

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
Docket No. ER06-615-019 and
ER07-1257-002
October 31, 2008

California Independent System Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630

Attention: Grant Rosenblum, Senior Counsel
Attorney for the California Independent System
Operator Corporation

Reference: Compliance Filing

Dear Mr. Rosenblum:

On February 8, 2008, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) filed revised tariff sheets in accordance with the Commission's directives included in its January 9, 2008 Order in Docket No. ER06-615-011 et al.¹ The Commission's January 9 Order accepted, subject to modifications, certain MRTU Resource Adequacy (RA) provisions intended to ensure that sufficient capacity will be available to support safe and reliable operation of the grid. Specifically, the CAISO's revised tariff sheets, among other things: (1) modify section 40.4.2 to require notification to affected Scheduling Coordinators of changes in a resource's Net Qualifying Capacity, (2) modify section 40.3.1 regarding reference to NERC Reliability Standards, Transmission Planning, (3) clarify the contents of sections 40.3.1.1 and 40.3.1.2, and (4) reorganize section 40.4.7 regarding the submission of Supply Plans. The CAISO also notes that specific compliance obligations from the January 9 Order affecting provisions transferred to the ICPM-related sections were incorporated into their ICPM filing rather than in the instant compliance filing. The revised tariff sheets are accepted for filing to become effective upon implementation of MRTU.²

¹ See *California Independent System Operator Corporation*, 122 FERC ¶ 61,017 (2008) (January 9 Order).

² On February 29, 2008, the CAISO filed a motion to change the effective date of

This filing was noticed on February 13, 2008, with protests, comments, or motions to intervene due on or before February 29, 2008. No protests or comments were filed. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This acceptance for filing shall not be construed as constituting approval of the referenced filing or of any rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation or practice affecting such rate or service provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such acceptance be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such acceptance is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or any which may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to authority delegated to the Director, Division of Tariffs and Market Development - West under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director
Division of Tariffs and Market
Development – West

cc: All Parties

the MRTU Tariff due to the delay in MRTU implementation.