Exceptional Dispatch



Jim McClain – Senior Market & Product Developer



Joint Market Surveillance Committee/Stakeholder Meeting April 11, 2008

Overview

- Review of History
- Summary of Market Power Mitigation Proposal
- Current White Paper Options
- Discussion of Relaxed Mitigation Option
- Discussion of Supplemental Payment Options
 - Eligibility
 - Proposed Options
- Implementation Impacts
- Next Steps

2

Questions and Answers



Review of History to Current MSC Meeting

- The following items below summarize the process to date:
 - October 22 2007 CAISO posts discussion paper on Exceptional Dispatch in ICPM proceeding
 - November 2007 through January 2008 DMM develops mitigation proposal on Exceptional Dispatch
 - January 2008 CAISO postpones decision on DMM mitigation proposal in order to get more stakeholder input
 - March 21, 2008 MPD posts Exceptional Dispatch white paper; supplement posted April 1
 - March 28, 2008 stakeholder conference call
 - April 4, 2008 stakeholders comment on white paper
 - April 11, 2008 MSC stakeholder meeting to discuss Exceptional Dispatch options



Types of Exceptional Dispatch

- System Reliability
- Transmission related modeling limitations
- Other types
 - A/S testing / Pre-commercial operations testing
 - Mitigation for over-generation
 - Black start
 - Voltage support
 - Accommodate TOR/ETC transmission schedule changes after close of HASP
 - Decommitment after RUC but before real-time



Current Tariff Rules for Payment under Exceptional Dispatch

- Payment for Exceptional Dispatch for energy would be the higher of the unit's:
 - Bid, or
 - LMP
- If no Bid in Market, payment would be the higher of the unit's:
 - Default Energy Bid (DEB), or
 - LMP



Summary of Market Power Mitigation Proposal

- For Mitigated resources, payment for Exceptional Dispatches for energy would be limited to the higher of the unit's:
 - Default Energy Bid (DEB), or
 - LMP
- Mitigation would <u>only</u> apply to Exceptional Dispatches for:
 - Reliability requirements associated with non-competitive transmission constraints
 - Other special unit operating or environmental constraints not incorporated in MRTU model
- Mitigation would <u>not</u> apply to Exceptional Dispatches for:
 - System-wide energy needs
 - Congestion on competitive transmission constraints



Current White Paper Proposals

- To provide opportunity for fixed cost recovery for resources without capacity contracts, several general options were considered in White Paper and Supplement:
- Relaxation of Mitigation
- Apply Mitigation with supplemental payments
 - Payments could be based on capacity or energy subject to Exceptional Dispatch
 - Not all Exceptional Dispatches would be eligible



Relaxed Mitigation Option

- Under this option CAISO would only mitigate RA, RMR and ICPM resources
- There is still uncertainty about the scope of Exceptional Dispatch and the extent of locational market power for such resources



Supplemental Payment Options – Eligibility

- CAISO proposes that supplemental payments are limited to Exceptional Dispatch that entails:
 - Forced start-up (commitment)
 - Incremental energy subsequent to forced start-up
- Supplemental payments would not be provided for:
 - Forced shut-down (decommitment)
 - Additional incremental energy from existing self-schedule or market-accepted schedule
 - Decremental energy
- CAISO further proposes that resources are eligible for supplemental payments only if they have an offer in the market



Supplemental Payment Option 1 – Daily Capacity Payment

- Daily capacity payment would be percentage of ICPM monthly payment, as determined by FERC
 - CAISO proposes 1/30 of ICPM monthly payment

Issues:

- Partial or full unit procurement?
- If partial unit capacity, how to measure eligible MW? If linked to max. energy output over day – see next slide
- If daily payment is percentage of ICPM payment, how to calculate for resources that seek cost-justified ICPM payment?



Supplemental Payment Option 2 – Bid Adder

Bid Adder

- CAISO proposes using same value as FMU Bid Adder: \$24/MWh
- However, different from FMU Bid Adder in that Exceptional Dispatch Bid Adder would not set LMP
- Issues:
 - How to measure eligible MW, payment for ED to PMin?
 If linked to max. energy output over day see next slide



Comparison of Supplemental Payment Options

- Both are out-of-market payments, hence create incentive issues
- Under CAISO proposed pricing, which option yields higher payments will depend on situation
- Both approaches need to be aligned with incentives to accept ICPM designation
 - Daily capacity payment may be more compatible
- Which is easier to implement and administer



Summary of Comments on White Paper

<u>Company</u>	Summary of Comments
Calpine Corporation	No mitigation of Exceptional Dispatch is needed
Dynegy	CAISO should work on modeling to eliminate need for ED instead of side payment options
Reliant	Supplement to mitigation is a good starting point
WPTF	Strongly opposes CAISO's mitigation proposal
CPUC	Prefers daily capacity option
Southern Cities	Supports daily capacity option
PG&E	Supports bid adder option
SCE	Supports bid adder option

MRTU Implementation Impacts

- Proposed mitigation can be implemented without change in scope or functionality of MRTU software using manual approach if necessary. CAISO evaluating the implementation requirements of supplemental payments.
 - Neither mitigation or supplemental payments would affect market prices.
- Reasons for Exceptional Dispatches will be logged by operators, which feeds into Settlements/MQS.
 - FERC has already required CAISO to post detailed information on Exceptional Dispatches on OASIS.



Next Steps

- April 14, 2008 Straw proposal posted
- April 15, 2008 Stakeholder meeting at Folsom
- April 17, 2008 Tentative MSC conference call
- April 22, 2008 Comments due on straw proposal
- May 1, 2008 MSC draft opinion posted
- May 6, 2008 Final paper posted
- May 6, 2008 Post draft tariff language
- May 22, 2008 Request Board approval
- May 23, 2008 Stakeholder call on draft tariff language
- June 6, 2008 File tariff language with FERC



Questions





16 Joint MSC/Stakeholder Meeting