



# Day Ahead Market Parameter Settings: Further Analytic Results for ETC/TOR

Jim Price  
Lead Engineering Specialist  
Market & Product Development

MSC/Stakeholder Meeting on Parameter Maintenance  
July 30, 2008

# MRTU Tariff establishes priority order for ETCs and TORs

- Recommended parameter values for IFM reflect priority order in MRTU tariff, particularly sections 31.3.1.3 (Reduction of Load Aggregation Point (LAP) Demand) and 31.4 (Uneconomic Adjustments in the IFM). Priority order in Section 31.4:
  1. Reliability Must Run (RMR) Generation pre-dispatch reduction
  2. Day-Ahead Transmission Ownership Right (TOR) Self-Schedules
  3. Day-Ahead Existing Transmission Contract (ETC) Self-Schedules
    - Different ETC priority levels will be observed based upon global ETC priorities provided to the CAISO by the Responsible Participating Transmission Owners (PTOs).
- Followed by other schedule types: other self-schedules, must-run and must-take resources, economic bids

## Tariff priority is maintained in market optimization using penalty prices on self-schedule adjustments

<b>Penalty Price Description</b>	<b>Scheduling Run Value</b>	<b>Pricing Run Value</b>
Transmission constraints (branch, corridor, nomogram, contingency)	\$5000	\$1500, 5000
Transmission ownership rights and highest ETCs	4500	500, -30
ETC self-schedules	3200 to 4500	500, -30
Self-scheduled CAISO Demand and exports using Non-RA Supply	\$1600	\$500
Generic self-scheduled supply = 10% above cap	550	500

# Test Case for ETC/TOR Scheduling Run examines how priorities are honored

- To test impact on representative ETCs, CAISO constrained key lines to CDWR pumps and Hyatt-Thermalito generator.
  - Each site has multiple lines as feeders from CAISO 230 kV grid.
  - In event of outage, transmission capacity is determined by capacity of remaining feeder lines.
  - More severe constraint is derate of one in-service line's capacity. Parallel lines are then constrained to flows similar to the derate.
- 230 kV lines, derated to 10 MW each:
  - 30580\_ALTMDW to 38610\_DELTAPMP
  - 30765\_LOSBANOS to 38615\_DS AMIGO
  - 30970\_MIDWAY to 38600\_BUENAVJ1
  - 38620\_HYATT to 30300\_TABLMTN
- Test case builds upon development of other parameter values. ETC priority is applied to individual resources, not balanced adjustment.

# Analytical Results on ETC/TOR Scheduling Run

## Confirm that Priorities are Honored

- For ETC priority level = \$3200, only Banks pumps have self-schedule adjustments in test case.
- The following were not adjusted:
  - Dos Amigos: Highest LMP in scheduling run = \$3185
  - CDWR07 aggregation: Highest LMP in scheduling run = \$2537
  - Hyatt-Thermalito: Lowest LMP in scheduling run = \$-1509
- At Banks pumps, ETC priority level = \$3600 avoids self-schedule adjustments
  - Banks: Highest LMP in scheduling run = \$3531
- Conclusion: CAISO-recommended penalty prices on self-schedule adjustments honor the TOR and ETC priorities established by MRTU tariff.