

October 30, 2018

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 888 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20426

Re: California Independent System Operator Corporation Docket No. ER15-2565-___ September 2018 Informational Report Energy Imbalance Market – Transition Period Report – Idaho Power Company

Dear Secretary Bose:

The California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) hereby submits its report on the transition period of Idaho Power Company during its first six months of participation in the western Energy Imbalance Market (EIM) for September 2018. Idaho Power Company began participation in the EIM on April 4, 2018, and the transition period ended on October 3, 2018. This is the last and final transition period report for Idaho Power Company. The Commission also directed the Department of Market Monitoring (DMM) to submit an independent assessment of the CAISO's report, which the CAISO's DMM will seek to file within approximately 15 business days.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions.

Respectfully submitted

By: /s/ Anna A. McKenna

Roger E. Collanton General Counsel Anna A. McKenna Assistant General Counsel John Anders Assistant General Counsel California Independent System Operator Corporation 250 Outcropping Way Folsom, CA 95630 Tel: (916) 608-7182 Fax: (916) 608-7222 amckenna@caiso.com



Energy Imbalance Market September 1 – October 3, 2018

Transition Period Report Idaho Power Company EIM Entity

October 30, 2018

California ISO Department of Market Quality and Renewable Integration

Table of Contents

I.	Backg	ackground and Information				
II.	Highli	jhlights				
III.	Market Performance Related to the Transitional Period					
	A.	Prices	3			
	В.	Frequency of Power Balance Constraint Infeasibilities	4			
	C.	Balancing and Sufficiency Test Failures	7			
	D.	Flexible Ramping Product	7			
	E.	Impact on Non-EIM Nodes	8			

I. Background and Information

On October 29, 2015, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) approved the California Independent System Operator Corporation's (CAISO) proposed tariff amendments to allow a transition period for new Energy Imbalance Market (EIM) entities during the first six months of EIM participation, effective November 1, 2015.¹ Idaho Power Company (IPCO) entered the EIM on April 4, 2018, and the transition period will apply to the IPCO balancing authority area (BAA) until October 3, 2018. Since the six-month transition period ends on October 3, 2018, this is the last report for the transition period and the data referred to the September 2018 captures information from September 1, 2018, until October 3, 2018.

During the six-month transition period, the pricing of energy in the new EIM entity's BAA is not subject to the pricing parameters that normally apply when the market optimization relaxes a transmission constraint or the power balance constraint. Instead, during the six-month transition period, the CAISO will clear the market based on the marginal economic energy bid (referred to herein as "transition period pricing"). In addition, during the six-month transition period, the CAISO sets the flexible ramping constraint relaxation parameter for the new EIM entity's BAA between \$0 and \$0.01, but only when the power balance or transmission constraints are relaxed in the relevant EIM BAA. This is necessary to allow the market software to determine the marginal energy bid price.

Consistent with the Commission's October 29 Order, the CAISO and the Department of Market Monitoring (DMM) will file informational reports at 30-day intervals during the six-month transition period for any new EIM entity. The CAISO provides this report for IPCO to comply with the Commission's requirements in the October 29 Order. The CAISO anticipates filing these reports on a monthly basis. However, because the complete set of data is not available immediately at the end of the applicable month,² and depending on the market performance of each month, along with the need to coordinate with the EIM entity, the CAISO expects to continue to file the monthly reports approximately 25 days after the end of each month in order to provide the prior full month's data.

¹ California Indep. Sys. Operator Corp., 153 FERC ¶ 61,104 (2015) (October 29 Order).

² The earliest the CAISO can start gathering the data is 10 business days after the last day for the reporting month since this is when the price correction window expires.

II. Highlights

Overall, the IPCO BAA's transition into the EIM was smooth and uneventful, with the exception of some data issues observed at the beginning of the transition. The market performance highlights for September are as follows:

- Prices were stable and within reasonable ranges, with the monthly average prices being \$29.32/MWh in the fifteen-minute market (FMM) and \$30.51/MWh in the real-time dispatch (RTD) for the IPCO BAA.
- There were no power balance constraint infeasibilities for under-supply conditions in FMM, whereas, there were 12 intervals with under-supply infeasibilities in the RTD.
- As part of the resource sufficiency test performed for each EIM entity prior to the real-time markets, the IPCO BAA passed 98.99 percent of its balancing tests.
- Also as part of the resource sufficiency test, the IPCO BAA passed 99.87 percent of its upward flexible ramping sufficiency tests.
- The price for upward flexible ramping capacity in the FMM for the IPCO BAA averaged \$0.28/MWh, while prices for the downward flexible ramping product averaged zero dollars.

III. Market Performance Related to the Transitional Period

A. Prices

Figure 1 shows the average prices in the IPCO BAA's EIM Load Aggregation Point (ELAP) for April 4, 2018, through October 3, 2018. In September, the monthly average price in the FMM was \$29.31/MWh compared to \$44.62/MWh in August. At the same time, the monthly average RTD price in September was \$30.51/MWh compared to \$39.36/MWh in August. The grey dotted line in Figure 1 represents the proxy price for the IPCO BAA. In comparison to August, the September monthly average prices for the FMM and RTD were lower due to a reduction in demand in the IPCO BAA. Generally, the reduction in demand occurred in the IPCO BAA and other BAAs due to changing weather and lower temperatures after the summer months.



Figure 1: Daily Average Prices for the IPCO BAA

Under the CAISO's price correction authority in section 35 of the CAISO tariff, the CAISO may correct prices posted on its Open Access Same-Time Information System (OASIS) if it finds: (1) that the prices were the product of an invalid market solution; (2) the market solution produced an invalid price due to data input failures, hardware or software failures; or (3) a result that is inconsistent with the CAISO tariff. The prices presented in Figure 1 include all prices produced by the CAISO consistent with its tariff requirements.³ That is, the trends represent: (1) prices as produced in the market that the CAISO deemed valid; (2) prices that the CAISO could, and did, correct pursuant to Section 35 of the CAISO tariff; and (3) any prices the CAISO adjusted pursuant to the

³ Figure 1 also provides an estimated proxy price, which is MID C hub price for the IPCO BAA.

transition period pricing reflected in section 29.27 of the CAISO tariff.

B. Frequency of Power Balance Constraint Infeasibilities

Figures 2 and 3 show the frequency of intervals in which the power balance constraint was relaxed for under-supply conditions in the IPCO BAA for the FMM and RTD, respectively. The under-supply infeasibilities are categorized into "valid," "load conformance," and "correctable" instances. Prices for the intervals that fell in the "valid" category are instances with under-supply infeasibilities that were not in error and are subject to the transitional period pricing. Instances labeled as "load conformance" are the valid infeasibilities observed when a load conformance was in place for that market interval. Whereas the infeasibilities that fell in the "correctable" category had a correction based on the provisions of section 35 of the CAISO tariff due to either a software or a data error.



Figure 2: Frequency of FMM Under-Supply Power Balance Infeasibilities for the IPCO BAA





In September there were no valid infeasibilities in the FMM, whereas, there were 12 intervals with valid under-supply infeasibilities for the IPCO BAA. Table 1 lists the RTD intervals with valid infeasibilities and those intervals, which would be corrected due to the load bias limiter feature.

TRADE Date	Trade Hour	Trade Interval	MW SHORT	Load Bias
6-Sep-18	15	4	19.74	0
6-Sep-18	15	5	168.75	156
6-Sep-18	15	6	185.8	156
6-Sep-18	15	7	160.72	156
6-Sep-18	15	8	108.6	156
6-Sep-18	19	1	93.2	30
6-Sep-18	19	2	88.85	30
6-Sep-18	19	3	99.6	30
6-Sep-18	19	4	56.81	30
6-Sep-18	19	5	29.9	30
6-Sep-18	19	6	0.84	30
12-Sep-18	24	6	6.43	0

Table 1: List of Valid RTD Under-Supply Infeasibilities in the IPCO BAA

Figure 4 displays the frequency of RTD under-supply power balance infeasibilities by reason for the IPCO BAA for the period of April 4, 2018, through October 3, 2018. There were 12 infeasibilities in September for the RTD due to renewable deviation

For those reasons listed as the "renewable deviation," the resource forecast for the renewable resources was reduced after completion of the FMM and the IPCO BAA was ramp limited, which resulted in under-supply infeasibility in the RTD. The intervals captured under "resource data" were impacted by a slow response to the increase in resource dispatch operating target (DOT). As a result, the IPCO BAA was unable to meet its power balance requirement for a few intervals. Once the resource output caught up with the DOT, the BAA power balance constraint was no longer infeasible. The infeasibility classified as "load changes" captures those intervals in which the RTD requirements, which is comprised of load forecast and imbalance conformance, increased above the FMM requirements such that the IPCO BAA was short of the ramp to meet the increase in requirement. Similar to the instance related to "load changes," for intervals listed under "NSI change," the IPCO BAA was short of the ramp needed to meet the increase in the imbalance requirement due to a reduction in the net-imports after the completion of the FMM.





C. Balancing and Sufficiency Test Failures

The EIM provides an opportunity to various BAAs to serve their load while realizing the benefits of increased resource diversity. Since the EIM does not include resource adequacy requirements or obligations for resources to submit bids, the CAISO performs a series of resource sufficiency tests comprised of: (i) a balancing test; (ii) a capacity test; and (iii) a flexible ramping sufficiency test. These tests occur prior to the real-time market.

Performance of a balancing test prior to each trading hour ensures that each participating BAA submits a balanced base schedule of generation and a net schedule interchange to meet its demand. In addition, the participating BAA is required to submit bids with enough ramping capability to meet its net load forecast uncertainty and net load movement requirements. Figure 5 shows the trend of balancing test outcomes for the period of April 4, 2018, through October 3, 2018.⁴ The IPCO BAA passed the balancing test in 98.99 percent of the intervals in September, which is within expected performance tolerance ranges for balancing tests.





The CAISO also performs the flexible ramping sufficiency test as specified in section 29.34(m) of the CAISO tariff. Figure 6 shows the trend of the test failures for upward flexible ramping and downward flexible ramping tests for the period of April 4, 2018, through October 3, 2018. In September, the IPCO BAA passed the upward flexible ramping test in 99.8 percent of the intervals and it passed the downward flexible

⁴ The CAISO performs resource sufficiency tests pursuant to Section 29.34(k) of the CAISO tariff.

ramping test in 100 percent of the intervals.





D. Flexible Ramping Product

Figure 7 shows the daily average of the upward flexible ramping constraint requirement, procurement, and prices in the FMM. Figure 8 shows the daily average of the downward flexible ramping constraint requirement, procurement, and prices in the FMM. With the implementation of the flexible ramping product on November 1, 2016, the CAISO calculates the flexibility requirement based on historical data for uncertainty, netting import/export capability or credit. This effectively reduces the amount of flexible ramping the IPCO BAA has to procure and, generally, the EIM system-wide area (which includes all the BAAs in the EIM, including the CAISO BAA) will drive the requirements. The market clearing process may result in procuring the IPCO BAA capacity towards meeting the overall EIM-system-wide area requirement. This is the main reason why the individual IPCO BAA procurement may generally fall below or be above its individual requirement.

In addition, the price trends provided in Figure 7 and Figure 8 is the nested price determined by the summation of the shadow price of the individual IPCO BAA, plus the shadow price of the EIM system-wide area. In September, the average upward flexible ramping capacity price was \$0.28 /MWh and the average downward flexible ramping capacity price was \$0.00/MWh.



Figure 7: Daily Average Requirement, Procurement, and Price of Upward Flexible Ramping in the FMM in the IPCO BAA





E. Impact on Non-EIM Nodes

With the implementation of the EIM with PacifiCorp's BAAs (PAC East and PAC West) with the first trading day on November 1, 2014, there was a price reporting issue for shared locations between the CAISO market and the EIM. These shared locations schedule energy for the CAISO BAA and are located in the EIM system-wide BAA, and have associated mirror resources. In late 2014, the CAISO worked on improving these shared locations' modelling, and reported its progress in the corresponding transitional period reports for the PAC East and PAC West BAAs. Since then there have not been any issues related to these types of locations.

The CAISO identified a software defect in July 2017 that affected these types of locations. The issue incorrectly added the congestion price of EIM transfers into the market price for schedules settled at the intertie locations; this may have affected schedules for imports and exports coming into the CAISO BAA, and not the transactions for EIM entities. The CAISO corrected this issue in March 2018. Currently, there are no issues affecting non-EIM nodes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing document upon the parties listed on the official service list in the above-referenced proceeding, in accordance with the requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2010).

Dated at Folsom, California, this 30th day of October, 2018.

<u>/s/ Grace Clark</u> Grace Clark