to continue the study and payment of the additional \$10,000 deposit. The Participating TO and CAISO shall share study results for review and comment, and incorporate comments and issue a final study to the Interconnection Customer within eighty (80) Calendar Days from the date of the Interconnection Customer's written notice to continue the study and payment of the additional \$10,000 deposit. If the Participating TO is unable to complete the amended Interconnection Study within that time period, it shall notify the Interconnection Customer and provide an estimated completion date with an explanation of the reasons why additional time is required. Any and all costs of the amended study shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer being re-studied.

#### Section 13. Miscellaneous.

# 13.1 Confidentiality.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied by any of the Parties to the other Parties prior to the execution of an LGIA.

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Parties receiving the information that the information is confidential.

If requested by any Party, the other Parties shall provide in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Section warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

The confidentiality provisions of this LGIP are limited to information provided pursuant to this LGIP.

## 13.1.1 Scope.

Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a third party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or breach of the LGIA; or (6) is required, in accordance with LGIP Section 13.1.6, Order of Disclosure, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding establishing rights and obligations under the LGIP. Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the other Parties that it no longer is confidential.

# 13.1.2 Release of Confidential Information.

No Party shall release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its employees, consultants, Affiliates (limited by FERC's Standards of Conduct requirements set forth in Part 358 of FERC's Regulations, 18 C.F.R. 358), or to parties who may be or considering providing financing to or equity participation with the Interconnection Customer, or to potential purchasers or assignees of the Interconnection Customer, on a need-to-know basis in connection with these procedures, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this LGIP Section 13.1 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this LGIP Section 13.1.

#### 13.1.3 Rights.

Each Party retains all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that each Party discloses to the other Parties. The disclosure by each Party to the other Parties of

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Confidential Information shall not be deemed a waiver by a Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.

#### 13.1.4 No Warranties.

By providing Confidential Information, no Party makes any warranties or representations as to its accuracy or completeness. In addition, by supplying Confidential Information, no Party obligates itself to provide any particular information or Confidential Information to the other Parties nor to enter into any further agreements or proceed with any other relationship or joint venture.

#### 13.1.5 Standard of Care.

Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Parties under these procedures or its regulatory requirements.

#### 13.1.6 Order of Disclosure.

If a court or a Government Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires any Party, by subpoena, oral deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential Information, that Party shall provide the other Parties with prompt notice of such request(s) or requirement(s) so that the other Parties may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms of the LGIP. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

#### 13.1.7 Remedies.

Monetary damages are inadequate to compensate a Party for another Party's breach of its obligations under this LGIP Section 13.1. Each Party accordingly agrees that the other Parties shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the first Party breaches or threatens to breach its obligations under this LGIP Section 13.1, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the receiving Party shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the breach of this LGIP Section 13.1, but shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. Further, the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. No Party, however, shall be liable for indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this LGIP Section 13.1.

#### 13.1.8 Disclosure to FERC, its Staff, or a State.

Notwithstanding anything in this Section 13.1 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 C.F.R. section 1b.20, if FERC or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from one of the Parties that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to the LGIP, the Party shall provide the requested information to FERC or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to FERC or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 C.F.R. section

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by FERC and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Parties prior to the release of the Confidential Information to FERC or its staff. The Party shall notify the other applicable Parties when it is notified by FERC or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by FERC, at which time any of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. section 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner, consistent with applicable state rules and regulations.

- 13.1.9 Subject to the exception in LGIP Section 13.1.8, any Confidential Information shall not be disclosed by the other Parties to any person not employed or retained by the other Parties, except to the extent disclosure is (i) required by law; (ii) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (iii) otherwise permitted by consent of the other Parties, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (iv) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this LGIP or as a transmission service provider or a Control Area operator including disclosing the Confidential Information to an RTO or CAISO or to a subregional, regional or national reliability organization or planning group. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the other Parties in writing of the information it claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of another Party's Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any third party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the other Party in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and cooperate with the other Party in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement. protective order or other reasonable measures.
- **13.1.10** This provision shall not apply to any information that was or is hereafter in the public domain (except as a result of a breach of this provision).
- **13.1.11** The Participating TO or CAISO shall, at the Interconnection Customer's election, destroy, in a confidential manner, or return the Confidential Information provided at the time of Confidential Information is no longer needed.

# 13.2 Delegation of Responsibility.

The Participating TO and CAISO may use the services of subcontractors as deemed appropriate to perform their obligations under this LGIP. The Participating TO or CAISO shall remain primarily liable to the Interconnection Customer for the performance of its respective subcontractors and compliance with its obligations of this LGIP. The subcontractor shall keep all information provided confidential and shall use such information solely for the performance of such obligation for which it was provided and no other purpose.

# 13.3 Obligation for Study Costs.

The Participating TO or CAISO shall charge and the Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection Studies. Any difference between the study deposit and the actual cost of the applicable Interconnection Study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer. Any invoices for Interconnection Studies shall include a detailed and itemized accounting of the cost of each Interconnection Study. The Interconnection Customer shall pay any such undisputed costs within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of an invoice therefor. The Participating TO or CAISO shall not be

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

obligated to perform or continue to perform any studies unless the Interconnection Customer has paid all undisputed amounts in compliance herewith.

# 13.4 Third Parties Conducting Studies.

If (i) at the time of the signing of an Interconnection Study agreement there is disagreement as to the estimated time to complete an Interconnection Study, (ii) the Interconnection Customer receives notice pursuant to LGIP Sections 6.3, 7.4 or 8.3 that the Participating TO or CAISO will not complete an Interconnection Study within the applicable timeframe for such Interconnection Study, or (iii) the Interconnection Customer receives neither the Interconnection Study nor a notice under LGIP Sections 6.3, 7.4 or 8.3 within the applicable timeframe for such Interconnection Study, then the Interconnection Customer may require the Participating TO or CAISO to utilize a third party consultant reasonably acceptable to the Interconnection Study under the direction of the Participating TO or CAISO to perform such Interconnection Study under the direction of the Participating TO or CAISO. At other times, the Participating TO or CAISO may also utilize a third party consultant to perform such Interconnection Study, either in response to a general request of the Interconnection Customer, or on its own volition.

In all cases, use of a third party consultant shall be in accord with Article 26 of the LGIA (Subcontractors) and limited to situations where the Participating TO and CAISO determine that doing so will help maintain or accelerate the study process for the Interconnection Customer's pending Interconnection Request and not interfere with the Participating TO's and CAISO's progress on Interconnection Studies for other pending Interconnection Requests. In cases where the Interconnection Customer requests use of a third party consultant to perform such Interconnection Study, the Interconnection Customer and the Participating TO or CAISO shall negotiate all of the pertinent terms and conditions, including reimbursement arrangements and the estimated study completion date and study review deadline. The Participating TO or CAISO shall convey all workpapers, data bases, study results and all other supporting documentation prepared to date with respect to the Interconnection Request as soon as soon as practicable upon the Interconnection Customer's request subject to the confidentiality provision in LGIP Section 13.1. In any case, such third party contract may be entered into with either the Interconnection Customer or the Participating TO or CAISO at the Participating TO's or CAISO's discretion. In the case of (iii) the Interconnection Customer maintains its right to submit a claim to Dispute Resolution to recover the costs of such third party study. Such third party consultant shall be required to comply with this LGIP. Article 26 of the LGIA (Subcontractors), the CAISO Tariff, and the relevant Participating TO's TO Tariff as would apply if the Participating TO or CAISO were to conduct the Interconnection Study and shall use the information provided to it solely for purposes of performing such services and for no other purposes. The Participating TO or CAISO shall cooperate with such third party consultant and the Interconnection Customer to complete and issue the Interconnection Study in the shortest reasonable time.

#### 13.5 Disputes.

All disputes arising out of or in connection with this LGIP whereby relief is sought by or from the CAISO shall be settled in accordance with the CAISO ADR Procedures. Disputes arising out of or in connection with this LGIP not subject to the CAISO ADR Procedures shall be resolved as follows:

#### 13.5.1 Submission.

In the event either Party has a dispute, or asserts a claim, that arises out of or in connection with the LGIA, the LGIP, or their performance, such Party (the "disputing

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Party") shall provide the other Party with written notice of the dispute or claim ("Notice of Dispute"). Such dispute or claim shall be referred to a designated senior representative of each Party for resolution on an informal basis as promptly as practicable after receipt of the Notice of Dispute by the other Party. In the event the designated representatives are unable to resolve the claim or dispute through unassisted or assisted negotiations within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the other Party's receipt of the Notice of Dispute, such claim or dispute may, upon mutual agreement of the Parties, be submitted to arbitration and resolved in accordance with the arbitration procedures set forth below. In the event the Parties do not agree to submit such claim or dispute to arbitration, each Party may exercise whatever rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law consistent with the terms of the LGIA and LGIP.

#### 13.5.2 External Arbitration Procedures.

Any arbitration initiated under these procedures shall be conducted before a single neutral arbitrator appointed by the Parties. If the Parties fail to agree upon a single arbitrator within ten (10) Calendar Days of the submission of the dispute to arbitration, each Party shall choose one arbitrator who shall sit on a three-member arbitration panel. The two arbitrators so chosen shall within twenty (20) Calendar Days select a third arbitrator to chair the arbitration panel. In either case, the arbitrators shall be knowledgeable in electric utility matters, including electric transmission and bulk power issues, and shall not have any current or past substantial business or financial relationships with any party to the arbitration (except prior arbitration). The arbitrator(s) shall provide each of the Parties an opportunity to be heard and, except as otherwise provided herein, shall conduct the arbitration in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association ("Arbitration Rules") and any applicable FERC regulations or RTO rules; provided, however, in the event of a conflict between the Arbitration Rules and the terms of this LGIP Section 13, the terms of this LGIP Section 13 shall prevail.

# 13.5.3 Arbitration Decisions.

Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the arbitrator(s) shall render a decision within ninety (90) Calendar Days of appointment and shall notify the Parties in writing of such decision and the reasons therefor. The arbitrator(s) shall be authorized only to interpret and apply the provisions of the LGIA and LGIP and shall have no power to modify or change any provision of the LGIA and LGIP in any manner. The decision of the arbitrator(s) shall be final and binding upon the Parties, and judgment on the award may be entered in any court having jurisdiction. The decision of the arbitrator(s) may be appealed solely on the grounds that the conduct of the arbitrator(s), or the decision itself, violated the standards set forth in the Federal Arbitration Act or the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act. The final decision of the arbitrator must also be filed with FERC if it affects jurisdictional rates, terms and conditions of service, Interconnection Facilities, or Network Upgrades.

#### 13.5.4 Costs.

Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs incurred during the arbitration process and for the following costs, if applicable: (1) the cost of the arbitrator chosen by the Party to sit on the three member panel and one half of the cost of the third arbitrator chosen; or (2) one half the cost of the single arbitrator jointly chosen by the Parties.

# 13.6 Local Furnishing Bonds.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# 13.6.1 Participating TOs That Own Facilities Financed by Local Furnishing Bonds.

This provision is applicable only to a Participating TO that has financed facilities for the local furnishing of electric energy with Local Furnishing Bonds. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this LGIP, the Participating TO and the CAISO shall not be required to provide Interconnection Service to the Interconnection Customer pursuant to this LGIP and the LGIA if the provision of such Interconnection Service would jeopardize the tax-exempt status of any Local Furnishing Bond(s) issued for the benefit of the Participating TO.

#### 13.6.2 Alternative Procedures for Requesting Interconnection Service.

If the Participating TO determines that the provision of Interconnection Service requested by the Interconnection Customer would jeopardize the tax-exempt status of any Local Furnishing Bond(s) issued for the benefit of the Participating TO, it shall advise the Interconnection Customer and the CAISO within (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the Interconnection Request.

The Interconnection Customer thereafter may renew its request for the same interconnection Service by tendering an application under Section 211 of the Federal Power Act, in which case the Participating TO, within ten (10) Calendar Days of receiving a copy of the Section 211 application, will waive its rights to a request for service under Section 213(a) of the Federal Power Act and to the issuance of a proposed order under Section 212(c) of the Federal Power Act, and the CAISO and Participating TO shall provide the requested Interconnection Service pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in this LGIP and the LGIA.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### PART 1 to LGIP INTERCONNECTION REQUEST

Provide three copies of this completed form pursuant to Section 7 below.

1.	The undersigned Interconnection Customer submits this request to interconnect its Large Generating Facility with the CAISO Controlled Grid pursuant to the CAISO Tariff.	
2.	This Inf	terconnection Request is for (check one):  A proposed new Large Generating Facility.  An increase in the generating capacity or a Material Modification of an existing Generating Facility.
4.	The Int	erconnection Customer provides the following information:
	a.	Address or location, including the county, of the proposed new Large Generating Facility site or, in the case of an existing Generating Facility, the name and specific location, including the county, of the existing Generating Facility;
	b.	Maximum megawatt electrical output of the proposed new Large Generating Facility or the amount of megawatt increase in the generating capacity of an existing Generating Facility;
equipm	c. ent conf	Type of project (i.e., gas turbine, hydro, wind, etc.) and general description of the figuration;
month,	d. and yea	Proposed In-Service Date, Trial Operation date and Commercial Operation Date by day ar and term of service;
	e.	Name, address, telephone number, and e-mail address of the Interconnection Customer's contact person;
	f.	Approximate location of the proposed Point of Interconnection; and
	g.	Interconnection Customer Data (set forth in Attachment A)
5.	Applica	able deposit amount as specified in the LGIP.
6.		ce of Site Control as specified in the LGIP and name(s), address(es) and contact ation of site owner(s) (check one):
		Is attached to this Interconnection Request Will be provided at a later date in accordance with this LGIP
7.	This Int	terconnection Request shall be submitted to the representative indicated below:
		New Resource Interconnection California ISO P.O. Box 639014 Folsom, CA 95763-9014
		Overnight address: 151 Blue Ravine Road, Folsom, CA 95630

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1052

8.	Representative of the Interconnection Customer to contact:
	[To be completed by the Interconnection Customer]
9.	This Interconnection Request is submitted by:
	Name of the Interconnection Customer:
	By (signature):
	Name (type or print):
	Title:
	Date:

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# Attachment A To Part 1 Interconnection Request

#### LARGE GENERATING FACILITY DATA

Provide three copies of this completed form pursuant to Section 7 of Part 1.

### 1. Provide two original prints and one reproducible copy (no larger than 36" x 24") of the following:

- A. Site drawing to scale, showing generator location and point of interconnection with the CAISO Controlled Grid.
- B. Single-line diagram showing applicable equipment such as generating units, step-up transformers, auxiliary transformers, switches/disconnects of the proposed interconnection, including the required protection devices and circuit breakers. For wind generator farms, the one line diagram should include the distribution lines connecting the various groups of generating units, the generator capacitor banks, the step up transformers, the distribution lines, and the substation transformers and capacitor banks at the point of interconnection with the utility.

2.	General A) B) C)	ating Facility Information  Total Generating Facility rated output (kW):  Generating Facility auxiliary load (kW):  Project net capacity (kW):
	D)	Standby load when Generating Facility is off-line (kW):
	E)	Number of Generating Units:
	Γ\	(Please repeat the following items for each generator)
	F)	Individual generator rated output (kW for each unit):
	G) H)	Manufacturer:
	l)	Year Manufactured: Nominal Terminal Voltage:
	., J)	Rated Power Factor (%):
	K)	Rated Power Factor (%): Type (Induction, Synchronous, D.C. with Inverter):
	L)	Phase (3 phase or single phase):
	M)	Connection (Delta, Grounded WYE, Ungrounded WYE, impedance grounded):
	N)	Generator Voltage Regulation Range:
	O)	Generator Power Factor Regulation Range:
	P)	For combined cycle plants, specify the plant output for an outage of the steam turbine or
	an out	age of a single combustion turbine:
3.	Synch	ronous Generator – General Information:
-		e repeat the following for each generator)
	A.	Rated Generator speed (rpm):
	B.	Rated MVA:
	C.	Rated Generator Power Factor: Generator Efficiency at Rated Load (%):
	D.	Generator Efficiency at Rated Load (%):
	E.	Moment of Inertia (including prime mover): sec or MJ/MVA
	F.	inertia Time Constant (on machine base) H:sec or MJ/MVA
	G.	SCR (Short-Circuit Ratio - the ratio of the field current required for rated open-circuit

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION

FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 1054 voltage to the field current required for rated short-circuit current): H. Please attach generator reactive capability curves. Rated Hydrogen Cooling Pressure in psig (Steam Units only): \_ I. Please attach a plot of generator terminal voltage versus field current that shows the air J. gap line, the open-circuit saturation curve, and the saturation curve at full load and rated power factor. 4. **Excitation System Information** (Please repeat the following for each generator) A. Indicate the Manufacturer \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_ and Type excitation system used for the generator. For exciter type, please choose from 1 to 8 below or describe the specific excitation system. Rotating DC commutator exciter with continuously acting regulator. The 1) regulator power source is independent of the generator terminal voltage and current. Rotating DC commentator exciter with continuously acting regulator. The 2) regulator power source is bus fed from the generator terminal voltage. Rotating DC commutator exciter with non-continuously acting regulator (i.e., 3) regulator adjustments are made in discrete increments). 4) Rotating AC Alternator Exciter with non-controlled (diode) rectifiers. The regulator power source is independent of the generator terminal voltage and current (not bus-fed). Rotating AC Alternator Exciter with controlled (thyristor) rectifiers. The regulator 5) power source is fed from the exciter output voltage. Rotating AC Alternator Exciter with controlled (thyristor) rectifiers. 6) Static Exciter with controlled (thyristor) rectifiers. The regulator power source is 7) bus-fed from the generator terminal voltage. Static Exciter with controlled (thyristor) rectifiers. The regulator power source is 8) bus-fed from a combination of generator terminal voltage and current (compound-source controlled rectifiers system. B. Attach a copy of the block diagram of the excitation system from its instruction manual. The diagram should show the input, output, and all feedback loops of the excitation system. C. Excitation system response ratio (ASA): \_\_\_\_\_

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

E. Maximum exciter output voltage (ceiling voltage):

Other comments regarding the excitation system?

Effective: November 1, 2007

D. Full load rated exciter output voltage:

Issued on: February 9, 2006

F.

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1055

5.	(Plea unles	er System Stabilizer Information. se repeat the following for each generator. All new generators are required to install PSS an exemption has been obtained from WECC. Such an exemption can be obtained for that do not have suitable excitation systems.)
	A.	Manufacturer:
	B.	Is the PSS digital or analog?
	C.	Note the input signal source for the PSS?
		Bus frequency Shaft speed Bus Voltage Other (specify source)
	D.	Please attach a copy of a block diagram of the PSS from the PSS Instruction Manual and
	_	the correspondence between dial settings and the time constants or PSS gain.
	E:	Other comments regarding the PSS?
6.		ine-Governor Information se repeat the following for each generator)
		se complete Part A for steam, gas or combined-cycle turbines, Part B for hydro turbines, and C for both.
	A.	Steam, gas or combined-cycle turbines:
		<ol> <li>List type of unit (Steam, Gas, or Combined-cycle):</li> <li>If steam or combined-cycle, does the turbine system have a reheat process (i.e., both high and low pressure turbines)?</li> <li>If steam with reheat process, or if combined-cycle, indicate in the space provided, the percent of full load power produced by each turbine:%         High pressure turbine or steam turbine:%</li> </ol>
	B.	Hydro turbines:
		1.) Turbine efficiency at rated load:%
		2.) Length of penstock:ft
		3.) Average cross-sectional area of the penstock:ft2
		4.) Typical maximum head (vertical distance from the bottom of the penstock, at the gate, to the water level):ft
		5.) Is the water supply run-of-the-river or reservoir:
		6.) Water flow rate at the typical maximum head:ft3/sec
		7.) Average energy rate:kW-hrs/acre-ft
		8.) Estimated yearly energy production:kW-hrs
	C.	Complete this section for each machine, independent of the turbine type.
		1.) Turbine manufacturer:
		2.) Maximum turbine power output:MW
		3.) Minimum turbine power output (while on line):MW
		4.) Governor information:
		a: Droop setting (speed regulation):
		b: Is the governor mechanical-hydraulic or electro-hydraulic
		(Electro-hydraulic governors have an electronic speed sensor and transducer.)?

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1056

	c: Other comments regarding the turbine governor system?
	<del></del>
7.	Synchronous Generator and Associated Equipment – Dynamic Models:
	For each generator, governor, exciter and power system stabilizer, select the appropriate dynamic model from the General Electric PSLF Program Manual and provide the required input data. The manual is available on the GE website at <a href="www.gepower.com">www.gepower.com</a> . Select the following links within the website: 1) Our Businesses, 2) GE Power Systems, 3) Energy Consulting, 4) GE PSLF Software, 5) GE PSLF User's Manual.
	There are links within the GE PSLF User's Manual to detailed descriptions of specific models, a definition of each parameter, a list of the output channels, explanatory notes, and a control system block diagram. The block diagrams are also available on the CAISO website.
	If you require assistance in developing the models, we suggest you contact General Electric. Accurate models are important to obtain accurate study results. Costs associated with any changes in facility requirements that are due to differences between model data provided by the generation developer and the actual generator test data, may be the responsibility of the generation developer.
8.	Induction Generator Data:
	<ul> <li>A. Rated Generator Power Factor at rated load:</li> <li>B. Moment of Inertia (including prime mover):</li> <li>C. Do you wish reclose blocking? Yes, No</li> <li>Note: Sufficient capacitance may be on the line now, or in the future, and the generator may self-excite unexpectedly.</li> </ul>
9.	Generator Short Circuit Data
	For each generator, provide the following reactances expressed in p.u. on the generator base:
	<ul> <li>X"1 – positive sequence subtransient reactance:</li> <li>X"2 – negative sequence subtransient reactance:</li> <li>X"0 – zero sequence subtransient reactance:</li> </ul>
	Generator Grounding:
	A Solidly grounded B Grounded through an impedance
	Impedance value in p.u on generator base. R:p.u. X:p.u. C Ungrounded
10.	Step-Up Transformer Data
	For each step-up transformer, fill out the data form provided in Table 1.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

#### 11. Line Data

There is no need to provide data for new lines that are to be planned by the Participating TO. However, for transmission lines that are to be planned by the generation developer, please provide the following information:

Nominal Voltage:
Line Length (miles):
Line termination Points:
Conductor Type: Size:
If bundled. Number per phase:, Bundle spacing:in.
Phase Configuration Vertical: Horizontal:
Phase Spacing (ft): A-B:, B-C:, C-A:
Distance of lowest conductor to Ground:ft
Ground Wire Type: Size: Distance to Ground:ft
Attach Tower Configuration Diagram
Summer line ratings in amperes (normal and emergency)
Resistance ( R ): p.u.**
Reactance ( X ): n.u**
Reactance: ( X ): p.u** Line Charging (B/2): p.u**
** On 100-MVA and nominal line voltage (kV) Base
On 100-with and nominal line voltage (kt/) base
Wind Generators
Number of generators to be interconnected pursuant to this Interconnection Request: _
Elevation: Single Phase Three Phase
Inverter manufacturer, model name, number, and version:
List of adjustable setpoints for the protective equipment or software:
Field Volts:
Field Amperes:
Motoring Power (kW):
Neutral Grounding Resistor (If Applicable):
I <sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup> t or K (Heating Time Constant):
Datas Danistanas
Stater Peristance:
Magnetizing Reactance:
Short Circuit Reactance:
Exciting Current:
Temperature Rise:
Frame Size:
Design Letter:
Design Letter: Reactive Power Required In Vars (No Load):
Design Letter: Reactive Power Required In Vars (No Load): Reactive Power Required In Vars (Full Load):
Design Letter: Reactive Power Required In Vars (No Load):

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1058

Effective: November 1, 2007

Note: A completed General Electric Company Power Systems Load Flow (PSLF) data sheet must be supplied with the Interconnection Request. If other data sheets are more appropriate to the proposed device then they shall be provided and discussed at Scoping Meeting.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1059

Effective: November 1, 2007

#### TABLE 1

#### TRANSFORMER DATA

UNIT			
NUMBER OF TRANS	SFORMERS	PHASE	<del></del>
RATED KVA Connection (Delta, Wye, Gnd.)	H Winding	X Winding	Y Winding
55 C Rise 65 C Rise			
RATED VOLTAGE			
BIL		<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AVAILABLE TAPS (planned or existing)			
LOAD TAP CHANGER?			
TAP SETTINGS			
COOLING TYPE: OA OA/FA	OA/FA/FA	OA/FOA	
IMPEDANCE	H-X	H-Y	X-Y
Percent			
MVA Base		<del></del>	<del></del>
Tested Taps			
WINDING RESISTANCE	Н	Χ	Υ
Ohms		<del></del>	<del></del>
CURRENT TRANSFORMER RATIOS			
H X	Y	N	
PERCENT EXCITING CURREN	T 100 % Voltage; _	110% Vo	oltage

Supply copy of nameplate and manufacture's test report when available

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY AGREEMENT

organized and on name of the Paunder the laws	
	RECITALS
generating cap	<b>EAS,</b> the Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Large Generating Facility or acity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection tted by the Interconnection Customer dated; and
	<b>EAS,</b> the Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Large Generating Facility Controlled Grid; and
CAISO"] to per	<b>EAS,</b> the Interconnection Customer has requested the ["Participating TO" or form an Interconnection Feasibility Study to assess the feasibility of interconnecting the e Generating Facility.
<b>NOW,</b> ' he Parties agre	<b>THEREFORE,</b> in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein ee as follows:
1.0	When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the CAISO's FERC-approved Standard Large Generation Interconnection Procedures ("LGIP") or the Master Definitions Supplement, Appendix A to the CAISO Tariff, as applicable.
2.0	The Interconnection Customer elects and the["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall cause to be performed an Interconnection Feasibility Study consistent with Section 6.0 of the LGIP in accordance with the CAISO Tariff.
3.0	The scope of the Interconnection Feasibility Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.
4.0	The Interconnection Feasibility Study shall be based on the technical information provided by the Interconnection Customer in the Interconnection Request, as may be modified as the result of the Scoping Meeting. The ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] reserves the right to request additional technical information from the Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Interconnection Feasibility Study and as designated in accordance with Section 3.5.4 of the LGIP. If, after the designation of the Point of Interconnection pursuant to Section 3.5.4 of the LGIP, the Interconnection Customer modifies its Interconnection Request pursuant to Section 4.4, the time to complete the Interconnection Feasibility Study may be extended.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1061

- 5.0 The Interconnection Feasibility Study report shall provide the following information:
  - preliminary identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded on the Participating TO's electric system as a result of the interconnection;
  - preliminary identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations on the Participating TO's electric system resulting from the interconnection;
  - preliminary description and non-binding estimated cost of the Participating TO's facilities required to interconnect the Large Generating Facility to the Participating TO's electric system and to address the identified short circuit and power flow issues; and
- expected results in the Interconnection System Impact Study. 6.0 In addition to the deposit(s) paid by the Interconnection Customer pursuant to Section 3.5.1 of the LGIP, the Interconnection Customer shall provide a deposit of \$10,000 for the performance of the Interconnection Feasibility Study. Following the issuance of the Interconnection Feasibility Study to the Interconnection Customer the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall charge and the Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection Feasibility Study, inclusive of any re-studies and amendments to the Interconnection Feasibility Study, pursuant to Section 9 of this Agreement. Any difference between the deposits made toward the Interconnection Feasibility Study, amendments and re-studies to the Interconnection Feasibility Study, and the actual cost of the study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate in accordance with Section 13.3 of the LGIP. 7.0 Pursuant to Section 3.7 of the LGIP, the CAISO will coordinate the conduct of any studies required to determine the impact of the Interconnection Request on Affected Systems. ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] may provide a copy of the Interconnection Feasibility Study results to an Affected System Operator and the Western Electricity Coordinating Council. Requests for review and input from Affected System Operators or the Western Electricity Coordinating Council may arrive at any time prior to interconnection, and a revision of the Interconnection Feasibility Study or re-study may be required in such event. 8.0 Substantial portions of technical data and assumptions used to perform the Interconnection Feasibility Study, such as system conditions, existing and planned generation, and unit modeling, may change after the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] provides the Interconnection Feasibility Study results to the Interconnection

generation, and unit modeling, may change after the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_["Participating TO or "CAISO"] provides the Interconnection Feasibility Study results to the Interconnection Customer.

Study results will reflect available data at the time the \_\_\_\_\_\_\_["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] provides the Interconnection Feasibility Study to the Interconnection Customer. The \_\_\_\_\_\_\_["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall not be responsible for any additional costs, including, without limitation, costs of new or additional facilities, system upgrades, or schedule changes, that may be incurred by the Interconnection Customer as a result of changes in such data and assumptions.

9.0 In the event that a re-study or amendment of the Interconnection Feasibility Study is required, the \_\_\_\_\_\_["Interconnecting Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall provide notification of the need for such re-study or amendment, and the Interconnection

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Customer shall provide direction as to whether to proceed with the re-study or amendment and any associated deposit payment pursuant to Section 6.4 or Section 12.2.4 of the LGIP, as applicable.

- 10.0 The Participating TO shall maintain records and accounts of all costs incurred in performing the Interconnection Feasibility Study, inclusive of any re-studies or amendments thereto, in sufficient detail to allow verification of all costs incurred, including associated overheads. The Interconnection Customer shall have the right, upon reasonable notice, within a reasonable time following receipt of the final cost report associated with this Interconnection Feasibility Study at the Participating TO's offices and at its own expense, to audit the Participating TO's records as necessary and as appropriate in order to verify costs incurred by the Participating TO. Any audit requested by the Interconnection Customer shall be completed, and written notice of any audit dispute provided to the Participating TO, within one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days following receipt by the Interconnection Customer of the Participating TO's notification of the final costs of the Interconnection Feasibility Study, inclusive of any re-study or amendment thereto.
- 11.0 In accordance with Section 3.8 of the LGIP, the Interconnection Customer may withdraw its Interconnection Request at any time by written notice to the CAISO. Upon receipt of such notice, this Agreement shall terminate.
- Pursuant to Section 6.1 of the LGIP, this Agreement shall become effective upon the date the fully executed Agreement and deposit specified in Section 6 of this Agreement are received by the \_\_\_\_\_\_ ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"]. If the \_\_\_\_\_\_ ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] does not receive the fully executed Agreement and payment pursuant to Section 6.1 of the LGIP, then the Interconnection Request will be deemed withdrawn upon the Interconnection Customer's receipt of written notice by the CAISO pursuant to Section 3.8 of the LGIP.
- 13.0 Miscellaneous.
- 13.1 Dispute Resolution. Any dispute, or assertion of a claim, arising out of or in connection with this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, shall be resolved in accordance with Section 13.5 of the LGIP
- 13.2 Confidentiality. Confidential Information shall be treated in accordance with Section 13.1 of the LGIP.
- 13.3 Binding Effect. This Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement and the rights and obligations hereof, shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Parties hereto.
- 13.4 Conflicts. In the event of a conflict between the body of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement and any attachment, appendices or exhibits hereto, the terms and provisions of the body of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement shall prevail and be deemed the final intent of the Parties.
- Rules of Interpretation. This Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, unless a clear contrary intention appears, shall be construed and interpreted as follows: (1) the singular number includes the plural number and vice versa; (2) reference to any person includes such person's successors and assigns but, in the case of a Party, only if such successors and assigns are permitted by this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, and reference to a person in a particular capacity excludes such person in any other capacity or individually; (3) reference to any agreement (including this Section, or other provision

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

hereof or thereof); (4) reference to any applicable laws and regulations means such applicable laws and regulations as amended, modified, codified, or reenacted, in whole or in part, and in effect from time to time, including, if applicable, rules and regulations promulgated thereunder; (5) unless expressly stated otherwise, reference to any Article, Section or Appendix means such Article or Section of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement or such Appendix to this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, or such Section to the LGIP or such Appendix to the LGIP, as the case may be; (6) "hereunder", "hereof", "herein", "hereto" and words of similar import shall be deemed references to this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement as a whole and not to any particular Article; (7) "including" (and with correlative meaning "include") means including without limiting the generality of any description preceding such term; and (8) relative to the determination of any period of time, "from" means "from and including", "to" means "to but excluding" and "through" means "through and including".

- 13.6 Entire Agreement. This Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, including all Appendices and Schedules attached hereto, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties with reference to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous understandings or agreements, oral or written, between the Parties with respect to the subject matter of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement. There are no other agreements, representations, warranties, or covenants which constitute any part of the consideration for, or any condition to, any Party's compliance with its obligations under this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement.
- 13.7 No Third Party Beneficiaries. This Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement is not intended to and does not create rights, remedies, or benefits of any character whatsoever in favor of any persons, corporations, associations, or entities other than the Parties, and the obligations herein assumed are solely for the use and benefit of the Parties, their successors in interest and, where permitted, their assigns.
- 13.8 Waiver. The failure of a Party to this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement to insist, on any occasion, upon strict performance of any provision of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement will not be considered a waiver of any obligation, right, or duty of, or imposed upon, such Party.

Any waiver at any time by either Party of its rights with respect to this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement shall not be deemed a continuing waiver or a waiver with respect to any other failure to comply with any other obligation, right, duty of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement. Termination or default of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement for any reason by the Interconnection Customer shall not constitute a waiver of the Interconnection Customer's legal rights to obtain an interconnection from the Participating TO. Any waiver of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement shall, if requested, be provided in writing.

Any waivers at any time by any Party of its rights with respect to any default under this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, or with respect to any other matter arising in connection with this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, shall not constitute or be deemed a waiver with respect to any subsequent default or other matter arising in connection with this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement. Any delay, short of the statutory period of limitations, in asserting or enforcing any right under this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement shall not constitute or be deemed a waiver of such right.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- 13.9 Headings. The descriptive headings of the various Articles and Sections of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement have been inserted for convenience of reference only and are of no significance in the interpretation or construction of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement.
- 13.10 Multiple Counterparts. This Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which is deemed an original but all constitute one and the same instrument.
- 13.11 Amendment. The Parties may by mutual agreement amend this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement by a written instrument duly executed by both of the Parties.
- 13.12 Modification by the Parties. The Parties may by mutual agreement amend the Appendices to this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement by a written instrument duly executed by both of the Parties. Such amendment shall become effective and a part of this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement upon satisfaction of all applicable laws and regulations.
- 13.13 Reservation of Rights. The \_\_\_\_\_\_ ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, and Interconnection Customer shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by another Party and to participate fully in any proceeding before FERC in which such modifications may be considered. Nothing in this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement shall limit the rights of the Parties or of FERC under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.
- 13.14 No Partnership. This Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement shall not be interpreted or construed to create an association, joint venture, agency relationship, or partnership between the Parties or to impose any partnership obligation or partnership liability upon any Party. No Party shall have any right, power or authority to enter into any agreement or undertaking for, or act on behalf of, or to act as or be an agent or representative of, or to otherwise bind, another Party.
- 13.15 Assignment. This Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement may be assigned by a Party only with the written consent of the other Party; provided that a Party may assign this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement without the consent of the other Party to any Affiliate of the assigning Party with an equal or greater credit rating and with the legal authority and operational ability to satisfy the obligations of the assigning Party under this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement; and provided further that the Interconnection Customer shall have the right to assign this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, without the consent of the other Party, for collateral security purposes to aid in providing financing for the Large Generating Unit, provided that the Interconnection Customer will require any secured party, trustee or mortgagee to notify the other Party of any such assignment. Any financing arrangement entered into by the Interconnection Customer pursuant to this Article will provide that prior to or upon the exercise of the secured party's, trustee's or mortgagee's assignment rights pursuant to said arrangement, the secured creditor, the trustee or mortgagee will notify the other Party of the date and particulars of any such exercise of assignment right(s). Any

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 1065

attempted assignment that violates this Article is void and ineffective. Any assignment under this Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement shall not relieve a Party of its obligations, nor shall a Party's obligations be enlarged, in whole or in part, by reason thereof. Where required, consent to assignment will not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF,** the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

Ву:	
Title:	
Date:	
By:	
Title:	
Date:	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

# Attachment A to Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement

### ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE INTERCONNECTION FEASIBILITY STUDY

	ne Interconnection Feasibility Study will be based upon the information set forth in the ection Request and agreed upon in the Scoping Meeting held on:
De	esignation of Point of Interconnection and configuration to be studied.
De	esignation of alternative Point(s) of Interconnection and configuration.
	above assumptions to be completed by the Interconnection Customer and other assumptions to ed by the Interconnection Customer and the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"]]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

### INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into thisday of, 20by and between , a organized and existing under the laws of the State of, ("Interconnection Customer,") and [insert name of the Participating TO or "the California Independent System Operator Corporation"] a existing under the laws of the State of California , (""Participating TO" or "CAISO"). The Interconnection Customer and the ["Participating To" "CAISO"] each may be referred to as a "Party," or collectively as the "Parties."	ng O"
RECITALS	
<b>WHEREAS,</b> the Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Large Generating Facility generating capacity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection Request submitted by the Interconnection Customer dated; and	or
<b>WHEREAS,</b> the Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Large Generating Facility with the CAISO Controlled Grid; and	у
<b>WHEREAS,</b> the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] has completed an Interconnection Feasibility Study (the "Feasibility Study") and provided the results of said study to the Interconnection Customer <sup>1</sup> ; and	
<b>WHEREAS,</b> the Interconnection Customer has requested the	ct
<b>NOW, THEREFORE,</b> in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein the Parties agree as follows:	
When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have to meanings indicated in the CAISO's FERC-approved Standard Large Generation Interconnection Procedures ("LGIP") or the Master Definitions Supplement, Appendix A to the CAISO Tariff, as applicable.	
2.0 The Interconnection Customer elects and the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall cause to be performed an Interconnection System Impact Study consistent with Section 7 of the LGIP in accordance with the CAISO Tariff.	
3.0 The scope of the Interconnection System Impact Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.	
The Interconnection System Impact Study will be based upon the results of the Interconnection Feasibility Study and the technical information provided by the Interconnection Customer in the Interconnection Request, subject to any modifications accordance with Section 4.4 of the LGIP. The ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] reserves the right to request additional technical information from the Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Interconnection System Impact Study. If the	
This recital to be omitted if the Interconnection Customer has elected to forego the	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

Interconnection Feasibility Study.

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1068

Interconnection Customer modifies its designated Point of Interconnection, Interconnection Request, or the technical information provided therein is modified, the time to complete the Interconnection System Impact Study may be extended.

- 5.0 The Interconnection System Impact Study report shall provide the following information:
  - identification of any circuit breaker short circuit capability limits exceeded on the Participating TO's electric system as a result of the interconnection;
  - identification of any thermal overload or voltage limit violations on the Participating TO's electric system resulting from the interconnection;
  - identification of any instability or inadequately damped response to system disturbances on the Participating TO's electric system resulting from the interconnection:
  - a description and non-binding, good faith estimated cost of facilities on the Participating TO's electric system required to interconnect the Large Generating Facility to the Participating TO's portion of the CAISO Controlled Grid and to address the identified short circuit, instability, and power flow issues on the Participating TO's portion of the CAISO Controlled Grid; and
  - if the Participating TO is an interconnecting Participating TO for the Large Generating Facility, a Deliverability Assessment on the CAISO Controlled Grid pursuant to Section 3.3 of the LGIP.

6.0	The Interconnection Customer shall provide a deposit of \$50,000 for the performance of the Interconnection System Impact Study. The ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"]'s good faith estimate for the time of completion of the Interconnection System Impact Study is [insert date].
	Following the issuance of the Interconnection System Impact Study, the["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall charge and the Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Interconnection System Impact Study, inclusive of any re-studies and amendments to the Interconnection System Impact Study, pursuant to Section 9 of this Agreement.
	Any difference between the deposit made toward the Interconnection System Impact Study, amendments and re-studies to the Interconnection System Impact Study, and the actual cost of the study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate in accordance with Section 13.3 of the LGIP.
7.0	Pursuant to Section 3.7 of the LGIP, the CAISO will coordinate the conduct of any studies required to determine the impact of the Interconnection Request on Affected Systems. The ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] may provide a copy of the Interconnection System Impact Study results to an Affected System Operator and the Western Electricity Coordinating Council. Requests for review and input from Affected System Operators or the Western Electricity Coordinating Council may arrive at any time prior to interconnection, and a revision of the Interconnection System Impact Study or restudy may be required in such event.
8.0	Substantial portions of technical data and assumptions used to perform the Interconnection System Impact Study, such as system conditions, existing and planned generation, and unit modeling, may change after the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] provides the Interconnection System Impact Study results to the

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1069

	Interconnection Customer. Study results will reflect available data at the time the
9.0	changes in such data and assumptions.  In the event that a re-study or amendment of the Interconnection System Impact Study is required, the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall provide notification of the need for such re-study or amendment, and the Interconnection Customer shall provide direction as to whether to proceed with the re-study or
	amendment and any associated deposit payment pursuant to Section 7.6 or Section 12.2.4 of the LGIP, as applicable.
10.0	The Participating TO shall maintain records and accounts of all costs incurred in performing the Interconnection System Impact Study, inclusive of any re-studies or amendments thereto, in sufficient detail to allow verification of all costs incurred, including associated overheads. The Interconnection Customer shall have the right, upon reasonable notice, within a reasonable time at the Participating TO's offices and at its own expense, to audit the Participating TO's records as necessary and as appropriate in order to verify costs incurred by the Participating TO. Any audit requested by the Interconnection Customer shall be completed, and written notice of any audit dispute provided to the Participating TO representative, within one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days following receipt by the Interconnection Customer of the Participating TO's notification of the final costs of the Interconnection System Impact Study, inclusive of any re-study or amendment thereto.
11.0	In accordance with Section 3.8 of the LGIP, the Interconnection Customer may withdraw its Interconnection Request at any time by written notice to the CAISO. Upon receipt of such notice, this Agreement shall terminate.
12.0	Pursuant to Section 7.2 of the LGIP, this Agreement shall become effective upon the date the fully executed Agreement and deposit specified in Section 6 of this Agreement are received by the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"]. If the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] does not receive the fully executed Agreement and payment pursuant to Section 7.2 of the LGIP, then the Interconnection Request will be deemed withdrawn upon the Interconnection Customer's receipt of written notice by the CAISO pursuant to Section 3.8 of the LGIP.
13.0	Miscellaneous.
13.1	Dispute Resolution. Any dispute, or assertion of a claim, arising out of or in connection with this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, shall be resolved in accordance with Section 13.5 of the LGIP.
13.2	Confidentiality. Confidential Information shall be treated in accordance with Section 13.1 of the LGIP.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

and assigns of the Parties hereto.

13.3

Issued on: February 9, 2006 Effective: November 1, 2007

Binding Effect. This Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement and the rights and obligations hereof, shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the successors

- 13.4 Conflicts. In the event of a conflict between the body of this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement and any attachment, appendices or exhibits hereto, the terms and provisions of the body of this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement shall prevail and be deemed the final intent of the Parties.
- 13.5 Rules of Interpretation. This Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, unless a clear contrary intention appears, shall be construed and interpreted as follows: (1) the singular number includes the plural number and vice versa; (2) reference to any person includes such person's successors and assigns but, in the case of a Party, only if such successors and assigns are permitted by this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, and reference to a person in a particular capacity excludes such person in any other capacity or individually; (3) reference to any agreement (including this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement), document, instrument or tariff means such agreement, document, instrument, or tariff as amended or modified and in effect from time to time in accordance with the terms thereof and, if applicable, the terms hereof; (4) reference to any applicable laws and regulations means such applicable laws and regulations as amended, modified, codified, or reenacted, in whole or in part, and in effect from time to time, including, if applicable, rules and regulations promulgated thereunder; (5) unless expressly stated otherwise, reference to any Article, Section or Appendix means such Article or Section of this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement or such Appendix to this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, or such Section to the LGIP or such Appendix to the LGIP, as the case may be; (6) "hereunder", "hereof", "herein", "hereto" and words of similar import shall be deemed references to this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement as a whole and not to any particular Article, Section, or other provision hereof or thereof; (7) "including" (and with correlative meaning "include") means including without limiting the generality of any description preceding such term; and (8) relative to the determination of any period of time, "from" means "from and including", "to" means "to but excluding" and "through" means "through and including".
- Appendices and Schedules attached hereto, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties with reference to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous understandings or agreements, oral or written, between the Parties with respect to the subject matter of this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement. There are no other agreements, representations, warranties, or covenants which constitute any part of the consideration for, or any condition to, any Party's compliance with its obligations under this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement.
- 13.7 No Third Party Beneficiaries. This Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement is not intended to and does not create rights, remedies, or benefits of any character whatsoever in favor of any persons, corporations, associations, or entities other than the Parties, and the obligations herein assumed are solely for the use and benefit of the Parties, their successors in interest and, where permitted, their assigns.
- 13.8 Waiver. The failure of a Party to this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement to insist, on any occasion, upon strict performance of any provision of this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement will not be considered a waiver of any obligation, right, or duty of, or imposed upon, such Party.

Any waiver at any time by either Party of its rights with respect to this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement shall not be deemed a continuing waiver or a waiver with respect to any other failure to comply with any other obligation, right, duty of this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement. Termination or default of this

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1071

Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement for any reason by the Interconnection Customer shall not constitute a waiver of the Interconnection Customer's legal rights to obtain an interconnection from the Participating TO. Any waiver of this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement shall, if requested, be provided in writing.

Any waivers at any time by any Party of its rights with respect to any default under this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, or with respect to any other matter arising in connection with this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, shall not constitute or be deemed a waiver with respect to any subsequent default or other matter arising in connection with this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement. Any delay, short of the statutory period of limitations, in asserting or enforcing any right under this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement shall not constitute or be deemed a waiver of such right.

- 13.9 Headings. The descriptive headings of the various Articles and Sections of this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement have been inserted for convenience of reference only and are of no significance in the interpretation or construction of this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement.
- 13.10 Multiple Counterparts. This Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which is deemed an original but all constitute one and the same instrument.
- 13.11 Amendment. The Parties may by mutual agreement amend this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement by a written instrument duly executed by both of the Parties.
- 13.12 Modification by the Parties. The Parties may by mutual agreement amend the Appendices to this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement by a written instrument duly executed by both of the Parties. Such amendment shall become effective and a part of this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement upon satisfaction of all applicable laws and regulations.
- 13.13 Reservation of Rights. The \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, and Interconnection Customer shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by another Party and to participate fully in any proceeding before FERC in which such modifications may be considered. Nothing in this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement shall limit the rights of the Parties or of FERC under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.
- 13.14 No Partnership. This Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement shall not be interpreted or construed to create an association, joint venture, agency relationship, or partnership between the Parties or to impose any partnership obligation or partnership liability upon any Party. No Party shall have any right, power or authority to enter into any agreement or undertaking for, or act on behalf of, or to act as or be an agent or representative of, or to otherwise bind, another Party.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1072

13.15 Assignment. This Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement may be assigned by a Party only with the written consent of the other Party; provided that a Party may assign this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement without the consent of the other Party to any Affiliate of the assigning Party with an equal or greater credit rating and with the legal authority and operational ability to satisfy the obligations of the assigning Party under this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement; and provided further that the Interconnection Customer shall have the right to assign this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement, without the consent of the other Party, for collateral security purposes to aid in providing financing for the Large Generating Unit, provided that the Interconnection Customer will require any secured party, trustee or mortgagee to notify the other Party of any such assignment. Any financing arrangement entered into by the Interconnection Customer pursuant to this Article will provide that prior to or upon the exercise of the secured party's, trustee's or mortgagee's assignment rights pursuant to said arrangement, the secured creditor, the trustee or mortgagee will notify the other Party of the date and particulars of any such exercise of assignment right(s). Any attempted assignment that violates this Article is void and ineffective. Any assignment under this Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement shall not relieve a Party of its obligations, nor shall a Party's obligations be enlarged, in whole or in part, by reason thereof. Where required, consent to assignment will not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

**IN WITNESS THEREOF,** the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

By:	
Title:	
Date:	
_	
Ву:	
Title:	
Date:	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### Attachment A

### Interconnection System Impact Study Agreement

### ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM IMPACT STUDY

The Interconnection System Impact Study will be based upon the results of the Interconnection Feasibility Study, subject to any modifications in accordance with Section 4.4 of the LGIP, and the following assumptions:

Designation of Point of Interconnection and configuration to be studied.

Designation of alternative Point(s) of Interconnection and configuration.

[Above assumptions to be completed by the Interconnection Customer and other assumptions to be provided by the Interconnection Customer and the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

	AGREEMENT is made and entered into thisday of, 20by and between, a existing under the laws of the State of, ("Interconnection Customer,") and, a existing under the laws of the State of California,
"Participating "Participating	TO" or "CAISO"). The Interconnection Customer and the TO" or "CAISO"] each may be referred to as a "Party," or collectively as the "Parties."
	RECITALS
generating cap	<b>EAS,</b> the Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Large Generating Facility or acity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection itted by the Interconnection Customer dated; and
	<b>EAS,</b> the Interconnection Customer desires to interconnect the Large Generating Facility Controlled Grid;
nterconnection	<b>EAS,</b> the["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] has completed an a System Impact Study (the "System Impact Study") and provided the results of said study nection Customer; and
"Participating the cost of the FO's electric synaccordance with the FO's electric syn	<b>EAS,</b> the Interconnection Customer has requested the <b>g TO" or "CAISO"]</b> to perform an Interconnection Facilities Study to specify and estimate equipment, engineering, procurement and construction work needed on the Participating ystem to implement the conclusions of the Interconnection System Impact Study in the Good Utility Practice to physically and electrically connect the Large Generating Facility Controlled Grid.
	<b>THEREFORE,</b> in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein eed as follows:
1.0	When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the CAISO's FERC-approved Standard Large Generation Interconnection Procedures ("LGIP") or the Master Definitions Supplement, Appendix A to the CAISO Tariff, as applicable.
2.0	The Interconnection Customer elects and the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall cause an Interconnection Facilities Study consistent with Section 8 of the LGIP to be performed in accordance with the CAISO Tariff.
3.0	The scope of the Interconnection Facilities Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A and the data provided in Attachment B to this Agreement.
4.0	The Interconnection Facilities Study report (i) shall provide a description, estimated cost of (consistent with Attachment A), and schedule for required facilities within the Participating TO's electric system to interconnect the Large Generating Facility to the CAISO Controlled Grid and (ii) shall address the short circuit, instability, and power flow issues identified in the Interconnection System Impact Study.
5.0	The Interconnection Customer shall provide a deposit of the greater of \$100,000 or the Interconnection Customer's portion of the estimated monthly cost for the performance of the Interconnection Facilities Study. The time for completion of the Interconnection Facilities Study is specified in Attachment A.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1075

	For studies where the estimated cost exceed \$100,000, the  ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] may invoice Interconnection Customer on a monthly basis for the work to be conducted on the Interconnection Facilities Study for the remaining balance of the estimated Interconnection Facilities Study cost. The Interconnection Customer shall pay invoiced amounts within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of invoice. The ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall continue to hold the amounts on deposit until settlement of the final invoice.
	Following the issuance of the Interconnection Facilities Study, the
	Any difference between the deposit made toward the Interconnection Facilities Study and the actual cost of the study, inclusive of any re-studies and amendments thereto, shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate in accordance with Section 13.3 of the LGIP.
6.0	The Interconnection Facilities Study will be based upon the results of the Interconnection System Impact Study and the technical information provided by the Interconnection Customer in the Interconnection Request, subject to any modifications in accordance with Section 4.4 of the LGIP. The ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] reserves the right to request additional technical information from the Interconnection Customer as may reasonably become necessary consistent with Good Utility Practice during the course of the Interconnection Facilities Study.
	If the Interconnection Customer modifies its Interconnection Request or the technical information provided therein is modified, the time to complete the Interconnection Facilities Study may be extended.
7.0	Pursuant to Section 3.7 of the LGIP, the CAISO will coordinate the conduct of any studies required to determine the impact of the Interconnection Request on Affected Systems. The ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] may provide a copy of the Interconnection Facilities Study results to an Affected System Operator and the Western Electricity Coordinating Council. Requests for review and input from Affected System Operators or the Western Electricity Coordinating Council may arrive at any time prior to interconnection, and a revision of the Interconnection Facilities Study or re-study may be required in such event.
8.0	Substantial portions of technical data and assumptions used to perform the Interconnection Facilities Study, such as system conditions, existing and planned generation, and unit modeling, may change after the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] provides the Interconnection Facilities Study results to the Interconnection Customer. Study results will reflect available data at the time the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] provides the Interconnection Facilities Study to the Interconnection Customer. The ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall not be responsible for any additional costs, including, without limitation, costs of new or additional facilities, system upgrades, or schedule changes, that may be incurred by the Interconnection Customer as a result of changes in such data and assumptions.
9.0	In the event that a re-study or amendment of the Interconnection Facilities Study is required, the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall provide notification of the need for such re-study or amendment, and the Interconnection

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

Customer shall provide direction as to whether to proceed with the re-study or amendment and any associated deposit payment pursuant to Section 8.5 or Section 12.2.4 of the LGIP, as applicable.

- 10.0 The Participating TO shall maintain records and accounts of all costs incurred in performing the Interconnection Facilities Study, inclusive of any re-studies or amendments thereto, in sufficient detail to allow verification of all costs incurred, including associated overhead. The Interconnection Customer shall have the right, upon reasonable notice, within a reasonable time at the Participating TO offices and at its own expense, to audit the Participating TO's records as necessary and as appropriate in order to verify costs incurred by the Participating TO. Any audit requested by the Interconnection Customer shall be completed, and written notice of any audit dispute provided to the Participating TO within one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days following receipt by the Interconnection Customer of the Participating TO's notification of the final costs of the Interconnection Facilities Study, inclusive of any re-study or amendment thereto.
- 11.0 In accordance with Section 3.8 of the LGIP, the Interconnection Customer may withdraw its Interconnection Request at any time by written notice to the CAISO. Upon receipt of such notice, this Agreement shall terminate.

12.0	Pursuant to Section 8.1 of the	e LGIP, this Agreement shall become effective upon the date
	the fully executed Agreement	t and deposit specified in Section 6 of this Agreement are
	received by the	["Participating TO" or "CAISO"]. If the
	["Partic	ipating TO" or "CAISO"] does not receive the fully
	executed Agreement and pay	yment pursuant to Section 8.1 of the LGIP, then the
	Interconnection Request will	be deemed withdrawn upon the Interconnection Customer's
	receipt of written notice by the	e CAISO pursuant to Section 3.8 of the LGIP.

- 13.0 Miscellaneous.
- 13.1 Dispute Resolution. Any dispute, or assertion of a claim, arising out of or in connection with this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, shall be resolved in accordance with Section 13.5 of the LGIP.
- 13.2 Confidentiality. Confidential Information shall be treated in accordance with Section 13.1 of the LGIP.
- 13.3 Binding Effect. This Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement and the rights and obligations hereof, shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Parties hereto.
- 13.4 Conflicts. In the event of a conflict between the body of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement and any attachment, appendices or exhibits hereto, the terms and provisions of the body of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall prevail and be deemed the final intent of the Parties.
- Rules of Interpretation. This Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, unless a clear contrary intention appears, shall be construed and interpreted as follows: (1) the singular number includes the plural number and vice versa; (2) reference to any person includes such person's successors and assigns but, in the case of a Party, only if such successors and assigns are permitted by this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, and reference to a person in a particular capacity excludes such person in any other capacity or individually; (3) reference to any agreement (including this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement), document, instrument or tariff means such agreement, document,

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

instrument, or tariff as amended or modified and in effect from time to time in accordance with the terms thereof and, if applicable, the terms hereof; (4) reference to any applicable laws and regulations means such applicable laws and regulations as amended, modified, codified, or reenacted, in whole or in part, and in effect from time to time, including, if applicable, rules and regulations promulgated thereunder; (5) unless expressly stated otherwise, reference to any Article, Section or Appendix means such Article or Section of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement or such Appendix to this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, or such Section to the LGIP or such Appendix to the LGIP, as the case may be; (6) "hereunder", "hereof", "herein", "hereto" and words of similar import shall be deemed references to this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement as a whole and not to any particular Article, Section, or other provision hereof or thereof; (7) "including" (and with correlative meaning "include") means including without limiting the generality of any description preceding such term; and (8) relative to the determination of any period of time, "from" means "from and including", "to" means "to but excluding" and "through" means "through and including".

- 13.6 Entire Agreement. This Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, including all Appendices and Schedules attached hereto, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties with reference to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous understandings or agreements, oral or written, between the Parties with respect to the subject matter of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement. There are no other agreements, representations, warranties, or covenants which constitute any part of the consideration for, or any condition to, any Party's compliance with its obligations under this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement.
- 13.7 No Third Party Beneficiaries. This Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement is not intended to and does not create rights, remedies, or benefits of any character whatsoever in favor of any persons, corporations, associations, or entities other than the Parties, and the obligations herein assumed are solely for the use and benefit of the Parties, their successors in interest and, where permitted, their assigns.
- 13.8 Waiver. The failure of a Party to this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement to insist, on any occasion, upon strict performance of any provision of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement will not be considered a waiver of any obligation, right, or duty of, or imposed upon, such Party.

Any waiver at any time by either Party of its rights with respect to this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall not be deemed a continuing waiver or a waiver with respect to any other failure to comply with any other obligation, right, duty of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement. Termination or default of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement for any reason by the Interconnection Customer's legal rights to obtain an interconnection from the Participating TO. Any waiver of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall, if requested, be provided in writing.

Any waivers at any time by any Party of its rights with respect to any default under this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, or with respect to any other matter arising in connection with this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, shall not constitute or be deemed a waiver with respect to any subsequent default or other matter arising in connection with this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement. Any delay, short of the statutory period of limitations, in asserting or enforcing any right under this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall not constitute or be deemed a waiver of such right.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- 13.9 Headings. The descriptive headings of the various Articles and Sections of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement have been inserted for convenience of reference only and are of no significance in the interpretation or construction of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement.
- 13.10 Multiple Counterparts. This Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which is deemed an original but all constitute one and the same instrument.
- 13.11 Amendment. The Parties may by mutual agreement amend this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement by a written instrument duly executed by both of the Parties.
- 13.12 Modification by the Parties. The Parties may by mutual agreement amend the Appendices to this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement by a written instrument duly executed by both of the Parties. Such amendment shall become effective and a part of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement upon satisfaction of all applicable laws and regulations.
- ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall have Reservation of Rights. The 13.13 the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, and Interconnection Customer shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by another Party and to participate fully in any proceeding before FERC in which such modifications may be considered. Nothing in this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall limit the rights of the Parties or of FERC under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.
- 13.14 No Partnership. This Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall not be interpreted or construed to create an association, joint venture, agency relationship, or partnership between the Parties or to impose any partnership obligation or partnership liability upon any Party. No Party shall have any right, power or authority to enter into any agreement or undertaking for, or act on behalf of, or to act as or be an agent or representative of, or to otherwise bind, another Party.
- 13.15 Assignment. This Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement may be assigned by a Party only with the written consent of the other Party; provided that a Party may assign this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement without the consent of the other Party to any Affiliate of the assigning Party with an equal or greater credit rating and with the legal authority and operational ability to satisfy the obligations of the assigning Party under this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement; and provided further that the Interconnection Customer shall have the right to assign this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement, without the consent of the other Party, for collateral security purposes to aid in providing financing for the Large Generating Unit, provided that the Interconnection Customer will require any secured party, trustee or mortgagee to notify the other Party of any such assignment. Any financing arrangement entered into by the Interconnection Customer pursuant to this Article will provide that prior to or upon the exercise of the secured party's, trustee's or mortgagee's assignment rights pursuant to said arrangement, the secured creditor, the trustee or mortgagee will notify the other Party of the date and particulars of any such exercise of assignment right(s). Any attempted assignment that

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1079

Effective: November 1, 2007

violates this Article is void and ineffective. Any assignment under this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement shall not relieve a Party of its obligations, nor shall a Party's obligations be enlarged, in whole or in part, by reason thereof. Where required, consent to assignment will not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF,** the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

Ву:	
Title:	
Date:	
Ву:	
Title:	
Date:	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### Attachment A

### Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement

### INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER SCHEDULE ELECTION FOR CONDUCTING THE INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY

The	["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall use Reasonable Efforts to
complete the study an	d issue a draft Interconnection Facilities Study report to the Interconnection
Customer. Prior to iss	uing draft study results to the Interconnection Customer, the Participating TO and
CAISO shall share res	ults for review and incorporate comments within the following number of days after
of receipt of an execut	ed copy of this Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement:

- one hundred twenty (120) Calendar Days with no more than a +/- 20 percent cost estimate contained in the report, or
- two hundred ten (210) Calendar Days with no more than a +/- 10 percent cost estimate contained in the report.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **Attachment B**

### Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement

### DATA FORM TO BE PROVIDED BY THE INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER WITH THE INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES STUDY AGREEMENT

Provide two copies of this completed form and other required plans and diagrams in accordance with Section 8.1 of the LGIP.

Provide location plan and one-line diagram of the plant and station facilities. For staged projects, please indicate future generation, transmission circuits, etc. One set of metering is required for each generation connection to the new bus or existing Transmission. Provider station. Number of generation connections: On the one line indicate the generation capacity attached at each metering location. (Maximum load on CT/PT) On the one line indicate the location of auxiliary power. (Minimum load on CT/PT) Yes Will an alternate source of auxiliary power be available during CT/PT maintenance? No Will a transfer bus on the generation side of the metering require that each meter set be designed for the total plant generation? \_\_\_\_Yes (Please indicate on one line). What type of control system or PLC will be located at the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility? What protocol does the control system or PLC use? Please provide a 7.5-minute quadrangle of the site. Sketch the plant, station, transmission line, and property line. Physical dimensions of the proposed interconnection station: Bus length from generation to interconnection station: Line length from interconnection station to the Participating TO's transmission line.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1082

Effective: November 1, 2007

Tower number observed in the field. (Painted on tower leg)\*

Number of third party easements re	quired for transmission lir	nes*:	
* To be completed in coordination v	vith the Participating TO o	or CAISO.	
Is the Large Generating Facility in the	he Participating TO's serv	vice area?	
YesNo Lo	cal provider:		
Please provide proposed schedule	dates:		
Begin Construction			Date:
Generator step-up transforr receives back feed powe		Date:	
Generation Testing	Date	e:	
Commercial Operation	Date:		
Level of Deliverability: Choose one	of the following:		
Deliverability with no Netw	ork Upgrades		
100% Deliverability			

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY AGREEMENT**

, a		GREEMENT is made and entered into thisday of, 20by and between organized and existing under the laws of the State of, ("Interconnection
<b>Indepe</b> Californ	ia, (" <b>Pa</b> r	[insert name of the Participating TO or "the California ystem Operator Corporation"] aexisting under the laws of the State of rticipating TO" or "CAISO"). The Interconnection Customer and the["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] each may be referred to as a "Party," or ne "Parties."
		RECITALS
	ing capa	<b>EAS,</b> the Interconnection Customer is proposing to develop a Large Generating Facility or acity addition to an existing Generating Facility consistent with the Interconnection ted by the Interconnection Customer dated;
CAISO		<b>EAS,</b> the Interconnection Customer is proposing to establish an interconnection with the ed Grid; and
Reques		EAS, the Interconnection Customer has submitted to the CAISO an Interconnection
System		EAS, on or after the date when the Interconnection Customer receives the Interconnection Study results, the Interconnection Customer has further requested that  ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] prepare an Optional Interconnection Study;
the Par		<b>THEREFORE,</b> in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein se as follows:
	1.0	When used in this Agreement, with initial capitalization, the terms specified shall have the meanings indicated in the CAISO's FERC-approved Standard Large Generation Interconnection Procedures ("LGIP") or the Master Definitions Supplement, Appendix A to the CAISO Tariff, as applicable.
	2.0	The Interconnection Customer elects and the <b>["Participating TO" or "CAISO"]</b> shall cause an Optional Interconnection Study consistent with Section 10 of the LGIP to be performed in accordance with the CAISO Tariff.
	3.0	The scope of the Optional Interconnection Study shall be subject to the assumptions set forth in Attachment A to this Agreement.
	4.0	The Optional Interconnection Study shall be performed solely for informational purposes.
	5.0	The Optional Interconnection Study report shall provide a sensitivity analysis based on the assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in Attachment A to this Agreement. The Optional Interconnection Study will identify the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades, and the estimated cost thereof, that may be required to provide transmission service or interconnection service based upon the assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer in Attachment A.
	6.0	The Interconnection Customer shall provide a deposit of \$10,000 for the performance of the Optional Interconnection Study. The ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"]'s good faith estimate for the time of completion of the Optional Interconnection Study is [insert date].

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Origin

Original Sheet No. 1084

Following the issuance of the Optional Interconnection Study, the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall charge and the Interconnection Customer shall pay the actual costs of the Optional Interconnection Study. Any difference between the initial payment and the actual cost of the study shall be paid by or refunded to the Interconnection Customer, as appropriate. 7.0 Substantial portions of technical data and assumptions used to perform the Optional Interconnection Study, such as system conditions, existing and planned generation, and unit modeling, may change after the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] provides the Optional Interconnection Study results to the Interconnection Customer. Study results will reflect available data at the time the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] provides the Optional Interconnection Study to the ["Participating TO" or Interconnection Customer. The "CAISO"] shall not be responsible for any additional costs, including without limitation, costs of new or additional facilities, system upgrades, or schedule changes, that may be incurred by the Interconnection Customer as a result of changes in such data and assumptions. 8.0 The Participating TO shall maintain records and accounts of all costs incurred in performing the Optional Interconnection Study in sufficient detail to allow verification of all costs incurred, including associated overheads. The Interconnection Customer shall have the right, upon reasonable notice, within a reasonable time at the Participating TO offices and at its own expense, to audit the Participating TO's records as necessary and as appropriate in order to verify costs incurred by the Participating TO. Any audit requested by the Interconnection Customer shall be completed, and written notice of any audit dispute provided to the Participating TO representative, within one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days following receipt by the Interconnection Customer of the Participating TO's notification of the final costs of the Optional Interconnection Study. 9.0 Pursuant to Section 10.1 of the LGIP, this Agreement shall become effective upon the date the fully executed Agreement and deposit specified in Section 6 of this Agreement are received by the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"]. If the ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] does not receive the fully executed Agreement and payment pursuant to Section 10.1 of the LGIP, then the offer reflected in this Agreement will expire and this Agreement will be of no effect. 10.0 Miscellaneous. 10.1 Dispute Resolution. Any dispute, or assertion of a claim, arising out of or in connection with this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, shall be resolved in accordance with Section 13.5 of the LGIP 10.2 Confidentiality. Confidential Information shall be treated in accordance with Section 13.1 of the LGIP. 10.3 Binding Effect. This Optional Interconnection Study Agreement and the rights and obligations hereof, shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Parties hereto. 10.4 Conflicts. In the event of a conflict between the body of this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement and any attachment, appendices or exhibits hereto, the terms and

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

be deemed the final intent of the Parties.

Issued on: February 9, 2006 Effective: November 1, 2007

provisions of the body of this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall prevail and

- 10.5 Rules of Interpretation. This Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, unless a clear contrary intention appears, shall be construed and interpreted as follows: (1) the singular number includes the plural number and vice versa; (2) reference to any person includes such person's successors and assigns but, in the case of a Party, only if such successors and assigns are permitted by this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, and reference to a person in a particular capacity excludes such person in any other capacity or individually; (3) reference to any agreement (including this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement), document, instrument or tariff means such agreement, document, instrument, or tariff as amended or modified and in effect from time to time in accordance with the terms thereof and, if applicable, the terms hereof; (4) reference to any applicable laws and regulations means such applicable laws and regulations as amended, modified, codified, or reenacted, in whole or in part, and in effect from time to time, including, if applicable, rules and regulations promulgated thereunder; (5) unless expressly stated otherwise, reference to any Article, Section or Appendix means such Article or Section of this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement or such Appendix to this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, or such Section to the LGIP or such Appendix to the LGIP, as the case may be: (6) "hereunder", "hereof", "herein", "hereto" and words of similar import shall be deemed references to this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement as a whole and not to any particular Article, Section, or other provision hereof or thereof; (7) "including" (and with correlative meaning "include") means including without limiting the generality of any description preceding such term; and (8) relative to the determination of any period of time, "from" means "from and including", "to" means "to but excluding" and "through" means "through and including".
- 10.6 Entire Agreement. This Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, including all Appendices and Schedules attached hereto, constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties with reference to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous understandings or agreements, oral or written, between the Parties with respect to the subject matter of this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. There are no other agreements, representations, warranties, or covenants which constitute any part of the consideration for, or any condition to, any Party's compliance with its obligations under this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.
- 10.7 No Third Party Beneficiaries. This Optional Interconnection Study Agreement is not intended to and does not create rights, remedies, or benefits of any character whatsoever in favor of any persons, corporations, associations, or entities other than the Parties, and the obligations herein assumed are solely for the use and benefit of the Parties, their successors in interest and, where permitted, their assigns.
- 10.8 Waiver. The failure of a Party to this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement to insist, on any occasion, upon strict performance of any provision of this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement will not be considered a waiver of any obligation, right, or duty of, or imposed upon, such Party.

Any waiver at any time by either Party of its rights with respect to this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall not be deemed a continuing waiver or a waiver with respect to any other failure to comply with any other obligation, right, duty of this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. Termination or default of this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement for any reason by the Interconnection Customer shall not constitute a waiver of the Interconnection Customer's legal rights to obtain an interconnection from the other Party. Any waiver of this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall, if requested, be provided in writing.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Any waivers at any time by any Party of its rights with respect to any default under this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, or with respect to any other matter arising in connection with this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, shall not constitute or be deemed a waiver with respect to any subsequent default or other matter arising in connection with this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement. Any delay, short of the statutory period of limitations, in asserting or enforcing any right under this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall not constitute or be deemed a waiver of such right.

- 10.9 Headings. The descriptive headings of the various Articles and Sections of this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement have been inserted for convenience of reference only and are of no significance in the interpretation or construction of this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement.
- 10.10 Multiple Counterparts. This Optional Interconnection Study Agreement may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which is deemed an original but all constitute one and the same instrument.
- 10.11 Amendment. The Parties may by mutual agreement amend this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement by a written instrument duly executed by both of the Parties.
- 10.12 Modification by the Parties. The Parties may by mutual agreement amend the Appendices to this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement by a written instrument duly executed by both of the Parties. Such amendment shall become effective and a part of this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement upon satisfaction of all applicable laws and regulations.
- 10.13 ["Participating TO" or "CAISO"] shall have Reservation of Rights. The the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation under section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, and Interconnection Customer shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by another Party and to participate fully in any proceeding before FERC in which such modifications may be considered. Nothing in this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall limit the rights of the Parties or of FERC under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.
- 10.14 No Partnership. This Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall not be interpreted or construed to create an association, joint venture, agency relationship, or partnership between the Parties or to impose any partnership obligation or partnership liability upon any Party. No Party shall have any right, power or authority to enter into any agreement or undertaking for, or act on behalf of, or to act as or be an agent or representative of, or to otherwise bind, another Party.
- 10.15 Assignment. This Optional Interconnection Study Agreement may be assigned by a Party only with the written consent of the other Party; provided that a Party may assign this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement without the consent of the other Party to any Affiliate of the assigning Party with an equal or greater credit rating and with the legal authority and operational ability to satisfy the obligations of the assigning Party under this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement; and provided further that the Interconnection

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1087

Effective: November 1, 2007

Customer shall have the right to assign this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement, without the consent of the other Party, for collateral security purposes to aid in providing financing for the Large Generating Unit, provided that the Interconnection Customer will require any secured party, trustee or mortgagee to notify the other Party of any such assignment. Any financing arrangement entered into by the Interconnection Customer pursuant to this Article will provide that prior to or upon the exercise of the secured party's, trustee's or mortgagee's assignment rights pursuant to said arrangement, the secured creditor, the trustee or mortgagee will notify the other Party of the date and particulars of any such exercise of assignment right(s). Any attempted assignment that violates this Article is void and ineffective. Any assignment under this Optional Interconnection Study Agreement shall not relieve a Party of its obligations, nor shall a Party's obligations be enlarged, in whole or in part, by reason thereof. Where required, consent to assignment will not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF,** the Parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed by their duly authorized officers or agents on the day and year first above written.

ву.			
Title:			
Date:			
Rv:			
litle:			
Date:			

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

### Attachment A Optional Interconnection Study Agreement

ASSUMPTIONS USED IN CONDUCTING THE OPTIONAL INTERCONNECTION STUDY

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### **CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX V**

**Standard Large Generator Interconnection Agreement** 

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# STANDARD LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT (LGIA) [INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER] [PARTICIPATING TO] CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ARTICLE 1.	DEFINITIONS	1203
ARTICLE 2.	EFFECTIVE DATE, TERM AND TERMINATION	1208
2.1	Effective Date	
2.2	Term of Agreement	1208
2.3	Termination Procedures	
	2.3.1 Written Notice	
	2.3.2 Default	
	2.3.3 Suspension of Work	
	2.3.4	
2.4	Termination Costs	
	2.4.1	
	2.4.2	
	2.4.3	
2.5	Disconnection	
2.6	Survival	
<b>ARTICLE 3.</b> 3.1	REGULATORY FILINGS AND CAISO TARIFF COMPLIANCE	
3.1	Agreement Subject to CAISO Tariff	
3.3	Relationship Between this LGIA and the CAISO Tariff	
3.4	Relationship Between this LGIA and the QF PGA	1210
ARTICLE 4.	SCOPE OF SERVICE	
4.1	Interconnection Service	
4.2	Provision of Service	
4.3	Performance Standards	
4.4	No Transmission Service	
4.5	Interconnection Customer Provided Services	1211
ARTICLE 5.	INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES ENGINEERING, PROCUREMENT, AN	ID
CONSTRUC	TION	1211
5.1	Options	1211
	5.1.1 Standard Option	1211
	5.1.2 Alternate Option	1212
	5.1.3 Option to Build	1212
	5.1.4 Negotiated Option	1212
5.2	General Conditions Applicable to Option to Build	
5.3	Liquidated Damages	1214
5.4	Power System Stabilizers	1215
5.5	Equipment Procurement	
	5.5.1	
	5.5.2	
	5.5.3	
5.6	Construction Commencement	
	5.6.1	1215
	5.6.2	
	5.6.3	
	5.6.4	
5.7	Work Progress	
5.8	Information Exchange	
5.0	Limited Operation	1216

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 1092

	5.10	Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities	
		5.10.1 Large Generating Facility and Interconnection Customer's Interconnection	
		Facilities Specifications	1216
		<ul><li>5.10.2 Participating TO's and CAISO's Review</li><li>5.10.3 Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities Construction</li></ul>	
		5.10.4 Interconnection Customer to Meet Requirements of the Participating TO'	
		Interconnection Handbook	1217
	5.11	Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities Construction	
	5.12	Access Rights	
	5.13	Lands of Other Property Owners	1218
	5.14	Permits	1218
	5.15	Early Construction of Base Case Facilities	
	5.16	Suspension	
	5.17	Taxes	
		5.17.2 Representations And Covenants	
		5.17.3 Indemnification for the Cost Consequences of Current Tax Liability Import	sed
		Upon the Participating TO	
		5.17.4 Tax Gross-Up Amount	1220
		5.17.5 Private Letter Ruling or Change or Clarification of Law	
		5.17.6 Subsequent Taxable Events	1221
		5.17.7 Contests	
		5.17.8 Refund	
	5.18	5.17.9 Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	
	5.19	Modification	
	0.10	5.19.1 General	
		5.19.2 Standards	
		5.19.3 Modification Costs	
ARTIC	IF6 TI	ESTING AND INSPECTION	1224
AKIIO	6.1	Pre-Commercial Operation Date Testing and Modifications	
	6.2	Post-Commercial Operation Date Testing and Modifications	
	6.3	Right to Observe Testing	1224
	6.4	Right to Inspect	1225
ARTIC	IF7 M	ETERING	1225
AKIIO	7.1	General	
	7.2	Check Meters	
	7.3	Participating TO Retail Metering	
APTIC	IES C	OMMUNICATIONS	1226
AITHO	8.1	Interconnection Customer Obligations	
	8.2	Remote Terminal Unit	
	8.3	No Annexation	
ARTIC	IF9 O	PERATIONS	1226
<i>7</i> 4(110	9.1	General	
	9.2	Control Area Notification	
	9.3	CAISO and Participating TO Obligations	
	9.4	Interconnection Customer Obligations	
	9.5	Start-Up and Synchronization	
	9.6	Reactive Power	
		9.6.1 Power Factor Design Criteria	
		5.0.2 VOILAGE SCHEUUIES	1220

Effective: November 1, 2007

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF A۱

MENDED AND RESTATED	SECOND REPLACEMENT	VOLUME NO T	Original Sheet No.	1093
VICINDED / LIND INCO I/ LIED	OLOGIAD IXLI DIOLINILIA	VOLUME INC. I	Original Oricot No.	1000

	9.6.2.1 Governors and Regulators	1228
	9.6.3 Payment for Reactive Power	
9.7		
	9.7.1 Outages	1229
	9.7.1.1 Outage Authority and Coordination	
	9.7.1.2 Outage Schedules	
	9.7.1.3 Outage Restoration	
	9.7.2 Interruption of Service	
	9.7.2.1	
	9.7.2.2	
	9.7.2.3	
	9.7.2.4 9.7.2.5	
	9.7.2.5 Under-Frequency and Over-Frequency Conditions	
	9.7.4 System Protection and Other Control Requirements	
	9.7.4.1 System Protection Facilities	1231
	9.7.4.2	
	9.7.4.3	
	9.7.4.4	
	9.7.4.5	
	9.7.4.6	
	9.7.5 Requirements for Protection	1232
	9.7.6 Power Quality	
9.8	0 00 0	
9.9	Use of Interconnection Facilities by Third Parties	
	9.9.1 Purpose of Interconnection Facilities	
	9.9.2 Third Party Users	
9.1	Disturbance Analysis Data Exchange	1233
ARTICLE 1	0. MAINTENANCE	1233
10.	1 Participating TO Obligations	1233
10.		
10.	3 Coordination	1233
10.		
10.	5 Operating and Maintenance Expenses	1233
ARTICLE 1	1. PERFORMANCE OBLIGATION	1234
11.		
11.		
11.		
11.		
	11.4.1 Repayment of Amounts Advanced for Network Upgrades	
	11.4.2 Special Provisions for Affected Systems	
	11.4.3	1235
11.		
	11.5.1	
	11.5.2	
	11.5.3	
11.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1235
	11.6.1 Interconnection Customer Compensation for Actions During Emergency  Condition	1236
	2. INVOICE	
12.		
12.	2 Final Invoice	1236

Effective: November 1, 2007

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel Issued on: February 9, 2006

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

AMENDED A	ND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I	Original Sheet No. 1094
12.3	Payment	1236
12.4	Disputes	
ARTICLE 13.	EMERGENCIES	1227
13.1	[Reserved]	
13.1	Obligations	
13.3	Notice	
13.4	Immediate Action	
13.5	CAISO and Participating TO Authority	
10.0	13.5.1 General	
	13.5.2 Reduction and Disconnection	
13.6	Interconnection Customer Authority	
13.7	Limited Liability	
ARTICI E 14	REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AND GOVERNING LAWS	1238
14.1	Regulatory Requirements	
14.2	Governing Law	
17.2	14.2.1	
	14.2.2	
	14.2.3	
ADTICLE 45	NOTICE	1000
15.1	NOTICES	
15.1	General Billings and Payments	
15.2	Alternative Forms of Notice	
15.4	Operations and Maintenance Notice	
ADTIOL E 40	FORCE MA IFLIRE	4000
	FORCE MAJEURE	
<b>ARTICLE 16.</b> 16.1	Force Majeure	1239
	Force Majeure16.1.1	1239 1239
	Force Majeure	1239 1239
16.1	Force Majeure16.1.1	
16.1	Force Majeure	
16.1  ARTICLE 17.	Force Majeure  16.1.1  16.1.2  DEFAULT	
16.1  ARTICLE 17.	Force Majeure 16.1.1 16.1.2  DEFAULT Default	
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1	Force Majeure  16.1.1  16.1.2  DEFAULT  Default  17.1.1 General  17.1.2 Right to Terminate	
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1	Force Majeure  16.1.1  16.1.2  DEFAULT  Default  17.1.1 General	
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1	Force Majeure  16.1.1  16.1.2  DEFAULT  Default  17.1.1 General  17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES,	
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18.	Force Majeure  16.1.1  16.1.2  DEFAULT  Default  17.1.1 General  17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE	
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18.	Force Majeure  16.1.1  16.1.2  DEFAULT  Default  17.1.1 General  17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE  Indemnity	
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18.	Force Majeure  16.1.1  16.1.2  DEFAULT  Default  17.1.1 General  17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE Indemnity  18.1.1 Indemnified Party	
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18.	Force Majeure 16.1.1 16.1.2  DEFAULT Default 17.1.1 General 17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE Indemnity 18.1.1 Indemnified Party 18.1.2 Indemnifying Party 18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures Consequential Damages	
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18. 18.1	Force Majeure 16.1.1 16.1.2  DEFAULT Default 17.1.1 General 17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE Indemnity 18.1.1 Indemnified Party 18.1.2 Indemnifying Party 18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures	
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18. 18.1	Force Majeure 16.1.1 16.1.2  DEFAULT Default 17.1.1 General 17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE Indemnity 18.1.1 Indemnified Party 18.1.2 Indemnifying Party 18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures Consequential Damages Insurance 18.3.1	1239 1239 1239 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18. 18.1	Force Majeure 16.1.1 16.1.2  DEFAULT Default 17.1.1 General 17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE Indemnity 18.1.1 Indemnified Party 18.1.2 Indemnifying Party 18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures Consequential Damages Insurance 18.3.1 18.3.2	1239 1239 1239 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18. 18.1	Force Majeure 16.1.1 16.1.2  DEFAULT Default 17.1.1 General 17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE Indemnity 18.1.1 Indemnified Party 18.1.2 Indemnifying Party 18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures Consequential Damages Insurance 18.3.1 18.3.2 18.3.3	1239 1239 1239 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18. 18.1	Force Majeure 16.1.1 16.1.2  DEFAULT Default 17.1.1 General 17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE Indemnity 18.1.1 Indemnified Party 18.1.2 Indemnifying Party 18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures Consequential Damages Insurance 18.3.1 18.3.2 18.3.3 18.3.4	1239 1239 1239 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18. 18.1	Force Majeure 16.1.1 16.1.2  DEFAULT Default 17.1.1 General 17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE Indemnity 18.1.1 Indemnified Party 18.1.2 Indemnifying Party 18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures Consequential Damages Insurance 18.3.1 18.3.2 18.3.3 18.3.4 18.3.5	1239 1239 1239 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18. 18.1	Force Majeure 16.1.1 16.1.2  DEFAULT Default 17.1.1 General 17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE Indemnity 18.1.1 Indemnified Party 18.1.2 Indemnifying Party 18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures Consequential Damages Insurance 18.3.1 18.3.2 18.3.3 18.3.4 18.3.5 18.3.6	1239 1239 1239 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1241 1241
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18. 18.1	Force Majeure 16.1.1 16.1.2  DEFAULT Default 17.1.1 General 17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE Indemnity 18.1.1 Indemnified Party 18.1.2 Indemnifying Party 18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures Consequential Damages Insurance 18.3.1 18.3.2 18.3.3 18.3.4 18.3.5 18.3.6 18.3.7	1239 1239 1239 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1241 1241
16.1  ARTICLE 17. 17.1  ARTICLE 18. 18.1	Force Majeure 16.1.1 16.1.2  DEFAULT Default 17.1.1 General 17.1.2 Right to Terminate  INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, AND INSURANCE Indemnity 18.1.1 Indemnified Party 18.1.2 Indemnifying Party 18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures Consequential Damages Insurance 18.3.1 18.3.2 18.3.3 18.3.4 18.3.5 18.3.6	1239 1239 1239 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1240 1241 1241

Effective: November 1, 2007

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

	18 3 11		1242
	10.5.11		
19.1	Assignment		1243
ARTICLE 20.	SEVERABILIT	Υ	1243
20.1	Severability		1243
ARTICI E 21	COMPARARII	.ITY	1243
21.1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ADTICI E 22	CONFIDENTIA	LITY	12/13
22.1		/	
22.1	22.1.1 Term		
		9	
		se of Confidential Information	
	22.1.4 Right		
	J -	arranties	
		lard of Care	
		of Disclosure	
		ination of Agreement	
		edies	
		osure to FERC, its Staff, or a State	
		Solie to LENG, its Stall, or a State	
ARTICLE 23.	<b>ENVIRONMEN</b>	TAL RELEASES	1246
23.1 .			1246
ARTICI F 24	INFORMATION	N REQUIREMENTS	1246
24.1		cquisition	
24.2		ubmission by Participating TO	
24.3	Undated Infor	mation Submission by Interconnection Customer	1246
24.4		upplementation	
ADTICLE 25	INFORMATION	N ACCESS AND AUDIT RIGHTS	1047
25.1		ccess	
25.2		Non-Force Majeure Events	
25.3			
			_
25.4		Novinala	
25.4		Periods	1248
	25.4.1	Audit Rights Period for Construction-Related	4040
	05.4.0	Accounts and Records	1248
	25.4.2	Audit Rights Period for All Other	1010
05.5	A 111 D 11	Accounts and Records	
25.5			
	25.5.1		1248
ARTICLE 26.	SUBCONTRAC	CTORS	1249
26.1	General		1249
26.2	Responsibility	of Principal	1249
26.3		by Insurance	
ADTIC! E 27	DIGDLITES		1240
Z 1 1	COOLIDATO		

Original Sheet No. 1095

Effective: November 1, 2007

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION

FERC ELECTRIC 17		
AMENDED AND RE	STATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I	Original Sheet No. 1096
27.2 Exte	ernal Arbitration Procedures	1249
	tration Decisions	
	ts	
	RESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES AND COVENANTS	
	neral	
	1.2 Authority	
	1.3 No Conflict	
	I.4 Consent and Approval	
ARTICLE 20 IRES	ERVED]	1251
ARTIOLE 23. [REO		1201
	ELLANEOUS	
	ling Effect	
	flicts	
	es of Interpretation	
	re Agreement	
	Third Party Beneficiariesver	
	rdings	
	tiple Counterparts	
	endment	
	lification by the Parties	
	ervation of Rights	
	Partnership	
	t and Several Obligations	
Appendices		1255
Part A Inter	rconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution	Upgrades
Part B Mile	estones	
Part C Inter	rconnection Details	
Part D Seci	urity Arrangements Details	
Part E Com	nmercial Operation Date	
Part F Add	resses for Delivery of Notices and Billings	
Part G Relia	ability Management System Agreement	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel Issued on: February 9, 2006

Effective: November 1, 2007

## STANDARD LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT [INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER] [PARTICIPATING TO]

### **CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION**

THIS STANDARD LARGE GENERATOR INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT ("LGIA") is made
and entered into this day of, a, a organized and existing under the laws of the State/Commonwealth of
organized and existing under the laws of the State/Commonwealth of
("Interconnection Customer" with a Large Generating Facility),, a corporation
organized and existing under the laws of the State of California ("Participating TO"), and California
<b>Independent System Operator Corporation</b> , a California nonprofit public benefit corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California ("CAISO"). Interconnection Customer, Participating
TO, and CAISO each may be referred to as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
TO, and CAISO each may be referred to as a Farty of collectively as the Farties.
RECITALS
WHEREAS, CAISO exercises Operational Control over the CAISO Controlled Grid; and
<b>WHEREAS,</b> the Participating TO owns, operates, and maintains the Participating TO's Transmission System; and
WHEREAS, Interconnection Customer intends to own, lease and/or control and operate the
Generating Facility identified as a Large Generating Facility in Part C to this LGIA; and
WILEDEAG Interconnection Contenton Destining TO and CAICO have agreed to enter inte
<b>WHEREAS,</b> Interconnection Customer, Participating TO, and CAISO have agreed to enter into this LGIA for the purpose of interconnecting the Large Generating Facility with the Participating TO's Transmission System;
NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of and subject to the mutual covenants contained herein, it
is agreed:
When used in this LGIA, terms with initial capitalization that are not defined in Article 1 shall have
the meanings specified in the Article in which they are used.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### **ARTICLE 1. DEFINITIONS**

**Adverse System Impact** shall mean the negative effects due to technical or operational limits on conductors or equipment being exceeded that may compromise the safety and reliability of the electric system.

**Affected System** shall mean an electric system other than the CAISO Controlled Grid that may be affected by the proposed interconnection, including the Participating TO's electric system that is not part of the CAISO Controlled Grid.

**Affiliate** shall mean, with respect to a corporation, partnership or other entity, each such other corporation, partnership or other entity that directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with, such corporation, partnership or other entity.

**Applicable Laws and Regulations** shall mean all duly promulgated applicable federal, state and local laws, regulations, rules, ordinances, codes, decrees, judgments, directives, or judicial or administrative orders, permits and other duly authorized actions of any Governmental Authority.

**Applicable Reliability Council** shall mean the Western Electricity Coordinating Council or its successor.

**Applicable Reliability Standards** shall mean the requirements and guidelines of NERC, the Applicable Reliability Council, and the Control Area of the Participating TO's Transmission System to which the Generating Facility is directly interconnected.

**Base Case** shall mean the base case power flow, short circuit, and stability data bases used for the Interconnection Studies.

**Breach** shall mean the failure of a Party to perform or observe any material term or condition of this LGIA.

**Breaching Party** shall mean a Party that is in Breach of this LGIA.

**Business Day** shall mean Monday through Friday, excluding federal holidays and the day after Thanksgiving Day.

Calendar Day shall mean any day including Saturday, Sunday or a federal holiday.

**Commercial Operation** shall mean the status of an Electric Generating Unit at a Generating Facility that has commenced generating electricity for sale, excluding electricity generated during Trial Operation.

**Commercial Operation Date** of an Electric Generating Unit shall mean the date on which the Electric Generating Unit at the Generating Facility commences Commercial Operation as agreed to by the applicable Participating TO and the Interconnection Customer pursuant to Part E to this LGIA.

**Confidential Information** shall mean any confidential, proprietary or trade secret information of a plan, specification, pattern, procedure, design, device, list, concept, policy or compilation relating to the present or planned business of a Party, which is designated as confidential by the Party supplying the information, whether conveyed orally, electronically, in writing, through inspection, or otherwise, subject to Article 22.1.2.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

**Control Area** shall mean an electrical system or systems bounded by interconnection metering and telemetry, capable of controlling generation to maintain its interchange schedule with other Control Areas and contributing to frequency regulation of the interconnection. A Control Area must be certified by the Applicable Reliability Council.

**Default** shall mean the failure of a Breaching Party to cure its Breach in accordance with Article 17 of this LGIA.

**Distribution System** shall mean those non-CAISO-controlled transmission and distribution facilities owned by the Participating TO.

**Distribution Upgrades** shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the Participating TO's Distribution System. Distribution Upgrades do not include Interconnection Facilities.

**Effective Date** shall mean the date on which this LGIA becomes effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by FERC, or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by FERC.

**Electric Generating Unit** shall mean an individual electric generator and its associated plant and apparatus whose electrical output is capable of being separately identified and metered.

**Emergency Condition** shall mean a condition or situation: (1) that in the judgment of the Party making the claim is imminently likely to endanger life or property; or (2) that, in the case of the CAISO, is imminently likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to, the CAISO Controlled Grid or the electric systems of others to which the CAISO Controlled Grid is directly connected; (3) that, in the case of the Participating TO, is imminently likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to, the Participating TO's Transmission System, Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Distribution System, or the electric systems of others to which the Participating TO's electric system is directly connected; or (4) that, in the case of the Interconnection Customer, is imminently likely (as determined in a non-discriminatory manner) to cause a material adverse effect on the security of, or damage to, the Generating Facility or Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. System restoration and black start shall be considered Emergency Conditions; provided, that Interconnection Customer is not obligated by this LGIA to possess black start capability.

**Environmental Law** shall mean Applicable Laws or Regulations relating to pollution or protection of the environment or natural resources.

Federal Power Act shall mean the Federal Power Act, as amended, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791a et seq.

**FERC** shall mean the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or its successor.

**Force Majeure** shall mean any act of God, labor disturbance, act of the public enemy, war, insurrection, riot, fire, storm or flood, explosion, breakage or accident to machinery or equipment, any order, regulation or restriction imposed by governmental, military or lawfully established civilian authorities, or any other cause beyond a Party's control. A Force Majeure event does not include acts of negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Party claiming Force Majeure.

**Generating Facility** shall mean the Interconnection Customer's Electric Generating Unit(s) used for the production of electricity identified in the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Request, but shall not include the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities.

**Generating Facility Capacity** shall mean the capacity of the Generating Facility and the aggregate capacity of the Generating Facility where it includes multiple energy production devices.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

**Good Utility Practice** shall mean any of the practices, methods and acts engaged in or approved by a significant portion of the electric utility industry during the relevant time period, or any of the practices, methods and acts which, in the exercise of reasonable judgment in light of the facts known at the time the decision was made, could have been expected to accomplish the desired result at a reasonable cost consistent with good business practices, reliability, safety and expedition. Good Utility Practice is not intended to be any one of a number of the optimum practices, methods, or acts to the exclusion of all others, but rather to be acceptable practices, methods, or acts generally accepted in the region.

**Governmental Authority** shall mean any federal, state, local or other governmental, regulatory or administrative agency, court, commission, department, board, or other governmental subdivision, legislature, rulemaking board, tribunal, or other governmental authority having jurisdiction over the Parties, their respective facilities, or the respective services they provide, and exercising or entitled to exercise any administrative, executive, police, or taxing authority or power; provided, however, that such term does not include the Interconnection Customer, CAISO, Participating TO, or any Affiliate thereof.

**Hazardous Substances** shall mean any chemicals, materials or substances defined as or included in the definition of "hazardous substances," "hazardous wastes," "hazardous materials," "hazardous constituents," "restricted hazardous materials," "extremely hazardous substances," "toxic substances," "radioactive substances," "contaminants," "pollutants," "toxic pollutants" or words of similar meaning and regulatory effect under any applicable Environmental Law, or any other chemical, material or substance, exposure to which is prohibited, limited or regulated by any applicable Environmental Law.

**Initial Synchronization Date** shall mean the date upon which an Electric Generating Unit is initially synchronized and upon which Trial Operation begins.

**In-Service Date** shall mean the date upon which the Interconnection Customer reasonably expects it will be ready to begin use of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities to obtain back feed power.

**Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities** shall mean all facilities and equipment, as identified in Part A of this LGIA, that are located between the Generating Facility and the Point of Change of Ownership, including any modification, addition, or upgrades to such facilities and equipment necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Generating Facility to the Participating TO's Transmission System. Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities.

**Interconnection Facilities** shall mean the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Collectively, Interconnection Facilities include all facilities and equipment between the Generating Facility and the Point of Interconnection, including any modification, additions or upgrades that are necessary to physically and electrically interconnect the Generating Facility to the Participating TO's Transmission System. Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

**Interconnection Facilities Study** shall mean the study conducted by the Participating TO, the CAISO, or a third party consultant for the Interconnection Customer to determine a list of facilities (including the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades), the cost of those facilities, and the time required to interconnect the Generating Facility with the Participating TO's Transmission System.

**Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement** shall mean the agreement between the Interconnection Customer and the Participating TO or the CAISO for conducting the Interconnection Facilities Study.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

**Interconnection Feasibility Study** shall mean the preliminary evaluation conducted by the Participating TO, CAISO, or a third party consultant for the Interconnection Customer of the system impact and cost of interconnecting the Generating Facility to the Participating TO's Transmission System, and, if reasonably practicable, an informational assessment, as needed, of other affected owners' portions of the CAISO Controlled Grid.

**Interconnection Handbook** shall mean a handbook, developed by the Participating TO and posted on the Participating TO's web site or otherwise made available by the Participating TO, describing technical and operational requirements for wholesale generators and loads connected to the Participating TO's portion of the CAISO Controlled Grid, as such handbook may be modified or superseded from time to time. Participating TO's standards contained in the Interconnection Handbook shall be deemed consistent with Good Utility Practice and Applicable Reliability Standards. In the event of a conflict between the terms of this LGIA and the terms of the Participating TO's Interconnection Handbook, the terms in this LGIA shall apply.

**Interconnection Request** shall mean a request, in the form of Part 1 to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures, in accordance with the CAISO Tariff.

**Interconnection Service** shall mean the service provided by the Participating TO and CAISO associated with interconnecting the Interconnection Customer's Generating Facility to the Participating TO's Transmission System and enabling the CAISO Controlled Grid to receive electric energy and capacity from the Generating Facility at the Point of Interconnection, pursuant to the terms of this LGIA, the Participating TO's Transmission Owner Tariff, and the CAISO Tariff.

**Interconnection Study** shall mean any of the following studies: the Interconnection Feasibility Study, the Interconnection System Impact Study, and the Interconnection Facilities Study performed by the Participating TO, the CAISO, or a third party consultant for the Interconnection Customer pursuant to the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.

Interconnection System Impact Study shall mean the engineering study conducted by the Participating TO, CAISO, or a third party consultant for the Interconnection Customer that evaluates the impact of the proposed interconnection on the safety and reliability of the Participating TO's Transmission System and, if applicable, an Affected System. The study shall identify and detail the system impacts that would result if the Generating Facility were interconnected without project modifications or system modifications, focusing on the Adverse System Impacts identified in the Interconnection Feasibility Study, or to study potential impacts, including but not limited to those identified in the Scoping Meeting as described in the Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures.

IRS shall mean the Internal Revenue Service.

**CAISO Controlled Grid** shall mean the system of transmission lines and associated facilities of the parties to the Transmission Control Agreement that have been placed under the CAISO's Operational Control.

**CAISO Tariff** shall mean the CAISO's tariff, as filed with FERC, and as amended or supplemented from time to time, or any successor tariff.

**Large Generating Facility** shall mean a Generating Facility having a Generating Facility Capacity of more than 20 MW.

**Loss** shall mean any and all damages, losses, and claims, including claims and actions relating to injury to or death of any person or damage to property, demand, suits, recoveries, costs and expenses, court costs, attorney fees, and all other obligations by or to third parties.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

**Material Modification** shall mean those modifications that have a material impact on the cost or timing of any Interconnection Request or any other valid interconnection request with a later queue priority date.

**Metering Equipment** shall mean all metering equipment installed or to be installed for measuring the output of the Generating Facility pursuant to this LGIA at the metering points, including but not limited to instrument transformers, MWh-meters, data acquisition equipment, transducers, remote terminal unit, communications equipment, phone lines, and fiber optics.

**NERC** shall mean the North American Electric Reliability Council or its successor organization.

**Network Upgrades** shall be Participating TO's Delivery Network Upgrades and Participating TO's Reliability Network Upgrades.

**Operational Control** shall mean the rights of the CAISO under the Transmission Control Agreement and the CAISO Tariff to direct the parties to the Transmission Control Agreement how to operate their transmission lines and facilities and other electric plant affecting the reliability of those lines and facilities for the purpose of affording comparable non-discriminatory transmission access and meeting applicable reliability criteria.

**Participating TO's Delivery Network Upgrades** shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the Participating TO's Transmission System at or beyond the Point of Interconnection, other than Reliability Network Upgrades, identified in the Interconnection Studies, as identified in Part A, to relieve constraints on the CAISO Controlled Grid.

**Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities** shall mean all facilities and equipment owned, controlled or operated by the Participating TO from the Point of Change of Ownership to the Point of Interconnection as identified in Part A to this LGIA, including any modifications, additions or upgrades to such facilities and equipment. Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities are sole use facilities and shall not include Distribution Upgrades, Stand Alone Network Upgrades or Network Upgrades.

**Participating TO's Reliability Network Upgrades** shall mean the additions, modifications, and upgrades to the Participating TO's Transmission System at or beyond the Point of Interconnection, identified in the Interconnection Studies, as identified in Part A, necessary to interconnect the Large Generating Facility safely and reliably to the Participating TO's Transmission System, which would not have been necessary but for the interconnection of the Large Generating Facility, including additions, modifications, and upgrades necessary to remedy short circuit or stability problems resulting from the interconnection of the Large Generating Facility to the Participating TO's Transmission System. Participating TO's Reliability Network Upgrades also include, consistent with Applicable Reliability Council practice, the Participating TO's facilities necessary to mitigate any adverse impact the Large Generating Facility's interconnection may have on a path's Applicable Reliability Council rating.

**Participating TO's Transmission System** shall mean the facilities owned and operated by the Participating TO and that have been placed under the CAISO's Operational Control, which facilities form part of the CAISO Controlled Grid.

**Party or Parties** shall mean the Participating TO, CAISO, Interconnection Customer or the applicable combination of the above.

**Point of Change of Ownership** shall mean the point, as set forth in Part A to this LGIA, where the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities connect to the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1103

**Point of Interconnection** shall mean the point, as set forth in Part A to this LGIA, where the Interconnection Facilities connect to the Participating TO's Transmission System.

**Qualifying Facility** shall mean a qualifying cogeneration facility or qualifying small power production facility, as defined in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 18, Part 292 (18 C.F.R. §292).

**QF PGA** shall mean a Qualifying Facility Participating Generator Agreement specifying the special provisions for the operating relationship between a Qualifying Facility and the CAISO, a pro forma version of which is set forth in Appendix B.3 of the CAISO Tariff.

**Reasonable Efforts** shall mean, with respect to an action required to be attempted or taken by a Party under this LGIA, efforts that are timely and consistent with Good Utility Practice and are otherwise substantially equivalent to those a Party would use to protect its own interests.

**Scoping Meeting** shall mean the meeting among representatives of the Interconnection Customer, the Participating TO(s), other Affected Systems, and the CAISO conducted for the purpose of discussing alternative interconnection options, to exchange information including any transmission data and earlier study evaluations that would be reasonably expected to impact such interconnection options, to analyze such information, and to determine the potential feasible Points of Interconnection.

**Stand Alone Network Upgrades** shall mean Network Upgrades that the Interconnection Customer may construct without affecting day-to-day operations of the CAISO Controlled Grid or Affected Systems during their construction. The Participating TO, the CAISO, and the Interconnection Customer must agree as to what constitutes Stand Alone Network Upgrades and identify them in Part A to this LGIA.

**Standard Large Generator Interconnection Procedures (LGIP)** shall mean the CAISO protocol that sets forth the interconnection procedures applicable to an Interconnection Request pertaining to a Large Generating Facility that is included in the CAISO Tariff.

**System Protection Facilities** shall mean the equipment, including necessary protection signal communications equipment, that protects (1) the Participating TO's Transmission System, Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, CAISO Controlled Grid, and Affected Systems from faults or other electrical disturbances occurring at the Generating Facility and (2) the Generating Facility from faults or other electrical system disturbances occurring on the CAISO Controlled Grid, Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, and Affected Systems or on other delivery systems or other generating systems to which the CAISO Controlled Grid is directly connected.

Transmission Control Agreement shall mean CAISO FERC Electric Tariff No. 7.

**Trial Operation** shall mean the period during which the Interconnection Customer is engaged in on-site test operations and commissioning of an Electric Generating Unit prior to Commercial Operation.

### ARTICLE 2. EFFECTIVE DATE, TERM AND TERMINATION

- **2.1 Effective Date**. This LGIA shall become effective upon execution by the Parties subject to acceptance by FERC (if applicable), or if filed unexecuted, upon the date specified by FERC. The CAISO and Participating TO shall promptly file this LGIA with FERC upon execution in accordance with Article 3.1, if required.
- **Term of Agreement**. Subject to the provisions of Article 2.3, this LGIA shall remain in effect for a period of \_\_\_\_\_ years from the Effective Date (*Term Specified in Individual Agreements to be ten (10) years or such other longer period as the Interconnection Customer may request) and shall be automatically renewed for each successive one-year period thereafter.*

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### 2.3 Termination Procedures.

- **2.3.1 Written Notice**. This LGIA may be terminated by the Interconnection Customer after giving the CAISO and the Participating TO ninety (90) Calendar Days advance written notice, or by the CAISO and the Participating TO notifying FERC after the Generating Facility permanently ceases Commercial Operation.
- **2.3.2 Default**. A Party may terminate this LGIA in accordance with Article 17.
- **2.3.3 Suspension of Work**. This LGIA may be deemed terminated in accordance with Article 5.16.
- **2.3.4** Notwithstanding Articles 2.3.1, 2.3.2, and 2.3.3, no termination shall become effective until the Parties have complied with all Applicable Laws and Regulations applicable to such termination, including the filing with FERC of a notice of termination of this LGIA, which notice has been accepted for filing by FERC.
- **2.4 Termination Costs.** If this LGIA terminates pursuant to Article 2.3 above, the Interconnection Customer shall pay all costs incurred or irrevocably committed to be incurred in association with the Interconnection Customer's interconnection (including any cancellation costs relating to orders or contracts for Interconnection Facilities and equipment) and other expenses, including any Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades for which the Participating TO or CAISO has incurred expenses or has irrevocably committed to incur expenses and has not been reimbursed by the Interconnection Customer, as of the date of the other Parties' receipt of the notice of termination, subject to the limitations set forth in this Article 2.4. Nothing in this Article 2.4 shall limit the Parties' rights under Article 17.
  - 2.4.1 Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event of termination by a Party, all Parties shall use commercially Reasonable Efforts to mitigate the costs, damages and charges arising as a consequence of termination. With respect to any portion of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities that have not yet been constructed or installed, the Participating TO shall to the extent possible and with the Interconnection Customer's authorization cancel any pending orders of, or return, any materials or equipment for, or contracts for construction of, such facilities; provided that in the event the Interconnection Customer elects not to authorize such cancellation, the Interconnection Customer shall assume all payment obligations with respect to such materials, equipment, and contracts, and the Participating TO shall deliver such material and equipment, and, if necessary, assign such contracts, to the Interconnection Customer as soon as practicable, at the Interconnection Customer's expense. To the extent that the Interconnection Customer has already paid the Participating TO for any or all such costs of materials or equipment not taken by the Interconnection Customer, the Participating TO shall promptly refund such amounts to the Interconnection Customer, less any costs, including penalties, incurred by the Participating TO to cancel any pending orders of or return such materials, equipment, or contracts.
  - **2.4.2** The Participating TO may, at its option, retain any portion of such materials, equipment, or facilities that the Interconnection Customer chooses not to accept delivery of, in which case the Participating TO shall be responsible for all costs associated with procuring such materials, equipment, or facilities.
  - **2.4.3** With respect to any portion of the Interconnection Facilities, and any other facilities already installed or constructed pursuant to the terms of this LGIA, Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all costs associated with the removal, relocation or other disposition or retirement of such materials, equipment, or facilities.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- **2.5 Disconnection**. Upon termination of this LGIA, the Parties will take all appropriate steps to disconnect the Large Generating Facility from the Participating TO's Transmission System. All costs required to effectuate such disconnection shall be borne by the terminating Party, unless such termination resulted from the non-terminating Party's Default of this LGIA or such non-terminating Party otherwise is responsible for these costs under this LGIA.
- **Survival**. This LGIA shall continue in effect after termination to the extent necessary to provide for final billings and payments and for costs incurred hereunder, including billings and payments pursuant to this LGIA; to permit the determination and enforcement of liability and indemnification obligations arising from acts or events that occurred while this LGIA was in effect; and to permit each Party to have access to the lands of the other Parties pursuant to this LGIA or other applicable agreements, to disconnect, remove or salvage its own facilities and equipment.

### ARTICLE 3. REGULATORY FILINGS AND CAISO TARIFF COMPLIANCE

- **3.1 Filing**. The Participating TO and the CAISO shall file this LGIA (and any amendment hereto) with the appropriate Governmental Authority(ies), if required. The Interconnection Customer may request that any information so provided be subject to the confidentiality provisions of Article 22. If the Interconnection Customer has executed this LGIA, or any amendment thereto, the Interconnection Customer shall reasonably cooperate with the Participating TO and CAISO with respect to such filing and to provide any information reasonably requested by the Participating TO or CAISO needed to comply with applicable regulatory requirements.
- **3.2 Agreement Subject to CAISO Tariff**. The Interconnection Customer will comply with all applicable provisions of the CAISO Tariff, including the LGIP.
- **3.3 Relationship Between this LGIA and the CAISO Tariff.** With regard to rights and obligations between the Participating TO and the Interconnection Customer, if and to the extent a matter is specifically addressed by a provision of this LGIA (including any appendices, schedules or other attachments to this LGIA), the provisions of this LGIA shall govern. If and to the extent a provision of this LGIA is inconsistent with the CAISO Tariff and dictates rights and obligations between the CAISO and the Participating TO or the CAISO and the Interconnection Customer, the CAISO Tariff shall govern.
- **3.4** Relationship Between this LGIA and the QF PGA. With regard to the rights and obligations of a Qualifying Facility that has entered into a QF PGA with the CAISO and has entered into this LGIA, if and to the extent a matter is specifically addressed by a provision of the QF PGA that is inconsistent with this LGIA, the terms of the QF PGA shall govern.

#### **ARTICLE 4. SCOPE OF SERVICE**

**4.1 Interconnection Service.** Interconnection Service allows the Interconnection Customer to connect the Large Generating Facility to the Participating TO's Transmission System and be eligible to deliver the Large Generating Facility's output using the available capacity of the CAISO Controlled Grid. To the extent the Interconnection Customer wants to receive Interconnection Service, the Participating TO shall construct facilities identified in Appendices A and C that the Participating TO is responsible to construct.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Interconnection Service does not necessarily provide the Interconnection Customer with the capability to physically deliver the output of its Large Generating Facility to any particular load on the CAISO Controlled Grid without incurring congestion costs. In the event of transmission constraints on the CAISO Controlled Grid, the Interconnection Customer's Large Generating Facility shall be subject to the applicable congestion management procedures in the CAISO Tariff in the same manner as all other resources.

- **4.2 Provision of Service**. The Participating TO and the CAISO shall provide Interconnection Service for the Large Generating Facility.
- **4.3 Performance Standards**. Each Party shall perform all of its obligations under this LGIA in accordance with Applicable Laws and Regulations, Applicable Reliability Standards, and Good Utility Practice, and to the extent a Party is required or prevented or limited in taking any action by such regulations and standards, such Party shall not be deemed to be in Breach of this LGIA for its compliance therewith. If such Party is the CAISO or Participating TO, then that Party shall amend the LGIA and submit the amendment to FERC for approval.
- **4.4 No Transmission Service**. The execution of this LGIA does not constitute a request for, nor the provision of, any transmission service under the CAISO Tariff, and does not convey any right to deliver electricity to any specific customer or point of delivery.
- **4.5 Interconnection Customer Provided Services**. The services provided by Interconnection Customer under this LGIA are set forth in Article 9.6 and Article 13.5.1. Interconnection Customer shall be paid for such services in accordance with Article 11.6.

### ARTICLE 5. INTERCONNECTION FACILITIES ENGINEERING, PROCUREMENT, AND CONSTRUCTION

Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades shall be studied, designed, and constructed pursuant to Good Utility Practice. Such studies, design and construction shall be based on the assumed accuracy and completeness of all technical information received by the Participating TO and the CAISO from the Interconnection Customer associated with interconnecting the Large Generating Facility.

- **5.1 Options**. Unless otherwise mutually agreed among the Parties, the Interconnection Customer shall select the In-Service Date, Initial Synchronization Date, and Commercial Operation Date; and either Standard Option or Alternate Option set forth below for completion of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades as set forth in Part A, Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades, and such dates and selected option shall be set forth in Part B, Milestones.
  - 5.1.1 Standard Option. The Participating TO shall design, procure, and construct the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades, using Reasonable Efforts to complete the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades by the dates set forth in Part B, Milestones. The Participating TO shall not be required to undertake any action which is inconsistent with its standard safety practices, its material and equipment specifications, its design criteria and construction procedures, its labor agreements, and Applicable Laws and Regulations. In the event the Participating TO reasonably expects that it will not be able to complete the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades by the specified dates, the Participating TO shall promptly provide written notice to the Interconnection Customer and the CAISO and shall undertake Reasonable Efforts to meet the earliest dates thereafter.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

**5.1.2 Alternate Option**. If the dates designated by the Interconnection Customer are acceptable to the Participating TO, the Participating TO shall so notify the Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days, and shall assume responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities by the designated dates.

If the Participating TO subsequently fails to complete the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities by the In-Service Date, to the extent necessary to provide back feed power; or fails to complete Network Upgrades by the Initial Synchronization Date to the extent necessary to allow for Trial Operation at full power output, unless other arrangements are made by the Parties for such Trial Operation; or fails to complete the Network Upgrades by the Commercial Operation Date, as such dates are reflected in Part B, Milestones; the Participating TO shall pay the Interconnection Customer liquidated damages in accordance with Article 5.3, Liquidated Damages, provided, however, the dates designated by the Interconnection Customer shall be extended day for day for each day that the CAISO refuses to grant clearances to install equipment.

- 5.1.3 Option to Build. If the dates designated by the Interconnection Customer are not acceptable to the Participating TO, the Participating TO shall so notify the Interconnection Customer within thirty (30) Calendar Days, and unless the Parties agree otherwise, the Interconnection Customer shall have the option to assume responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades. If the Interconnection Customer elects to exercise its option to assume responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades, it shall so notify the Participating TO within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the Participating TO's notification that the designated dates are not acceptable to the Participating TO. The Participating TO, CAISO, and Interconnection Customer must agree as to what constitutes Stand Alone Network Upgrades and identify such Stand Alone Network Upgrades in Part A to this LGIA. Except for Stand Alone Network Upgrades, the Interconnection Customer shall have no right to construct Network Upgrades under this option.
- 5.1.4 Negotiated Option. If the Interconnection Customer elects not to exercise its option under Article 5.1.3, Option to Build, the Interconnection Customer shall so notify the Participating TO within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receipt of the Participating TO's notification that the designated dates are not acceptable to the Participating TO, and the Parties shall in good faith attempt to negotiate terms and conditions (including revision of the specified dates and liquidated damages, the provision of incentives or the procurement and construction of a portion of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades by the Interconnection Customer) pursuant to which the Participating TO is responsible for the design, procurement and construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement on such terms and conditions, the Participating TO shall assume responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades pursuant to Article 5.1.1, Standard Option.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- **General Conditions Applicable to Option to Build.** If the Interconnection Customer assumes responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades,
  - (1) the Interconnection Customer shall engineer, procure equipment, and construct the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades (or portions thereof) using Good Utility Practice and using standards and specifications provided in advance by the Participating TO;
  - (2) The Interconnection Customer's engineering, procurement and construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades shall comply with all requirements of law to which the Participating TO would be subject in the engineering, procurement or construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades;
  - (3) the Participating TO shall review, and the Interconnection Customer shall obtain the Participating TO's approval of, the engineering design, equipment acceptance tests, and the construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, and the CAISO may, at its option, review the engineering design, equipment acceptance tests, and the construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades;
  - (4) prior to commencement of construction, the Interconnection Customer shall provide to the Participating TO, with a copy to the CAISO for informational purposes, a schedule for construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades, and shall promptly respond to requests for information from the Participating TO:
  - (5) at any time during construction, the Participating TO shall have the right to gain unrestricted access to the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades and to conduct inspections of the same;
  - (6) at any time during construction, should any phase of the engineering, equipment procurement, or construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades not meet the standards and specifications provided by the Participating TO, the Interconnection Customer shall be obligated to remedy deficiencies in that portion of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades:
  - (7) the Interconnection Customer shall indemnify the CAISO and Participating TO for claims arising from the Interconnection Customer's construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades under the terms and procedures applicable to Article 18.1 Indemnity;
  - (8) The Interconnection Customer shall transfer control of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities to the Participating TO and shall transfer Operational Control of Stand Alone Network Upgrades to the CAISO;

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- (9) Unless the Parties otherwise agree, the Interconnection Customer shall transfer ownership of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to the Participating TO. As soon as reasonably practicable, but within twelve months after completion of the construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades, the Interconnection Customer shall provide an invoice of the final cost of the construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to the Participating TO, which invoice shall set forth such costs in sufficient detail to enable the Participating TO to reflect the proper costs of such facilities in its transmission rate base and to identify the investment upon which refunds will be provided;
- (10) the Participating TO shall accept for operation and maintenance the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades to the extent engineered, procured, and constructed in accordance with this Article 5.2; and
- (11) The Interconnection Customer's engineering, procurement and construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades shall comply with all requirements of the "Option to Build" conditions set forth in Part C. Interconnection Customer shall deliver to the Participating TO "as-built" drawings, information, and any other documents that are reasonably required by the Participating TO to assure that the Interconnection Facilities and Stand-Alone Network Upgrades are built to the standards and specifications required by the Participating TO.
- **5.3 Liquidated Damages**. The actual damages to the Interconnection Customer, in the event the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades are not completed by the dates designated by the Interconnection Customer and accepted by the Participating TO pursuant to subparagraphs 5.1.2 or 5.1.4, above, may include Interconnection Customer's fixed operation and maintenance costs and lost opportunity costs. Such actual damages are uncertain and impossible to determine at this time. Because of such uncertainty, any liquidated damages paid by the Participating TO to the Interconnection Customer in the event that the Participating TO does not complete any portion of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades by the applicable dates, shall be an amount equal to ½ of 1 percent per day of the actual cost of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, in the aggregate, for which the Participating TO has assumed responsibility to design, procure and construct.

However, in no event shall the total liquidated damages exceed 20 percent of the actual cost of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades for which the Participating TO has assumed responsibility to design, procure, and construct. The foregoing payments will be made by the Participating TO to the Interconnection Customer as just compensation for the damages caused to the Interconnection Customer, which actual damages are uncertain and impossible to determine at this time, and as reasonable liquidated damages, but not as a penalty or a method to secure performance of this LGIA. Liquidated damages, when the Parties agree to them, are the exclusive remedy for the Participating TO's failure to meet its schedule.

No liquidated damages shall be paid to the Interconnection Customer if: (1) the Interconnection Customer is not ready to commence use of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades to take the delivery of power for the Electric Generating Unit's Trial Operation or to export power from the Electric Generating Unit on the specified dates, unless the Interconnection Customer would have been able to commence use of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades to take the delivery of power for Electric Generating Unit's Trial Operation or to export power from the Electric Generating Unit, but for the Participating TO's delay; (2) the Participating TO's failure to meet the specified dates is the result of the action or inaction of the Interconnection Customer or any other interconnection customer who has entered into an interconnection agreement with the CAISO and/or Participating TO,

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

action or inaction by the CAISO, or any cause beyond the Participating TO's reasonable control or reasonable ability to cure; (3) the Interconnection Customer has assumed responsibility for the design, procurement and construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades; or (4) the Parties have otherwise agreed.

In no event shall the CAISO have any responsibility or liability to the Interconnection Customer for liquidated damages pursuant to the provisions of this Article 5.3.

- 5.4 Power System Stabilizers. The Interconnection Customer shall procure, install, maintain and operate Power System Stabilizers in accordance with the guidelines and procedures established by the Applicable Reliability Council and in accordance with the provisions of Section 4.6.5.1 of the CAISO Tariff. The CAISO reserves the right to establish reasonable minimum acceptable settings for any installed Power System Stabilizers, subject to the design and operating limitations of the Large Generating Facility. If the Large Generating Facility's Power System Stabilizers are removed from service or not capable of automatic operation, the Interconnection Customer shall immediately notify the CAISO and the Participating TO and restore the Power System Stabilizers to operation as soon as possible and in accordance with the Reliability Management System Agreement in Part G. The CAISO shall have the right to order the reduction in output or disconnection of the Large Generating Facility if the reliability of the CAISO Controlled Grid would be adversely affected as a result of improperly tuned Power System Stabilizers. The requirements of this Article 5.4 shall not apply to wind generators of the induction type.
- **5.5 Equipment Procurement**. If responsibility for construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades is to be borne by the Participating TO, then the Participating TO shall commence design of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades and procure necessary equipment as soon as practicable after all of the following conditions are satisfied, unless the Parties otherwise agree in writing:
  - **5.5.1** The Participating TO has completed the Interconnection Facilities Study pursuant to the Interconnection Facilities Study Agreement;
  - **5.5.2** The Participating TO has received written authorization to proceed with design and procurement from the Interconnection Customer by the date specified in Part B, Milestones; and
  - **5.5.3** The Interconnection Customer has provided security to the Participating TO in accordance with Article 11.5 by the dates specified in Part B, Milestones.
- **Construction Commencement**. The Participating TO shall commence construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades for which it is responsible as soon as practicable after the following additional conditions are satisfied:
  - **5.6.1** Approval of the appropriate Governmental Authority has been obtained for any facilities requiring regulatory approval;

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- **5.6.2** Necessary real property rights and rights-of-way have been obtained, to the extent required for the construction of a discrete aspect of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades;
- **5.6.3** The Participating TO has received written authorization to proceed with construction from the Interconnection Customer by the date specified in Part B, Milestones; and
- **5.6.4** The Interconnection Customer has provided payment and security to the Participating TO in accordance with Article 11.5 by the dates specified in Part B, Milestones.
- **5.7 Work Progress**. The Parties will keep each other advised periodically as to the progress of their respective design, procurement and construction efforts. Any Party may, at any time, request a progress report from another Party. If, at any time, the Interconnection Customer determines that the completion of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities will not be required until after the specified in-service date, the Interconnection Customer will provide written notice to the Participating TO and CAISO of such later date upon which the completion of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities will be required.
- **5.8 Information Exchange**. As soon as reasonably practicable after the Effective Date, the Parties shall exchange information regarding the design and compatibility of the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities and Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and compatibility of the Interconnection Facilities with the Participating TO's Transmission System, and shall work diligently and in good faith to make any necessary design changes.
- Limited Operation. If any of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades are not reasonably expected to be completed prior to the Commercial Operation Date of the Electric Generating Unit, the Participating TO and/or CAISO, as applicable, shall, upon the request and at the expense of the Interconnection Customer, perform operating studies on a timely basis to determine the extent to which the Electric Generating Unit and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities may operate prior to the completion of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations, Applicable Reliability Standards, Good Utility Practice, and this LGIA. The Participating TO and CAISO shall permit Interconnection Customer to operate the Electric Generating Unit and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in accordance with the results of such studies.
- **5.10** Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. The Interconnection Customer shall, at its expense, design, procure, construct, own and install the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities, as set forth in Part A.
  - **5.10.1 Large Generating Facility and Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities Specifications.** The Interconnection Customer shall submit initial specifications for the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities and Large Generating Facility, including System Protection Facilities, to the Participating TO and the CAISO at least one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days prior to the Initial Synchronization Date; and final specifications for review and comment at least ninety (90) Calendar Days prior to the Initial Synchronization Date. The Participating TO and the CAISO shall review such specifications pursuant to this LGIA and the LGIP to ensure that the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities and Large Generating Facility are compatible with the technical specifications, operational control, safety requirements, and any other applicable requirements of the Participating TO and the CAISO and comment on such specifications within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the Interconnection Customer's submission. All specifications provided hereunder shall be deemed confidential.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- 5.10.2 Participating TO's and CAISO's Review. The Participating TO's and the CAISO's review of the Interconnection Customer's final specifications shall not be construed as confirming, endorsing, or providing a warranty as to the design, fitness, safety, durability or reliability of the Large Generating Facility, or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Interconnection Customer shall make such changes to the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities as may reasonably be required by the Participating TO or the CAISO, in accordance with Good Utility Practice, to ensure that the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities are compatible with the technical specifications, Operational Control, and safety requirements of the Participating TO or the CAISO.
- 5.10.3 Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities Construction. The Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities shall be designed and constructed in accordance with Good Utility Practice. Within one hundred twenty (120) Calendar Days after the Commercial Operation Date, unless the Participating TO and Interconnection Customer agree on another mutually acceptable deadline, the Interconnection Customer shall deliver to the Participating TO and CAISO "as-built" drawings, information and documents for the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities and the Electric Generating Unit(s), such as: a one-line diagram, a site plan showing the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities, plan and elevation drawings showing the layout of the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities, a relay functional diagram, relaying AC and DC schematic wiring diagrams and relay settings for all facilities associated with the Interconnection Customer's step-up transformers, the facilities connecting the Large Generating Facility to the step-up transformers and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities, and the impedances (determined by factory tests) for the associated step-up transformers and the Electric Generating Units. The Interconnection Customer shall provide the Participating TO and the CAISO specifications for the excitation system, automatic voltage regulator, Large Generating Facility control and protection settings, transformer tap settings, and communications, if applicable. Any deviations from the relay settings, machine specifications, and other specifications originally submitted by the Interconnection Customer shall be assessed by the Participating TO and the CAISO pursuant to the appropriate provisions of this LGIA and the LGIP.
- **5.10.4** Interconnection Customer to Meet Requirements of the Participating TO's Interconnection Handbook. The Interconnection Customer shall comply with the Participating TO's Interconnection Handbook.
- 5.11 Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities Construction. The Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities shall be designed and constructed in accordance with Good Utility Practice. Upon request, within one hundred twenty (120) Calendar Days after the Commercial Operation Date, unless the Participating TO and Interconnection Customer agree on another mutually acceptable deadline, the Participating TO shall deliver to the Interconnection Customer and the CAISO the following "as-built" drawings, information and documents for the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities.

The Participating TO will obtain control for operating and maintenance purposes of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Stand Alone Network Upgrades upon completion of such facilities. Pursuant to Article 5.2, the CAISO will obtain Operational Control of the Stand Alone Network Upgrades prior to the Commercial Operation Date.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- **Access Rights**. Upon reasonable notice and supervision by a Party, and subject to any required or necessary regulatory approvals, a Party ("Granting Party") shall furnish *at no cost* to the other Party ("Access Party") any rights of use, licenses, rights of way and easements with respect to lands owned or controlled by the Granting Party, its agents (if allowed under the applicable agency agreement), or any Affiliate, that are necessary to enable the Access Party to obtain ingress and egress to construct, operate, maintain, repair, test (or witness testing), inspect, replace or remove facilities and equipment to: (i) interconnect the Large Generating Facility with the Participating TO's Transmission System; (ii) operate and maintain the Large Generating Facility, the Interconnection Facilities and the Participating TO's Transmission System; and (iii) disconnect or remove the Access Party's facilities and equipment upon termination of this LGIA. In exercising such licenses, rights of way and easements, the Access Party shall not unreasonably disrupt or interfere with normal operation of the Granting Party's business and shall adhere to the safety rules and procedures established in advance, as may be changed from time to time, by the Granting Party and provided to the Access Party.
- 5.13 Lands of Other Property Owners. If any part of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades are to be installed on property owned by persons other than the Interconnection Customer or Participating TO, the Participating TO shall at the Interconnection Customer's expense use efforts, similar in nature and extent to those that it typically undertakes on its own behalf or on behalf of its Affiliates, including use of its eminent domain authority, and to the extent consistent with state law, to procure from such persons any rights of use, licenses, rights of way and easements that are necessary to construct, operate, maintain, test, inspect, replace or remove the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and/or Network Upgrades upon such property.
- **5.14 Permits.** Participating TO and Interconnection Customer shall cooperate with each other in good faith in obtaining all permits, licenses and authorization that are necessary to accomplish the interconnection in compliance with Applicable Laws and Regulations. With respect to this paragraph, the Participating TO shall provide permitting assistance to the Interconnection Customer comparable to that provided to the Participating TO's own, or an Affiliate's generation.
- **5.15 Early Construction of Base Case Facilities.** The Interconnection Customer may request the Participating TO to construct, and the Participating TO shall construct, using Reasonable Efforts to accommodate Interconnection Customer's In-Service Date, all or any portion of any Network Upgrades required for Interconnection Customer to be interconnected to the Participating TO's Transmission System which are included in the Base Case of the Interconnection Studies for the Interconnection Customer, and which also are required to be constructed for another interconnection customer, but where such construction is not scheduled to be completed in time to achieve Interconnection Customer's In-Service Date.
- 5.16 Suspension. The Interconnection Customer reserves the right, upon written notice to the Participating TO and the CAISO, to suspend at any time all work associated with the construction and installation of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and/or Distribution Upgrades required under this LGIA with the condition that the Participating TO's electrical system and the CAISO Controlled Grid shall be left in a safe and reliable condition in accordance with Good Utility Practice and the Participating TO's safety and reliability criteria and the CAISO's Applicable Reliability Standards. In such event, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs which the Participating TO (i) has incurred pursuant to this LGIA prior to the suspension and (ii) incurs in suspending such work, including any costs incurred to perform such work as may be necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property and the integrity of the Participating TO's electric system during such suspension and, if applicable, any costs incurred in connection with the cancellation or suspension of material, equipment and labor contracts which the Participating TO cannot reasonably avoid; provided, however, that prior to canceling or suspending any such material, equipment or labor contract, the Participating TO shall obtain Interconnection Customer's authorization to do so.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

The Participating TO shall invoice the Interconnection Customer for such costs pursuant to Article 12 and shall use due diligence to minimize its costs. In the event Interconnection Customer suspends work required under this LGIA pursuant to this Article 5.16, and has not requested the Participating TO to recommence the work or has not itself recommenced work required under this LGIA on or before the expiration of three (3) years following commencement of such suspension, this LGIA shall be deemed terminated. The three-year period shall begin on the date the suspension is requested, or the date of the written notice to the Participating TO and the CAISO, if no effective date is specified.

#### 5.17 Taxes.

- 5.17.1 Interconnection Customer Payments Not Taxable. The Parties intend that all payments or property transfers made by the Interconnection Customer to the Participating TO for the installation of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and the Network Upgrades shall be non-taxable, either as contributions to capital, or as a refundable advance, in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code and any applicable state income tax laws and shall not be taxable as contributions in aid of construction or otherwise under the Internal Revenue Code and any applicable state income tax laws.
- Notice 88-129, the Interconnection Customer represents and covenants that (i) ownership of the electricity generated at the Large Generating Facility will pass to another party prior to the transmission of the electricity on the CAISO Controlled Grid, (ii) for income tax purposes, the amount of any payments and the cost of any property transferred to the Participating TO for the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities will be capitalized by the Interconnection Customer as an intangible asset and recovered using the straight-line method over a useful life of twenty (20) years, and (iii) any portion of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities that is a "dual-use intertie," within the meaning of IRS Notice 88-129, is reasonably expected to carry only a de minimis amount of electricity in the direction of the Large Generating Facility. For this purpose, "de minimis amount" means no more than 5 percent of the total power flows in both directions, calculated in accordance with the "5 percent test" set forth in IRS Notice 88-129. This is not intended to be an exclusive list of the relevant conditions that must be met to conform to IRS requirements for non-taxable treatment.

At the Participating TO's request, the Interconnection Customer shall provide the Participating TO with a report from an independent engineer confirming its representation in clause (iii), above. The Participating TO represents and covenants that the cost of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities paid for by the Interconnection Customer without the possibility of refund or credit will have no net effect on the base upon which rates are determined.

**5.17.3** Indemnification for the Cost Consequence of Current Tax Liability Imposed Upon the Participating TO. Notwithstanding Article 5.17.1, the Interconnection Customer shall protect, indemnify and hold harmless the Participating TO from the cost consequences of any current tax liability imposed against the Participating TO as the result of payments or property transfers made by the Interconnection Customer to the Participating TO under this LGIA for Interconnection Facilities, as well as any interest and penalties, other than interest and penalties attributable to any delay caused by the Participating TO.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

The Participating TO shall not include a gross-up for the cost consequences of any current tax liability in the amounts it charges the Interconnection Customer under this LGIA unless (i) the Participating TO has determined, in good faith, that the payments or property transfers made by the Interconnection Customer to the Participating TO should be reported as income subject to taxation or (ii) any Governmental Authority directs the Participating TO to report payments or property as income subject to taxation; provided, however, that the Participating TO may require the Interconnection Customer to provide security for Interconnection Facilities, in a form reasonably acceptable to the Participating TO (such as a parental guarantee or a letter of credit), in an amount equal to the cost consequences of any current tax liability under this Article 5.17. The Interconnection Customer shall reimburse the Participating TO for such costs on a fully grossed-up basis, in accordance with Article 5.17.4, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving written notification from the Participating TO of the amount due, including detail about how the amount was calculated.

The indemnification obligation shall terminate at the earlier of (1) the expiration of the ten year testing period and the applicable statute of limitation, as it may be extended by the Participating TO upon request of the IRS, to keep these years open for audit or adjustment, or (2) the occurrence of a subsequent taxable event and the payment of any related indemnification obligations as contemplated by this Article 5.17.

5.17.4 Tax Gross-Up Amount. The Interconnection Customer's liability for the cost consequences of any current tax liability under this Article 5.17 shall be calculated on a fully grossed-up basis. Except as may otherwise be agreed to by the parties, this means that the Interconnection Customer will pay the Participating TO, in addition to the amount paid for the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, an amount equal to (1) the current taxes imposed on the Participating TO ("Current Taxes") on the excess of (a) the gross income realized by the Participating TO as a result of payments or property transfers made by the Interconnection Customer to the Participating TO under this LGIA (without regard to any payments under this Article 5.17) (the "Gross Income Amount") over (b) the present value of future tax deductions for depreciation that will be available as a result of such payments or property transfers (the "Present Value Depreciation Amount"), plus (2) an additional amount sufficient to permit the Participating TO to receive and retain, after the payment of all Current Taxes, an amount equal to the net amount described in clause (1).

For this purpose, (i) Current Taxes shall be computed based on the Participating TO's composite federal and state tax rates at the time the payments or property transfers are received and the Participating TO will be treated as being subject to tax at the highest marginal rates in effect at that time (the "Current Tax Rate"), and (ii) the Present Value Depreciation Amount shall be computed by discounting the Participating TO's anticipated tax depreciation deductions as a result of such payments or property transfers by the Participating TO's current weighted average cost of capital. Thus, the formula for calculating the Interconnection Customer's liability to the Participating TO pursuant to this Article 5.17.4 can be expressed as follows: (Current Tax Rate x (Gross Income Amount – Present Value of Tax Depreciation))/(1-Current Tax Rate). Interconnection Customer's estimated tax liability in the event taxes are imposed shall be stated in Part A, Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

5.17.5 Private Letter Ruling or Change or Clarification of Law. At the Interconnection Customer's request and expense, the Participating TO shall file with the IRS a request for a private letter ruling as to whether any property transferred or sums paid, or to be paid, by the Interconnection Customer to the Participating TO under this LGIA are subject to federal income taxation. The Interconnection Customer will prepare the initial draft of the request for a private letter ruling, and will certify under penalties of perjury that all facts represented in such request are true and accurate to the best of the Interconnection Customer's knowledge. The Participating TO and Interconnection Customer shall cooperate in good faith with respect to the submission of such request, provided, however, the Interconnection Customer and the Participating TO explicitly acknowledge (and nothing herein is intended to alter) Participating TO's obligation under law to certify that the facts presented in the ruling request are true, correct and complete.

The Participating TO shall keep the Interconnection Customer fully informed of the status of such request for a private letter ruling and shall execute either a privacy act waiver or a limited power of attorney, in a form acceptable to the IRS, that authorizes the Interconnection Customer to participate in all discussions with the IRS regarding such request for a private letter ruling. The Participating TO shall allow the Interconnection Customer to attend all meetings with IRS officials about the request and shall permit the Interconnection Customer to prepare the initial drafts of any follow-up letters in connection with the request.

- 5.17.6 Subsequent Taxable Events. If, within 10 years from the date on which the relevant Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities are placed in service, (i) the Interconnection Customer Breaches the covenants contained in Article 5.17.2, (ii) a "disqualification event" occurs within the meaning of IRS Notice 88-129, or (iii) this LGIA terminates and the Participating TO retains ownership of the Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, the Interconnection Customer shall pay a tax gross-up for the cost consequences of any current tax liability imposed on the Participating TO, calculated using the methodology described in Article 5.17.4 and in accordance with IRS Notice 90-60.
- **5.17.7 Contests**. In the event any Governmental Authority determines that the Participating TO's receipt of payments or property constitutes income that is subject to taxation, the Participating TO shall notify the Interconnection Customer, in writing, within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving notification of such determination by a Governmental Authority. Upon the timely written request by the Interconnection Customer and at the Interconnection Customer's sole expense, the Participating TO may appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise oppose such determination. Upon the Interconnection Customer's written request and sole expense, the Participating TO may file a claim for refund with respect to any taxes paid under this Article 5.17, whether or not it has received such a determination. The Participating TO reserve the right to make all decisions with regard to the prosecution of such appeal, protest, abatement or other contest, including the selection of counsel and compromise or settlement of the claim, but the Participating TO shall keep the Interconnection Customer informed, shall consider in good faith suggestions from the Interconnection Customer about the conduct of the contest, and shall reasonably permit the Interconnection Customer or an Interconnection Customer representative to attend contest proceedings.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

The Interconnection Customer shall pay to the Participating TO on a periodic basis, as invoiced by the Participating TO, the Participating TO's documented reasonable costs of prosecuting such appeal, protest, abatement or other contest, including any costs associated with obtaining the opinion of independent tax counsel described in this Article 5.17.7. The Participating TO may abandon any contest if the Interconnection Customer fails to provide payment to the Participating TO within thirty (30) Calendar Days of receiving such invoice.

At any time during the contest, the Participating TO may agree to a settlement either with the Interconnection Customer's consent or, if such consent is refused, after obtaining written advice from independent nationally-recognized tax counsel, selected by the Participating TO, but reasonably acceptable to the Interconnection Customer, that the proposed settlement represents a reasonable settlement given the hazards of litigation. The Interconnection Customer's obligation shall be based on the amount of the settlement agreed to by the Interconnection Customer, or if a higher amount, so much of the settlement that is supported by the written advice from nationally-recognized tax counsel selected under the terms of the preceding paragraph. The settlement amount shall be calculated on a fully grossed-up basis to cover any related cost consequences of the current tax liability. The Participating TO may also settle any tax controversy without receiving the Interconnection Customer's consent or any such written advice: however. any such settlement will relieve the Interconnection Customer from any obligation to indemnify the Participating TO for the tax at issue in the contest (unless the failure to obtain written advice is attributable to the Interconnection Customer's unreasonable refusal to the appointment of independent tax counsel).

- **5.17.8 Refund.** In the event that (a) a private letter ruling is issued to the Participating TO which holds that any amount paid or the value of any property transferred by the Interconnection Customer to the Participating TO under the terms of this LGIA is not subject to federal income taxation, (b) any legislative change or administrative announcement, notice, ruling or other determination makes it reasonably clear to the Participating TO in good faith that any amount paid or the value of any property transferred by the Interconnection Customer to the Participating TO under the terms of this LGIA is not taxable to the Participating TO, (c) any abatement, appeal, protest, or other contest results in a determination that any payments or transfers made by the Interconnection Customer to the Participating TO are not subject to federal income tax, or (d) if the Participating TO receives a refund from any taxing authority for any overpayment of tax attributable to any payment or property transfer made by the Interconnection Customer to the Participating TO pursuant to this LGIA, the Participating TO shall promptly refund to the Interconnection Customer the following:
  - (i) any payment made by Interconnection Customer under this Article 5.17 for taxes that is attributable to the amount determined to be non-taxable, together with interest thereon,
  - (ii) interest on any amounts paid by the Interconnection Customer to the Participating TO for such taxes which the Participating TO did not submit to the taxing authority, calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in FERC's regulations at 18 C.F.R. §35.19a(a)(2)(iii) from the date payment was made by the Interconnection Customer to the date the Participating TO refunds such payment to the Interconnection Customer, and

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

(iii) with respect to any such taxes paid by the Participating TO, any refund or credit the Participating TO receives or to which it may be entitled from any Governmental Authority, interest (or that portion thereof attributable to the payment described in clause (i), above) owed to the Participating TO for such overpayment of taxes (including any reduction in interest otherwise payable by the Participating TO to any Governmental Authority resulting from an offset or credit); provided, however, that the Participating TO will remit such amount promptly to the Interconnection Customer only after and to the extent that the Participating TO has received a tax refund, credit or offset from any Governmental Authority for any applicable overpayment of income tax related to the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities.

The intent of this provision is to leave the Parties, to the extent practicable, in the event that no taxes are due with respect to any payment for Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades hereunder, in the same position they would have been in had no such tax payments been made.

- **5.17.9 Taxes Other Than Income Taxes**. Upon the timely request by the Interconnection Customer, and at the Interconnection Customer's sole expense, the CAISO or Participating TO may appeal, protest, seek abatement of, or otherwise contest any tax (other than federal or state income tax) asserted or assessed against the CAISO or Participating TO for which the Interconnection Customer may be required to reimburse the CAISO or Participating TO under the terms of this LGIA. The Interconnection Customer shall pay to the Participating TO on a periodic basis, as invoiced by the Participating TO, the Participating TO's documented reasonable costs of prosecuting such appeal, protest, abatement, or other contest. The Interconnection Customer, the CAISO, and the Participating TO shall cooperate in good faith with respect to any such contest. Unless the payment of such taxes is a prerequisite to an appeal or abatement or cannot be deferred, no amount shall be payable by the Interconnection Customer to the CAISO or Participating TO for such taxes until they are assessed by a final, nonappealable order by any court or agency of competent jurisdiction. In the event that a tax payment is withheld and ultimately due and payable after appeal, the Interconnection Customer will be responsible for all taxes, interest and penalties, other than penalties attributable to any delay caused by the Participating TO.
- **Tax Status**. Each Party shall cooperate with the others to maintain the other Parties' tax status. Nothing in this LGIA is intended to adversely affect the CAISO's or any Participating TO's tax exempt status with respect to the issuance of bonds including, but not limited to, Local Furnishing Bonds.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### 5.19 Modification.

5.19.1 General. The Interconnection Customer or the Participating TO may undertake modifications to its facilities, subject to the provisions of this LGIA and the CAISO Tariff. If a Party plans to undertake a modification that reasonably may be expected to affect the other Parties' facilities, that Party shall provide to the other Parties sufficient information regarding such modification so that the other Parties may evaluate the potential impact of such modification prior to commencement of the work. Such information shall be deemed to be confidential hereunder and shall include information concerning the timing of such modifications and whether such modifications are expected to interrupt the flow of electricity from the Large Generating Facility. The Party desiring to perform such work shall provide the relevant drawings, plans, and specifications to the other Parties at least ninety (90) Calendar Days in advance of the commencement of the work or such shorter period upon which the Parties may agree, which agreement shall not unreasonably be withheld, conditioned or delayed.

In the case of Large Generating Facility modifications that do not require the Interconnection Customer to submit an Interconnection Request, the CAISO or Participating TO shall provide, within thirty (30) Calendar Days (or such other time as the Parties may agree), an estimate of any additional modifications to the CAISO Controlled Grid, Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades or Distribution Upgrades necessitated by such Interconnection Customer modification and a good faith estimate of the costs thereof. The Participating TO and the CAISO shall determine if a Large Generating Facility modification is a Material Modification in accordance with the LGIP.

- **5.19.2 Standards.** Any additions, modifications, or replacements made to a Party's facilities shall be designed, constructed and operated in accordance with this LGIA and Good Utility Practice.
- 5.19.3 Modification Costs. The Interconnection Customer shall not be directly assigned the costs of any additions, modifications, or replacements that the Participating TO makes to the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or the Participating TO's Transmission System to facilitate the interconnection of a third party to the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or the Participating TO's Transmission System, or to provide transmission service to a third party under the CAISO Tariff. The Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for the costs of any additions, modifications, or replacements to the Interconnection Facilities that may be necessary to maintain or upgrade such Interconnection Facilities consistent with Applicable Laws and Regulations, Applicable Reliability Standards or Good Utility Practice.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### **ARTICLE 6. TESTING AND INSPECTION**

- Operation Date, the Participating TO shall test the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades and the Interconnection Customer shall test the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities to ensure their safe and reliable operation. Similar testing may be required after initial operation. Each Party shall make any modifications to its facilities that are found to be necessary as a result of such testing. The Interconnection Customer shall bear the cost of all such testing and modifications. The Interconnection Customer shall not commence initial parallel operation of an Electric Generating Unit with the Participating TO's Transmission System until the Participating TO provides prior written approval, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, for operation of such Electric Generating Unit. The Interconnection Customer shall generate test energy at the Large Generating Facility only if it has arranged for the delivery of such test energy.
- **Post-Commercial Operation Date Testing and Modifications**. Each Party shall at its own expense perform routine inspection and testing of its facilities and equipment in accordance with Good Utility Practice as may be necessary to ensure the continued interconnection of the Large Generating Facility with the Participating TO's Transmission System in a safe and reliable manner. Each Party shall have the right, upon advance written notice, to require reasonable additional testing of the other Party's facilities, at the requesting Party's expense, as may be in accordance with Good Utility Practice.
- **Right to Observe Testing**. Each Party shall notify the other Parties at least fourteen (14) days in advance of its performance of tests of its Interconnection Facilities or Generating Facility. The other Parties have the right, at their own expense, to observe such testing.
- **Right to Inspect**. Each Party shall have the right, but shall have no obligation to: (i) observe another Party's tests and/or inspection of any of its System Protection Facilities and other protective equipment, including Power System Stabilizers; (ii) review the settings of another Party's System Protection Facilities and other protective equipment; and (iii) review another Party's maintenance records relative to the Interconnection Facilities, the System Protection Facilities and other protective equipment. A Party may exercise these rights from time to time as it deems necessary upon reasonable notice to the other Party. The exercise or non-exercise by a Party of any such rights shall not be construed as an endorsement or confirmation of any element or condition of the Interconnection Facilities or the System Protection Facilities or other protective equipment or the operation thereof, or as a warranty as to the fitness, safety, desirability, or reliability of same. Any information that a Party obtains through the exercise of any of its rights under this Article 6.4 shall be deemed to be Confidential Information and treated pursuant to Article 22 of this LGIA.

#### **ARTICLE 7. METERING**

7.1 General. Each Party shall comply with the Applicable Reliability Council requirements. The Interconnection Customer and CAISO shall comply with the provisions of the CAISO Tariff regarding metering, including Section 10 of the CAISO Tariff. Unless otherwise agreed by the Participating TO and the Interconnection Customer, the Participating TO may install additional Metering Equipment at the Point of Interconnection prior to any operation of any Electric Generating Unit and shall own, operate, test and maintain such Metering Equipment. Power flows to and from the Large Generating Facility shall be measured at or, at the CAISO's or Participating TO's option for its respective Metering Equipment, compensated to, the Point of Interconnection. The CAISO shall provide metering quantities to the Interconnection Customer upon request in accordance with the CAISO Tariff by directly polling the CAISO's meter data acquisition system. The Interconnection Customer shall bear all reasonable documented costs

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

associated with the purchase, installation, operation, testing and maintenance of the Metering Equipment.

- 7.2 Check Meters. The Interconnection Customer, at its option and expense, may install and operate, on its premises and on its side of the Point of Interconnection, one or more check meters to check the CAISO-polled meters or the Participating TO's meters. Such check meters shall be for check purposes only and shall not be used for the measurement of power flows for purposes of this LGIA, except in the case that no other means are available on a temporary basis at the option of the CAISO or the Participating TO. The check meters shall be subject at all reasonable times to inspection and examination by the CAISO or Participating TO or their designees. The installation, operation and maintenance thereof shall be performed entirely by the Interconnection Customer in accordance with Good Utility Practice.
- 7.3 Participating TO Retail Metering. The Participating TO may install retail revenue quality meters and associated equipment, pursuant to the Participating TO's applicable retail tariffs.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel Effective: November 1, 2007

Issued on: February 9, 2006

### **ARTICLE 8. COMMUNICATIONS**

- 8.1 Interconnection Customer Obligations. The Interconnection Customer shall maintain satisfactory operating communications with the CAISO in accordance with the provisions of the CAISO Tariff and with the Participating TO's dispatcher or representative designated by the Participating TO. The Interconnection Customer shall provide standard voice line, dedicated voice line and facsimile communications at its Large Generating Facility control room or central dispatch facility through use of either the public telephone system, or a voice communications system that does not rely on the public telephone system. The Interconnection Customer shall also provide the dedicated data circuit(s) necessary to provide Interconnection Customer data to the CAISO and Participating TO as set forth in Part D, Security Arrangements Details. The data circuit(s) shall extend from the Large Generating Facility to the location(s) specified by the CAISO and Participating TO. Any required maintenance of such communications equipment shall be performed by the Interconnection Customer. Operational communications shall be activated and maintained under, but not be limited to, the following events: system paralleling or separation, scheduled and unscheduled shutdowns, equipment clearances, and hourly and daily load data.
- **8.2 Remote Terminal Unit**. Prior to the Initial Synchronization Date of each Electric Generating Unit, a Remote Terminal Unit, or equivalent data collection and transfer equipment acceptable to the Parties, shall be installed by the Interconnection Customer, or by the Participating TO at the Interconnection Customer's expense, to gather accumulated and instantaneous data to be telemetered to the location(s) designated by the CAISO and by the Participating TO through use of a dedicated point-to-point data circuit(s) as indicated in Article 8.1.

Telemetry to the CAISO shall be provided in accordance with the CAISO's technical standards for direct telemetry. For telemetry to the Participating TO, the communication protocol for the data circuit(s) shall be specified by the Participating TO. Instantaneous bi-directional real power and reactive power flow and any other required information must be telemetered directly to the location(s) specified by the Participating TO.

Each Party will promptly advise the other Parties if it detects or otherwise learns of any metering, telemetry or communications equipment errors or malfunctions that require the attention and/or correction by another Party. The Party owning such equipment shall correct such error or malfunction as soon as reasonably feasible.

**8.3 No Annexation.** Any and all equipment placed on the premises of a Party shall be and remain the property of the Party providing such equipment regardless of the mode and manner of annexation or attachment to real property, unless otherwise mutually agreed by the Parties.

### **ARTICLE 9. OPERATIONS**

**9.1 General.** Each Party shall comply with the Applicable Reliability Council requirements, and the Interconnection Customer shall execute the Reliability Management System Agreement of the Applicable Reliability Council attached hereto as Part G. Each Party shall provide to the other Party all information that may reasonably be required by the other Party to comply with Applicable Laws and Regulations and Applicable Reliability Standards.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- 9.2 Control Area Notification. At least three months before Initial Synchronization Date, the Interconnection Customer shall notify the CAISO and Participating TO in writing of the Control Area in which the Large Generating Facility intends to be located. If the Interconnection Customer intends to locate the Large Generating Facility in a Control Area other than the Control Area within whose electrically metered boundaries the Large Generating Facility is located, and if permitted to do so by the relevant transmission tariffs, all necessary arrangements, including but not limited to those set forth in Article 7 and Article 8 of this LGIA, and remote Control Area generator interchange agreements, if applicable, and the appropriate measures under such agreements, shall be executed and implemented prior to the placement of the Large Generating Facility in the other Control Area.
- 9.3 CAISO and Participating TO Obligations. The CAISO and Participating TO shall cause the Participating TO's Transmission System to be operated and controlled in a safe and reliable manner and in accordance with this LGIA. The Participating TO at the Interconnection Customer's expense shall cause the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities to be operated, maintained and controlled in a safe and reliable manner and in accordance with this LGIA. The CAISO and Participating TO may provide operating instructions to the Interconnection Customer consistent with this LGIA and Participating TO and CAISO operating protocols and procedures as they may change from time to time. The Participating TO and CAISO will consider changes to their operating protocols and procedures proposed by the Interconnection Customer.
- 9.4 Interconnection Customer Obligations. The Interconnection Customer shall at its own expense operate, maintain and control the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in a safe and reliable manner and in accordance with this LGIA. The Interconnection Customer shall operate the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in accordance with all applicable requirements of the Control Area of which it is part, including such requirements as set forth in Part C, Interconnection Details, of this LGIA. Part C, Interconnection Details, will be modified to reflect changes to the requirements as they may change from time to time. A Party may request that another Party provide copies of the requirements set forth in Part C, Interconnection Details, of this LGIA. The Interconnection Customer shall not commence Commercial Operation of an Electric Generating Unit with the Participating TO's Transmission System until the Participating TO provides prior written approval, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, for operation of such Electric Generating Unit.
- **9.5 Start-Up and Synchronization.** Consistent with the Parties' mutually acceptable procedures, the Interconnection Customer is responsible for the proper synchronization of each Electric Generating Unit to the CAISO Controlled Grid.
- 9.6 Reactive Power.
  - **9.6.1 Power Factor Design Criteria.** The Interconnection Customer shall design the Large Generating Facility to maintain a composite power delivery at continuous rated power output at the terminals of the Electric Generating Unit at a power factor within the range of 0.95 leading to 0.90 lagging, unless the CAISO has established different requirements that apply to all generators in the Control Area on a comparable basis. Wind generators of the induction type must maintain unity power factor at the Point of Interconnection.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- Voltage Schedules. Once the Interconnection Customer has synchronized an Electric 9.6.2 Generating Unit with the CAISO Controlled Grid, the CAISO or Participating TO shall require the Interconnection Customer to maintain a voltage schedule by operating the Electric Generating Unit to produce or absorb reactive power within the design limitations of the Electric Generating Unit set forth in Article 9.6.1 (Power Factor Design Criteria). CAISO's voltage schedules shall treat all sources of reactive power in the Control Area in an equitable and not unduly discriminatory manner. The Participating TO shall exercise Reasonable Efforts to provide the Interconnection Customer with such schedules at least one (1) day in advance, and the CAISO or Participating TO may make changes to such schedules as necessary to maintain the reliability of the CAISO Controlled Grid or the Participating TO's electric system. The Interconnection Customer shall operate the Electric Generating Unit to maintain the specified output voltage or power factor within the design limitations of the Electric Generating Unit set forth in Article 9.6.1 (Power Factor Design Criteria), and as may be required by the CAISO to operate the Electric Generating Unit at a specific voltage schedule within the design limitations set forth in Article 9.6.1. If the Interconnection Customer is unable to maintain the specified voltage or power factor, it shall promptly notify the CAISO and the Participating TO.
  - **9.6.2.1 Governors and Regulators**. Whenever an Electric Generating Unit is operated in parallel with the CAISO Controlled Grid and the speed governors (if installed on the Electric Generating Unit pursuant to Good Utility Practice) and voltage regulators are capable of operation, the Interconnection Customer shall operate the Electric Generating Unit with its speed governors and voltage regulators in automatic operation. If the Electric Generating Unit's speed governors and voltage regulators are not capable of such automatic operation, the Interconnection Customer shall immediately notify the CAISO and the Participating TO and ensure that the Electric Generating Unit operates as specified in Article 9.6.2 through manual operation and that such Electric Generating Unit's reactive power production or absorption (measured in MVARs) are within the design capability of the Electric Generating Unit(s) and steady state stability limits. The Interconnection Customer shall restore the speed governors and voltage regulators to automatic operation as soon as possible and in accordance with the Reliability Management System Agreement in Part G. If the Large Generating Facility's speed governors and voltage regulators are improperly tuned or malfunctioning, the CAISO shall have the right to order the reduction in output or disconnection of the Large Generating Facility if the reliability of the CAISO Controlled Grid would be adversely affected. The Interconnection Customer shall not cause its Large Generating Facility to disconnect automatically or instantaneously from the CAISO Controlled Grid or trip any Electric Generating Unit comprising the Large Generating Facility for an under or over frequency condition unless the abnormal frequency condition persists for a time period beyond the limits set forth in ANSI/IEEE Standard C37.106, or such other standard as applied to other generators in the Control Area on a comparable basis.
- 9.6.3 Payment for Reactive Power. CAISO is required to pay the Interconnection Customer for reactive power that Interconnection Customer provides or absorbs from an Electric Generating Unit when the CAISO requests the Interconnection Customer to operate its Electric Generating Unit outside the range specified in Article 9.6.1, provided that if the CAISO pays other generators for reactive power service within the specified range, it must also pay the Interconnection Customer. Payments shall be pursuant to Article 11.6 or such other agreement to which the CAISO and Interconnection Customer have otherwise agreed.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# 9.7 Outages and Interruptions.

# 9.7.1 **Outages.**

- 9.7.1.1 Outage Authority and Coordination. Each Party may in accordance with Good Utility Practice in coordination with the other Parties remove from service any of its respective Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades that may impact another Party's facilities as necessary to perform maintenance or testing or to install or replace equipment. Absent an Emergency Condition, the Party scheduling a removal of such facility(ies) from service will use Reasonable Efforts to schedule such removal on a date and time mutually acceptable to all Parties. In all circumstances any Party planning to remove such facility(ies) from service shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize the effect on the other Parties of such removal.
- 9.7.1.2 Outage Schedules. The CAISO shall post scheduled outages of CAISO Controlled Grid facilities in accordance with the provisions of the CAISO Tariff. The Interconnection Customer shall submit its planned maintenance schedules for the Large Generating Facility to the CAISO in accordance with the CAISO Tariff. The Interconnection Customer shall update its planned maintenance schedules in accordance with the CAISO Tariff. The CAISO may request the Interconnection Customer to reschedule its maintenance as necessary to maintain the reliability of the CAISO Controlled Grid in accordance with the CAISO Tariff. Such planned maintenance schedules and updates and changes to such schedules shall be provided by the Interconnection Customer to the Participating TO concurrently with their submittal to the CAISO. The CAISO shall compensate the Interconnection Customer for any additional direct costs that the Interconnection Customer incurs as a result of having to reschedule maintenance in accordance with the CAISO Tariff. The Interconnection Customer will not be eligible to receive compensation, if during the twelve (12) months prior to the date of the scheduled maintenance, the Interconnection Customer had modified its schedule of maintenance activities.
- 9.7.1.3 Outage Restoration. If an outage on a Party's Interconnection Facilities or Network Upgrades adversely affects another Party's operations or facilities, the Party that owns or controls the facility that is out of service shall use Reasonable Efforts to promptly restore such facility(ies) to a normal operating condition consistent with the nature of the outage. The Party that owns or controls the facility that is out of service shall provide the other Parties, to the extent such information is known, information on the nature of the Emergency Condition, if the outage is caused by an Emergency Condition, an estimated time of restoration, and any corrective actions required. Initial verbal notice shall be followed up as soon as practicable with written notice explaining the nature of the outage, if requested by a Party, which may be provided by e-mail or facsimile.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- **9.7.2 Interruption of Service**. If required by Good Utility Practice to do so, the CAISO or the Participating TO may require the Interconnection Customer to interrupt or reduce deliveries of electricity if such delivery of electricity could adversely affect the CAISO's or the Participating TO's ability to perform such activities as are necessary to safely and reliably operate and maintain the Participating TO's electric system or the CAISO Controlled Grid. The following provisions shall apply to any interruption or reduction permitted under this Article 9.7.2:
  - **9.7.2.1** The interruption or reduction shall continue only for so long as reasonably necessary under Good Utility Practice;
  - **9.7.2.2** Any such interruption or reduction shall be made on an equitable, non-discriminatory basis with respect to all generating facilities directly connected to the CAISO Controlled Grid, subject to any conditions specified in this LGIA;
  - 9.7.2.3 When the interruption or reduction must be made under circumstances which do not allow for advance notice, the CAISO or Participating TO, as applicable, shall notify the Interconnection Customer by telephone as soon as practicable of the reasons for the curtailment, interruption, or reduction, and, if known, its expected duration. Telephone notification shall be followed by written notification, if requested by the Interconnection Customer, as soon as practicable;
  - **9.7.2.4** Except during the existence of an Emergency Condition, the CAISO or Participating TO shall notify the Interconnection Customer in advance regarding the timing of such interruption or reduction and further notify the Interconnection Customer of the expected duration. The CAISO or Participating TO shall coordinate with the Interconnection Customer using Good Utility Practice to schedule the interruption or reduction during periods of least impact to the Interconnection Customer, the CAISO, and the Participating TO;
  - **9.7.2.5** The Parties shall cooperate and coordinate with each other to the extent necessary in order to restore the Large Generating Facility, Interconnection Facilities, the Participating TO's Transmission System, and the CAISO Controlled Grid to their normal operating state, consistent with system conditions and Good Utility Practice.
- 9.7.3 Under-Frequency and Over Frequency Conditions. The CAISO Controlled Grid is designed to automatically activate a load-shed program as required by the Applicable Reliability Council in the event of an under-frequency system disturbance. The Interconnection Customer shall implement under-frequency and over-frequency protection set points for the Large Generating Facility as required by the Applicable Reliability Council to ensure "ride through" capability. Large Generating Facility response to frequency deviations of pre-determined magnitudes, both under-frequency and over-frequency deviations, shall be studied and coordinated with the Participating TO and CAISO in accordance with Good Utility Practice. The term "ride through" as used herein shall mean the ability of a Generating Facility to stay connected to and synchronized with the CAISO Controlled Grid during system disturbances within a range of under-frequency and over-frequency conditions, in accordance with Good Utility Practice.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# 9.7.4 System Protection and Other Control Requirements.

- **9.7.4.1 System Protection Facilities**. The Interconnection Customer shall, at its expense, install, operate and maintain System Protection Facilities as a part of the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. The Participating TO shall install at the Interconnection Customer's expense any System Protection Facilities that may be required on the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or the Participating TO's Transmission System as a result of the interconnection of the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities.
- **9.7.4.2** The Participating TO's and Interconnection Customer's protection facilities shall be designed and coordinated with other systems in accordance with Applicable Reliability Council criteria and Good Utility Practice.
- **9.7.4.3** The Participating TO and Interconnection Customer shall each be responsible for protection of its facilities consistent with Good Utility Practice.
- **9.7.4.4** The Participating TO's and Interconnection Customer's protective relay design shall incorporate the necessary test switches to perform the tests required in Article 6. The required test switches will be placed such that they allow operation of lockout relays while preventing breaker failure schemes from operating and causing unnecessary breaker operations and/or the tripping of the Interconnection Customer's Electric Generating Units.
- **9.7.4.5** The Participating TO and Interconnection Customer will test, operate and maintain System Protection Facilities in accordance with Good Utility Practice and, if applicable, the requirements of the Participating TO's Interconnection Handbook.
- 9.7.4.6 Prior to the in-service date, and again prior to the Commercial Operation Date, the Participating TO and Interconnection Customer or their agents shall perform a complete calibration test and functional trip test of the System Protection Facilities. At intervals suggested by Good Utility Practice, the standards and procedures of the Participating TO, including, if applicable, the requirements of the Participating TO's Interconnection Handbook, and following any apparent malfunction of the System Protection Facilities, each Party shall perform both calibration and functional trip tests of its System Protection Facilities. These tests do not require the tripping of any in-service generation unit. These tests do, however, require that all protective relays and lockout contacts be activated.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- Requirements for Protection. In compliance with Good Utility Practice and, if 9.7.5 applicable, the requirements of the Participating TO's Interconnection Handbook, the Interconnection Customer shall provide, install, own, and maintain relays, circuit breakers and all other devices necessary to remove any fault contribution of the Large Generating Facility to any short circuit occurring on the Participating TO's Transmission System not otherwise isolated by the Participating TO's equipment, such that the removal of the fault contribution shall be coordinated with the protective requirements of the Participating TO's Transmission System. Such protective equipment shall include, without limitation, a disconnecting device with fault current-interrupting capability located between the Large Generating Facility and the Participating TO's Transmission System at a site selected upon mutual agreement (not to be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed) of the Parties. The Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for protection of the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's other equipment from such conditions as negative sequence currents, over- or under-frequency, sudden load rejection, over- or under-voltage, and generator loss-of-field. The Interconnection Customer shall be solely responsible to disconnect the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's other equipment if conditions on the CAISO Controlled Grid could adversely affect the Large Generating Facility.
- 9.7.6 Power Quality. Neither the Participating TO's nor the Interconnection Customer's facilities shall cause excessive voltage flicker nor introduce excessive distortion to the sinusoidal voltage or current waves as defined by ANSI Standard C84.1-1989, in accordance with IEEE Standard 519, any applicable superseding electric industry standard, or any alternative Applicable Reliability Council standard. In the event of a conflict between ANSI Standard C84.1-1989, any applicable superseding electric industry standard, or any alternative Applicable Reliability Council standard, the alternative Applicable Reliability Council standard shall control.
- 9.8 Switching and Tagging Rules. Each Party shall provide the other Parties a copy of its switching and tagging rules that are applicable to the other Parties' activities. Such switching and tagging rules shall be developed on a non-discriminatory basis. The Parties shall comply with applicable switching and tagging rules, as amended from time to time, in obtaining clearances for work or for switching operations on equipment.
- 9.9 Use of Interconnection Facilities by Third Parties.
  - **9.9.1 Purpose of Interconnection Facilities**. Except as may be required by Applicable Laws and Regulations, or as otherwise agreed to among the Parties, the Interconnection Facilities shall be constructed for the sole purpose of interconnecting the Large Generating Facility to the Participating TO's Transmission System and shall be used for no other purpose.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- 9.9.2 Third Party Users. If required by Applicable Laws and Regulations or if the Parties mutually agree, such agreement not to be unreasonably withheld, to allow one or more third parties to use the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, or any part thereof, the Interconnection Customer will be entitled to compensation for the capital expenses it incurred in connection with the Interconnection Facilities based upon the pro rata use of the Interconnection Facilities by the Participating TO, all third party users, and the Interconnection Customer, in accordance with Applicable Laws and Regulations or upon some other mutually-agreed upon methodology. In addition, cost responsibility for ongoing costs, including operation and maintenance costs associated with the Interconnection Facilities, will be allocated between the Interconnection Customer and any third party users based upon the pro rata use of the Interconnection Facilities by the Participating TO, all third party users, and the Interconnection Customer, in accordance with Applicable Laws and Regulations or upon some other mutually agreed upon methodology. If the issue of such compensation or allocation cannot be resolved through such negotiations, it shall be submitted to FERC for resolution.
- **9.10 Disturbance Analysis Data Exchange**. The Parties will cooperate with one another in the analysis of disturbances to either the Large Generating Facility or the CAISO Controlled Grid by gathering and providing access to any information relating to any disturbance, including information from oscillography, protective relay targets, breaker operations and sequence of events records, and any disturbance information required by Good Utility Practice.

#### **ARTICLE 10. MAINTENANCE**

- **10.1 Participating TO Obligations.** The Participating TO shall maintain the Participating TO's Transmission System and the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities in a safe and reliable manner and in accordance with this LGIA.
- **10.2 Interconnection Customer Obligations**. The Interconnection Customer shall maintain the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in a safe and reliable manner and in accordance with this LGIA.
- **10.3 Coordination**. The Parties shall confer regularly to coordinate the planning, scheduling and performance of preventive and corrective maintenance on the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Facilities.
- 10.4 Secondary Systems. The Participating TO and Interconnection Customer shall cooperate with the other Parties in the inspection, maintenance, and testing of control or power circuits that operate below 600 volts, AC or DC, including, but not limited to, any hardware, control or protective devices, cables, conductors, electric raceways, secondary equipment panels, transducers, batteries, chargers, and voltage and current transformers that directly affect the operation of a Party's facilities and equipment which may reasonably be expected to impact the other Parties. Each Party shall provide advance notice to the other Parties before undertaking any work on such circuits, especially on electrical circuits involving circuit breaker trip and close contacts, current transformers, or potential transformers.
- 10.5 Operating and Maintenance Expenses. Subject to the provisions herein addressing the use of facilities by others, and except for operations and maintenance expenses associated with modifications made for providing interconnection or transmission service to a third party and such third party pays for such expenses, the Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all reasonable expenses including overheads, associated with: (1) owning, operating, maintaining, repairing, and replacing the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities; and (2) operation, maintenance, repair and replacement of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **ARTICLE 11. PERFORMANCE OBLIGATION**

- **11.1 Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities**. The Interconnection Customer shall design, procure, construct, install, own and/or control the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities described in Part A at its sole expense.
- **11.2** Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities. The Participating TO shall design, procure, construct, install, own and/or control the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities described in Part A at the sole expense of the Interconnection Customer. Unless the Participating TO elects to fund the capital for the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, they shall be solely funded by the Interconnection Customer.
- **11.3 Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades**. The Participating TO shall design, procure, construct, install, and own the Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades described in Part A. The Interconnection Customer shall be responsible for all costs related to Distribution Upgrades. Unless the Participating TO elects to fund the capital for the Distribution Upgrades and Network Upgrades, they shall be solely funded by the Interconnection Customer.
- **11.4 Transmission Credits**. No later than thirty (30) days prior to the Commercial Operation Date, the Interconnection Customer may make a one-time election by written notice to the CAISO and the Participating TO to receive Congestion Revenue Rights as defined in and as available under the CAISO Tariff at the time of the election in accordance with the CAISO Tariff, in lieu of a refund of the cost of Network Upgrades in accordance with Article 11.4.1.
  - Repayment of Amounts Advanced for Network Upgrades. Upon the Commercial Operation Date, the Interconnection Customer shall be entitled to a repayment, equal to the total amount paid to the Participating TO for the cost of Network Upgrades. Such amount shall include any tax gross-up or other tax-related payments associated with Network Upgrades not refunded to the Interconnection Customer pursuant to Article 5.17.8 or otherwise, and shall be paid to the Interconnection Customer by the Participating TO on a dollar-for-dollar basis either through (1) direct payments made on a levelized basis over the five-year period commencing on the Commercial Operation Date; or (2) any alternative payment schedule that is mutually agreeable to the Interconnection Customer and Participating TO, provided that such amount is paid within five (5) years from the Commercial Operation Date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if this LGIA terminates within five (5) years from the Commercial Operation Date, the Participating TO's obligation to pay refunds to the Interconnection Customer shall cease as of the date of termination. Any repayment shall include interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in FERC's regulations at 18 C.F.R. §35.19a(a)(2)(iii) from the date of any payment for Network Upgrades through the date on which the Interconnection Customer receives a repayment of such payment. Interest shall continue to accrue on the repayment obligation so long as this LGIA is in effect. The Interconnection Customer may assign such repayment rights to any person.

If the Large Generating Facility fails to achieve commercial operation, but it or another Generating Facility is later constructed and makes use of the Network Upgrades, the Participating TO shall at that time reimburse Interconnection Customer for the amounts advanced for the Network Upgrades. Before any such reimbursement can occur, the Interconnection Customer, or the entity that ultimately constructs the Generating Facility, if different, is responsible for identifying the entity to which reimbursement must be made.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- 11.4.2 Special Provisions for Affected Systems. The Interconnection Customer shall enter into an agreement with the owner of the Affected System and/or other affected owners of portions of the CAISO Controlled Grid, as applicable, in accordance with the LGIP. Such agreement shall specify the terms governing payments to be made by the Interconnection Customer to the owner of the Affected System and/or other affected owners of portions of the CAISO Controlled Grid as well as the repayment by the owner of the Affected System and/or other affected owners of portions of the CAISO Controlled Grid. In no event shall the Participating TO be responsible for the repayment for any facilities that are not part of the Participating TO's Transmission System.
- 11.4.3 Notwithstanding any other provision of this LGIA, nothing herein shall be construed as relinquishing or foreclosing any rights, including but not limited to firm transmission rights, capacity rights, Congestion Revenue Rights, or transmission credits, that the Interconnection Customer shall be entitled to, now or in the future under any other agreement or tariff as a result of, or otherwise associated with, the transmission capacity, if any, created by the Network Upgrades, including the right to obtain cash reimbursements or transmission credits for transmission service that is not associated with the Large Generating Facility.
- Provision of Security. At least thirty (30) Calendar Days prior to the commencement of the procurement, installation, or construction of a discrete portion of a Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, or Distribution Upgrades, the Interconnection Customer shall provide the Participating TO, at the Interconnection Customer's option, a guarantee, a surety bond, letter of credit or other form of security that is reasonably acceptable to the Participating TO and is consistent with the Uniform Commercial Code of the jurisdiction identified in Article 14.2.1. Such security for payment shall be in an amount sufficient to cover the costs for constructing, procuring and installing the applicable portion of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, or Distribution Upgrades. Such security shall be reduced on a dollar-for-dollar basis for payments made to the Participating TO for these purposes.

#### In addition:

- **11.5.1** The guarantee must be made by an entity that meets the creditworthiness requirements of the Participating TO, and contain terms and conditions that guarantee payment of any amount that may be due from the Interconnection Customer, up to an agreed-to maximum amount.
- **11.5.2** The letter of credit must be issued by a financial institution reasonably acceptable to the Participating TO and must specify a reasonable expiration date.
- **11.5.3** The surety bond must be issued by an insurer reasonably acceptable to the Participating TO and must specify a reasonable expiration date.
- **11.6 Interconnection Customer Compensation**. If the CAISO requests or directs the Interconnection Customer to provide a service pursuant to Articles 9.6.3 (Payment for Reactive Power) or 13.5.1 of this LGIA, the CAISO shall compensate the Interconnection Customer in accordance with the CAISO Tariff.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

11.6.1 Interconnection Customer Compensation for Actions During Emergency Condition. The CAISO shall compensate the Interconnection Customer in accordance with the CAISO Tariff for its provision of real and reactive power and other Emergency Condition services that the Interconnection Customer provides to support the CAISO Controlled Grid during an Emergency Condition in accordance with Article 11.6.

#### **ARTICLE 12. INVOICE**

- **12.1 General.** The Participating TO shall submit to the Interconnection Customer, on a monthly basis, invoices of amounts due pursuant to this LGIA for the preceding month. Each invoice shall state the month to which the invoice applies and fully describe the services and equipment provided. The Parties may discharge mutual debts and payment obligations due and owing to each other on the same date through netting, in which case all amounts a Party owes to the other Party under this LGIA, including interest payments or credits, shall be netted so that only the net amount remaining due shall be paid by the owing Party. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any invoices between the CAISO and another Party shall be submitted and paid in accordance with the CAISO Tariff.
- **12.2 Final Invoice**. As soon as reasonably practicable, but within twelve months after completion of the construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades, the Participating TO shall provide an invoice of the final cost of the construction of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades, and shall set forth such costs in sufficient detail to enable the Interconnection Customer to compare the actual costs with the estimates and to ascertain deviations, if any, from the cost estimates. The Participating TO shall refund to the Interconnection Customer any amount by which the actual payment by the Interconnection Customer for estimated costs exceeds the actual costs of construction within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of such final construction invoice; or, in the event the actual costs of construction exceed the Interconnection Customer's actual payment for estimated costs, then the Interconnection Customer shall pay to the Participating TO any amount by which the actual costs of construction exceed the actual payment by the Interconnection Customer for estimated costs within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the issuance of such final construction invoice.
- **Payment**. Invoices shall be rendered to the Interconnection Customer at the address specified in Part F. The Interconnection Customer shall pay, or Participating TO shall refund, the amounts due within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the Interconnection Customer's receipt of the invoice. All payments shall be made in immediately available funds payable to the Interconnection Customer or Participating TO, or by wire transfer to a bank named and account designated by the invoicing Interconnection Customer or Participating TO. Payment of invoices by any Party will not constitute a waiver of any rights or claims any Party may have under this LGIA.
- **12.4 Disputes**. In the event of a billing dispute between the Interconnection Customer and the Participating TO, the Participating TO and the CAISO shall continue to provide Interconnection Service under this LGIA as long as the Interconnection Customer: (i) continues to make all payments not in dispute; and (ii) pays to the Participating TO or into an independent escrow account the portion of the invoice in dispute, pending resolution of such dispute. If the Interconnection Customer fails to meet these two requirements for continuation of service, then the Participating TO may provide notice to the Interconnection Customer of a Default pursuant to Article 17. Within thirty (30) Calendar Days after the resolution of the dispute, the Party that owes money to the other Party shall pay the amount due with interest calculated in accordance with the methodology set forth in FERC's Regulations at 18 C.F.R. § 35.19a(a)(2)(iii). Notwithstanding the foregoing, any billing dispute between the CAISO and another Party shall be resolved in accordance with the provisions of Article 27 of this LGIA.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **ARTICLE 13. EMERGENCIES**

## 13.1 [Reserved]

- **13.2 Obligations**. Each Party shall comply with the Emergency Condition procedures of the CAISO, NERC, the Applicable Reliability Council, Applicable Laws and Regulations, and any emergency procedures set forth in this LGIA.
- 13.3 Notice. The Participating TO or the CAISO shall notify the Interconnection Customer promptly when it becomes aware of an Emergency Condition that affects the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or Distribution System or the CAISO Controlled Grid, respectively, that may reasonably be expected to affect the Interconnection Customer's operation of the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. The Interconnection Customer shall notify the Participating TO and the CAISO promptly when it becomes aware of an Emergency Condition that affects the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities that may reasonably be expected to affect the CAISO Controlled Grid or the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities. To the extent information is known, the notification shall describe the Emergency Condition, the extent of the damage or deficiency, the expected effect on the operation of the Interconnection Customer's or Participating TO's facilities and operations, its anticipated duration and the corrective action taken and/or to be taken. The initial notice shall be followed as soon as practicable with written notice, if requested by a Party, which may be provided by electronic mail or facsimile, or in the case of the CAISO may be publicly posted on the CAISO's internet web site.
- **13.4 Immediate Action**. Unless, in the Interconnection Customer's reasonable judgment, immediate action is required, the Interconnection Customer shall obtain the consent of the CAISO and the Participating TO, such consent to not be unreasonably withheld, prior to performing any manual switching operations at the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities in response to an Emergency Condition declared by the Participating TO or CAISO or in response to any other emergency condition.

#### 13.5 CAISO and Participating TO Authority.

**13.5.1 General**. The CAISO and Participating TO may take whatever actions or inactions, including issuance of dispatch instructions, with regard to the CAISO Controlled Grid or the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or Distribution System they deem necessary during an Emergency Condition in order to (i) preserve public health and safety, (ii) preserve the reliability of the CAISO Controlled Grid or the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities or Distribution System, and (iii) limit or prevent damage, and (iv) expedite restoration of service.

The Participating TO and the CAISO shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize the effect of such actions or inactions on the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. The Participating TO or the CAISO may, on the basis of technical considerations, require the Large Generating Facility to mitigate an Emergency Condition by taking actions necessary and limited in scope to remedy the Emergency Condition, including, but not limited to, directing the Interconnection Customer to shut-down, start-up, increase or decrease the real or reactive power output of the Large Generating Facility; implementing a reduction or disconnection pursuant to Article 13.5.2; directing the Interconnection Customer to assist with black start (if available) or restoration efforts; or altering the outage schedules of the Large Generating Facility and the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities. Interconnection Customer shall comply with all of the CAISO's and Participating TO's operating instructions concerning Large Generating Facility real power and reactive power output

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

within the manufacturer's design limitations of the Large Generating Facility's equipment that is in service and physically available for operation at the time, in compliance with Applicable Laws and Regulations.

- 13.5.2 Reduction and Disconnection. The Participating TO or the CAISO may reduce Interconnection Service or disconnect the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities when such reduction or disconnection is necessary under Good Utility Practice due to Emergency Conditions. These rights are separate and distinct from any right of curtailment of the CAISO pursuant to the CAISO Tariff. When the CAISO or Participating TO can schedule the reduction or disconnection in advance, the CAISO or Participating TO shall notify the Interconnection Customer of the reasons, timing and expected duration of the reduction or disconnection. The CAISO or Participating TO shall coordinate with the Interconnection Customer using Good Utility Practice to schedule the reduction or disconnection during periods of least impact to the Interconnection Customer and the CAISO and Participating TO. Any reduction or disconnection shall continue only for so long as reasonably necessary under Good Utility Practice. The Parties shall cooperate with each other to restore the Large Generating Facility, the Interconnection Facilities, and the CAISO Controlled Grid to their normal operating state as soon as practicable consistent with Good Utility Practice.
- 13.6 Interconnection Customer Authority. Consistent with Good Utility Practice, this LGIA, and the CAISO Tariff, the Interconnection Customer may take actions or inactions with regard to the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities during an Emergency Condition in order to (i) preserve public health and safety, (ii) preserve the reliability of the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities, (iii) limit or prevent damage, and (iv) expedite restoration of service. Interconnection Customer shall use Reasonable Efforts to minimize the effect of such actions or inactions on the CAISO Controlled Grid and the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities. The CAISO and Participating TO shall use Reasonable Efforts to assist Interconnection Customer in such actions.
- **13.7 Limited Liability**. Except as otherwise provided in Article 11.6.1 of this LGIA, no Party shall be liable to any other Party for any action it takes in responding to an Emergency Condition so long as such action is made in good faith and is consistent with Good Utility Practice.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### ARTICLE 14. REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AND GOVERNING LAW

**14.1 Regulatory Requirements**. Each Party's obligations under this LGIA shall be subject to its receipt of any required approval or certificate from one or more Governmental Authorities in the form and substance satisfactory to the applying Party, or the Party making any required filings with, or providing notice to, such Governmental Authorities, and the expiration of any time period associated therewith. Each Party shall in good faith seek and use its Reasonable Efforts to obtain such other approvals. Nothing in this LGIA shall require the Interconnection Customer to take any action that could result in its inability to obtain, or its loss of, status or exemption under the Federal Power Act or the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978, or the Energy Policy Act of 2005.

# 14.2 Governing Law.

- **14.2.1** The validity, interpretation and performance of this LGIA and each of its provisions shall be governed by the laws of the state where the Point of Interconnection is located, without regard to its conflicts of law principles.
- **14.2.2** This LGIA is subject to all Applicable Laws and Regulations.
- **14.2.3** Each Party expressly reserves the right to seek changes in, appeal, or otherwise contest any laws, orders, rules, or regulations of a Governmental Authority.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **ARTICLE 15. NOTICES**

**15.1 General**. Unless otherwise provided in this LGIA, any notice, demand or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to another and any instrument required or permitted to be tendered or delivered by a Party in writing to another shall be effective when delivered and may be so given, tendered or delivered, by recognized national courier, or by depositing the same with the United States Postal Service with postage prepaid, for delivery by certified or registered mail, addressed to the Party, or personally delivered to the Party, at the address set out in Part F, Addresses for Delivery of Notices and Billings.

A Party must update the information in Part F as information changes. A Party may change the notice information in this LGIA by giving five (5) Business Days written notice prior to the effective date of the change. Such changes shall not constitute an amendment to this LGIA.

- **15.2 Billings and Payments**. Billings and payments shall be sent to the addresses set out in Part F.
- **15.3 Alternative Forms of Notice**. Any notice or request required or permitted to be given by a Party to another and not required by this LGIA to be given in writing may be so given by telephone, facsimile or e-mail to the telephone numbers and e-mail addresses set out in Part F.
- **15.4 Operations and Maintenance Notice**. Each Party shall notify the other Parties in writing of the identity of the person(s) that it designates as the point(s) of contact with respect to the implementation of Articles 9 and 10.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1137

#### **ARTICLE 16. FORCE MAJEURE**

# 16.1 Force Majeure.

- **16.1.1** Economic hardship is not considered a Force Majeure event.
- 16.1.2 No Party shall be considered to be in Default with respect to any obligation hereunder, (including obligations under Article 4), other than the obligation to pay money when due, if prevented from fulfilling such obligation by Force Majeure. A Party unable to fulfill any obligation hereunder (other than an obligation to pay money when due) by reason of Force Majeure shall give notice and the full particulars of such Force Majeure to the other Party in writing or by telephone as soon as reasonably possible after the occurrence of the cause relied upon. Telephone notices given pursuant to this Article shall be confirmed in writing as soon as reasonably possible and shall specifically state full particulars of the Force Majeure, the time and date when the Force Majeure occurred and when the Force Majeure is reasonably expected to cease. The Party affected shall exercise due diligence to remove such disability with reasonable dispatch, but shall not be required to accede or agree to any provision not satisfactory to it in order to settle and terminate a strike or other labor disturbance.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **ARTICLE 17. DEFAULT**

#### 17.1 Default

- 17.1.1 General. No Default shall exist where such failure to discharge an obligation (other than the payment of money) is the result of Force Majeure as defined in this LGIA or the result of an act or omission of the other Party. Upon a Breach, the affected non-Breaching Party(ies) shall give written notice of such Breach to the Breaching Party. Except as provided in Article 17.1.2, the Breaching Party shall have thirty (30) Calendar Days from receipt of the Default notice within which to cure such Breach; provided however, if such Breach is not capable of cure within thirty (30) Calendar Days, the Breaching Party shall commence such cure within thirty (30) Calendar Days after notice and continuously and diligently complete such cure within ninety (90) Calendar Days from receipt of the Default notice; and, if cured within such time, the Breach specified in such notice shall cease to exist.
- **17.1.2 Right to Terminate**. If a Breach is not cured as provided in this Article, or if a Breach is not capable of being cured within the period provided for herein, the affected non-Breaching Party(ies) shall have the right to declare a Default and terminate this LGIA by written notice at any time until cure occurs, and be relieved of any further obligation hereunder and, whether or not such Party(ies) terminates this LGIA, to recover from the Breaching Party all amounts due hereunder, plus all other damages and remedies to which it is entitled at law or in equity. The provisions of this Article will survive termination of this LGIA.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# ARTICLE 18. INDEMNITY, CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES AND INSURANCE

- **18.1 Indemnity**. Each Party shall at all times indemnify, defend, and hold the other Parties harmless from, any and all Losses arising out of or resulting from another Party's action or inactions of its obligations under this LGIA on behalf of the indemnifying Party, except in cases of gross negligence or intentional wrongdoing by the Indemnified Party.
  - **18.1.1 Indemnified Party**. If an Indemnified Party is entitled to indemnification under this Article 18 as a result of a claim by a third party, and the Indemnifying Party fails, after notice and reasonable opportunity to proceed under Article 18.1, to assume the defense of such claim, such Indemnified Party may at the expense of the Indemnifying Party contest, settle or consent to the entry of any judgment with respect to, or pay in full, such claim.
  - **18.1.2 Indemnifying Party**. If an Indemnifying Party is obligated to indemnify and hold any Indemnified Party harmless under this Article 18, the amount owing to the Indemnified Party shall be the amount of such Indemnified Party's actual Loss, net of any insurance or other recovery.
  - **18.1.3 Indemnity Procedures**. Promptly after receipt by an Indemnified Party of any claim or notice of the commencement of any action or administrative or legal proceeding or investigation as to which the indemnity provided for in Article 18.1 may apply, the Indemnified Party shall notify the Indemnifying Party of such fact. Any failure of or delay in such notification shall not affect a Party's indemnification obligation unless such failure or delay is materially prejudicial to the indemnifying Party.

The Indemnifying Party shall have the right to assume the defense thereof with counsel designated by such Indemnifying Party and reasonably satisfactory to the Indemnified Party. If the defendants in any such action include one or more Indemnified Parties and the Indemnifying Party and if the Indemnified Party reasonably concludes that there may

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

be legal defenses available to it and/or other Indemnified Parties which are different from or additional to those available to the Indemnifying Party, the Indemnified Party shall have the right to select separate counsel to assert such legal defenses and to otherwise participate in the defense of such action on its own behalf. In such instances, the Indemnifying Party shall only be required to pay the fees and expenses of one additional attorney to represent an Indemnified Party or Indemnified Parties having such differing or additional legal defenses.

The Indemnified Party shall be entitled, at its expense, to participate in any such action, suit or proceeding, the defense of which has been assumed by the Indemnifying Party. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Indemnifying Party (i) shall not be entitled to assume and control the defense of any such action, suit or proceedings if and to the extent that, in the opinion of the Indemnified Party and its counsel, such action, suit or proceeding involves the potential imposition of criminal liability on the Indemnified Party, or there exists a conflict or adversity of interest between the Indemnified Party and the Indemnifying Party, in such event the Indemnifying Party shall pay the reasonable expenses of the Indemnified Party, and (ii) shall not settle or consent to the entry of any judgment in any action, suit or proceeding without the consent of the Indemnified Party, which shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

- **18.2 Consequential Damages**. Other than the liquidated damages heretofore described in Article 5.3, in no event shall any Party be liable under any provision of this LGIA for any losses, damages, costs or expenses for any special, indirect, incidental, consequential, or punitive damages, including but not limited to loss of profit or revenue, loss of the use of equipment, cost of capital, cost of temporary equipment or services, whether based in whole or in part in contract, in tort, including negligence, strict liability, or any other theory of liability; provided, however, that damages for which a Party may be liable to another Party under another agreement will not be considered to be special, indirect, incidental, or consequential damages hereunder.
- **18.3 Insurance**. Each Party shall, at its own expense, maintain in force throughout the period of this LGIA, and until released by the other Parties, the following minimum insurance coverages, with insurers rated no less than A- (with a minimum size rating of VII) by Bests' Insurance Guide and Key Ratings and authorized to do business in the state where the Point of Interconnection is located, except in the case of the CAISO, the State of California:
  - **18.3.1** Employer's Liability and Workers' Compensation Insurance providing statutory benefits in accordance with the laws and regulations of the state in which the Point of Interconnection is located, except in the case of the CAISO, the State of California.
  - 18.3.2 Commercial General Liability Insurance including premises and operations, personal injury, broad form property damage, broad form blanket contractual liability coverage (including coverage for the contractual indemnification) products and completed operations coverage, coverage for explosion, collapse and underground hazards, independent contractors coverage, coverage for pollution to the extent normally available and punitive damages to the extent normally available and a cross liability endorsement, with minimum limits of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) per occurrence/One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) aggregate combined single limit for personal injury, bodily injury, including death and property damage.
  - **18.3.3** Business Automobile Liability Insurance for coverage of owned and non-owned and hired vehicles, trailers or semi-trailers designed for travel on public roads, with a minimum, combined single limit of One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000) per occurrence for bodily injury, including death, and property damage.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- **18.3.4** Excess Public Liability Insurance over and above the Employer's Liability Commercial General Liability and Business Automobile Liability Insurance coverage, with a minimum combined single limit of Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000) per occurrence/Twenty Million Dollars (\$20,000,000) aggregate.
- 18.3.5 The Commercial General Liability Insurance, Business Automobile Insurance and Excess Public Liability Insurance policies shall name the other Parties, their parents, associated and Affiliate companies and their respective directors, officers, agents, servants and employees ("Other Party Group") as additional insured. All policies shall contain provisions whereby the insurers waive all rights of subrogation in accordance with the provisions of this LGIA against the Other Party Group and provide thirty (30) Calendar Days advance written notice to the Other Party Group prior to anniversary date of cancellation or any material change in coverage or condition.
- 18.3.6 The Commercial General Liability Insurance, Business Automobile Liability Insurance and Excess Public Liability Insurance policies shall contain provisions that specify that the policies are primary and shall apply to such extent without consideration for other policies separately carried and shall state that each insured is provided coverage as though a separate policy had been issued to each, except the insurer's liability shall not be increased beyond the amount for which the insurer would have been liable had only one insured been covered. Each Party shall be responsible for its respective deductibles or retentions.
- 18.3.7 The Commercial General Liability Insurance, Business Automobile Liability Insurance and Excess Public Liability Insurance policies, if written on a Claims First Made Basis, shall be maintained in full force and effect for two (2) years after termination of this LGIA, which coverage may be in the form of tail coverage or extended reporting period coverage if agreed by the Parties.
- **18.3.8** The requirements contained herein as to the types and limits of all insurance to be maintained by the Parties are not intended to and shall not in any manner, limit or qualify the liabilities and obligations assumed by the Parties under this LGIA.
- **18.3.9** Within ten (10) Calendar Days following execution of this LGIA, and as soon as practicable after the end of each fiscal year or at the renewal of the insurance policy and in any event within ninety (90) Calendar Days thereafter, each Party shall provide certification of all insurance required in this LGIA, executed by each insurer or by an authorized representative of each insurer.
- Notwithstanding the foregoing, each Party may self-insure to meet the minimum insurance requirements of Articles 18.3.2 through 18.3.8 to the extent it maintains a self-insurance program; provided that, such Party's senior unsecured debt or issuer rating is BBB-, or better, as rated by Standard & Poor's and that its self-insurance program meets the minimum insurance requirements of Articles 18.3.2 through 18.3.8. For any period of time that a Party's senior unsecured debt rating and issuer rating are both unrated by Standard & Poor's or are both rated at less than BBB- by Standard & Poor's, such Party shall comply with the insurance requirements applicable to it under Articles 18.3.2 through 18.3.9. In the event that a Party is permitted to self-insure pursuant to this Article 18.3.10, it shall notify the other Parties that it meets the requirements to self-insure and that its self-insurance program meets the minimum insurance requirements in a manner consistent with that specified in Article 18.3.9.
- **18.3.11** The Parties agree to report to each other in writing as soon as practical all accidents or occurrences resulting in injuries to any person, including death, and any property damage arising out of this LGIA.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **ARTICLE 19. ASSIGNMENT**

19.1 **Assignment**. This LGIA may be assigned by a Party only with the written consent of the other Parties: provided that a Party may assign this LGIA without the consent of the other Parties to any Affiliate of the assigning Party with an equal or greater credit rating and with the legal authority and operational ability to satisfy the obligations of the assigning Party under this LGIA; and provided further that the Interconnection Customer shall have the right to assign this LGIA. without the consent of the CAISO or Participating TO, for collateral security purposes to aid in providing financing for the Large Generating Facility, provided that the Interconnection Customer will promptly notify the CAISO and Participating TO of any such assignment. Any financing arrangement entered into by the Interconnection Customer pursuant to this Article will provide that prior to or upon the exercise of the secured party's, trustee's or mortgagee's assignment rights pursuant to said arrangement, the secured creditor, the trustee or mortgagee will notify the CAISO and Participating TO of the date and particulars of any such exercise of assignment right(s), including providing the CAISO and Participating TO with proof that it meets the requirements of Articles 11.5 and 18.3. Any attempted assignment that violates this Article is void and ineffective. Any assignment under this LGIA shall not relieve a Party of its obligations, nor shall a Party's obligations be enlarged, in whole or in part, by reason thereof. Where required, consent to assignment will not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed.

#### **ARTICLE 20. SEVERABILITY**

**20.1 Severability**. If any provision in this LGIA is finally determined to be invalid, void or unenforceable by any court or other Governmental Authority having jurisdiction, such determination shall not invalidate, void or make unenforceable any other provision, agreement or covenant of this LGIA; provided that if the Interconnection Customer (or any third party, but only if such third party is not acting at the direction of the Participating TO or CAISO) seeks and obtains such a final determination with respect to any provision of the Alternate Option (Article 5.1.2), or the Negotiated Option (Article 5.1.4), then none of the provisions of Article 5.1.2 or 5.1.4 shall thereafter have any force or effect and the Parties' rights and obligations shall be governed solely by the Standard Option (Article 5.1.1).

#### **ARTICLE 21. COMPARABILITY**

**21.1 Comparability**. The Parties will comply with all applicable comparability and code of conduct laws, rules and regulations, as amended from time to time.

#### **ARTICLE 22. CONFIDENTIALITY**

**22.1 Confidentiality.** Confidential Information shall include, without limitation, all information relating to a Party's technology, research and development, business affairs, and pricing, and any information supplied by any of the Parties to the other Parties prior to the execution of this LGIA.

Information is Confidential Information only if it is clearly designated or marked in writing as confidential on the face of the document, or, if the information is conveyed orally or by inspection, if the Party providing the information orally informs the Parties receiving the information that the information is confidential.

If requested by any Party, the other Parties shall provide in writing, the basis for asserting that the information referred to in this Article 22 warrants confidential treatment, and the requesting Party

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

may disclose such writing to the appropriate Governmental Authority. Each Party shall be responsible for the costs associated with affording confidential treatment to its information.

- **22.1.1 Term**. During the term of this LGIA, and for a period of three (3) years after the expiration or termination of this LGIA, except as otherwise provided in this Article 22, each Party shall hold in confidence and shall not disclose to any person Confidential Information.
- **22.1.2 Scope**. Confidential Information shall not include information that the receiving Party can demonstrate: (1) is generally available to the public other than as a result of a disclosure by the receiving Party; (2) was in the lawful possession of the receiving Party on a non-confidential basis before receiving it from the disclosing Party; (3) was supplied to the receiving Party without restriction by a third party, who, to the knowledge of the receiving Party after due inquiry, was under no obligation to the disclosing Party to keep such information confidential; (4) was independently developed by the receiving Party without reference to Confidential Information of the disclosing Party; (5) is, or becomes, publicly known, through no wrongful act or omission of the receiving Party or Breach of this LGIA; or (6) is required, in accordance with Article 22.1.7 of this LGIA, Order of Disclosure, to be disclosed by any Governmental Authority or is otherwise required to be disclosed by law or subpoena, or is necessary in any legal proceeding establishing rights and obligations under this LGIA. Information designated as Confidential Information will no longer be deemed confidential if the Party that designated the information as confidential notifies the other Parties that it no longer is confidential.
- 22.1.3 Release of Confidential Information. No Party shall release or disclose Confidential Information to any other person, except to its employees, consultants, Affiliates (limited by the Standards of Conduct requirements set forth in Part 358 of FERC's Regulations, 18 C.F.R. 358), subcontractors, or to parties who may be or considering providing financing to or equity participation with the Interconnection Customer, or to potential purchasers or assignees of the Interconnection Customer, on a need-to-know basis in connection with this LGIA, unless such person has first been advised of the confidentiality provisions of this Article 22 and has agreed to comply with such provisions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party providing Confidential Information to any person shall remain primarily responsible for any release of Confidential Information in contravention of this Article 22.
- **22.1.4 Rights**. Each Party retains all rights, title, and interest in the Confidential Information that each Party discloses to the other Parties. The disclosure by each Party to the other Parties of Confidential Information shall not be deemed a waiver by a Party or any other person or entity of the right to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure.
- **22.1.5 No Warranties**. The mere fact that a Party has provided Confidential Information does not constitute a warranty or representation as to its accuracy or completeness. In addition, by supplying Confidential Information, no Party obligates itself to provide any particular information or Confidential Information to the other Parties nor to enter into any further agreements or proceed with any other relationship or joint venture.
- **22.1.6 Standard of Care**. Each Party shall use at least the same standard of care to protect Confidential Information it receives as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information from unauthorized disclosure, publication or dissemination. Each Party may use Confidential Information solely to fulfill its obligations to the other Parties under this LGIA or its regulatory requirements.
- **22.1.7 Order of Disclosure**. If a court or a Government Authority or entity with the right, power, and apparent authority to do so requests or requires any Party, by subpoena, oral

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

deposition, interrogatories, requests for production of documents, administrative order, or otherwise, to disclose Confidential Information, that Party shall provide the other Parties with prompt notice of such request(s) or requirement(s) so that the other Parties may seek an appropriate protective order or waive compliance with the terms of this LGIA. Notwithstanding the absence of a protective order or waiver, the Party may disclose such Confidential Information which, in the opinion of its counsel, the Party is legally compelled to disclose. Each Party will use Reasonable Efforts to obtain reliable assurance that confidential treatment will be accorded any Confidential Information so furnished.

- **22.1.8 Termination of Agreement**. Upon termination of this LGIA for any reason, each Party shall, within ten (10) Calendar Days of receipt of a written request from another Party, use Reasonable Efforts to destroy, erase, or delete (with such destruction, erasure, and deletion certified in writing to the other Party) or return to the other Party, without retaining copies thereof, any and all written or electronic Confidential Information received from the other Party.
- **22.1.9 Remedies**. The Parties agree that monetary damages would be inadequate to compensate a Party for another Party's Breach of its obligations under this Article 22. Each Party accordingly agrees that the other Parties shall be entitled to equitable relief, by way of injunction or otherwise, if the first Party Breaches or threatens to Breach its obligations under this Article 22, which equitable relief shall be granted without bond or proof of damages, and the receiving Party shall not plead in defense that there would be an adequate remedy at law. Such remedy shall not be deemed an exclusive remedy for the Breach of this Article 22, but shall be in addition to all other remedies available at law or in equity. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that the covenants contained herein are necessary for the protection of legitimate business interests and are reasonable in scope. No Party, however, shall be liable for indirect, incidental, or consequential or punitive damages of any nature or kind resulting from or arising in connection with this Article 22.
- **22.1.10 Disclosure to FERC, its Staff, or a State**. Notwithstanding anything in this Article 22 to the contrary, and pursuant to 18 C.F.R. section 1b.20, if FERC or its staff, during the course of an investigation or otherwise, requests information from one of the Parties that is otherwise required to be maintained in confidence pursuant to this LGIA, the Party shall provide the requested information to FERC or its staff, within the time provided for in the request for information. In providing the information to FERC or its staff, the Party must, consistent with 18 C.F.R. section 388.112, request that the information be treated as confidential and non-public by FERC and its staff and that the information be withheld from public disclosure. Parties are prohibited from notifying the other Parties to this LGIA prior to the release of the Confidential Information to FERC or its staff. The Party shall notify the other Parties to the LGIA when it is notified by FERC or its staff that a request to release Confidential Information has been received by FERC, at which time any of the Parties may respond before such information would be made public, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. section 388.112. Requests from a state regulatory body conducting a confidential investigation shall be treated in a similar manner if consistent with the applicable state rules and regulations.
- **22.1.11** Subject to the exception in Article 22.1.10, Confidential Information shall not be disclosed by the other Parties to any person not employed or retained by the other Parties, except to the extent disclosure is (i) required by law; (ii) reasonably deemed by the disclosing Party to be required to be disclosed in connection with a dispute between or among the Parties, or the defense of litigation or dispute; (iii) otherwise permitted by consent of the other Parties, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld; or (iv) necessary to fulfill its obligations under this LGIA or as a transmission service provider or a Control Area operator including disclosing the Confidential Information to an RTO or

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

CAISO or to a regional or national reliability organization. The Party asserting confidentiality shall notify the other Parties in writing of the information it claims is confidential. Prior to any disclosures of another Party's Confidential Information under this subparagraph, or if any third party or Governmental Authority makes any request or demand for any of the information described in this subparagraph, the disclosing Party agrees to promptly notify the other Party in writing and agrees to assert confidentiality and cooperate with the other Party in seeking to protect the Confidential Information from public disclosure by confidentiality agreement, protective order or other reasonable measures.

#### **ARTICLE 23. ENVIRONMENTAL RELEASES**

23.1 Each Party shall notify the other Parties, first orally and then in writing, of the release of any Hazardous Substances, any asbestos or lead abatement activities, or any type of remediation activities related to the Large Generating Facility or the Interconnection Facilities, each of which may reasonably be expected to affect the other Parties. The notifying Party shall: (i) provide the notice as soon as practicable, provided such Party makes a good faith effort to provide the notice no later than twenty-four hours after such Party becomes aware of the occurrence; and (ii) promptly furnish to the other Parties copies of any publicly available reports filed with any Governmental Authorities addressing such events.

#### **ARTICLE 24. INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS**

- **24.1 Information Acquisition**. The Participating TO and the Interconnection Customer shall submit specific information regarding the electrical characteristics of their respective facilities to each other as described below and in accordance with Applicable Reliability Standards.
- 24.2 Information Submission by Participating TO. The initial information submission by the Participating TO shall occur no later than one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days prior to Trial Operation and shall include the Participating TO's Transmission System information necessary to allow the Interconnection Customer to select equipment and meet any system protection and stability requirements, unless otherwise agreed to by the Participating TO and the Interconnection Customer. On a monthly basis the Participating TO shall provide the Interconnection Customer and the CAISO a status report on the construction and installation of the Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades, including, but not limited to, the following information: (1) progress to date; (2) a description of the activities since the last report; (3) a description of the action items for the next period; and (4) the delivery status of equipment ordered.
- 24.3 Updated Information Submission by Interconnection Customer. The updated information submission by the Interconnection Customer, including manufacturer information, shall occur no later than one hundred eighty (180) Calendar Days prior to the Trial Operation. The Interconnection Customer shall submit a completed copy of the Electric Generating Unit data requirements contained in Part 1 to the LGIP. It shall also include any additional information provided to the Participating TO and the CAISO for the Interconnection Studies. Information in this submission shall be the most current Electric Generating Unit design or expected performance data. Information submitted for stability models shall be compatible with the Participating TO and CAISO standard models. If there is no compatible model, the Interconnection Customer will work with a consultant mutually agreed to by the Parties to develop and supply a standard model and associated information.

If the Interconnection Customer's data is materially different from what was originally provided to the Participating TO and the CAISO for the Interconnection Studies, then the Participating TO

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

and the CAISO will conduct appropriate studies pursuant to the LGIP to determine the impact on the Participating TO's Transmission System and affected portions of the CAISO Controlled Grid based on the actual data submitted pursuant to this Article 24.3. The Interconnection Customer shall not begin Trial Operation until such studies are completed and all other requirements of this LGIA are satisfied.

**24.4 Information Supplementation**. Prior to the Trial Operation date, the Parties shall supplement their information submissions described above in this Article 24 with any and all "as-built" Electric Generating Unit information or "as-tested" performance information that differs from the initial submissions or, alternatively, written confirmation that no such differences exist. The Interconnection Customer shall conduct tests on the Electric Generating Unit as required by Good Utility Practice such as an open circuit "step voltage" test on the Electric Generating Unit to verify proper operation of the Electric Generating Unit's automatic voltage regulator.

Unless otherwise agreed, the test conditions shall include: (1) Electric Generating Unit at synchronous speed; (2) automatic voltage regulator on and in voltage control mode; and (3) a five percent (5 percent) change in Electric Generating Unit terminal voltage initiated by a change in the voltage regulators reference voltage. The Interconnection Customer shall provide validated test recordings showing the responses of Electric Generating Unit terminal and field voltages. In the event that direct recordings of these voltages is impractical, recordings of other voltages or currents that mirror the response of the Electric Generating Unit's terminal or field voltage are acceptable if information necessary to translate these alternate quantities to actual Electric Generating Unit terminal or field voltages is provided. Electric Generating Unit testing shall be conducted and results provided to the Participating TO and the CAISO for each individual Electric Generating Unit in a station.

Subsequent to the Commercial Operation Date, the Interconnection Customer shall provide the Participating TO and the CAISO any information changes due to equipment replacement, repair, or adjustment. The Participating TO shall provide the Interconnection Customer any information changes due to equipment replacement, repair or adjustment in the directly connected substation or any adjacent Participating TO-owned substation that may affect the Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities equipment ratings, protection or operating requirements. The Parties shall provide such information pursuant to Article 5.19.

#### **ARTICLE 25. INFORMATION ACCESS AND AUDIT RIGHTS**

- 25.1 Information Access. Each Party (the "disclosing Party") shall make available to the other Party information that is in the possession of the disclosing Party and is necessary in order for the other Party to: (i) verify the costs incurred by the disclosing Party for which the other Party is responsible under this LGIA; and (ii) carry out its obligations and responsibilities under this LGIA. The Parties shall not use such information for purposes other than those set forth in this Article 25.1 and to enforce their rights under this LGIA. Nothing in this Article 25 shall obligate the CAISO to make available to a Party any third party information in its possession or control if making such third party information available would violate a CAISO Tariff restriction on the use or disclosure of such third party information.
- **25.2 Reporting of Non-Force Majeure Events**. Each Party (the "notifying Party") shall notify the other Parties when the notifying Party becomes aware of its inability to comply with the provisions of this LGIA for a reason other than a Force Majeure event. The Parties agree to cooperate with each other and provide necessary information regarding such inability to comply, including the date, duration, reason for the inability to comply, and corrective actions taken or planned to be taken with respect to such inability to comply. Notwithstanding the foregoing, notification, cooperation or information provided under this Article shall not entitle the Party receiving such notification to allege a cause for anticipatory breach of this LGIA.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- **25.3 Audit Rights**. Subject to the requirements of confidentiality under Article 22 of this LGIA, the Parties' audit rights shall include audits of a Party's costs pertaining to such Party's performance or satisfaction of obligations owed to the other Party under this LGIA, calculation of invoiced amounts, the CAISO's efforts to allocate responsibility for the provision of reactive support to the CAISO Controlled Grid, the CAISO's efforts to allocate responsibility for interruption or reduction of generation on the CAISO Controlled Grid, and each such Party's actions in an Emergency Condition.
  - **25.3.1** The Interconnection Customer and the Participating TO shall each have the right, during normal business hours, and upon prior reasonable notice to the other Party, to audit at its own expense the other Party's accounts and records pertaining to either such Party's performance or either such Party's satisfaction of obligations owed to the other Party under this LGIA. Subject to Article 25.3.2, any audit authorized by this Article shall be performed at the offices where such accounts and records are maintained and shall be limited to those portions of such accounts and records that relate to each such Party's performance and satisfaction of obligations under this LGIA. Each such Party shall keep such accounts and records for a period equivalent to the audit rights periods described in Article 25.4.
  - **25.3.2** Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Article 25.3, each Party's rights to audit the CAISO's accounts and records shall be as set forth in Article 12 of the CAISO Tariff.

#### 25.4 Audit Rights Periods.

- 25.4.1 Audit Rights Period for Construction-Related Accounts and Records. Accounts and records related to the design, engineering, procurement, and construction of Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades, and Distribution Upgrades constructed by the Participating TO shall be subject to audit for a period of twenty-four months following the Participating TO's issuance of a final invoice in accordance with Article 12.2. Accounts and records related to the design, engineering, procurement, and construction of Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities and/or Stand Alone Network Upgrades constructed by the Interconnection Customer shall be subject to audit and verification by the Participating TO and the CAISO for a period of twenty-four months following the Interconnection Customer's issuance of a final invoice in accordance with Article 5.2(8).
- **25.4.2** Audit Rights Period for All Other Accounts and Records. Accounts and records related to a Party's performance or satisfaction of all obligations under this LGIA other than those described in Article 25.4.1 shall be subject to audit as follows: (i) for an audit relating to cost obligations, the applicable audit rights period shall be twenty-four months after the auditing Party's receipt of an invoice giving rise to such cost obligations; and (ii) for an audit relating to all other obligations, the applicable audit rights period shall be twenty-four months after the event for which the audit is sought; provided that each Party's rights to audit the CAISO's accounts and records shall be as set forth in Article 12 of the CAISO Tariff.
- **25.5 Audit Results**. If an audit by the Interconnection Customer or the Participating TO determines that an overpayment or an underpayment has occurred with respect to the other Party, a notice of such overpayment or underpayment shall be given to the other Party together with those records from the audit which support such determination. The Party that is owed payment shall render an invoice to the other Party and such invoice shall be paid pursuant to Article 12 hereof.
  - **25.5.1** Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Article 25.5, the Interconnection Customer's and Participating TO's rights to audit the CAISO's accounts and records shall be as set

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

forth in Article 12 of the CAISO Tariff, and the CAISO's process for remedying an overpayment or underpayment shall be as set forth in the CAISO Tariff.

#### **ARTICLE 26. SUBCONTRACTORS**

- **26.1 General**. Nothing in this LGIA shall prevent a Party from utilizing the services of any subcontractor as it deems appropriate to perform its obligations under this LGIA; provided, however, that each Party shall require its subcontractors to comply with all applicable terms and conditions of this LGIA in providing such services and each Party shall remain primarily liable to the other Party for the performance of such subcontractor.
- **Responsibility of Principal**. The creation of any subcontract relationship shall not relieve the hiring Party of any of its obligations under this LGIA. The hiring Party shall be fully responsible to the other Party for the acts or omissions of any subcontractor the hiring Party hires as if no subcontract had been made; provided, however, that in no event shall the CAISO or Participating TO be liable for the actions or inactions of the Interconnection Customer or its subcontractors with respect to obligations of the Interconnection Customer under Article 5 of this LGIA. Any applicable obligation imposed by this LGIA upon the hiring Party shall be equally binding upon, and shall be construed as having application to, any subcontractor of such Party.
- **26.3 No Limitation by Insurance**. The obligations under this Article 26 will not be limited in any way by any limitation of subcontractor's insurance.

#### **ARTICLE 27. DISPUTES**

All disputes arising out of or in connection with this LGIA whereby relief is sought by or from the CAISO shall be settled in accordance with the provisions of Article 13 of the CAISO Tariff, except that references to the CAISO Tariff in such Article 13 of the CAISO Tariff shall be read as references to this LGIA. Disputes arising out of or in connection with this LGIA not subject to provisions of Article 13 of the CAISO Tariff shall be resolved as follows:

- **27.1 Submission**. In the event either Party has a dispute, or asserts a claim, that arises out of or in connection with this LGIA or its performance, such Party (the "disputing Party") shall provide the other Party with written notice of the dispute or claim ("Notice of Dispute"). Such dispute or claim shall be referred to a designated senior representative of each Party for resolution on an informal basis as promptly as practicable after receipt of the Notice of Dispute by the other Party. In the event the designated representatives are unable to resolve the claim or dispute through unassisted or assisted negotiations within thirty (30) Calendar Days of the other Party's receipt of the Notice of Dispute, such claim or dispute may, upon mutual agreement of the Parties, be submitted to arbitration and resolved in accordance with the arbitration procedures set forth below. In the event the Parties do not agree to submit such claim or dispute to arbitration, each Party may exercise whatever rights and remedies it may have in equity or at law consistent with the terms of this LGIA.
- **27.2 External Arbitration Procedures**. Any arbitration initiated under this LGIA shall be conducted before a single neutral arbitrator appointed by the Parties. If the Parties fail to agree upon a single arbitrator within ten (10) Calendar Days of the submission of the dispute to arbitration, each Party shall choose one arbitrator who shall sit on a three-member arbitration panel. The two arbitrators so chosen shall within twenty (20) Calendar Days select a third arbitrator to chair the arbitration panel. In either case, the arbitrators shall be knowledgeable in electric utility matters, including electric transmission and bulk power issues, and shall not have any current or past substantial business or financial relationships with any party to the arbitration (except prior arbitration). The arbitrator(s) shall provide each of the Parties an opportunity to be heard and,

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

except as otherwise provided herein, shall conduct the arbitration in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association ("Arbitration Rules") and any applicable FERC regulations; provided, however, in the event of a conflict between the Arbitration Rules and the terms of this Article 27, the terms of this Article 27 shall prevail.

- 27.3 Arbitration Decisions. Unless otherwise agreed by the Parties, the arbitrator(s) shall render a decision within ninety (90) Calendar Days of appointment and shall notify the Parties in writing of such decision and the reasons therefor. The arbitrator(s) shall be authorized only to interpret and apply the provisions of this LGIA and shall have no power to modify or change any provision of this Agreement in any manner. The decision of the arbitrator(s) shall be final and binding upon the Parties, and judgment on the award may be entered in any court having jurisdiction. The decision of the arbitrator(s) may be appealed solely on the grounds that the conduct of the arbitrator(s), or the decision itself, violated the standards set forth in the Federal Arbitration Act or the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act. The final decision of the arbitrator must also be filed with FERC if it affects jurisdictional rates, terms and conditions of service, Interconnection Facilities, or Network Upgrades.
- **27.4 Costs.** Each Party shall be responsible for its own costs incurred during the arbitration process and for the following costs, if applicable: (1) the cost of the arbitrator chosen by the Party to sit on the three member panel and one half of the cost of the third arbitrator chosen; or (2) one half the cost of the single arbitrator jointly chosen by the Parties.

#### **ARTICLE 28. REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES AND COVENANTS**

- **28.1 General**. Each Party makes the following representations, warranties and covenants:
  - **28.1.1 Good Standing**. Such Party is duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the state in which it is organized, formed, or incorporated, as applicable; that it is qualified to do business in the state or states in which the Large Generating Facility, Interconnection Facilities and Network Upgrades owned by such Party, as applicable, are located; and that it has the corporate power and authority to own its properties, to carry on its business as now being conducted and to enter into this LGIA and carry out the transactions contemplated hereby and perform and carry out all covenants and obligations on its part to be performed under and pursuant to this LGIA.
  - **Authority**. Such Party has the right, power and authority to enter into this LGIA, to become a Party hereto and to perform its obligations hereunder. This LGIA is a legal, valid and binding obligation of such Party, enforceable against such Party in accordance with its terms, except as the enforceability thereof may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization or other similar laws affecting creditors' rights generally and by general equitable principles (regardless of whether enforceability is sought in a proceeding in equity or at law).
  - **28.1.3 No Conflict**. The execution, delivery and performance of this LGIA does not violate or conflict with the organizational or formation documents, or bylaws or operating agreement, of such Party, or any judgment, license, permit, order, material agreement or instrument applicable to or binding upon such Party or any of its assets.
  - **28.1.4 Consent and Approval**. Such Party has sought or obtained, or, in accordance with this LGIA will seek or obtain, each consent, approval, authorization, order, or acceptance by any Governmental Authority in connection with the execution, delivery and performance of this LGIA, and it will provide to any Governmental Authority

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

notice of any actions under this LGIA that are required by Applicable Laws and Regulations.

# **ARTICLE 29. [RESERVED]**

#### **ARTICLE 30. MISCELLANEOUS**

- **30.1 Binding Effect**. This LGIA and the rights and obligations hereof, shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Parties hereto.
- **30.2 Conflicts**. In the event of a conflict between the body of this LGIA and any attachment, appendices or exhibits hereto, the terms and provisions of the body of this LGIA shall prevail and be deemed the final intent of the Parties.
- 30.3 Rules of Interpretation. This LGIA, unless a clear contrary intention appears, shall be construed and interpreted as follows: (1) the singular number includes the plural number and vice versa: (2) reference to any person includes such person's successors and assigns but, in the case of a Party, only if such successors and assigns are permitted by this LGIA, and reference to a person in a particular capacity excludes such person in any other capacity or individually; (3) reference to any agreement (including this LGIA), document, instrument or tariff means such agreement, document, instrument, or tariff as amended or modified and in effect from time to time in accordance with the terms thereof and, if applicable, the terms hereof; (4) reference to any Applicable Laws and Regulations means such Applicable Laws and Regulations as amended, modified, codified, or reenacted, in whole or in part, and in effect from time to time, including, if applicable, rules and regulations promulgated thereunder; (5) unless expressly stated otherwise, reference to any Article. Section or Appendix means such Article of this LGIA or such Appendix to this LGIA, or such Section to the LGIP or such Appendix to the LGIP, as the case may be: (6) "hereunder", "hereof", "herein", "hereto" and words of similar import shall be deemed references to this LGIA as a whole and not to any particular Article or other provision hereof or thereof; (7) "including" (and with correlative meaning "include") means including without limiting the generality of any description preceding such term; and (8) relative to the determination of any period of time, "from" means "from and including", "to" means "to but excluding" and "through" means "through and including".
- **30.4 Entire Agreement**. This LGIA, including all Appendices and Schedules attached hereto, constitutes the entire agreement among the Parties with reference to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous understandings or agreements, oral or written, between or among the Parties with respect to the subject matter of this LGIA. There are no other agreements, representations, warranties, or covenants which constitute any part of the consideration for, or any condition to, any Party's compliance with its obligations under this LGIA.
- **30.5 No Third Party Beneficiaries.** This LGIA is not intended to and does not create rights, remedies, or benefits of any character whatsoever in favor of any persons, corporations, associations, or entities other than the Parties, and the obligations herein assumed are solely for the use and benefit of the Parties, their successors in interest and, where permitted, their assigns.
- **30.6 Waiver**. The failure of a Party to this LGIA to insist, on any occasion, upon strict performance of any provision of this LGIA will not be considered a waiver of any obligation, right, or duty of, or imposed upon, such Party.

Any waiver at any time by either Party of its rights with respect to this LGIA shall not be deemed a continuing waiver or a waiver with respect to any other failure to comply with any other obligation, right, duty of this LGIA. Termination or Default of this LGIA for any reason by the Interconnection Customer shall not constitute a waiver of the Interconnection Customer's legal rights to obtain an

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

interconnection from the Participating TO. Any waiver of this LGIA shall, if requested, be provided in writing.

- **30.7 Headings**. The descriptive headings of the various Articles of this LGIA have been inserted for convenience of reference only and are of no significance in the interpretation or construction of this LGIA.
- **30.8 Multiple Counterparts.** This LGIA may be executed in two or more counterparts, each of which is deemed an original but all constitute one and the same instrument.
- **30.9 Amendment.** The Parties may by mutual agreement amend this LGIA by a written instrument duly executed by all of the Parties. Such amendment shall become effective and a part of this LGIA upon satisfaction of all Applicable Laws and Regulations.
- **30.10 Modification by the Parties**. The Parties may by mutual agreement amend the Appendices to this LGIA by a written instrument duly executed by all of the Parties. Such amendment shall become effective and a part of this LGIA upon satisfaction of all Applicable Laws and Regulations.
- **30.11 Reservation of Rights**. The CAISO and Participating TO shall each have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this LGIA pursuant to section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder with respect to the following Articles of this LGIA and with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation covered by these Articles:

Recitals, 1, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.6, 3.1, 3.3, 4.1, 4.2, 4.4, 4.5, 5 preamble, 5.4, 5.7, 5.8, 5.9, 5.12, 5.13, 5.18, 5.19.1, 7.1, 7.2, 8, 9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.5, 9.6, 9.7, 9.8, 9.10, 10.3, 11.4, 12.1, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24.3, 24.4, 25.1, 25.2, 25.3 (excluding subparts), 25.4.2, 26, 28, 29, 30, Part D, Part F, Part G, and any other Article not reserved exclusively to the Participating TO or the CAISO below.

The Participating TO shall have the exclusive right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this LGIA pursuant to section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder with respect to the following Articles of this LGIA and with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation covered by these Articles:

2.5, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.5, 5.6, 5.10, 5.11, 5.14, 5.15, 5.16, 5.17, 5.19 (excluding 5.19.1), 6, 7.3, 9.4, 9.9, 10.1, 10.2, 10.4, 10.5, 11.1, 11.2, 11.3, 11.5, 12.2, 12.3, 12.4, 24.1, 24.2, 25.3.1, 25.4.1, 25.5 (excluding 25.5.1), 27 (excluding preamble), Part A, Part B, Part C, and Part E.

The CAISO shall have the exclusive right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this LGIA pursuant to section 205 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder with respect to the following Articles of this LGIA and with respect to any rates, terms and conditions, charges, classifications of service, rule or regulation covered by these Articles:

3.2, 4.3, 4.6, 11.6, 25.3.2, 25.5.1, and 27 preamble.

The Interconnection Customer, the CAISO, and the Participating TO shall have the right to make a unilateral filing with FERC to modify this LGIA pursuant to section 206 or any other applicable provision of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder; provided that each Party shall have the right to protest any such filing by another Party and to participate fully in any proceeding before FERC in which such modifications may be considered. Nothing in this

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1152

Effective: November 1, 2007

LGIA shall limit the rights of the Parties or of FERC under sections 205 or 206 of the Federal Power Act and FERC's rules and regulations thereunder, except to the extent that the Parties otherwise mutually agree as provided herein.

- **30.12 No Partnership**. This LGIA shall not be interpreted or construed to create an association, joint venture, agency relationship, or partnership among the Parties or to impose any partnership obligation or partnership liability upon any Party. No Party shall have any right, power or authority to enter into any agreement or undertaking for, or act on behalf of, or to act as or be an agent or representative of, or to otherwise bind, another Party.
- **30.13 Joint and Several Obligations**. Except as otherwise provided in this LGIA, the obligations of the CAISO, the Participating TO, and the Interconnection Customer are several, and are neither joint nor joint and several.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF,** the Parties have executed this LGIA in multiple originals, each of which shall constitute and be an original effective agreement among the Parties.

Ву:		_			
Title:		_			
Date:					
California Independent System Operator Corporation					
Ву:		_			
Title:		_			
Date:					
Ву:		_			
Title:		_			
Date:					

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Issued on: February 9, 2006

# **Appendices to LGIA**

Part A	Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades
Part B	Milestones
Part C	Interconnection Details
Part D	Security Arrangements Details
Part E	Commercial Operation Date
Part F	Addresses for Delivery of Notices and Billings
Part G	Reliability Management System Agreement
Part H	Requirements of Generators Relying on New Technologies

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### Part A To LGIA

## Interconnection Facilities, Network Upgrades and Distribution Upgrades

1. Interconnection Facilities:

(a) [insert Interconnection Customer's Interconnection Facilities]:

(b) [insert Participating TO's Interconnection Facilities]:

2. Network Upgrades:

(a) [insert Stand Alone Network Upgrades]:

(b) [insert Other Network Upgrades]:

(i) [insert Participating TO's Reliability Network Upgrades]

(ii) [insert Participating TO's Delivery Network Upgrades]

3. Distribution Upgrades:

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1155

Part B To LGIA

**Milestones** 

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1156

# Part C To LGIA

**Interconnection Details** 

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1157

## Part D To LGIA

## **Security Arrangements Details**

Infrastructure security of CAISO Controlled Grid equipment and operations and control hardware and software is essential to ensure day-to-day CAISO Controlled Grid reliability and operational security. FERC will expect the CAISO, all Participating TOs, market participants, and Interconnection Customers interconnected to the CAISO Controlled Grid to comply with the recommendations offered by the President's Critical Infrastructure Protection Board and, eventually, best practice recommendations from the electric reliability authority. All public utilities will be expected to meet basic standards for system infrastructure and operational security, including physical, operational, and cyber-security practices.

The Interconnection Customer shall meet the requirements for security implemented pursuant to the CAISO Tariff, including the CAISO's standards for information security posted on the CAISO's internet web site at the following internet address: <a href="http://www.caiso.com/pubinfo/info-security/index.html">http://www.caiso.com/pubinfo/info-security/index.html</a>.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

# Part E To LGIA

# **Commercial Operation Date**

	Interconnection Customer Representativel	
	[Signature]	
	Thank you.	
On <b>[Date] [Interconnection Customer]</b> has completed Trial Operation of Unit No This letter confirms that [Interconnection Customer] commenced Commercial Operation of Unit No at the Electric Generating Unit, effective as of <b>[Date plus one day]</b> .		
	Dear:	
	Re: Electric Generating Unit	
	[Participating TO Address]	
	[CAISO Address]	
	[Date]	
	This Part E is a part of the LGIA.	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### Part F To LGIA

#### Addresses for Delivery of Notices and Billings

Notices:	
CAISO	<u>D:</u>
	[To be supplied.]
<u>Partic</u>	pating TO:
	[To be supplied.]
Interce	onnection Customer:
	[To be supplied.]
Billings and F	Payments:
Partic	pating TO:
	[To be supplied.]
Interce	onnection Customer:
	[To be supplied.]
CAISO	<u>):</u>
	[To be supplied.]
Alternative Fo	orms of Delivery of Notices (telephone, facsimile or e-mail):
CAISO	<u>):</u>
	[To be supplied.]
<u>Partic</u>	pating TO:
	[To be supplied.]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1160

**Interconnection Customer:** 

[To be supplied.]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### Part G To LGIA

#### **Reliability Management System Agreement**

# RELIABILITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AGREEMENT by and between [TRANSMISSION OPERATOR] and [GENERATOR]

THIS RELIABILI	ITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AGREEM	<b>IENT</b> (the "Agreement"), is entered into this
day of	, 2002, by and between	(the "Transmission
Operator") and _	(the "Gene	rator").

**WHEREAS**, there is a need to maintain the reliability of the interconnected electric systems encompassed by the WSCC in a restructured and competitive electric utility industry;

**WHEREAS**, with the transition of the electric industry to a more competitive structure, it is desirable to have a uniform set of electric system operating rules within the Western Interconnection, applicable in a fair, comparable and non-discriminatory manner, with which all market participants comply; and

**WHEREAS**, the members of the WSCC, including the Transmission Operator, have determined that a contractual Reliability Management System provides a reasonable, currently available means of maintaining such reliability.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, in consideration of the mutual agreements contained herein, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Transmission Operator and the Generator agree as follows:

#### 1. PURPOSE OF AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement is to maintain the reliable operation of the Western Interconnection through the Generator's commitment to comply with certain reliability standards.

#### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

In addition to terms defined in the beginning of this Agreement and in the Recitals hereto, for purposes of this Agreement the following terms shall have the meanings set forth beside them below.

**Control Area** means an electric system or systems, bounded by interconnection metering and telemetry, capable of controlling generation to maintain its interchange schedule with other Control Areas and contributing to frequency regulation of the Western Interconnection.

**FERC** means the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or a successor agency.

**Member** means any party to the WSCC Agreement.

**Party** means either the Generator or the Transmission Operator and

Parties means both of the Generator and the Transmission Operator.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

**Reliability Management System** or **RMS** means the contractual reliability management program implemented through the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement, the WSCC RMS Agreement, this Agreement, and any similar contractual arrangement.

**Western Interconnection** means the area comprising those states and provinces, or portions thereof, in Western Canada, Northern Mexico and the Western United States in which Members of the WSCC operate synchronously connected transmission systems.

**Working Day** means Monday through Friday except for recognized legal holidays in the state in which any notice is received pursuant to Section 8.

WSCC means the Western Systems Coordinating Council or a successor entity.

**WSCC Agreement** means the Western Systems Coordinating Council Agreement dated March 20, 1967, as such may be amended from time to time.

**WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement** means the Western Systems Coordinating Council Reliability Criteria Agreement dated June 18, 1999 among the WSCC and certain of its member transmission operators, as such may be amended from time to time.

**WSCC RMS Agreement** means an agreement between the WSCC and the Transmission Operator requiring the Transmission Operator to comply with the reliability criteria contained in the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement.

**WSCC Staff** means those employees of the WSCC, including personnel hired by the WSCC on a contract basis, designated as responsible for the administration of the RMS.

#### 3. TERM AND TERMINATION

**3.1 Term.** This Agreement shall become effective [thirty (30) days after the date of issuance of a final FERC order accepting this Agreement for filing without requiring any changes to this Agreement unacceptable to either Party. Required changes to this Agreement shall be deemed unacceptable to a Party only if that Party provides notice to the other Party within fifteen (15) days of issuance of the applicable FERC order that such order is unacceptable].

[Note: if the interconnection agreement is not FERC jurisdictional, replace bracketed language with: [on the later of: (a) the date of execution; or (b) the effective date of the WSCC RMS Agreement.]]

- **3.2 Notice of Termination of WSCC RMS Agreement.** The Transmission Operator shall give the Generator notice of any notice of termination of the WSCC RMS Agreement by the WSCC or by the Transmission Operator within fifteen (15) days of receipt by the WSCC or the Transmission Operator of such notice of termination.
- **3.3 Termination by the Generator.** The Generator may terminate this Agreement as follows: (a) following the termination of the WSCC RMS Agreement for any reason by the WSCC or by the Transmission Operator, provided such notice is provided within forty-five (45) days of the termination of the WSCC RMS Agreement;
- (b) following the effective date of an amendment to the requirements of the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement that adversely affects the Generator, provided notice of such termination is given within forty-five (45) days of the date of issuance of a FERC order accepting such amendment for filing, provided further that the forty-five (45) day period within which notice of termination is required may be extended by the Generator for an additional forty-five (45) days if the Generator gives written notice to the Transmission Operator of such requested extension within the initial forty-five (45) day period; or (c) for any reason on one year's written notice to the Transmission Operator and the WSCC.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- **3.4 Termination by the Transmission Operator.** The Transmission Operator may terminate this Agreement on thirty (30) days' written notice following the termination of the WSCC RMS Agreement for any reason by the WSCC or by the Transmission Operator, provided such notice is provided within thirty (30) days of the termination of the WSCC RMS Agreement.
- **3.5 Mutual Agreement.** This Agreement may be terminated at any time by the mutual agreement of the Transmission Operator and the Generator.

#### 4. COMPLIANCE WITH AND AMENDMENT OF WSCC RELIABILITY CRITERIA

- **4.1 Compliance with Reliability Criteria.** The Generator agrees to comply with the requirements of the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement, including the applicable WSCC reliability criteria contained in Section IV of Annex A thereof, and, in the event of failure to comply, agrees to be subject to the sanctions applicable to such failure. Each and all of the provisions of the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement are hereby incorporated by reference into this Agreement as though set forth fully herein, and the Generator shall for all purposes be considered a Participant, and shall be entitled to all of the rights and privileges and be subject to all of the obligations of a Participant, under and in connection with the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement, including but not limited to the rights, privileges and obligations set forth in Sections 5, 6 and 10 of the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement.
- **4.2 Modifications to WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement.** The Transmission Operator shall notify the Generator within fifteen (15) days of the receipt of notice from the WSCC of the initiation of any WSCC process to modify the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement. The WSCC RMS Agreement specifies that such process shall comply with the procedures, rules, and regulations then applicable to the WSCC for modifications to reliability criteria.
- **4.3 Notice of Modifications to WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement.** If, following the process specified in Section 4.2, any modification to the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement is to take effect, the Transmission Operator shall provide notice to the Generator at least forty-five (45) days before such modification is scheduled to take effect.
- **4.4 Effective Date.** Any modification to the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement shall take effect on the date specified by FERC in an order accepting such modification for filing.
- **4.5 Transfer of Control or Sale of Generation Facilities.** In any sale or transfer of control of any generation facilities subject to this Agreement, the Generator shall as a condition of such sale or transfer require the acquiring party or transferee with respect to the transferred facilities either to assume the obligations of the Generator with respect to this Agreement or to enter into an agreement with the Control Area Operator in substantially the form of this Agreement.

#### 5. SANCTIONS

- **5.1 Payment of Monetary Sanctions.** The Generator shall be responsible for payment directly to the WSCC of any monetary sanction assessed against the Generator pursuant to this Agreement and the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement. Any such payment shall be made pursuant to the procedures specified in the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement.
- **5.2 Publication.** The Generator consents to the release by the WSCC of information related to the Generator's compliance with this Agreement only in accordance with the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement.
- **5.3 Reserved Rights.** Nothing in the RMS or the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement shall affect the right of the Transmission Operator, subject to any necessary regulatory approval, to take such other measures to maintain reliability, including disconnection, which the Transmission Operator may otherwise be entitled to take.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### 6. THIRD PARTIES

Except for the rights and obligations between the WSCC and Generator specified in Sections 4 and 5, this Agreement creates contractual rights and obligations solely between the Parties. Nothing in this Agreement shall create, as between the Parties or with respect to the WSCC: (1) any obligation or liability whatsoever (other than as expressly provided in this Agreement), or (2) any duty or standard of care whatsoever. In addition, nothing in this Agreement shall create any duty, liability, or standard of care whatsoever as to any other party. Except for the rights, as a third-party beneficiary with respect to Sections 4 and 5, of the WSCC against Generator, no third party shall have any rights whatsoever with respect to enforcement of any provision of this Agreement. Transmission Operator and Generator expressly intend that the WSCC is a third-party beneficiary to this Agreement, and the WSCC shall have the right to seek to enforce against Generator any provisions of Sections 4 and 5, provided that specific performance shall be the sole remedy available to the WSCC pursuant to this Agreement, and Generator shall not be liable to the WSCC pursuant to this Agreement for damages of any kind whatsoever (other than the payment of sanctions to the WSCC, if so construed), whether direct, compensatory, special, indirect, consequential, or punitive.

#### 7. REGULATORY APPROVALS

This Agreement shall be filed with FERC by the Transmission Operator under Section 205 of the Federal Power Act. In such filing, the Transmission Operator shall request that FERC accept this Agreement for filing without modification to become effective on the day after the date of a FERC order accepting this Agreement for filing. [This section shall be omitted for agreements not subject to FERC jurisdiction.]

Any notice, demand or request required or authorized by this Agreement to be given in writing to a Party

#### 8. NOTICES

owing addre	tage prepaid, faxed, ess:		, ,		•
:					
			<del></del>		
:	Fax:	<del>_</del>			
	<del></del>				

The designation of such person and/or address may be changed at any time by either Party upon receipt by the other of written notice. Such a notice served by mail shall be effective upon receipt. Notice transmitted by facsimile shall be effective upon receipt if received prior to 5:00 p.m. on a Working Day, and if not received prior to 5:00 p.m. on a Working Day, receipt shall be effective on the next Working Day.

#### 9. APPLICABILITY

This Agreement (including all appendices hereto and, by reference, the WSCC Reliability Criteria Agreement) constitutes the entire understanding between the Parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof, supersedes any and all previous understandings between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and binds and inures to the benefit of the Parties and their successors.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

#### 10. AMENDMENT

No amendment of all or any part of this Agreement shall be valid unless it is reduced to writing and signed by both Parties hereto. The terms and conditions herein specified shall remain in effect throughout the term and shall not be subject to change through application to the FERC or other governmental body or authority, absent the agreement of the Parties.

#### 11. INTERPRETATION

Interpretation and performance of th	is Agreement shall be in accordance with, and shall be controlled by,
the laws of the State of	but without giving effect to the provisions thereof relating to
conflicts of law. Article and section h	eadings are for convenience only and shall not affect the
interpretation of this Agreement. Ref	erences to articles, sections and appendices are, unless the context
otherwise requires, references to art	icles, sections and appendices of this Agreement.

#### 12. PROHIBITION ON ASSIGNMENT

This Agreement may not be assigned by either Party without the consent of the other Party, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld; provided that the Generator may without the consent of the WSCC assign the obligations of the Generator pursuant to this Agreement to a transferee with respect to any obligations assumed by the transferee by virtue of Section 4.5 of this Agreement.

#### 13. SEVERABILITY

If one or more provisions herein shall be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect, it shall be given effect to the extent permitted by applicable law, and such invalidity, illegality or unenforceability shall not affect the validity of the other provisions of this Agreement.

#### 14. COUNTERPARTS

This Agreement may be executed in counterparts and each shall have the same force and effect as an original.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, the Transmission Operator and the Generator have each caused this Reliability Management System Agreement to be executed by their respective duly authorized officers as of the date first above written.

By:		
By: Name:	 	
Title:		
Bv:		
By: Name:	 	
Title:		

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

#### Part H To LGIA

Requirements of Generators Relying on Newer Technologies

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

#### **CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX W**

Interconnection Procedures in Effect Prior to July 1, 2005 ("Amendment 39 Procedures")

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### Interconnection Procedures in Effect Prior to July 1, 2005 ("Amendment 39 Procedures")

#### 1 Applicability.

These Amendment 39 Procedures are applicable to Small Generating Facilities interconnecting to the CAISO Controlled Grid and to Large Generating Facilities in accordance with Section 5.1 of the LGIP. The owner of a planned New Facility, or its designee, is referred to for purposes of this Appendix as a New Facility Operator.

#### 2 Definitions.

#### 2.1 Master Definitions Supplement.

Unless the context otherwise requires, any word or expression defined in the Master Definitions Supplement to the CAISO Tariff shall have the same meaning where used in this Appendix.

#### 2.2 Special Definitions for this Appendix.

In this Appendix, the following words and expressions shall have the meanings set opposite them:

#### **Completed Application**

**Date** For purposes of this Appendix, the date on which a New Facility Operator submits an

Interconnection Application to the CAISO that satisfies the requirements of the CAISO Tariff and the TO Tariff of the Interconnecting PTO.

#### **Completed Interconnection**

**Application** An Interconnection Application that meets the information requirements

as specified by the CAISO and posted on the CAISO Website.

Data Adequacy Requirement Any applicable minimum data requirements of the state agency

responsible for generation siting or of any Local Regulatory Authority.

**Delivery Upgrade** The transmission facilities, other than Direct Assignment Facilities and

Reliability Upgrades, necessary to relieve constraints on the CAISO Controlled Grid and to ensure the delivery of energy from a New Facility

to Load.

**Designated Contact Person** The person designated by each Participating TO to coordinate with the

CAISO on the processing and completion of all Interconnection

Applications.

**Direct Assignment Facility** The transmission facilities necessary to physically and electrically

interconnect a New Facility Operator to the CAISO Controlled Grid at the

point of interconnection.

#### **Expedited Interconnection Agreement**

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I Original Sheet No. 1169

A contract between a party which has submitted a Request for Expedited Interconnection Procedures and an Interconnection PTO under which the CAISO and an Interconnecting PTO agree to process, on an expedited basis, the Interconnection Application of a New Facility Operator and which sets forth the terms, conditions, and cost responsibilities for such interconnection.

Good Faith Deposit The deposit

The deposit paid to the CAISO by a New Facility Operator with submission of its Interconnection Application in accordance with Section 3.2 of this Appendix, in an amount equal to \$10,000, including any interest that accrues on the original amount, less any bank fees or other charges assessed on the escrow account. A New Facility Operator may satisfy its deposit obligation through any commercially available financial instrument determined to be satisfactory by the CAISO.

**Interconnecting PTO** For purposes of this Appendix, the Participating TO that will supply the

connection to the New Facility.

**Interconnection Application** An application that requests interconnection of a New Facility to the

CAISO Controlled Grid and that meets the information requirements as

specified by the CAISO and posted on the CAISO Website.

**New Facility**A planned or Existing Generating Unit that requests, pursuant to this

Appendix, to interconnect or modify its interconnection to the CAISO

Controlled Grid.

**New Facility License**A license issued by a federal, state or Local Regulatory Authority that

enables an entity to build and operate a Generating Unit.

**New Facility Operator** The owner of a planned New Facility, or its designee.

**Planning Procedures** Procedures governing the planning, expansion and reliable

interconnection to the CAISO Controlled Grid that the CAISO may, from

time to time, develop.

**Reliability Upgrade** The transmission facilities, other than Direct Assignment Facilities,

beyond the first point of interconnection necessary to interconnect a New Facility safely and reliably to the CAISO Controlled Grid, which would not have been necessary but for the interconnection of a New Facility, including network upgrades necessary to remedy short circuit or stability problems resulting from the interconnection of a New Facility to the CAISO Controlled Grid. Reliability Upgrades also include, consistent with WSCC practice, the facilities necessary to mitigate any adverse impact a New Facility's interconnection may have on a path's WSCC

path rating.

**Request for Expedited** 

Interconnection Procedures A written request, submitted pursuant to Section 3.1.1 of this Appendix,

by which a New Facility Operator can request expedited processing of its

Interconnection Application.

**System Impact Study** An engineering study conducted to determine whether a New Facility

Operator's request for interconnection to the CAISO Controlled Grid

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

would require new transmission additions, upgrades or other mitigation measures.

#### 3 Interconnection Application.

Unless the New Facility Operator has submitted a Completed Interconnection Application to the CAISO prior to July 1, 2005, any New Facility Operators shall submit two copies of a Completed Interconnection Application to the CAISO in the form specified by the CAISO. The CAISO will date stamp all copies of the Interconnection Application, retain one executed copy, and, within 1 Business Day, send the other copy to the Designated Contact Person of the Interconnecting PTO. Within 10 Business Days after the Interconnecting PTO receives an Interconnection Application, the CAISO and the Interconnecting PTO shall determine whether the application is complete and the CAISO will notify the New Facility Operator that its Interconnection Application is complete; or, in the event that the CAISO, in consultation with the Interconnecting PTO, determines that the Interconnection Application is incomplete, the CAISO will notify the New Facility Operator of the deficiencies or omissions in its application.

#### 3.1 Expedited Procedures For New Facilities.

A New Facility Operator may submit a Request for Expedited Interconnection Procedures in accordance with Section 3.1.1 of this Appendix. The CAISO will develop and post on the CAISO Website the Planning Procedures applicable to such expedited processing of Interconnection Applications.

#### 3.1.1 Request for Expedited Interconnection Procedures.

- (a) If it elects to expedite processing of its Completed Interconnection Application, a New Facility Operator shall submit a Request for Expedited Interconnection Procedures within 10 Business Days after receiving a copy of the System Impact Study for the proposed interconnection. The request should be submitted in writing to the CAISO and the Interconnecting PTO.
- (b) Within 10 Business Days after receiving a Request for Expedited Interconnection Procedures, the CAISO and Interconnecting PTO shall provide to applicant the results of any studies required in addition to the System Impact Study, and shall tender an Expedited Interconnection Agreement that requires the applicant to compensate the Interconnecting PTO for all costs reasonably incurred pursuant to the terms of the CAISO Tariff and the Interconnecting PTO's applicable TO Tariff for processing the Completed Interconnection Application and providing the requested interconnection.
- (c) Concurrent with the provision, by the CAISO and the Interconnecting PTO, of the studies referenced in subsection b, above, the Interconnecting PTO and the CAISO shall provide to applicant their best estimate of the cost of any needed Direct Assignment Facilities and Reliability Upgrades, Delivery Upgrades, if requested by the New Facility Operator, and other costs that may be incurred in processing the Interconnection Application and providing the requested interconnection, however, unless otherwise agreed by the CAISO, and the Interconnecting PTO, and the applicant, such cost estimate shall not be binding and the New Facility Operator shall compensate the CAISO and the Interconnecting PTO for all actual interconnection costs reasonably incurred pursuant to the provisions of this Appendix and the Interconnecting PTO's TO Tariff.
  - (d) The New Facility Operator shall execute and return to the Interconnecting PTO, with a copy to the CAISO, such Expedited Interconnection Agreement within 10 Business Days of its receipt or the New Facility Operator's Interconnection Application will be deemed withdrawn. In that event, the New Facility Operator shall reimburse the CAISO and the Interconnecting PTO for all costs reasonably incurred in the processing of the Interconnection Application, including the Request for Expedited Interconnection.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### 3.2 Good Faith Deposit.

- (a) Each New Facility Operator that submits an Interconnection Application will on the date of submission also provide a Good Faith Deposit to the CAISO. The CAISO shall hold the Good Faith Deposit in trust for each applicant in a separate, interest-bearing account.
- (b) The CAISO shall refund the Good Faith Deposit, with accrued Interest, in the event that:
  - (i) The CAISO determines that the New Facility is not responsible for any interconnection costs, other than study costs; or
  - (ii) The applicant withdraws its Interconnection Application or its Interconnection Application is deemed withdrawn.

#### 3.3 Posting of Interconnection Applications and Non-disclosure.

The CAISO will maintain on its OASIS site an updated list of all pending Interconnection Applications. As soon as practicable after the CAISO receives a Completed Interconnection Application, the CAISO will post the nearest substation, the capacity (MW) of the New Facility and the year the New Facility is proposed to begin operations. At the time it submits its Interconnection Application, a New Facility Operator may request in writing that the CAISO and Interconnecting PTO not publicly disclose the identity of such New Facility Operator. Upon such request, the CAISO and Interconnecting PTO will not disclose the identity of the applicant while its Interconnection Application is pending, unless disclosure is permitted under Section 20.1 of the CAISO Tariff or in the event that an applicant's identity becomes otherwise publicly known.

#### 4 Interconnection.

#### 4.1 Detailed Planning Procedures.

The provisions set forth in this Appendix shall govern the interconnection of New Facilities to the CAISO Controlled Grid, including the costs of such interconnection. The CAISO shall also maintain on the CAISO Website detailed Planning Procedures and interconnection standards for all such interconnections.

#### 4.2 Studies.

- (a) Except as provided in Section 4.2(d) of this Appendix, for each Completed Interconnection Application, the CAISO will direct the Interconnecting PTO to perform the required System Impact Study and Facility Study, and any additional studies the CAISO determines to be reasonably necessary.
- (b) The Interconnecting PTO will complete or cause to be completed all studies directed by the CAISO within the timelines provided in this section. Any studies performed by the CAISO or by a third party at the direction of the CAISO shall also be completed within the timelines provided in this section.
- (c) Each New Facility Operator shall pay the reasonable costs of all System Impact and Facility Studies performed by or at the direction of the CAISO or the Interconnecting PTO, and any additional studies the CAISO determines to be reasonably necessary in response to the Interconnection Application, including any iterative study costs required for other New Facility Operator's that have established a new queue position due to the New Facility Operator either withdrawing its Interconnection Application or because its queue position has been modified pursuant to the procedures in Section 4.4 of this Appendix. A New Facility Operator shall also

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

pay the reasonable cost of Interconnecting PTO review of any System Impact Study or Facility Study that is performed by a New Facility Operator or its designee pursuant to subsection (d).

(d) A New Facility Operator may perform its own System Impact Study and Facility Study, or contract with a third party to perform the System Impact Study and Facility Study, and shall so notify the CAISO and the Interconnecting PTO of this election at the time it submits its Interconnection Application. Any such study or studies performed by a New Facility Operator or third party must be completed within the timelines identified in Sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 of this Appendix. To the extent that the CAISO and Interconnecting PTO disagree on the adequacy of the New Facility Operator or third party-sponsored study, the CAISO will determine the adequacy of the study, subject to the CAISO's ADR Procedures. The CAISO and Interconnecting PTO shall complete their review of the New Facility Operator's study within 30 calendar days of receipt of the completed study. The results of any study or studies performed by a New Facility Operator or third party must be approved by both the CAISO and the Interconnecting PTO.

#### 4.2.1 System Impact Study Procedures.

Within 10 Business Days after receiving a Completed Interconnection Application by the Interconnecting PTO, the CAISO and the Interconnecting PTO will determine, on a non-discriminatory basis, whether a System Impact Study is required. The CAISO and the Interconnecting PTO will make such determination based on the CAISO Grid Planning Criteria and the transmission assessment practices outlined in the CAISO Planning Procedures posted on the CAISO Website. The CAISO and Interconnecting PTO will utilize, to the extent possible, existing transmission studies. The System Impact Study will identify whether any Direct Assignment Facilities and Reliability Upgrades are needed, as well as, if requested by the New Facility Operator, any Delivery Upgrades necessary to deliver a New Facility's full output over the CAISO Controlled Grid. The System Impact Study will also identify any adverse impact on Encumbrances existing as of the Completed Application Date.

If the CAISO and the Interconnecting PTO determine that a System Impact Study is necessary, the Interconnecting PTO shall within 20 Business Days of receipt of Completed Interconnection Application. tender a System Impact Study Agreement that defines the scope, content, assumptions and terms of reference for such study, the estimated time required to complete it, and pursuant to which the applicant shall agree to reimburse the Interconnecting PTO for the reasonable actual costs of performing the required study. The New Facility Operator shall execute the System Impact Study Agreement and return it to the Interconnecting PTO within 10 Business Days, together with payment for the reasonable estimated cost, as provided by the Interconnecting PTO, of the System Impact Study. Alternatively, a New Facility Operator can request that the Interconnecting PTO proceed with the System Impact Study and abide by the terms, conditions, and cost assignment of the System Impact Study Agreement as determined through the CAISO ADR Procedures, provided that such request is accompanied by payment for the reasonable estimated cost, as provided by the Interconnecting PTO, of the System Impact Study. If a New Facility Operator elects neither to execute the System Impact Study Agreement nor to rely upon the CAISO ADR Procedures, such New Facility Operator's Completed Application will be deemed withdrawn. If the New Facility Operator's application is deemed withdrawn, the New Facility Operator will compensate the Interconnecting PTO for all reasonable costs incurred to that date in processing the Completed Interconnection Application.

The Interconnecting PTO will use due diligence to complete the System Impact Study within 60 calendar days of receipt of payment and the System Impact Study Agreement or initiation of the CAISO ADR Procedures. If the Interconnecting PTO cannot complete the System Impact Study within 60 calendar days, the Interconnecting PTO will notify the New Facility Operator, in writing, of the reason why additional time is required to complete the required study and the estimated completion date.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### 4.2.2 Facility Study Procedures.

If a System Impact Study indicates that additions or upgrades to the CAISO Controlled Grid are needed to satisfy a New Facility Operator's request for interconnection, the Interconnecting PTO shall, within 15 Business Days of the completion of the System Impact Study, tender to a New Facility Operator a Facility Study Agreement that defines the scope, content, assumptions and terms of reference for such study, the estimated time to complete the required study, and pursuant to which the applicant agrees to reimburse the Interconnecting PTO for the actual costs of performing the required Facility Study. The New Facility Operator shall execute the Facility Study Agreement and return it to the Interconnecting PTO within 10 Business Days, together with payment for the reasonable estimated cost, as provided by the Interconnecting PTO, of the Facility Study. Alternatively, a New Facility Operator may request that the Interconnecting PTO proceed with the Facility Study and abide by the terms, conditions, and cost assignment of the Facility Study Agreement ultimately determined through the CAISO ADR Procedures, provided that such request is accompanied by payment for the reasonable estimated cost, as provided by the Interconnecting PTO, of the Facility Study. If a New Facility Operator elects either to not execute the Facility Study Agreement or to rely upon the CAISO ADR Procedures, such New Facility Operator's Completed Application will be deemed withdrawn. If the New Facility Operator's application is deemed withdrawn, the New Facility Operator will compensate the Interconnecting PTO for all reasonable costs incurred to that date in processing the Completed Application.

The Interconnecting PTO will use due diligence to complete the Facility Study within 60 calendar days of receipt of payment and the Facility Study Agreement or initiation of the CAISO ADR Procedures. If the Interconnecting PTO cannot complete the Facility Study within 60 calendar days, the Interconnecting PTO will notify the New Facility Operator, in writing, of the reason why additional time is required to complete the required study and the estimated completion date.

A New Facility Operator shall be entitled to amend its Completed Interconnection Application once without losing its queue position. Such amendment shall occur on or before 10 Business Days following the Date the Interconnecting PTO tenders a Facility Study Agreement. Specifically, as an alternative to executing and returning a Facility Study Agreement, a New Facility Operator may submit an amendment to its Completed Interconnection Application to reflect a revised configuration for its New Facility. The amended Completed Interconnection Application shall be treated in accordance with Section 4.2.1 of this Appendix and the New Facility Operator's Completed Interconnection Application shall not be deemed withdrawn, and it shall maintain its exiting queue position, if (a) the amended Completed Interconnection Application is received by the Interconnecting PTO within 10 Business Days of the Interconnecting PTO's tender of a Facility Study Agreement; and (b) the New Facility Operator has not submitted a previous amendment to the Completed Interconnection Application. In the event a New Facility Operator amends its Completed Interconnection Application, it will be responsible for any additional study costs that result from that amendment, including costs associated with revisions to studies for other applicants holding later queue positions.

#### 4.3 Execution of Interconnection Agreement.

Following completion of the Facilities Study, a New Facility Operator proposing to interconnect a Large Generating Facility shall continue the interconnection process in accordance with Section 11.2 of the LGIP. Within 10 Business Days of receipt of a completed Facility Study, a New Facility Operator proposing to interconnect a Small Generating Facility shall request the Interconnecting PTO to provide to such applicant an Interconnection Agreement. The Interconnecting PTO shall provide an Interconnection Agreement to an applicant within 30 Business Days of receipt of the request for an Interconnection Agreement. If the CAISO and Interconnecting PTO determine, pursuant to Sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 of this Appendix, that either:

(a) a New Facility Operator's Interconnection Application can be accommodated and that such New Facility Operator will not incur costs for Reliability Upgrades, the New Facility Operator shall

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

execute the Interconnection Agreement within 10 Business Days of receipt of the Interconnection Agreement; or

(b) a New Facility Operator's Interconnection Application will necessitate Reliability Upgrades, the New Facility Operator shall execute the Interconnection Agreement within 30 Business Days of receipt of the Interconnection Agreement or, if a New Facility Operator and the Interconnecting PTO are unable to agree on the rates, terms and conditions of the Interconnection Agreement, the New Facility Operator may request that the Interconnecting PTO file an unexecuted Interconnection Agreement at FERC. If a New Facility Operator does request that the Interconnecting PTO file an unexecuted Interconnection Agreement at FERC, the New Facility Operator shall agree to abide by the rates, terms and conditions of such Interconnection Agreement ultimately determined by FERC to be just and reasonable.

#### 4.4 Queuing.

- (a) The CAISO and Interconnecting PTO will process all Interconnection Applications based on the New Facility's Completed Application Date.
- (b) The queue position for each New Facility that has submitted an Interconnection Application will be established according to the Completed Application Date and the New Facility's compliance with the milestones set forth in Section 4.4.1 of this Appendix.
- (c) For any New Facility Operator that submitted a request to interconnect to a Interconnecting PTO prior to June 1, 2002 (the effective date of the Amendment 39 Procedures), such New Facility Operator's position in the queue will be based on its Completed Application Date as that term was defined in the Interconnecting PTOs TO Tariff in effect at the time the New Facility Operator submitted a request to interconnect to the Interconnecting PTO.

#### 4.4.1 Queuing Milestones.

- (a) To maintain its queue position, each New Facility Operator must timely comply with the requirements of the CAISO Tariff and the TO Tariff of the Interconnecting PTO and must, within 6 months of its Completed Application Date, satisfy all applicable Data Adequacy Requirements of state and local siting and other regulatory authorities. Any New Facility Operator not subject to state siting requirements must satisfy the information requirements set forth in 18 C.F.R. § 2.20. The CAISO will permit a New Facility Operator to retain its queue position if such New Facility Operator requests an extension of the six-month period at least 5 Business Days prior to the expiration of such period. Such extension will be limited to one period of 30 Business Days and additional extensions shall not be granted. A New Facility Operator that does not maintain its queue position, but later satisfies the Data Adequacy Requirements, or the requirements of 18 C.F.R. § 2.20 if applicable, will be placed in a queue position comparable to that of other New Facility Operators that have satisfied the Data Adequacy Requirements, or the requirements of 18 C.F.R. § 2.20, as of the same date. At that time, the CAISO and the Interconnecting PTO will determine whether a new System Impact Study must be performed based on the revised queue position of such New Facility Operator.
- (b) Upon satisfaction of the Data Adequacy Requirements, or the requirements of 18 C.F.R. § 2.20 if applicable, each New Facility Operator, in order to maintain its queue position, must obtain a New Facility License within 15 months after satisfying the Data Adequacy Requirements. A New Facility Operator that does not obtain a New Facility License within the allowed time and does not maintain its queue position, but later obtains a New Facility License, will be placed in a queue position comparable to other New Facility Operators that have satisfied comparable milestones as of that date.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- (c) Any New Facility whose New Facility License or building permit expires or is rescinded will not maintain its queue position.
- (d) A New Facility Operator that has submitted a dispute under Article 13 of the CAISO Tariff regarding any part of this Appendix may request that the presiding judge, arbitrator, or mediator of the dispute suspend its obligation to meet milestones in order to maintain its queue position. In the event such a suspension is granted, the New Facility Operator must satisfy the missed milestones specified in this Section 4.4.1 of this Appendix within 30 calendar days of the date the decision on the dispute becomes final.

#### 4.5 Coordination of Critical Protective Systems.

New Facility Operators shall coordinate with the CAISO, Participating TOs and UDCs to ensure that a New Facility Operator's Critical Protective Systems, including relay systems, are installed and maintained in order to function on a coordinated and complementary basis with CAISO Controlled Grid Critical Protective Systems and the protective systems of the Participating TOs and UDCs. The CAISO and Participating TOs will make available all information necessary for a New Facility Operator to determine whether its Critical Protective Systems are compatible with those of the CAISO, Participating TOs and UDCs. The CAISO and New Facility Operators shall also coordinate with entities that own, operate or control facilities outside of the CAISO Controlled Grid to ensure that a New Facility's Critical Protective Systems function on a coordinated and complementary basis with such entities Critical Protective Systems.

#### 5 Cost Responsibility of New Facility Operators.

- (a) Each New Facility Operator shall pay the costs of required studies in accordance with Section 4.2 of this Appendix and the costs identified in this Section 5. The CAISO and Interconnecting PTO will provide each New Facility Operator an estimate of its total cost responsibility under this Section. A New Facility Operator shall be responsible for the actual costs of all Direct Assignment Facilities and Reliability Upgrades necessitated by its Completed Interconnection Application. The Interconnecting PTO will provide each New Facility Operator a detailed record of the actual costs assessed to it under this Section. A New Facility Operator may request the Interconnecting PTO to provide any additional information reasonably necessary to audit the actual costs the New Facility Operator is assessed.
- (b) The CAISO and Interconnecting PTO will process all Interconnection Applications, and determine the cost responsibility of each New Facility Operator based on the New Facility Operator's Completed Application Date or, if applicable, based on the queue position determined by the procedure described in Section 4.4.1(b) of this Appendix. The CAISO and Interconnecting PTO will process simultaneously all interconnection requests with the same Completed Application Date.
- (c) Each New Facility Operator shall pay the costs of planning, installing, operating and maintaining the following facilities: (i) Direct Assignment Facilities, and, if applicable, (ii) Reliability Upgrades. In addition, each New Facility Operator shall implement all existing operating procedures necessary to safely and reliably connect the New Facility to the facilities of the Interconnecting PTO and to ensure the CAISO Controlled Grid's conformance with the CAISO Grid Planning Criteria, and shall bear all costs of implementing such operating procedures. The New Facility Operator shall be responsible for the costs of Reliability Upgrades only if the necessary facilities are not included in the CAISO Controlled Grid Transmission Expansion Plan approved as of the New Facility Operator's Completed Application Date, or the date for the installation of a facility is advanced by the interconnection of the New Facility, in which case the New Facility Operator shall be responsible only for the incremental costs associated with the earlier installation of the facility.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Effective: November 1, 2007

(d) Each New Facility Operator may, at its own discretion, sponsor, pursuant to Section 24 of the CAISO Tariff, any Delivery Upgrades.

#### 5.1 Maintenance of Encumbrances.

No New Facility shall adversely affect the ability of the Interconnecting PTO to honor its Encumbrances existing as of the time a New Facility submits its Interconnection Application to the CAISO. The Interconnecting PTO, in consultation with the CAISO, shall identify any such adverse effect on its Encumbrances in the System Impact Study performed under Section 4.2.1 of this Appendix. To the extent the Interconnecting PTO determines that the connection of the New Facility will have an adverse effect on Encumbrances, the New Facility Operator shall mitigate such adverse effect.

#### 5.2 Settlement of Interconnection Costs.

Payment for Direct Assignment Facilities and Reliability Upgrades shall be made by the New Facility Operator to the Interconnecting PTO pursuant to the terms of payment set forth in the Interconnection Agreement between the parties.

#### 6 Energization.

Neither the CAISO nor the Interconnecting PTO shall be obligated to energize, nor shall the New Facility Operator be entitled to have its interconnection to the CAISO Controlled Grid energized, unless and until an Interconnection Agreement has been executed, or filed at FERC pursuant to Section 4.3 of this Appendix, and becomes effective and such New Facility Operator has demonstrated to the CAISO's reasonable satisfaction that it has complied with all of the requirements of this Appendix.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX X

Dynamic Scheduling Protocol (DSP)

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX X**

#### Dynamic Scheduling Protocol (DSP)

#### DSP 2 CONSISTENCY WITH NERC/WECC POLICIES AND REQUIREMENTS

- DSP 2.1 Scheduling and operation of Dynamic Scheduling functionalities must comply with all applicable NERC and WECC policies and requirements regarding inter-Control Area scheduling, in accordance with Section 4.5.4.3 of the CAISO Tariff.
- DSP 2.2 Scheduling and operation of Dynamic Scheduling functionalities must be consistent with the NERC Dynamic Transfer White Paper and all NERC standards or policies.
- **DSP 2.3** All new dynamic functionality implementations may be subject to NERC-specified peer review.

#### DSP 3 CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIPS

- DSP 3.1 The Host Control Area and all Intermediary Control Areas must each execute an Interconnected Control Area Operating Agreement ("ICAOA") with the CAISO, with accompanying service schedule, or a special agreement particular to the operation of the functionality supporting dynamic imports of Energy, and/or Energy associated with non-regulating Ancillary Services to the CAISO Control Area.
- The Scheduling Coordinator for the System Resource must execute a special agreement with the CAISO governing the operation of the Dynamic Scheduling functionality, which agreement will include a provision for its termination based on failure to comply with these standards.
- DSP 3.3 The Scheduling Coordinator for the System Resource must have the necessary operational and contractual arrangements in place with the Host Control Area (see Section 5 below). Such arrangements must include the Host Control Area operator's ability to receive telemetry from the System Resource and to issue a Dynamic Schedule signal pertinent to that System Resource to the CAISO. Proof of such arrangements must be provided to the CAISO.

#### DSP 4 COMMUNICATIONS, TELEMETRY, AND OTHER TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

- The communication and telemetry requirements set forth in the CAISO's Standards for Imports of Regulation will apply to all Dynamic Schedules, except for (a) those dynamic functionalities established prior to the CAISO Operations Date, (b) the requirements that are specific solely to Regulation, and (c) the requirements set forth below.
- **DSP 4.2** Dedicated dual redundant communications links between the CAISO's EMS and the Host Control Area EMS are required.
- The primary circuit will be T1-class, or equivalent, utilizing the inter-control center communications protocol ("ICCP"). The backup circuit will be diversely routed between the Host Control Area EMS and the CAISO Control Area EMS on separate physical paths and devices.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- **DSP 4.4** Dedicated dual redundant communications links between the Host Control Area EMS and every Intermediary Control Area EMS are required.
- **DSP 4.5** The Control Area hosting a Dynamic System Resource must have a mechanism implemented to override the associated dynamic signal.
- **DSP 4.6** The dynamic signal must be properly incorporated into all involved Control Areas' ACE equations.
- **DSP 4.7** The System Resource must have communications links with the Host Control Area consistent with these standards.

#### DSP 5 LIMITS ON DYNAMIC IMPORTS

- DSP 5.1 The CAISO reserves the right to establish limits applicable to the amount of any Ancillary Services and/or Energy imported into the CAISO Control Area, whether delivered dynamically or statically. Such limits may be established based on any one, or a combination, of the following considerations: a percentage of, or a specific import limit applicable to, total CAISO Control Area requirements; a percentage at, or a specific import limit applicable to, a particular Scheduling Point or a branch group; a percentage of, or a specific import limit applicable to, total requirements in a specific Zone; or operating factors which may include, but are not limited to, operating nomograms, Remedial Action Schemes, protection schemes, scheduling and curtailment procedures, or any potential single points of failure associated with the actual delivery process.
- DSP 5.2 The CAISO may, at its discretion, either limit or forego procuring Ancillary Services at particular Control Area Scheduling Points to ensure that Operating Reserves are adequately dispersed throughout the CAISO Control Area as required by WECC Minimum Operating Reliability Criteria ("MORC").
- DSP 5.3 A Dynamic System Resource and its schedules must be permanently associated with a particular CAISO Scheduling Point (the CAISO may, from time to time and at its discretion, allow for a change in such pre-established association of the Dynamic System Resource with a particular CAISO Scheduling Point).

#### DSP 6 OPERATING AND SCHEDULING REQUIREMENTS

- For any operating hour for which Energy, and/or Ancillary Services (and associated Energy) is scheduled dynamically to the CAISO from the System Resource, a firm (or non-interruptible for that hour) matching transmission service must be reserved across the entire Dynamic Schedule transmission path external to the CAISO Control Area.
- **DSP 6.2** All Dynamic Schedules associated with newly implemented Dynamic System Resources must be electronically tagged (e-tagged).
- DSP 6.3 Formal inter-Control Area Dynamic Schedules may be issued only by the Dynamic System Resource's Host Control Area and must be routed through the EMSs of all Intermediary Control Areas (such schedules would be considered "wheel-through" schedules by Intermediary Control Areas).
- The CAISO will treat dynamically scheduled Energy as a resource contingent firm import. The CAISO will procure (or allow for self-provision of) WECC MORC-required Operating Reserves for loads served by Dynamic System Resources.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

- All Energy schedules associated with dynamically scheduled imports of Spinning Reserve and Non-Spinning Reserve will be afforded similar treatment (i.e., resource contingent firm).
- **DSP 6.6** The dynamic signal must be integrated over time by the Host Control Area for every operating hour.
- DSP 6.7 Notwithstanding any dispatches of the System Resource in accordance with the CAISO Tariff, the CAISO shall have the right to issue operating orders to the System Resource either directly or through the Host Control Area for emergency or contingency reasons, or to ensure the CAISO's compliance with operating requirements based on WECC or NERC requirements and policies (e.g., WECC's Unscheduled Flow Reduction Procedure). However, such operating orders may be issued only within the range of the CAISO-accepted Energy, Ancillary Services, Bids for a given Operating Hour (or the applicable "sub-hour" interval).
- **DSP 6.8** If there is no Dynamic Schedule in the CAISO's Day-Ahead Market, or HASP/RT Market the dynamic signal must be at "zero" ("0") except when in response to CAISO's Dispatch Instructions associated with accepted Ancillary Services bids.
- **DSP 6.9** The Scheduling Coordinator of the Dynamic System Resource must have the ability to override the associated dynamic schedule in order to respond to the operating orders of the CAISO or the Host Control Area.
- Unless the Dynamic System Resource (1) is implemented as a directly-telemetered load-following functionality, (2) is base-loaded Regulatory Must Take Generation, or (3) responds to a CAISO intra-hour Dispatch Instruction, the Dynamic Schedule representing such resource must follow WECC-approved practice of 20-minute ramps centered at the top of the hour. The CAISO does not provide any special settlements treatment nor offer any CAISO Tariff exemptions for dynamic load following functionalities.
- In real time the Dynamic Schedule may not exceed the maximum value established by the sum of the Day-Ahead and HASP/RT accepted Energy and Ancillary Services Bldsplus any response to the CAISO's Real-Time Dispatch Instructions. The composite value of the Dynamic Schedule derived from the Day-Ahead and HASP/RT accepted Bids plus any Dispatch Instruction response represents not only the estimated Dynamic System Resource's Energy but also the transmission reservation on the associated CAISO Scheduling Point.
- **DSP 6.12** Only one Dynamic System Resource may be associated with any one physical generating resource.
- **DSP 6.13** If the Scheduling Coordinator for the Dynamic System Resource desires to participate in CAISO's Regulation market, all provisions of the CAISO's Standards for Imports of Regulation shall apply.
- DSP 7 CERTIFICATION, TESTING, AND PERFORMANCE MONITORING OF DYNAMIC IMPORTS OF ANCILLARY SERVICES

Scheduling Coordinators and Host Control Areas that are already certified under the CAISO's Standards for Imports of Regulation will be deemed to have fulfilled the technical implementation requirements of this Protocol; however, such Scheduling Coordinators and Control Areas must still be certified separately for each non-Regulating Ancillary Service (all presently implemented

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

Regulation import functionalities may be subject to review to ensure consistency between such functionalities and the requirements of this Protocol). Scheduling Coordinators and Host Control Areas that wish to be certified for imports of Regulation shall be subject to certification under the Standards for Imports of Regulation, subject to verification of consistency with the requirements of this Protocol.

- The Scheduling Coordinator and Host Control Area operator must jointly request the certification of a System Resource to provide Ancillary Services for the CAISO Control Area and cooperate in the testing of such System Resource (see the "Scheduling Coordinator & Host Control Area Operator Request for Certification of Dynamic Imports of Ancillary Services" certification form attached as Attachment A to this Protocol.
- Only CAISO tested and certified System Resources will be allowed to bid and/or self-provide Ancillary Services into the CAISO Control Area.
- DSP 7.3 Dynamic Ancillary Services imports will be certified through testing, in accordance with the relevant sections of the CAISO's Operating Procedure G-213. All requests for certification of dynamic Ancillary Services imports will be reviewed and approved by the CAISO with respect to any technical limitations imposed by existing operational considerations, such as Remedial Action Schemes, operating nomograms, and scheduling procedures. These reviews may impose certain Ancillary Services import limits in addition to those outlined in Section 4.1. Therefore, interested parties are advised and encouraged to contact the CAISO before they begin the process of the necessary systems design, preparation, and implementation for import of Ancillary Services to the Control Area.
- The CAISO will measure the performance of the dynamic Energy schedule associated with accepted Ancillary Services Bids against (1) the awarded range of Ancillary Service capacity; (2) the certified limits; and (3) the bid ramp rate, which shall be validated by the CAISO against the certified ramp rate.
- DSP 7.5 The Scheduling Coordinator for the System Resource and the Host Control Area must notify the CAISO should any changes, modifications, or upgrades affecting control and/or performance of the System Resource be made. Upon such notification, the CAISO, at its discretion, may require that the System Resource and Host Control Area be re-certified to import Ancillary Services into the CAISO Control Area.

#### DSP 8 COMPLIANCE, LOSSES, AND FINANCIAL SETTLEMENTS

- Energy delivered in association with dynamically scheduled System Resources will be subject to all provisions of the CAISO's Imbalance Energy markets, including Uninstructed Deviation Penalties ("UDP") (just as is the case with CAISO intra-Control Area Generating Units of Participating Generators).
- DSP 8.2 Dynamically scheduled and delivered Ancillary Services will be subject to the CAISO's compliance monitoring and remedies, just as any CAISO intra-Control Area Generating Units of Participating Generators.
- DSP 8.3 All Day-Ahead and HASP/RT submitted Dynamic Schedules shall be subject to CAISO Congestion mitigation and as such may not exceed their transmission reservations in Real-Time (with the exception of intra-hour Dispatch Instructions of the Energy associated with accepted Ancillary Services Bids).

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

### CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FERC ELECTRIC TARIFF

AMENDED AND RESTATED SECOND REPLACEMENT VOLUME NO. I

Original Sheet No. 1182

- DSP 8.4 All dynamically scheduled and delivered Energy shall be subject to the standard CAISO transmission loss calculation associated with the particular Scheduling Point ("TMMs" or CAISO market redesign alternative).
- Any transmission losses attributed to the Dynamic Schedule on transmission system(s) external to the CAISO Control Area will be the responsibility of the owner(s)/operator(s) of the Dynamic System Resource.
- DSP 8.6 A predetermined, mutually agreed, and achievable "Pmax-like" fixed MW value will be established for every Dynamic System Resource to be used as the basis for the UDP calculation. Responsible Scheduling Coordinators will be able to report de-rates affecting the Dynamic System Resource via the CAISO's "SLIC" outage reporting system.
- Should there be any need or requirement, whether operational or procedural, for the CAISO to make Real-Time adjustments to the CAISO's inter-Control Area schedules (to include curtailments), Dynamic Schedules shall be treated in the same manner as similarly situated and/or effective static CAISO schedules.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

#### **DSP ATTACHMENT A**

#### **Scheduling Coordinator & Host Control Area Operator**

#### **Request for Certification of**

Imports of Spinning and Non-Spinning Reserves for which the associated Energy is delivered dynamically from a System Resource

In accordance with the CAISO Tariff, Protocols and Operating Procedures,	
, as Scheduling Coordinator, and	
, as Host Control Area operator (as such term is refe	rred to in the
CAISO Dynamic Scheduling Protocol), collectively referred to as "Parties," or individually a	s "Party,"
hereby request the certification of the Parties and the System Resource(s) identified in the	table below as
a provider of Ancillary Services and associated Energy to the CAISO Control Area subject	to the Dynamic
Scheduling Protocol. Further, the Parties acknowledge that their ability to import Ancillary	Services and
associated Energy will be tested for certification in accordance with CAISO Operating Proc	edure G-213.

With this request for certification, the Parties recognize that the CAISO Tariff, Protocols, and applicable agreements require the Host Control Area operator to issue dynamic Energy schedules to the CAISO based on the Scheduling Coordinator's self-provided or bid external imports of non-Regulation Ancillary Services from the System Resource(s) at any time during the operating hour.

With this request for certification, the Host Control Area operator represents and warrants that it has in place the required communications links with the CAISO Control Area in order to facilitate the delivery of Ancillary Services and associated Energy from the System Resource.

With this request for certification, the Scheduling Coordinator represents and warrants that it has made the appropriate arrangements for and has put in place the equipment and services necessary for the delivery of Ancillary Services and associated Energy from the System Resource to the point of interchange ("Scheduling Point") with the CAISO Control Area in accordance with the Dynamic Scheduling Protocol.

The Scheduling Coordinator further certifies that any and all dynamic imports of Energy associated with self-provided or bid imports of non-Regulation Ancillary Services will be deliverable over non-interruptible, non-recallable transmission rights, from the source of the associated Energy to the Scheduling Point with the CAISO Control Area.

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

This document

(does)

System	External Host	Scheduling Point	Maximum	Maximum Ramp
Resource	Control Area in	(CAISO interchange ID)	Amount of	Rate to be
	which System		Ancillary	Certified
	Resource is		Services	(MW/minute)
	Located		Capacity to be	
			Certified	
			(MW)	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				

Subsequent to the initial filing of this request for certification with the CAISO, any prospective changes jointly made by the Parties may be filed with the Scheduling Coordinator's CAISO Client Relations representative, who will acknowledge the receipt of such requested changes and indicate the date on which such changes may be tested and become effective if CAISO testing proves successful. Such changes will be made by the CAISO as soon as practicable, with reasonable efforts made to implement them within sixty (60) days of receipt of the requested changes.

(does not) contain requested changes to previously effective

certifica	tion.	,	
Certifica	ation Requested By:		
		:	, as the Scheduling Coordinator
Name:			
Title:			
Date:			
		·	, as the Host Control Area Operator
Name:			
Title:			
Date:			
		CERTIF	FICATION REQUEST ACKNOWLEDGED by:
		Californ	nia Independent System Operator Corporation
		Name:	
		Title:	
		Date:	

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel

## CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX Y [NOT USED]

Issued by: Charles F. Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel