

CALIFORNIA ISO
REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contents

- I. SUMMARY OF RECENT DECISIONS
- II. SUMMARY OF RECENT FILINGS
- III. SUMMARY OF UPCOMING EVENTS
- IV. SUMMARY OF MATTERS SET FOR HEARING
- V. SUMMARY OF MATTERS ON REHEARING & APPEAL
- VI. COMPLAINTS
- VII. STATUS OF ISO FERC FILINGS
 - A. Tariff & Protocol Filings
 - B. Reliability and Must Run Agreements
 - C. Transmission and Distribution Access Rates
 - D. Utility Pass-Through of GMC and PX Charge
 - E. ISO/PX Reports
- VIII. OTHER FERC MATTERS IN WHICH THE ISO IS PARTICIPATING
- IX. RULEMAKINGS

I. SUMMARY OF RECENT DECISIONS

- Order issued 3/29/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,315). FERC accepts the ISO's compliance filing in response to the order on Amendment No. 22. On rehearing, the Commission continued to find that the proposed assignment of RMR costs was appropriate. The Commission noted that recovery of RMR costs is through a formula rate and therefore no purpose would be served by filing each contract the ISO enters into with a non-jurisdictional entity. The Commission denied PG&E's rehearing request with respect to the proposed procedure to address charges or credits that appear for the first time on a final statement and to make the transmission loss methodology retroactive to the beginning of ISO operations. FERC also stated that the approval of Zone 26 was specific to the facts of Amendment No. 22 and the criterion in place at that time.
- Order issued 3/29/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,316). FERC conditionally accepts Amendment No. 25. FERC accepted the ISO's proposal to allow Scheduling Coordinators to import Regulation service from resources outside the ISO Control Area. FERC approved the requirement that the operator of any control area from which imports of Regulation are to be scheduled enter into an agreement with the ISO for interconnected control area operations and held that under its "rule of reason," that the technical standards for the provision of Regulation imports need not be included in the ISO Tariff. With regard to the ISO's proposed Tariff revisions that: 1) would result in the ISO's publication of individual bid data after a six-month delay in a manner that permits tracking of bidding behavior over time but that does not identify individual Scheduling Coordinators or resources; and 2) would authorize the ISO to publish data sets (including individual bid data) analyzed in conjunction with a published ISO or Market Surveillance Committee report with as little as a one-month lag, upon approval of the ISO Governing Board, FERC accepted the first part of the proposal but found that the second part of this proposal would not protect the commercial sensitivity of the bid data. The Commission accepted the ISO's proposed Tariff revisions related to Maintenance Outage scheduling, subject to certain conditions including the ISO's agreement that, in light of the RTO Order, "any direct, unavoidable, and demonstrated cost" incurred by Participating TOs in rescheduling such cancelled outages should be paid by the beneficiaries of the cancellation and committed to modify the ISO Tariff consistent with this agreement. FERC also found that the ISO has not clearly defined the term "unduly significant market impact" and directed the ISO to submit Tariff revisions to make this a defined term, stating that it expects the definition to "contain specific criteria, e.g., using the examples enumerated in the ISO's answer, p. 7." The Commission also found that the ISO had not supported its proposal to eliminate the applicability of Sections 2.3.3.6.1 and 2.3.3.6.2 (regarding coordination between the operator and the ISO Outage Coordination Office) to cancellations under the revised Section 2.3.3.6, and directed the ISO to modify the Tariff to reflect the continued applicability of Sections 2.3.3.6.1 and 2.3.3.6.2 under these circumstances. The various modifications to the ISO's Maintenance Outage Scheduling proposal directed by the Commission are to be included in a compliance filing to be submitted within 30 days of the date of the order. The Commission approved the ISO's Amendment No. 25 proposed payment calendar modifications finding "that the proposed revision is an appropriate initial step in improving the payment process which balances the benefits of a shortened payment collection period with impacts on customers and ISO resources". The ISO proposed a number of revisions necessary to conform FTR Tariff provisions with prior Commission orders and existing settlement policies. The Commission accepted the ISO's proposal, finding that the issues raised by parties protesting these revisions were already before the Commission in other proceedings. The ISO proposed Tariff revisions that would apply to the allocation of RMR costs among the Responsible Utilities that would benefit if the SONGS facility should be designated as RMR generation. The Commission accepted the proposed revision, stating that the proposal was limited to a specific circumstance

and that "our finding that this proposal is acceptable holds no precedential value." Lastly, the Commission approved Tariff revisions intended to clarify the ISO's "TO Debit" provisions approved in Amendment No. 13 by providing that the ISO will issue to Scheduling Coordinators a notice in the event of transmission derates between the Day-Ahead and Hour-Ahead Markets. The ISO proposed that details concerning the timing and form of the notice would be set forth in an operating procedure. The PX protested that such detail should be included in the Tariff, but the Commission ruled that "the addition of timing and content requirements for transmission derate notifications does not need to be included in the ISO's tariff under our 'rule of reason.'"

- Order issued 3/39/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,313). The Commission accepts a revised Installed Capacity Requirement for the New York State Reliability Council. The proposal reduced the statewide reserve requirement from 22 to 18 percent.
- Order issued 3/29/00 (90 FERC 61,320). The Commission grants the New York ISO's 90-day extension request for its Temporary Extraordinary Procedure Authority. This authority can be used if there is a transitional abnormality (systemic equipment malfunction or a market design flaw). TEP allows the New York ISO to correct technical implementation errors and operational anomalies that do not allow the dispatch produced from the bidding algorithms.
- Order issued 3/29/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,319). FERC accepts the New York ISO's transitional installed capacity market design. The design include sanctions for ICAP generators failure to schedule or bid into the market during capacity-tight periods.
- Order issued 3/29/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,317). FERC denies rehearing of its order regarding the New York ISO's market power mitigation plan. The Commission conditionally accepted the revised plan. The plan set specific thresholds for identifying economic and physical withholding and uneconomic production that would trigger possible mitigation. It also gives specific measures for what constitutes a significant price effect and how any default bid obligation will be calculated. FERC permitted the ISO some discretion over whether or not to impose mitigation and for how long (up to six months after the conduct at issue). However, FERC emphasized mitigation was prospective only, stating it did "not intend for mitigation to entail any retroactive recalculation of market-clearing prices. The Commission also rejected the ISO's proposal to keep the triggering levels confidential.
- Order issued 3/30/00 (90 FERC 61,337). Request to withdraw Amendment No. 24 and terminate proceedings is granted.
- Final Rule issued 3/31/00 (Order No. 614). FERC amends regulations on tariff sheet designations.
- Order issued 3/31/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,345). The Commission conditionally accepts Amendment No. 26. FERC agreed with the ISO's assessment that the current dispatch policy has caused operational problems and is inconsistent with the ISO's practice of requiring balanced Load and Energy schedules. It concluded that predispatch would reduce the need to revise outputs and Loads in real time and reduce the level of Regulation reserves. The Commission noted that Amendment No. 26 does not change the ISO's method of determining RMR requirements and does not impose additional command and control on RMR Units. It concluded that requiring RMR Energy to be prescheduled and balanced with load is reasonable because the output of RMR Units has always been must-take. It also found, however, that most of the problems identified by the ISO are due to the current Congestion Management system, which permits the forward market schedules to be determined without regard to Intra-Zonal constraints. The Commission agreed with the ISO that, because of local market power concerns, RMR Units will remain

necessary even after Congestion Management reform. Because of these findings, it required the ISO to file for the continuation of its RMR procedures or for new RMR procedures when it files a new Congestion Management plan or by January 15, 2001 (the compliance date for Order No. 2000). In order to assist the ISO in that assessment, the Commission directed the MSC and MMC to issue, on a schedule that will allow the ISO to use the information, independent evaluations of the impact of predispatch. The Commission recognized that the requirement that the payment option apply to the entire period of the Dispatch notice increases the risk of RMR Owners. It noted the ISO's willingness to allow different payment options for peak and non-peak periods, but directed the ISO to modify Amendment No. 26 to allow RMR Owners to specify the hours for which they would receive each payment option. It expressed the belief that RMR Owners have sufficient experience to minimize their risk through their choice of the payment option for particular hours. It also noted that RMR Owners can avoid any risk by always taking the contract option. The Commission accepted the ISO's argument that predispatch was not a departure from the market first principle. It also minimized the RMR Owners' concerns about an inability to participate in the Ancillary Services Market, pointing out that the RMR Dispatch notices only applied to a limited amount of capacity and the RMR Owners could file for an increase in the Fixed Option Payment. The Commission rejected claims that Amendment No. 26 violated the letter or the balance of the Stipulation and Agreement, again noting that RMR Owners can file for an increase in the Fixed Option Payment. It expressed satisfaction with the adequacy of the stakeholder process, observing that it did not wish to "micromanage" the process. Finally, the Commission noted concerns about the advantages that Amendment No. 26 might provide to the PX. It agreed with the ISO that this concern is best addressed after the termination of the mandate that the Utility Distribution Companies trade in the PX, and directed the ISO to file revised procedures prior to that time.

- X Order issued 4/4/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,010). The Commission rejects the APX's annual report of transactions and required the report to be refiled on a non-confidential basis.
- Order issued 4/4/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,012). The Commission grants the New York ISO's rehearing request to permit the ISO to recover liability insurance costs in its rates.
 - Order issued 4/4/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,016). The Commission denies rehearing of its December 30, 1999 Order rejecting ISO New England's expense recovery rate design proposal for 2000 and ordering the ISO to reinstate the 1999 settlement design.
 - Order issued 4/4/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,023). The Commission grants an extension of NEPOOL's current congestion management authority until the earlier of 6/1/00 or the first calendar month 60 days after its required congestion management filing.
 - Order Issued 4/12/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,026). The Commission denies requests for rehearing and clarification of ISO, Dynegy and SoCal Edison on Amendment No. 23. FERC rejects the ISO's request to pay generators OOM rate instead of bid price during unusual system conditions such as an outage of a transmission line or an RMR facility.
 - Order issued 4/14/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,063). The Commission grants a complaint by the PJM companies that PJM reduced the amount of compensation generators will receive during certain periods, without a 205 filing. In the summer of 1999, the PJM Market Monitoring Unit became concerned that generators had found a way to evade the \$1000 / MWh bid cap during an Emergency Alert (system conditions require all available generation) by conditioning their offers on minimum run times. PJM implemented a "short run" solution which provided that PJM would not honor minimum run constraints as part of market based offers for days where PJM declared and Emergency Alert. PJM argued that they were only changing the PJM manual not the

tariff. The Commission concluded, however, that the description for determining credits and charges for operating reserves should have been in the tariff.

- Order issued 4/25/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,079). The Commission conditionally accepted as modified an amendment by the PX to provide for trading of Ancillary Services on a forward basis.
- Order issued 4/27/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,110). The Commission rejected a proposed non-market dispatch service tariff filed unilaterally by El Segundo. FERC found that the submission represented a collateral attack on its Amendment No. 23 order and was “an inappropriate attempt to change the terms of the ISO’s tariff unilaterally for the benefit of a single generator.”

II. SUMMARY OF RECENT FILINGS

- March 1, 2000 - RMR Rebuttal Testimony
- March 3, 2000 - Response to interventions on Amendment No. 25
- March 6, 2000 - SCA with Constellation Power Source
- March 7, 2000 - SCA with Cargill-Alliant
MSA with Constellation Power Source
- March 8, 2000 - Motion for an extension of time to complete the MSA compliance filing
- March 9, 2000 - Answer to interventions on Amendment No. 26
- March 14, 2000 - Motion to intervene in WSCC Docket No. ER00-1670
Cross-Answering Testimony of Spence Gerber in Sierra Pacific Power Docket No. ER99-28
Answer to interventions regarding the Compliance Filing for Amendment No. 23
- March 17, 2000 - Final Brief in Sierra Pacific Power Co. Docket No. EL99-85
Joint Statement of Contested Issues in Docket No. ER98-495
- March 20, 2000 - Letter informing Commission of events pertaining to Bylaw amendment
- March 24, 2000 - Motion to intervene in Docket No. ER00-1801
- March 27, 2000 - Motion to supplement the record in PG&E Docket No. ER00-902
Motion to intervene and comments in PG&E Docket No. ER00-1807
- March 28, 2000 - Motion to intervene and protest in El Segundo Docket No. ER00-1830
- X March 31, 2000 - Amendment No. 27 - revised transmission Access Charge

- X April 3, 2000 - Comments on FERC's Annual Charge Rulemaking
Joint Proposed Transcript Corrections in Docket No. ER98-495
- April 6, 2000 - SCA with Cucamonga
- April 10, 2000 - Unresolved Issues Answering Brief
- April 13, 2000 - Answer to WPTF Complaint on GMC
- April 14, 2000 - Amendment No. 28 (cost recovery mechanism for Load pilot program)
MSA and PGA with ARCO Kiln
Joint Stipulation of procedural history and factual background in PG&E Docket No. ER98-495
RMR opening brief in ER98-495
- April 17, 2000 - Proposed RMR transcript corrections
- April 20, 2000 - Unresolved Issues compliance filing
- April 24, 2000 - RMR Agreement with NCPA
- April 25, 2000 - ICAOA with Nevada Power Co.
- April 26, 2000 - Motion to intervene in PG&E Docket No. ER00-2075
- April 27, 2000 - Form 1
- April 28, 2000 - Joint RMR Reply Brief in ER98-495-000
- May 3, 2000 - Amendment No. 25 Compliance filing
Amendment No. 26 Compliance filing
- May 3, 2000 - Intervention in Sierra Pacific Docket No. EC00-63-000
- May 4, 2000 - Intervention in Duke Docket No. ER98-2668

- May 8, 2000 - Answer to interventions on transmission Access Charge
Unresolved Issues Reply Brief

III. SUMMARY OF UPCOMING EVENTS

- May 26, 2000 - MSC meeting
Response to Interventions on Unresolved Issues Compliance filing
- May 29, 2000 - Response to Interventions on NCPA RMR filing
- June 5, 2000 - Response to Interventions on Amendment No. 25 Compliance filing
- June 6, 2000 - Response to Interventions on Amendment No. 26 Compliance filing
Congestion management redesign stakeholder meeting
- June 9, 2000 - Congestion management redesign stakeholder meeting
- June 23, 2000 - Non-QF PGA Compliance filing
- November 15, 2000 - Expiration of price cap authority
- December 1, 2000 - Report on Long-Term FTRs
- January 15, 2001 - Date for RTO filing

IV. SUMMARY OF MATTERS SET FOR HEARING

Case	Subject	Schedule	Comments
El Segundo Power, LLC; ER98-2550-000	RMR	<p>Offer of Settlement filed 4/2/99. Certified as uncontested by order dated 4/27/99.</p> <p>Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.</p> <p>Offer of settlement filed on 11/3/99. Certified by Order dated 11/29/99. Approved by letter order dated 1/13/00 in ER98-495-007</p> <p>Offer of Settlement with PG&E filed 11/12/99. Certified to the Commission as an uncontested partial settlement on 12/21/99. Accepted by letter order dated 1/14/99 in ER98-495-008</p> <p>Offer of Settlement with Geysers Power filed on July 1, 1999. Certified to the Commission as unconditional Offer of Settlement by order dated 7/23/99. Accepted by letter order dated 1/31/00.</p> <p>Offer of Settlement with Williams filed on 8/31/99. Certified to the Commission as unconditional Offer of Settlement by order dated 10/5/99. Accepted by letter order dated 1/31/00</p> <p>Offer of Settlement with Reliant filed on 9/8/99. Certified to the Commission as unconditional Offer of Settlement by order dated 10/5/99</p> <p>Offer of Settlement with Duke filed 11/22/99. Certified to the Commission as an uncontested offer of settlement on 1/4/00. Accepted by letter order dated 1/28/00</p> <p>Offer of Settlement with El Segundo filed on 1/31/00. Certified to the Commission as an uncontested Offer of Settlement by order dated 3/2/00</p>	Before Judge Bobbie McCartney
Southern California Edison, ER98-441, California ISO ER98-1019-000 and El Segundo Power, ER98-2550-000	Black Start Agreement and RMR		<p>Offer of Settlement filed on 6/15/98 on Black Start accepted by letter order dated 9/17/98;</p> <p>Before Judge Wagner</p>

Duke Energy Moss Landing ER98-2668 and ER98-4300; Duke Energy Oakland ER98-2669-000 and ER98-4296-000	RMR		Before Judge Wagner - Schedule suspended by 11/1/99 Order
PG&E, ER98-495-000, ER98-1614, ER98-2145 (PG&E/Southern)	RMR	Briefs filed - awaiting ALJ decision	Before Judge Young
SDG&E, ER98-496 and ER98-2160-000 (SDG&E / Southern)	RMR	Offer of Settlement filed 3/31/00	Before Judge Joseph Nacy
SDG&E, ER98-496-006 (SDG&E/Dynegy)	RMR	Offer of Settlement filed 5/8/00	Before Judge Herbert Grossman
Southern California Edison; ER98-441-000	RMR Phases II	Schedule suspended by order dated 3/1/00	Before Judge Joseph Nacy
Pacific Gas & Electric, ER98-2087 and ER97-2358	TO Tariff	Offer of settlement filed 4/14/99 as corrected on 4/30/99	Before Judge Bruce Birchman - contested offer of settlement certified to the Commission by order dated 5/20/99
Southern California Edison, ER98-2322 and ER97-2355	TO Tariff	Initial Decision issued 3/31/99	Awaiting Commission decision
ER97-2358-002; ER97-2355-002; ER97-2364-002; ER97-4235-002 ER98-497-002	Non-rate terms and conditions of TO tariff	Partial Offer of Settlement certified to the Commission by Order dated 9/1/99 Initial Decision issued 9/1/99	Awaiting Commission decision
CAL ISO, ER98-1499-000, ER98-1500-000, ER98-1501-000, ER98-1502-000	Meter Service Agreements	Uncontested Offer of Settlement accepted by letter order dated 2/24/00. 90 FERC ¶ 61,186.	Compliance filing made 5/11/00
CAL ISO, ER98-992, et al.	Non-QF Participating Generator Agreement	Order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,176), letter order accepting the settlement of the Non-QF Participating Generator Agreement case Docket No. ER98-992-000, et al.	Compliance filing due 6/23/00
CAL ISO, ER98-997-000 and ER98-1309	QF PGA	Procedural Schedule suspended - joint motion for appointment of a settlement judge has been granted	Before Judge Delbert Terrill, Jr Settlement Judge William Cowan

Sierra Pacific Power ER99-28-000, ER99- 945-000 and EL99-38- 000	Alturas	April 25, 2000 - Hearing	Before Judge Silverstein
PG&E ER99-2326-000 and EL99-68-000	TO 3 Tariff	On 11/8/99, PG&E filed an Offer of Settlement covering wholesale transmission rate issues. This was certified to the Commission as an uncontested settlement on 12/9/99 and accepted by letter order dated 1/31/00. Parties achieved an additional settlement of all but two issues concerning PG&E's retail revenue requirement (retail rate design and gen ties). An offer of settlement was filed on 2/28/00. It was certified as an uncontested Offer of Settlement on March 31, 2000 and approved on 4/26/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,090)	Before Judge H. Peter Young
PG&E ER99-4323	TO 4 Tariff	Parties have reached an agreement in principle. Order suspending the procedural schedule issued 5/9/00	Before Judge Joseph R. Nacy
CAL PX, ER99-4113- 000	Tariff Simplification	Settlement process ongoing	Settlement Judge Stephen Grossman
Turlock and Modesto v. ISO, EL99-93-00	TID/MID Complaint	Settlement process ongoing	Settlement Judge William J. Cowan
SCE; ER00-845-000 PG&E; ER00-851-000 SDG&E; ER00-860- 000	OOM Pass-through	Intervenor Answering Testimony - 5/26/00 Staff Answering Testimony - 6/23/00 Joint Stipulation of Issues - 7/6/00 Intervenor Cross-Answering Testimony - 7/21/00 Applicants Rebuttal Testimony - 8/14/00 Revised Joint Stipulation of Issues -8/22/00 Pre-trial briefs - 8/31/00 Columnar Chart - 9/5/00 Hearing 9/6/00	Before Judge Birchman

V. SUMMARY OF MATTERS ON REHEARING & APPEAL

MATTERS ON REHEARING

Case	Issues	Status
EC96-19-001 to 005; ER98-1663-001 to 006; Order dated October 30, 1997, 81FERC ¶ 61,122	Rehearing requests on issues other than governance	By Order in Docket No. ER98-3760 these rehearing requests will be considered as part of the Unresolved Issues settlement and Briefing
EC96-19-024; ER96-1663-025; Order dated March 11, 1998, 82 FERC ¶ 61,236	Rehearing of selection of RMR units	Tolling order issued on 5/6/98
El Segundo, ER98-2550-000	CAL ISO rehearing request on cost-based rate cap	FERC issued a tolling order on 7/31/98
AES, ER98-2843-000, 98-2844, 98-2883-000; Long Beach, ER98-2972-000; El Segundo, ER98-2971-000; Ocean Vista et al., ER98-2977-000	Request for Emergency Stay, Request for Rehearing and Motion for Clarification regarding authorization to sell Ancillary Services at market-based rates	<p>Order dated July 17, 1998 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 6/30/98 and 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to “reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve.” FERC issues tolling order on 8/12/98.</p> <p>Order issued October 28, 1998 in AES Redondo Beach, LLC, et al., 85 FERC ¶ 61, 123 - FERC authorizes market-based rates for all sellers of Ancillary Services and Replacement Reserve Services with California and extends the interim authority of the ISO to limit prices it will pay for Ancillary Services. FERC directs the ISO to conduct a stakeholder process and make a comprehensive proposal to restructure the Ancillary Service markets by March 1, 1999. FERC also denies the requests for rehearing of its prior orders and SoCal’s complaint in Docket No. EL98-62-000.</p>
AES, ER98-2843-005, 98-2844-005, 98-2883-005; Long Beach, ER98-2972-006; El Segundo, ER98-2971-006; Ocean Vista et al., ER98-2977-004; Williams ER98-3106-002; Duke Energy, ER98-3416-004, et al.; Southern California Edison, EL98-62-003; Sempra Energy, ER98-4497-002; and SDG&E, ER98-4498-002	Rehearing requested by ISO, CPUC, and Bonneville	Tolling order issued 12/18/98
Williams Energy Services; ER98-3106-000	Authorization to sell Ancillary Services at Market-based rates	Consolidated with AES Dockets.

<p>CAL ISO, ER98-3760-002</p>	<p>Metropolitan Water District and Southern California Edison Rehearing Requests of September 11, 1998 Order accepting clarification filing</p>	<p>Tolling order issued 11/9/98</p>
<p>EC96-19-023; ER9-1663-024; Order dated May 28, 1988, 83 FERC ¶ 61,209 - Rehearing Requests Designated EC96-19-030 and ER96-1663-031</p>	<p>Rehearing of Amendment No. 7</p>	
<p>CAL ISO, ER99-3594-000</p>	<p>Rehearings of Amendment No. 9</p>	<p>In an Order issued 8/2/99, 88 FERC ¶ 61,156, FERC acted on the rehearing requests regarding Amendment No. 9. FERC granted the ISO's request to postpone FTR implementation deadlines due to Y2K concerns. The ISO may conduct its initial FTR auction to permit release effective February 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001. The reports of the ISO and the Market Surveillance Committee, formerly due October 1, 1999, will now be due December 1, 2000. The Commission denied the intervenors' request for rehearing on the availability of physical transmission rights, stating that "properly designed financial rights" can be as effective as firm physical transmission rights, as long as the ISO has the ability to manage congestion efficiently. FERC denied requests for clarification that the ISO provide for FTRs that last for at least twenty years, on the one hand, and requests that the ISO not make any decision on long-term FTRs until there has been time to analyze market performance, on the other. The Commission expressed itself satisfied with the current plan, which will provide for FTR's lasting one year, while leaving the requirement that the ISO report on progress towards making longer-term FTRs available unchanged apart from the date the report will be due (December 1, 2000). The Commission denied the intervenors' request for rehearing on treatment of revenues for counter scheduling, stating such transactions need to be compensated appropriately, as the ISO Tariff currently provides. FERC granted the ISO's request to determine available capacity using a 99.5 percent historic capacity availability standard, as it was "satisfied...that the ISO is taking a conservative approach" which considers the possible harms which would result if the ISO released too much or too little capacity. The Commission directed the ISO to continue to review its methodology, to determine whether a more definite measure of available capacity can be developed, and to address its progress in this regard in the report. The Commission denied requests for rehearing on the desirability of creating new congestion zones before FTRs expire, treated in Tariff Section 9.2.2.1. As it did in the May 3 order, FERC again delayed providing guidance on issues related to secondary market transactions. The Commission also directed the ISO to modify its tariff to include the clarification that "any Participating Transmission Owner that has no transmission customers need not develop a Transmission Revenue Balancing Account, a Transmission Revenue Requirement, nor an Access Charge."</p>
<p>CAL ISO, ER98-3594-005</p>	<p>TANC, M-S-R, Santa Clara, Redding and Modesto rehearing of 11/10/9 order (89 FERC 61,153)</p>	

CAL ISO, ER98-3574-003	California ISO, SoCal Edison, and Dynegy requests for rehearing on Amendment No. 9	Tolling order issued 9/23/99
CAL ISO, EC96-19-044 and ER96-1663-046	Turlock rehearing on Amendment 10	Tolling order issued 12/14/98
CAL ISO, EC96-19-043 and ER96-1663-044	SDG&E rehearing on Amendment 11	Tolling order issued 11/17/98
CAL ISO, ER99-896-000	DWR, Cities of Redding and Santa Clara and M-S-R, ECI, TANC, So Cal Edison, and SDG&E rehearing of Amendment No. 13	Tolling order issued 4/9/99
CAL ISO, ER99-2730-002; and EL99-67-001	Western Power Trading Forum, Enron and Coral Power clarification and rehearing of June 17, 199 GMC Order - Amendment No. 16	Tolling order issued 8/10/99
CAL ISO, ER99-3301-002	DWR Rehearing on Amendment No. 18	Tolling order issued 9/23/99
CAL ISO; ER99-4462-001	Williams, Dynegy, Duke, SMUD, SCE, and Southern rehearings of Amendment No. 21	Tolling order issued 1/5/00
CAL ISO; ER00-1239	TANC requested rehearing of Amendment No. 25	
CAL ISO; ER00-1365	Duke and Reliant rehearings of Amendment No. 26	
CAL ISO; ER99-1770-001	Coalition of New Market Participants rehearing of Path 15 Operating Instructions	Tolling order issued 8/10/99
CAL ISO; ER00-800-001	WPTF rehearing of order on GMC informational filing	Tolling order issued 4/20/00
Sierra Power Pacific, ER99-28-002	Sierra Pacific rehearing of 2/26/99 Order (86 FERC 61,198)	Tolling Order issued 4/9/99
PG&E, ER99-2326-001 and EL99-68-001	California Commission rehearing of Order at 87 FERC ¶ 61,218 on PG&E TO3 case	Tolling order issued 7/15/99
PG&E; ER99-3145-001 and Laguna; EL98-46-006	PG&E and Edison rehearing of Laguna interconnection order- 88 FERC ¶ 61,164	Tolling order issued 9/30/99
SCE; ER00-845-000, PG&E ER00-851-000, and SDG&E ER00-860-000	Whether costs of out-of-market dispatch should be passed through to ETC holders	
Southern Energy Delta and Southern Energy Protrero, Docket Nos. ER00-936-001 and ER00-937-001	The Commission rejected the proposed revisions to the maximum net dependable capacity values	Tolling order issued 4/4/00

MATTERS ON APPEAL

Case	Issues	Status
California Independent System Operator Corporation V. FERC, No. 98-1225 and California Electricity Oversight Board v. FERC, No. 98-1226 and No. 99-1133 and Motion to Dismiss, No. 98-1384	Does FERC have jurisdiction over matters included in a state law that asserts jurisdiction over reliability decisions affecting retail transactions; does FERC have authority to change the governance of an entity created under state law and charged with carrying out both federal and state functions; can a FERC order bind an entity that did not exist when the order was issued; and did FERC abuse its discretion in denying rehearing as untimely when the entity against whom the original order was issued did not exist?	FERC filed motion to dismiss on June 18, 1998; ISO filed response on June 29, 1998 Status Report due 7/22/99
Western Power Trading Forum et al. v. FERC No. 99-1532	Appeal of FERC's August 5, 1999 and November 1, 1999 governance orders in California Electricity Oversight Board, EL99-75	Filed December 22, 1999
El Segundo Power and Long Beach Generation v. FERC	Price caps	Filed on March 14, 2000

VI. COMPLAINTS

Complaint	Filed Date	Noticed	Response Due	Comments
EL98-51-000 Eric Woychick Utility Reform Network et al v. California ISO	5/27/98	7/1/98	7/31/98	<p>Complaint by TURN, UCAN and CU regarding the governance structure</p> <p>Order issued November 24, 1998 (85 FERC ¶ 61,263) addressing compliance issues relating to ISO governance. FERC directs the ISO to amend its Bylaws within 45 days, to seat Mr. Woychik immediately for a full term or on an interim basis pending further elections.</p> <p>Order denying the Oversight Board's rehearing request issued 2/4/99</p>
EL98-62-000	7/13/98	7/17/98	8/17/98	Complaint filed by SCE regarding FERC authorizations to AES and others to sell ancillary services at market-based rates. Order issued October 28, 1998 in AES Redondo Beach, LLC, et al., 85 FERC ¶ 61,123 - FERC denies the complaint in Docket No. EL98-62-000.
EL99-30-000	1/20/99	3/5/99	3/5/99	<p>Complaint by Western Power Trading Forum alleging that the GMC is unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, and in violation of prior ISO settlement</p> <p>Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶ 61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3) reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing was one under section 205 to modify an existing rate rather than a contested settlement; (4) established a refund effective date under section 206 (since there was no rate increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.</p>
EL00-58-000	3/24/00		4/14/00	Complaint by Western Power Trading Forum alleging that the GMC is unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, and in violation of prior ISO settlement
EL99-93-000	9/17/99	9/20/99	10/7/99	Complaint by Turlock and Modesto alleging undue discrimination in treatment of resources supplying AS and IE from units inside the ISO Control Area as opposed to units outside the ISO Control Area. Order issued 11/15/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,182) - the Commission sets complaint for hearing but holds the hearing in abeyance and instituted settlement judge proceedings.

VII. STATUS OF ISO FERC FILINGS

A. Tariff & Protocol Filings

TARIFF & PROTOCOL FILINGS						
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	F ERC Orders	Status
ISO Tariff	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-003 ER96-1663-003	8/15/97	7/30/97 ¹	9/2/97	10/30/97 2/24/00	<i>See below.</i> Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff Changes For Info Purposes	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-008 ER96-1663-009	10/31/97	11/6/97	N/A	12/17/97 2/24/00	Changes accepted with nominal suspension, accepted and permitted to go into effect on ISO Operations Date; conforming changes made and tariff posted 12/22/97; compliance filing due 60 days from ISO Operations Date. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Protocols	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-008 ER96-1663-009	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97 2/24/00	Informational filing was accepted with nominal suspension as part of ISO Tariff and permitted to go into effect; conforming changes made and posted 12/22/97 as part of ISO Tariff. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Grid Mgmt. Charge	ISO, ER98-211-000	10/17/97	10/21/97	11/7/97	12/17/97; 6/1/98	Settlement accepted by FERC order dated 6/1/98. On 10/28/98 the ISO filed to extend Settlement for six months.

ISO Grid Mgmt. Charge	ISO, ER99-473-000	10/28/98	11/10/98	11/20/98	12/23/98 4/2/99	Order issued December 23, 1998 (85 FERC ¶ 61,433) accepting, subject to refund and further orders, proposed six month extension of current GMC Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶ 61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3) reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing was one under section 205 to modify an existing rate rather than a contested settlement; (4) established a refund effective date under section 206 (since there was no rate increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.
Informational filing for GMC settlement	ISO, ER99-921	12/29/98	N/A		4/2/99	Orders issued 4/2/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,016 and 87 FERC ¶ 61,023) - the Commission: (1) accepted the ISO's informational filing of 12/15/98 and rejected EPUC/CAC's protest; (2) dismissed Western Power Trading Forum's complaint as duplicative; (3) reaffirmed its determination that the extension filing was one under section 205 to modify an existing rate rather than a contested settlement; (4) established a refund effective date under section 206 (since there was no rate increase their could not be a refund); and (5) affirmed that no purpose would be served by holding a hearing prior to the July 1, 1999 proceeding.
ISO Financing	ISO; ES98-9-000	11/17/97	11/21/97	12/16/97	12/22/97	Order allowed closing of transfer from Trust; amendment required prior to permanent financing.
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 1	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-014 EC96-1663-015	2/19/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/27/98 2/24/00	Proposed amendment is accepted, with conditions and modifications discussed in 82 FERC ¶ 61,312. The ISO shall post this amendment on the ISO Home Page and shall file these changes with the compliance filing within 60 days of the ISO Grid Operation date. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 2	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-015 ER96-1663-016	2/25/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/27/98	Proposed amendment is hereby rejected.
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 3	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-016 ER96-1663-017	2/25/98	2/27/98	3/12/98	3/27/98	Proposed amendment is hereby rejected.

ISO Tariff Amendment No. 4	PG&E EC96-19-017 ER96-1663-018	3/3/98	3/4/98	3/16/98	3/30/98 2/24/00	Proposed amendment is hereby accepted for filing, and suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date, subject to refund, subject to the conditions and modifications discussed, and subject to further Commission orders. The ISO is hereby directed to refile the ISO Tariff no later than 60 days after the ISO Operations Date. The ISO is directed to inform the Commission of tariff provisions that will be staged and timing of future implementation. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 5	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-018 ER96-1663-019	3/3/98	3/4/98	3/16/98	3/30/98 2/24/00	Proposed amendment is hereby accepted for filing, and suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date, subject to refund, subject to the conditions and modifications discussed, and subject to further Commission orders. The ISO is hereby directed to refile the ISO Tariff no later than 60 days after the ISO Operations Date. The ISO is directed to inform the Commission of tariff provisions that will be staged and timing of future implementation. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 6	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-021 ER96-1663-022	3/23/98	3/25/98	4/9/98	3/30/98 2/24/00	Proposed amendment is hereby accepted for filing, and suspended for a nominal period, to become effective on the ISO Operations Date, subject to refund, subject to the conditions and modifications discussed, and subject to further Commission orders. The ISO is hereby directed to refile the ISO Tariff no later than 60 days after the ISO Operations Date. The ISO is directed to inform the Commission of tariff provisions that will be staged and timing of future implementation. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 7	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-023 ER96-1663-024	3/31/98	4/20/98	5/11/98	5/28/98; 83 FERC ¶ 61,209 2/24/00	Amendment 7 other than the proposed modification to section 2.1.4 is accepted. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
Amendment No. 7 clarification	EC96-19-031; ER96-1663-032	6/29/98	7/6/98	7/23/98		

ISO Tariff Amendment No. 8	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-027 ER96-1663-028	5/19/98	5/29/98	6/8/98	6/24/98; 83 FERC ¶ 61,309	Conditionally accepted subject to clarification and future reporting requirements
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 8 Compliance	CAL ISO, EC96-19-034; ER96-1663-035	7/24/98	7/29/98	8/13/98		
ISO Tariff Amendment No. 9 [FTR]	CAL ISO; ER98-3594-000	6/30/98	7/6/98	7/20/98	12/21/98; 85 FERC 61,405	Order grants ISO's motion to extend the effective date for implementation of FTRs
		12/4/98	12/9/98	12/28/98	5/3/99; 87 FERC 61,143 8/2/99	Order conditionally accepting proposed tariff changes
Amendment No. 9 [FTR]	ISO; ER98-3594-001				8/2/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,156	FERC granted the ISO's request to postpone FTR implementation deadlines due to Y2K concerns. The ISO may conduct its initial FTR auction to permit release effective February 1, 2000 through March 31, 2001. The reports of the ISO and the Market Surveillance Committee, formerly due October 1, 1999, will now be due December 1, 2000. The Commission denied the intervenors' request for rehearing on the availability of physical transmission rights, stating that "properly designed financial rights" can be as effective as firm physical transmission rights, as long as the ISO has the ability to manage congestion efficiently. FERC denied requests for clarification that the ISO provide for FTRs that last for at least twenty years, on the one hand, and requests that the ISO not make any decision on long-term FTRs until there has been time to analyze market performance, on the other. The Commission expressed itself satisfied with the current plan, which will provide for FTR's lasting one year, while leaving the requirement that the ISO report on progress towards making longer-term FTRs available unchanged apart from the date the report will be due (December 1, 2000). The Commission denied the intervenors' request for rehearing on treatment of revenues for counter scheduling, stating such transactions need to be compensated appropriately, as the ISO Tariff currently provides. FERC granted the ISO's request to determine available capacity using a 99.5 percent historic capacity availability standard, as it was "satisfied...that the ISO is taking a conservative approach" which considers the possible harms which would result if the ISO released too much or too little capacity. The Commission directed the ISO to continue to review its methodology, to determine whether a more definite measure of available capacity can be developed, and to address its progress in this regard in the report. The Commission denied requests for rehearing on the desirability of creating new congestion zones before FTRs expire, treated in Tariff Section 9.2.2.1. As it did in the May 3 order, FERC again delayed providing guidance on issues related to secondary market transactions. The Commission also directed the ISO to modify its tariff to include the clarification that "any Participating Transmission Owner that has no transmission customers need not develop a Transmission Revenue Balancing Account, a Transmission Revenue Requirement, nor an Access Charge."

Amendment No. 9					11/10/99	Order issued 11/10/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,153) - the Commission responded to certain questions regarding jurisdiction and price limits for firm transmission rights. FERC concluded that resales of FTRs in the secondary market are jurisdictional transactions and required public utility resellers to file for authorization to make such sales. FERC also stated that the resales were subject to its policy with respect to price caps for transmission rights but noted that since FTRs would initially be sold for terms of less than one year the prices paid in the secondary market should not exceed the sellers opportunity cost thus meeting the standard. Finally, FERC required the ISO to post prices at which FTRs are sold in the secondary market.
Amendment No. 9 Compliance filing	California ISO Docket No. ER99-3594-002	8/13/99 & 8/17/99	8/18/99 & 8/23/99	9/2/99 & 9/7/99	9/17/99	Accepted for filing
June 1, 1998 Compliance Filing	PG&E <i>et al</i> EC96-19-029; ER96-1663-030	6/1/98	6/9/98	8/5/98	2/24/00	Order issued 10/28/98 accepting certain proposed changes to the ISO's Bylaws. Order issued 4/28/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,102) - the Commission issues an order accepting the March 11, 1999 unresolved issues report and establishing further procedures for the Offer of Settlement and briefing of the Unresolved Issues. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)

July 15, Clarification Filing	Cal ISO; ER98-3760-000	7/15/98	7/20/98	Initially 8/4/98 extended to 8/17/98	7/31/98; 9/11/98 4/28/99 2/24/00	7/31/98 Order extends date for interventions and protests. 9/11/98 Order issued September 11, 1998 - FERC accepts all clarification changes, except a change that was superseded by Amendment 10; requires the filing within 15 days of a protocol describing how the ISO will exercise its discretion under sec. 2.2.12.1 to waive scheduling guidelines; and denies (without prejudice) the ISO's proposal to move all unresolved issues to the Clarification docket, but otherwise adopting the ISO's proposed procedural approach, including establishing a 120-day period for the parties to agree on a comprehensive open-issues list and to settle as many issues as possible, with trial staff's participation. Order issued 4/28/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,102) - the Commission issues an order accepting the March 11, 1999 unresolved issues report and establishing further procedures for the Offer of Settlement and briefing of the Unresolved Issues. Letter order issued 2/24/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61, 178) accepting the Unresolved Issues settlement)
Compliance filing from 9/11 order on clarification filing	Cal ISO, ER98-3760-001	9/28/98	10/1/98 errata 10/2/98	10/16/98	12/16/98	ISO directed to amend protocol within 15 days to include specific waiver criteria
Compliance filing from 12/16 Order	Cal ISO, ER98-3760	12/30/98	1/6/98	1/19/98	2/18/99	Accepted for filing
Compliance filing Unresolved Issues Settlement	Cal ISO, ER98-3760- 005; EC96-19-053, ER96-1663-056	4/20/00	4/25/00	5/11/00		
Amendment No. 10	Cal ISO, EC96-19-035 and ER96-1663-036	7/27/98 amend. 7/28/98	7/28/98	8/6/98	7/31/98	Order issued July 31, 1998 (84 FERC ¶ 61,121) - FERC conditionally accepts Amendment No. 10 to permit the ISO to receive ancillary bids from producers outside the control area. FERC also accepts, on a prospective basis, the proposed amendment to section 26.2 to clarify that the ISO will only waive penalties incurred as a result of limitations with the ISO's software.

Amendment 10 compliance	Cal ISO, EC96-19-035 and ER96-1663-036	8/17 and 8/20 compliance	8/24/94	9/7/98	10/16/98	Accepted for filing pending further compliance filing to incorporate both Amendment 10 and Amendment 11 changes into a single conformed sheet. Turlock has requested rehearing - tolling order issued 12/14/98
Amendment 10 Correction	Cal ISO, EC96-19-035 and ER96-1663-036	errata 8/21/98			10/16/98	Accepted for filing pending further compliance filing to incorporate both Amendment 10 and Amendment 11 changes into a single conformed sheet.
Amendment No. 11 - Downward Regulation Bids	Cal ISO, EC96-19-039 ER96-1663-040	8/14/98	8/14/98	8/28/98	9/17/98	Accepted for filing
		8/20/98	8/25/98	9/9/98		
Amendment 10 & 11 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, EC96-19-045 and ER96-1663-47	11/16/98 errata 11/17/98	11/20/98	12/4/98	6/1/99	Accepted for filing, 87 FERC ¶ 61,256.
Amendment No. 12 - Extension of the BEEP cap	Cal ISO, ER99-826-000	12/4/98	12/9/98	12/28/98	1/27/99	Order issued January 27, 1999 (86 FERC ¶ 61,059) rejecting ISO's proposed amendment to establish price caps for imbalance energy but granting ISO interim authority to impose purchase price caps in the real-time energy market in the same manner as FERC has granted it for the other ISO markets.
Amendment No. 13	Cal ISO, ER99-896-000	12/11/98	12/16/98	1/7/98	2/9/99	Order issued 2/9/99, approving Amendment No. 13 except for retroactive adjustment to settlement statements for Replacement Reserves
Amendment No. 13 Compliance	Cal ISO, ER99-896-001	2/24/99	3/1/99	3/16/99	3/24/99	Accepted for filing
Governance compliance filing	Cal ISO, EC96-19-047; ER96-1663-049	1/8/99	1/15/99	2/8/99	3/10/99	Commission accepts Enron's notice of withdrawal of protest.

A/S Redesign Amendment No. 14	Cal ISO, ER99-1971	3/1/99	3/4/99	3/26/99	5/26/99 7/26/99	Order issued 5/26/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,208, FERC largely approves Amendment 14 and confirms that the ISO acted correctly when it reduced above-cap A/S bids to the applicable cap. FERC: (1) conditionally accepted the rational buyer proposal, while expressing doubts about some components and requiring MSC to report on its implementation by 10/15/99; (2) approved the changes for replacement reserves/effective price and automated BEEP without condition; (3) accepted the Reg up/ Reg down and inter-SC trade proposals noting ISO agreement to clarify tariff provisions; (4) accepted the buy-back proposal (Billing on Metered Demand) as to self-provided capacity that is voluntarily withdrawn by an SC, but rejected it as to self-provided capacity withdrawn at the instruction of the ISO (noting the situation where self-provided capacity must be withdrawn because a transmission line is derated); (5) accepted the Generator Communication proposal; (6) rejected arguments that FERC mandate filing of pro forma PLA agreement, leaving that issue to stakeholder discussions; (7) permitted ISO to retain price cap authority only through November 15, 1999 (if ISO wants to retain authority, it must demonstrate after the summer that market design flaws remain); (8) rejected the argument that ISO should eliminate or modify the 25% limit on A/S imports; and (9) confirmed the reasonableness of the ISO's reducing above-cap bids to the applicable cap.
Amendment No. 14 Rehearing	Cal ISO, ER99-1971-001	6/25/99			7/26/99	Order issued 7/26/99 (88 FERC ¶ 61,096), FERC denied the ISO's request for rehearing and stay of the May 26, 1999 order rejecting the buy-back proposal (Billing on Metered Demand) as to self-provided capacity withdrawn at the instruction of the ISO.
Amendment No. 14 Compliance Filing	Cal ISO; ER99-1971-002	7/2/99 & 8/6/99	7/8/99 & 8/23/99	7/22/99 & 9/9/99	10/8/99	Accepted for filing

Amendment No. 14	Cal ISO; ER99-1971-002				1/14/99	Order issued 1/14/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,036) the Commission clarified that the ISO's buy back proposal required that only Ancillary Services that are voluntarily withdrawn from the day-ahead schedule by an SC, regardless of whether they are self-provided or sold into the market, should be subject to the buy back proposal. The Commission then reiterated a statement made in the May 26 Order, that the shouldconsider implementing a bidding mechanism to address situations in which it must change the amount of capacity self-provided or sold into the Ancillary Services markets. The Commission also: (1) rejected SoCal Edison's request for rehearing of the Commission's approval of the ISO's proposal to allocate to load the cost of extra Replacement Reserves needed to meet demand not scheduled in the day-ahead market; (2) denied rehearing requests by El Segundo and Long Beach alleging inconsistent Commission treatment of different kinds of price caps and that the ISO's treatment of above-cap bids results in unilateral adjustments to bidders' rate schedules; and (3) granted the ISO's clarification that the the May 26 Order merely cautioned that FERC-licensed hydro facilities must have the flexibility to control output if necessary but was not intended to suggest that licensees should be exempted. The Commission also rejected as moot all requests for rehearing concerning the extension of the ISO's price cap authority, because the Commission's approval of Amendment No. 21 had already extended the ISO's price cap authority until November 15, 2000.
Compliance filing	CAL ISO, ER99-1971-005		2/17/00	3/6/00	4/21/00	Accepted for filing
Employee Code of Conduct	Cal ISO, ER99-2563-000	4/22/99	4/28/99	5/12/99	5/26/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 15, RMR	Cal ISO, ER99-2407-000	4/7/99	4/12/99	4/27/99		Accepted by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC 61,229

ISO GMC - Amendment 16	Cal ISO, ER99-2730-000	4/30/99	5/5/99	5/20/99	6/17/99	FERC approves an order on the GMC. FERC: (1) accepts the filing effective July 1, 1999, to extend the GMC to 12/31/2000; (2) initiates a 206 investigation of the filing, effective 60 days after notice is published; (3) denies requests for hearings in light of fact that there is no unbunbling study or computer capabilities; and (4) makes the GMC for this period subject to the outcome of the GMC filing to become effective on January 1, 2001. In light of the decisions to put the case off to the next filing, the Commission rejects the surcharge request as premature.
Amendment No. 16 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99-2730-001	6/24/99	6/29/99	7/14/99	7/27/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 17	Cal ISO, ER99-3289-000	6/17/99	6/22/99	7/7/99	8/16/99	Order issued 8/16/99 – The Commission conditionally accepted Amendment No. 17. The revisions concerned: (1) an extension of the current payment calendar; (2) a <u>pro forma</u> PLA; (3) a revised outage coordination protocol; (4) the recovery of WSCC fines; (5) the recovery of costs for communications services; (6) REPA allocation; (7) dispatch instructions; and (8) the broadening of financial instruments with which Scheduling Coordinators can establish their creditworthiness. The ISO is to complete an evaluation of its payment calendar.
Amendment No. 17 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99-3289	9/15/99	9/20/99	10/5/99	10/15/99 and 10/27/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 18- Intra-zonal congestion management	Cal ISO, ER99-3301-000	6/18/99	6/21/99	7/1/99	7/30/99	Accepted for filing as modified, 88 FERC ¶ 61,146
Amendment No. 18 Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99-3301-001	8/13/99	8/18/99	9/2/99	10/15/99	Commission conditionally accepts compliance filing - ISO directed to modify the tariff to include the operating procedure used to manage intra-zonal congestion.
Amendment No. 18 Compliance filing	CAL ISO, ER99-3301-003	11/15/99	11/22/99	12/3/99	1/13/00	Accepted for filing (90 FERC ¶ 61,025)

Amendment No. 19 - New Generator Interconnection	Cal ISO, ER99-3339-000	6/23/99	6/28/99 7/9/99	7/13/99 7/27/99	9/15/99 & 1/31/00	<p>Order issued 9/15/99 – The Commission rejected the proposed Amendment No. 19 to the ISO Tariff regarding the new generation interconnection policy. The California ISO was directed to reconvene its stakeholder process to redesign its new generation interconnection policy. The Commission granted the ISO’s request for an extension of time to file a report evaluating zone creation.</p> <p>Order issued 1/31/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,086) the Commission denied the ISO’s request for rehearing concerning the new generator interconnection policy. As to Duke’s request that the Commission clarify its stance on the costs for which new generators are responsible, the Commission stated that the September 15 Order intended neither to create nor reject any principles of cost allocation. Moreover, the Commission stated that it has never established the “principle” that Duke posits, <i>i.e.</i>, that new generators must be responsible for the additional redispatch costs incurred by existing generators as a result of increased congestion.</p>
Supplemental Bylaw filings	Cal ISO, EC96-19-047 and ER96-1663-049	7/8/99 7/16/99	7/15/99 7/21/99	8/9/99		
Bylaw compliance filing	Cal Electric Oversight Board; EL99-75-003	1/31/00	2/9/00	3/1/00		
Bylaw compliance filing	Cal Electric Oversight Board; EL99-75-003	3/20/00	4/13/00	4/24/00		
Amendment No. 20- Rational Buyer technical corrections	Cal ISO, ER99-3879-000	7/30/99	8/4/99	8/19/99	9/1/99	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 21 Price Caps	Cal ISO, ER99-4462-000	9/17/99	9/22/99 & 10/6/99	10/7/99 extended to 10/14/99	11/12/99	FERC accepts extension of price cap authority until 11/15/00

Amendment No. 22 - Quarterly filing	Cal ISO, ER99-4545-000	9/27/99	10/4/99	10/15/99	11/24/99 3/29/00	<p>FERC: (1) approved creation of a new congestion management zone south of transmission Path 15; (2) found the ISO's proposed FTR registration requirements to be reasonable; (3) accepted the ISO's proposed method of establishing the seed price for FTRs in new zones; (4) authorized the ISO to allocate the costs of generating units that are not within the service area of a PTO but which are designated as RMR units to the PTO whose service areas are contiguous to the designated unit (subject to a separate section 205 rate filing); and (5) accepted the ETC and FTR template proposals. FERC denied the request that the ISO be required to verify that its schedules, as submitted, properly reflect the FTR holdings of individual market participants. FERC directs the ISO to submit in a compliance filing revised Tariff provisions indicating that certain information on FTR sales and resales that will be posted on the Home Page. The Commission also accepted on a prospective basis proposed Tariff changes to modify the ISO's method of calculating transmission losses and to modify the ISO's method for allocating transmission losses for imbalance energy and unaccounted for energy to utility distribution companies. Finally, FERC approved the ISO's proposals: (1) to provide market participants with a mechanism to dispute new or modified charges or credits that appear for the first time on final settlement statements and (2) to modify Tariff revisions regarding the allocation of awards payable to or from the ISO pursuant to good faith negotiations and/or the ADR process.</p> <p>3/29/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,315). On rehearing, the Commission: (1) reiterated that the proposed assignment of RMR costs was appropriate; (2) noted that recovery of RMR costs is through a formula rate and therefore no purpose would be served by filing each contract the ISO enters into with a non-jurisdictional entity; and (3) denied PG&E's rehearing request with respect to the proposed procedure to address charges or credits that appear for the first time on a final statement and to make the transmission loss methodology retroactive to the beginning of ISO operations. FERC also stated that the approval of Zone 26 was specific to the facts of Amendment No. 22 and the criterion in place at that time.</p>
Amendment No. 22 - market notice	Cal ISO, ER4545-001	12/7/99	12/13/99	12/27/99	1/7/00	Accepted for filing
Amendment No. 22 - Compliance filing	Cal ISO, ER99-4545-003	12/22/99	12/28/99	1/11/99	3/29/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,315, Accepted

Amendment No. 23	Cal ISO, ER00-555-000	11/10/99	11/19/99 & 11/24/99	12/3/99	1/7/00 4/12/00	Order issued 1/7/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,006) - the Commission accepts in part and rejects in part Amendment No. 23. The Commission rejected the ISO's proposal to expand out-of-market authority to situations in which generators had submitted bids but the ISO determined that the markets for such bids were not competitive. FERC found the existing intra-zonal congestion management approach "fundamentally flawed" and in need of being "overhauled or replaced." FERC accepted the proposed changes in the payment calculation for out of market calls and the allocation of the costs of ISO dispatch orders to manage intra-zonal congestion. Order Issued 4/12/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,026) the Commission denies requests for rehearing and clarification of ISO, Dynegy and SoCal Edison. FERC rejects the ISO's request to pay generators OOm rate instead of bid price during unusual system conditions such as an outage of a transmission line or an RMR facility.
Amendment No. 23 - Compliance filing	Cal ISO; ER00-555-002	2/7/00	2/10/00	2/28/00		.
GMC informational filing	Cal ISO, ER00-800-000	12/15/99	12/22/99	1/7/00	2/25/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,196. Accepted.
Amendment No. 24 - Long Term Grid Planning	Cal ISO, ER00-866	12/21/99	12/27/99 & 1/5/00	1/20/99	3/30/00	90 FERC 61,337. Request to withdraw Amendment No. 24 and terminate proceedings is granted
Amendment No. 25 - Regulation, outage cancellation, publication of bid data, payment calendar, FTR implementation, RMR allocation, and transmission derates	Cal ISO; ER00-1239-000	1/27/00	2/1/00	2/17/00	3/29/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,316. Conditionally accepted
Amendment No. 25 - Compliance filing	Cal ISO; ER00-1239-001	4/28/00	5/9/00	5/19/00		
Amendment No. 26 - Pre-Dispatch	Cal ISO; ER00-1365-000	1/28/00	2/4/00	2/18/00	3/31/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,345. Commission conditionally approves on an interim basis.
Amendment No. 26 - Compliance filing	Cal ISO; ER00-1365-001	5/1/00	5/10/00	5/22/00		

Amendment No. 27 - transmission Access Charge	Cal ISO; ER00- 2019	3/31/00	4/7/00	4/21/00		
Amendment No. 28 - cost recovery for Load pilot	Cal ISO; ER00- 2208-000	4/14/00	4/19/00	5/5/00		
Amendment No. 29 - 10 minute settlement	Cal ISO; ER00					

B. Reliability and Must Run Agreements

RELIABILITY AND MUST RUN AGREEMENTS						
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	SDG&E ER98-496-000; ER98-2160-000	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
Amendment	SDG&E ER98-2160	3/11/98			5/1/98, 83 FERC P 61,113	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
Amendment	SDG&E; ER98-4500	9/9/98		9/29/98		Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
	PG&E ER98-495-000	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
	SCE ER98-441-000	10/31/97	11/6/97	11/21/97	12/17/97 2/25/98	Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-2668, 4300, and 1127					Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
	Duke Energy Oakland; ER98-2669, 4296, and 1128					Partial Offer of Settlement on RMR issues approved by letter order dated 5/28/99, 87 FERC ¶ 61,250. Procedural schedule set for remaining issues.
Compliance Report	Duke; ER98-441, et al.	7/30/99	8/4/99	8/19/99		
	ISO Must Run Selection EC96-19-012, ER96-1663-013	12/12/97	12/23/97	1/16/98	3/11/98	The initial RMR Unit selection, as amended, is accepted for filing. ISO shall post current listing of RMR Units on Home Page. The ISO shall file, for informational purposes, a summary of its long-term reliability requirement studies. If its long-term has not yet been completed within 30 days after the first year of operations then the ISO shall file, for informational purposes, a preliminary report summarizing and updating its reliability needs.
RMR 35 MVar/45 MW synchronous condenser	PG&E; ER99-3603-000	7/16/99	7/20/99	8/5/99	9/14/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,213	Accepted for filing and consolidated with RMR proceeding Offer of settlement filed 3/3/00 and certified as uncontested on 4/4/00 and accepted on 4/26/00, 91 FERC ¶ 61,092
	Duke - South Bay;	11/1/99	11/9/99	11/19/99	1/28/00	Accepted for filing

	ER00-435-000					
	Duke - Moss Landing; ER00-436-000	11/1/99	11/9/99	11/19/99	12/1/99	Accepted for filing
	Duke - Oakland; ER00-437-000	11/1/99	11/9/99	11/19/99	12/1/99	Accepted for filing
	PG&E; ER00-462-000	11/3/99	11/12/99	11/23/99	12/6/99	Accepted for filing
	PG&E; ER00-871-000	12/22/99	12/28/99	1/11/00	1/28/00	Accepted for filing
	Geysers Power; ER00-894-000	12/22/99	12/28/99	1/11/00	2/9/00	Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,113) the Commission accepted the revised RMR agreement with Geysers Power. The Commission agreed with the request by PG&E and the ISO to reject the proposed revisions to the maximum net dependable capacity values
	Southern Energy Delta; ER00-936-000	12/29/99	1/5/00	1/18/00	2/23/00	Letter order issued 2/23/00, the Commission accepts Southern Energy Delta and Southern Energy Protrero's revised RMR agreements. FERC upheld the protest of the ISO, PG&E and the EOB to the revisions under Schedule A.
	Southern Energy Potrero; ER00-937-000	12/29/99	1/5/00	1/18/00	2/23/00	Letter order issued 2/23/00, the Commission accepts Southern Energy Delta and Southern Energy Protrero's revised RMR agreements. FERC upheld the protest of the ISO, PG&E and the EOB to the revisions under Schedule A.
	NCPA; ER00-2274-000	4/24/00	4/28/00	5/15/00		

C. Transmission and Distribution Access Rates

TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION ACCESS RATES						
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	PG&E ER97-2358-000	3/31/97	4/7/97	6/6/97	12/17/97	Offer of Settlement filed 4/14/99 as corrected 4/30/99 certified to the Commission by Order dated 5/20/99
TO 3	PG&E ER99-2326-000	3/31/99	4/5/99	4/20/99	5/27/99	Accepted for filing subject to refund. Hearing established. On 11/8/99, PG&E filed an Offer of Settlement covering wholesale transmission rate issues. This was certified to the Commission as an uncontested settlement on 12/9/99 and accepted on 4/26/00, 91 FERC ¶ 61,090.
Refund report	PG&E ER99-2326-004	4/27/00	5/3/00	5/18/00		
TO 4	PG&E ER99-4323-000	9/1/99	9/9/99	9/20/99	10/27/99	89 FERC ¶ 61,081 - Commission accepts PG&E TO 4 Tariff for filing suspends the rate for five months until 4/1/00 and establishes a hearing. Parties have reached an agreement in principle. Order suspending the procedural schedule issued 5/9/00
FTR Implementation	PG&E; ER99-3500-000	7/6/99	7/12/99	7/26/99	9/3/99 88 FERC ¶ 61,208	Accepted for filing
GMC Pass-Through	PG&E; ER00-708-000	12/1/99	12/9/99	12/21/99	1/6/00	Accepted for filing
Out-of-market reliability calls	PG&E; ER00-851-000	12/20/99	12/27/99	1/7/00	2/9/00	Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,118). The Commission accepts for filing the proposed revisions to the TO Tariffs of SCE, PG&E, and SDG&E for pass through of out-of-market dispatch orders. The Commission set the matter for hearing, subject to refund, the issue of whether the costs should be passed through the TRBAA and whether part of the costs should be allocated to ETC customers.
	SCE ER97-2355-000	3/31/97	4/7/97	6/6/97	12/17/97	Initial Decision issued 3/31/99; briefs on exceptions have been filed; brief opposing exceptions are due 5/27/99
FTR Implementation	SCE; ER99-3501-000	7/6/99	7/12/99	7/26/99	9/3/99 88 FERC ¶ 61,208	Accepted for filing
Out-of Market calls	SCE; ER00-845-000				2/9/00	Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,118). The Commission

						accepts for filing the proposed revisions to the TO Tariffs of SCE, PG&E, and SDG&E for pass through of out-of-market dispatch orders. The Commission set the matter for hearing, subject to refund, the issue of whether the costs should be passed through the TRBAA and whether part of the costs should be allocated to ETC customers.
	SDG&E ER97-2364-000; ER97-4235-000;	3/31/97	4/7/97	6/6/97 9/24/97 11/20/97	12/17/97	Offer of Settlement approved by the Commission by letter order dated 3/12/99, 86 FERC ¶ 61,265.
Refund Report		8/9/99	8/18/99	8/30/99	9/10/99	Accepted for filing
Recovery from end-use customers of RMR charges	SDG&E ER99-2762-000	4/30/99	5/6/99	5/20/99	7/2/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,017	Accepted for filing
FTR Implementation	SDG&E; ER99-3496-000	7/6/99	7/12/99	7/26/99	9/3/99 88 FERC ¶ 61,208	Accepted for filing
Out-of market reliability calls	SDG&E; ER00-860-00	12/21/99	12/27/99	1/10/99	2/9/00	Order issued 2/9/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,118). The Commission accepts for filing the proposed revisions to the TO Tariffs of SCE, PG&E, and SDG&E for pass through of out-of-market dispatch orders. The Commission set the matter for hearing, subject to refund, the issue of whether the costs should be passed through the TRBAA and whether part of the costs should be allocated to ETC customers.

D. Utility Pass-Through of GMC and PX Charge

UTILITY PASS-THROUGH OF GMC AND PX CHARGE						
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	PG&E, ER98-556-000 ER98-557-000	10/31/97	11/20/97	12/4/97	6/1/98	Consolidated with ER98-211-000. Offer of settlement approved 6/1/98 for 1998 GMC. PG&E and SCE have filed revised tariff sheets to implement the settlement. FERC noticed SCE and PG&E compliance filings on 7/7/98 with comments due on 7/21/98. Order accepting the compliance filing in ER98-556-004 issued August 5, 1998, 84 FERC ¶ 61,164 and October 2, 1998, 85 FERC ¶ 61,015
Extension request to collect pass-through of GMC	PG&E, ER99-418-000	10/29/98	11/6/98	11/19/98	12/23/98	Accepted for filing subject to refund. PG&E may not pass through GMC to TANC or Santa Clara for transactions under SOTP and Grizzly Amendments
Extension request to collect pass-through of GMC	PG&E; ER99-1035; EL99-34-000			1/12/99	2/10/99	Accepted for filing to be effective 1/1/99 subject to refund
Continued pass-through to wholesale customers GMC	PG&E; ER99-2884-000	5/10/99	5/14/99	5/28/99	7/20/99	Accepted for filing, PG&E must submit revised tariff reference
Administrative revisions	PG&E; ER99-2884-001	7/13/99		8/4/99	9/17/99; 88 FERC ¶ 61,243	Accepted for filing
Modify GMC collection	PG&E; ER99-4471-00	9/20/99	9/23/99	10/8/99	10/21/99	Accepted for filing
	SCE, ER98-462-000	10/31/97	11/20/97	12/4/97	6/1/98	Consolidated with ER98-211-000. Offer of settlement approved 6/1/98 for 1998 GMC. PG&E and SCE have filed revised tariff sheets to implement the settlement. FERC noticed SCE and PG&E compliance filings on 7/7/98 with comments due on 7/21/98. Order accepting the compliance filing in ER98-556-004 issued August 5, 1998, 84 FERC ¶ 61,164 and October 2, 1998, 85 FERC ¶ 61,015

E. ISO/PX REPORTS

REPORTS						
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
MSU Annual Report	ISO; ER99-3158-000	6/4/99	6/10/99 6/22/99	7/8/99	9/29/99	Directed to submit by 12/31/99 an evaluation of the market in the San Diego Basin
PX Annual Report	EC96-19-000; ER96-1663-000	7/30/99	8/4/99	8/27/99		
zone creation, A/S bids (one part vs. 2 part) and losses	ISO; ER00-703-000	12/1/99	12/9/99 & 12/20/99	1/11/00		
Study of Market Power in San Diego Basin	ER00-997-000	12/30/00	1/5/00	1/19/00		

VIII. OTHER FERC PROCEEDINGS IN WHICH THE ISO IS PARTICIPATING

OTHER PROCEEDINGS						
Description	Company & Docket	Date Filed	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
	Duke Energy Moss Landing LLC; ER98-2668-000	4/24/98	4/28/98	5/13/98	6/25/98	Order accepting for filing and suspending RMR tariffs, dismissing proposed acquisition adjustment and consolidating tariffs and establishing hearing procedures.
	Duke Energy Oakland LLC; ER98-2669-000	4/24/98	4/29/98	5/14/98		
	PG&E; ER98-2785					
	Duke Energy Oakland; ER98-4296	4/24/98 & 8/20/98		9/9/98	6/25/98 & 10/14/98	Order accepting for filing and suspending RMR tariffs and consolidating tariffs and establishing hearing procedures.
	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-4300	4/24/98 & 8/20/98		9/9/98	6/25/98 & 10/14/98	Order accepting for filing and suspending RMR tariffs and consolidating tariffs and establishing hearing procedures.
cost based rates for ancillary services	Long Beach Generation, ER98-2537	4/14/98		5/4/98	6/10/98, 83 FERC P 61,277	Order accepting for filing and establishing hearing procedures and consolidating dockets Offer of settlement (ER98-2537) accepted by Order dated 11/30/98
cost based rates for ancillary services	El Segundo Power, ER98-2550	4/15/98		5/5/98		
Market based rates for ancillary services	El Segundo Power; ER98-2971-000	5/12/98			7/10/98 7/17/98	7/10/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing. 7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve. See 10/28/98 AES Order.

Market based rates for ancillary service	Long Beach Generation; ER98-2972	5/12/98			7/10/98 7/17/98	7/10/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing. 7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve. See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	AES Redondo Beach, ER98-2843; AES-Huntington Beach, ER98-2844; AES Alamitos, ER98-2883	5/1/98		5/21/98 ext. to 6/8/98	6/30/98 7/17/98 (84 FERC ¶ 61,046)	6/30/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing. 7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 6/30/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve. 8/19/98 Market Surveillance Committee files report. Protests due 9/8/98. Order issued October 28, 1998 in AES Redondo Beach, LLC, et al., 85 FERC ¶ 61, 123 - FERC authorizes market-based rates for all sellers of Ancillary Services and Replacement Reserve Services with California and extends the interim authority of the ISO to limit prices it will pay for Ancillary Services. FERC directs the ISO to conduct a stakeholder process and make a comprehensive proposal to restructure the Ancillary Service markets by March 1, 1999. FERC also denies the requests for rehearing of its prior orders and SoCal's complaint in Docket No. EL98-62-000.
Market Monitoring Committee Report	ER98-2843, et al.	3/10/99	3/18/99 4/14/99	4/19/99		
Market Surveillance Committee Report	ER98-2843, et al.	3/35/99	3/29/99	4/19/99		
Market Surveillance Committee Report	ER98-2843-009	10/19 & 20/99	10/29/99	11/9/99		

Market based rates for ancillary services	Ocean Vista Power et al, (now Reliant Energy Mandalay) ER98-2977-000	5/13/98		6/2/98	7/10/98 7/17/98	7/10/98 - Accepted without suspension or hearing 7/17/98 - FERC denies motions for emergency stay of 7/10/98 Orders but authorizes the ISO to reject bids in excess of whatever price levels it believes appropriate for Regulation, Spinning Reserve, Non-Spinning Reserve, and Replacement Reserve. See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Williams Energy; ER98-3106-000	5/26/98		6/15/98	7/24/98	Accepted without suspension or hearing See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Duke Energy Oakland LLC; ER98-3416-000	6/18/98		ext. to 7/27/98	8/17/98; 84 FERC ¶61,186	Accepted without suspension or hearing See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Duke Energy Morro Bay; Docket No. ER98-3417-000	6/18/98		ext. to 7/27/98	8/17/98; 84 FERC ¶ 61,186	Accepted without suspension or hearing See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER98-3418-000	6/18/98		ext. to 7/27/98	8/17/98; 84 FERC ¶ 61,186	Accepted without suspension or hearing See 10/28/98 AES Order.
Market based rates for ancillary services	Mountainview Power; ER98-4301	8/20/98		9/9/98	10/16/98; 85 FERC ¶61,060	Accepted without suspension or hearing
Market based rates for ancillary services	Riverside Canal Power; ER98-4302	8/20/98		9/9/98	10/16/98; 85 FERC ¶61,060	Accepted without suspension or hearing
Market-based rates for ancillary services	San Diego Gas & Electric; ER98-4498-000	9/9/98		9/29/98	10/28/98	Conditionally accepted
Market-based rates for ancillary services	Sempra Energy Trading; ER98-4497-000	9/9/98		9/29/98	10/28/98	Conditionally accepted
Unexecuted service agreement placing the ISO under PacifiCorp's market based sales tariff	PacifiCorp; ER98-4083-000	7/31/98 & 8/12/98	8/7/98 & 8/18/98	9/2/98	9/9/98	Accepted for filing
Petition for Waiver of FERC annual charge	PJM Inter-connection; EL98-71-000	8/12/98	8/21/98	9/18/98		

Alturas Intertie Project	Sierra Pacific Power; ER99-28-000	10/2/98	10/17/98	10/22/98	11/30/98	Accepted for filing - jurisdictional parties are required to negotiate appropriate operating procedures
Alturas Intertie Operating and Scheduling Agreement	Sierra Pacific Power ER99-945-000	12/17/98	12/22/98	1/7/99		
Reliability Management System	Western Systems Coordinating Council; EL99-23-000	12/28/98		1/21/99	4/14/99	Order approving RMS on an experimental basis
Duke affiliate service agreements	Duke Energy Moss Landing and Duke Energy Oakland; ER99-1127-000 and ER99-112-000	12/31/98		1/20/99	2/25/99 86 FERC ¶ 61,187 3/18/99	Accepted for filing - paper hearing to consider whether additional transaction or reporting rules are needed to prevent affiliate abuse; trial-type hearing with respect to the reasonableness of prior affiliate sales. 3/18/99 - Order granting motion to hold paper hearing in abeyance.
PX Amendment 9	PX; ER99-1883-000	2/19/99	2/24/99	3/11/99	4/16/99 87 FERC ¶ 61,079	Order issued 4/16/99 (87 FERC ¶ 61,079) Commission accepts the PX's Amendment 9 allowing for netting (bookouts) of certain purchases and sales in the Day-Ahead Market at common delivery points external to the ISO Controlled Grid.
Termination of RMR Agreement	Duke Energy Moss Landing; ER99-2721-000	4/30/99	5/6/99	5/20/99		
SMUD interim short-term coordination agreement	PG&E; ER99-2794-000	5/4/99	5/11/99	5/24/99		
PG&E Interconnection with Laguna	PG&E; ER99-3145-000	6/2/99	6/7/99	6/22/99	8/3/99	FERC issued a final order directing interconnection and conditionally accepting the interconnection agreement for filing

Petition for Declaratory Order on SB 96	California Electricity Oversight Board; EL99-75-000	7/7/99	7/9/99	7/22/99	8/5/99 11/1/99	FERC grants petition finding that SB 96 prescribes an interim role for the Oversight Board that would be consistent with jurisdictional guidance given by the Commission in its prior orders and that appropriately would be reevaluated at such time that another state joins the ISO. Order issued 11/1/99 (89 FERC ¶ 61,134) - the Commission rejected the rehearing requests of the Coalition of New Market Participants and the Western Power Trading Forum to the 8/5/99 Order granting the Oversight Board's request for a declaratory order regarding SB 96.
Mountain West ISA	Sierra Pacific; EC99-100-000	7/23/99	7/29/99	8/23/99	1/27/00	Order issued 1/27/00 (90 FERC ¶ 61,067) the Commission conditionally approved the Mountain West Independent System Administrator
	Mountain West; ER99-3719-000	7/23/99	8/5/99	8/18/99	1/27/00	
PX Tariff Simplification	PX; ER99-4113-000	8/18/99	8/23/99	9/7/99	10/13/99	Commission accepts the PX Tariff simplification for filing and establishes proceedings before a settlement judge.
Sierra Pacific request to interconnect with Oxbow	Sierra Pacific; EL99-85-000	8/13/99			11/26/99	The Commission directs Oxbow Geothermal Corporation to interconnect with Sierra Pacific.
Revenue sharing for certain products & services	PG&E; EL99-91-000	9/8/99	9/9/99	10/8/99		
SC services tariff	PG&E; ER00-565-000	11/12/99	11/18/99	12/2/99	1/11/00	Noting that this proceeding will be moot if it reverses the initial decision in Docket No. ER97-2358 (regarding PG&E's initial request to recover SC expenses through the TRBAA), the Commission acted to "accept the SCS Tariff for filing, suspend it and set it for hearing, conditionally grant waiver of notice to make it effective March 31, 1998, subject to refund, but accept PG&E's proposal to defer billing, and also defer the hearing pending resolution of the issues before the Commission in Docket Nos. ER97-2358, et al." Within 45 days of the resolution of the proceeding in Docket No. ER97-2358, parties are to advise the Commission as to what action they would like the Commission to take regarding PG&E's proposed SCS Tariff.
Pro forma interconnection agreement	PG&E; ER00-658-000					PG&E has proposed to withdraw the filing.

PX Bylaw amendment	PX; EL99-75-002 et al	11/24/99	12/1/99	12/27/99	1/27/00	90 FERC ¶ 61,066. Accepted for filing
ISO-PG&E-SMUD interim Agreement	ISO; ER00-879-000	12/22/99	12/28/99	1/11/00	2/9/00	Accepted for filing effective 3/31/98
PG&E-Dynegy CATSA extension	PG&E; ER00-902-000 see also ER00-1807-000 and ER97-320-000			1/14/00	2/24/00 4/25/00	90 FERC ¶ 61, 190. Accepted for filing 91 FERC ¶ 61,072. Accepted for filing
ISO-SCE Big Creek PSP Agreement	ISO; ER00-1620-000	2/14/00	2/17/00	3/6/00	3/16/00	Accepted for filing effective 1/13/00
El Segundo OOM tariff	El Segundo; ER00-1830			3/28/00	4/27/00	Order issued 4/27/00 (91 FERC ¶ 61,110). The Commission rejected a proposed non-market dispatch service tariff filed unilaterally by El Segundo. FERC found that the submission represented a collateral attack on its Amendment No. 23 order and was “an inappropriate attempt to change the terms of the ISO’s tariff unilaterally for the benefit of a single generator
PG&E 205 filing to conform ETCs	PG&E; ER00-2075-000	3/31/00	4/7/00	4/21/00		
PG&E Reliability Services Tariff	PG&E; ER00-2360-000	4/28/00	5/5/00	5/19/00		

² A Commission Notice was not issued for the August 15 filing. However, the Restated Tariff was requested by the Commission in the July 30 Order and the deadline to file comments (9/2/97) was set forth in the July 30 Order.

² The December 30 filing is an amendment to the December 9 Scheduling Coordinator Agreement between the ISO and IAG Trading Company.

IX . RULEMAKINGS

Rulemakings					
Description	Docket	Date Noticed	Interv. Due	FERC Orders	Status
RTO	RM99-2-000	7/21/99	8/23/99	12/20/99 final rule, Order 2000, issued; 89 FERC ¶ 61,285 2/25/00, order on reh'g, 90 FERC ¶ 61,201	A public utility that is a member of an existing transmission entity that has been approved by the Commission as in conformance with the eleven ISO principles set forth in Order No. 888 must make a filing no later than January 15, 2001. That filing must explain the extent to which the transmission entity in which it participates meets the minimum characteristics and functions for an RTO, and either propose to modify the existing institution to the extent necessary to become an RTO, or explain the efforts, obstacles and plans with respect to conforming to these characteristics and functions.
Designation of Electric Rate Schedules	RM99-12-000			3/31/00	Revises regulations on tariff sheet designation effective 6/1/00
Revision of Annual Charges Assessed to Public Utilities	RM00-7-000	1/28/00	4/3/00		