

Availability Incentive Mechanism MSC meeting

May 19, 2014

Carrie Bentley cbentley@caiso.com 916-608-7246



Availability Incentive Mechanism in scope items

- 1. Create flexible incentive mechanism and price
- 2. Reevaluate system incentive price due to CPM expiration
- 3. Standardize resources' exposure to incentive mechanism
- 4. Potentially create an availability price that accounts for market conditions



Why do we have availability standards program?

Reliability

- Planning reserve margin accounts for expected forced outage rates
- If more than this percentage go on outage at once, could cause reliability concern
- Increases incentive for RA resources to be available where and when needed

Reduces potential gaming

 Decreases ability of resources to profit from physical withholding

Standardization

• An availability metric in the ISO tariff rather than in each contracts increases standardization between RA resources



Current incentive mechanism (SCP)

- Resource availability is measured based on forced outages during peak hours
 - April October: 2:00pm 6:00pm
 - January March, November, December: 5:00pm 9:00pm
- Availability compared to historic availability percentages during peak hours
 - Resources more than 2.5% above/below historic availability metric receive availability credit/charge
- Availability charge tied to CPM rate
- Availability payments are funded only by charges



Suppliers can substitute in resources on forced outages to avoid SCP penalties





Use-limited resources

- Use-limited resources are not exempt from the current SCP availability incentive; however:
 - There is no bid insertion for use-limited resources
 - Use-limited resources only have to bid when available according to the tariff
 - They do not have to go on forced outage during typical periods of unavailability (e.g. solar does not take a forced outage before sunrise)
 - Forced outages vs. typical unavailability is difficult to verify
- Therefore, a forced outage metric for use-limited resources is not equivalent to how the metric works for non-use-limited resources



Resource adequacy capacity (MW) in August by use limitation status





Resource adequacy resources (#) in August by use limitation status





Combining use limitations and exemptions

- Some exempt resources also have use limitations
- The following pie charts break out exempt and nonexempt resources by use limitations
- The SCP only works as intended on non-exempt, nonuse limited resources
- This is indicated by the dark red on the following pie charts



Percent of Resource Adequacy *capacity* (MW) subject to incentive mechanism by use-limitation class





Percent of Resource Adequacy *resources* (#) subject to incentive mechanism by use-limitation class





Principles for availability incentive design

- The energy market should be the primary incentive mechanism for RA resources to bid when required
- The availability incentive mechanism should protect the ISO to the extent possible from potential deviant behavior and physical withholding
- The mechanism should redistribute RA capacity payments in the circumstance that certain resources are significantly under-preforming and other resources are making up the difference
- The mechanism should provide incentives to invest in proper maintenance of resource
- The mechanism should apply to all resource types



Design summary

- Move from a forced outage metric to a bid based metric where a resource's availability is determined by the system and flexible must-offer requirements and hours a resource is committed as RA capacity
- Assess availability payments and charges against a fixed percentage rather than a moving fleet average
- Create a single price for flexible and system availability, but assess flexible and system availability separately



Objective: Incent RA capacity to be available during periods when it committed to be available

- Foundation of availability incentive mechanism:
 - Was the RA capacity supposed to be available?
 - Was it actually available?
- Move from forced outage metric to bidding evaluation metric:
 - Allows for easier standardization of rules for uselimited resources
 - Allows for the different must-offer requirements between flexible and system RA resources



Bidding evaluation metric – system/local resources

- Only evaluate hours resource is committed as RA capacity
 - Self-schedule or economic bids
- Most RA resources have a 24 must-offer requirement
- Use-limited resources discussed on a later slide



Bidding evaluation hours – system/local resources

- Ideally system resources without limitations would be evaluated 24 hours a day or over contract hours
 - The intent is to evaluate resources only during hours they are contracted as RA resources
- At this time, propose to continue to use SCP hours (5 hours during forecasted peak load requirement)



Bidding evaluation metric – flexible resources

- Only evaluate category bidding requirement hours
 Economic bids
- Categories were developed in FRAC MOO
- Will respect rules in tariff on RA resource bidding
- Flexible RA resources must rebid into RT market any DA energy awards and any additional energy that must be bid in under proposed tariff rules



Bidding evaluation hours – flexible resources

- Flexible resources will be evaluated by category
- Category 1 will be evaluated for 17 hours

 Category 2 will be evaluated for 5 hours based on seasonal assessment



 Category 3 will be evaluated for 5 hours based on seasonal assessment and be exempt after req. is met





Objective: Standardize resources' exposure to incentive mechanism

- Two main groups receive different treatment under today's availability incentive mechanism:
- Use-limited resources
 - Resources with significant daily limitations
 - Monthly limitations
- Exempt resources
 - Resource exempt under tariff



Objective: Create availability incentive mechanism price that accounts for market conditions

Two main components of payments and penalties for incentive mechanism:

- Availability standard percentage and bandwidth
 - ISO currently calculates monthly availability standard using the historical forced outages of RA resources over the range of assessment hours for each month over the prior three years
- Price
 - ISO currently ties to the CPM price, which expires on February 16, 2016



Principles for availability incentive price

- Two ways to allow availability to impact the price paid to capacity
 - Decrease QC based on historic availability
 - Create payment/penalty structure to distribute RA capacity payments after the fact based on actual availability
- No pure theoretical way to come up with availability incentive price similar to other ISOs due to bilateral market construct where capacity is paid different prices per MW
- Goal is to have a price that incents maintenance of fleet and optimal behavior



Availability incentive mechanism price-flexible, system, and local RA

- Propose to use a single availability metric and price for system, local, and flexible resources
- In order to be considered available, resource must be in compliance with highest must-offer requirement
- All resources not exempt from the availability incentive mechanism will therefore be subject to the same price and availability standard percentage



Availability incentive potential prices

- Fixed going forward cost of marginal resource
- Negotiated price
- Tied to CPM price
 - PGE thought to tie to intermonth CPM designation price (ED and Significant Event)
- Other



Topics for MSC

- Reason for availability standards program
- Moving from an outage metric to a bid-based metric
- Availability as a single calculation and price
 - Held to the highest must-offer or no credit at all
 - Single incentive price for resource rather than breaking out local and flexible separate from system
- Price for availability incentive
 - Benefits of linking to new CPM price

