As expressed on the February 25th call on IBAA issues, the City of Santa Clara, California d/b/a Silicon Valley Power ("SVP") has a number of concerns with the IBAA proposal. To provide a basis for addressing SVP's concerns SVP is providing proposed changes to the draft tariff language previously submitted, in the following redline document. While not resolving all of the stakeholders' concerns with IBAA, SVP believes the following redline provides a more suitable platform to develop a fair and balanced IBAA program. A few of the concepts included are briefly discussed below:

SVP does not endorse the CAISO's stated plan to implement IBAAs one at a time because the ISO has not studied whether adding a single IBAA would do more harm than good. Tariff language addressing this has been added.

Part of SVP's concerns remain with regard to process by which the CAISO has proceeded in dictating its IBAA proposal. The process outlined in the redline should apply to all IBAAs, including those upon whom the CAISO has recently deemed to be IBAAs. The process would require the CAISO to reach agreement with BAAs, particularly on terms impacting pricing beyond the CAISO's borders, and on facilities that are not subject to CAISO control.

There is a need for the stakeholders to develop objective criterion as to what constitutes "technical justification for the IBAA." (See tariff sec. 27.5.3.1 (1)). A placeholder has been included in the redline to further address this point, but to the extent possible, IBAAs should be based on actual data and should reflect the current state and control of grid facilities.



DRAFT

California Independent System Operator Corporation Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing

January 22, 2008

I. Proposed Tariff Provisions For Modified Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing

A. Section 27.5 Provisions

27.5.3 Embedded Control Areas and Adjacent Control AreasIntegrated Balancing Authority Areas.

To the extent sufficient data is available or adequate estimates can be made for <u>all individual the</u> embedded Control Areas and adjacent Control Areas<u>IBAAs</u> with Interconnections to the CAISO Controlled Grid, the FNM will include a full model of embedded Control Areas and adjacent Control Areas<u>IBAAs</u> used for power flow calculations and congestion management in the CAISO Markets Processes. The CAISO monitors but does not enforce the network constraints for embedded Control Areas or adjacent Control Areas<u>IBAAs</u> in running the CAISO Markets Processes. The CAISO models the resistive component for transmission losses on embedded Control Areas and adjacent Control Areas<u>IBAAs</u> but does not allow such losses to determine LMPs. <u>Unless otherwise agreed by the BAA and CAISO</u>, transactions at each Interconnection between the BAA and the CAISO Controlled Grid shall be Scheduled and priced at the LMPs for each Intertie between the BAA and the CAISO Controlled Grid. -Additional detail regarding the

<u>65.DOC / 1}</u>

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing



modeling specifications that have been agreed between the CAISO and for specific IBAAs is provided in the Business Practice Manuals.

27.5.3.1 Process for Identifying and Modeling IBAAs

1. Prior to instituting an IBAA, the CAISO will have a public stakeholder process to

inform stakeholders of the details of a proposed new IBAA, along with the

technical justification, described in Section 27.5.3.1.1, for the IBAA.

i. The CAISO will provide the weighting factors agreed with the BAA pursuant to section

27.5.3.2, that will be used to determine IBAA prices, if the BAA has agreed to have transactions

priced at locations other than each Intertie between the BAA and the CAISO Controlled Grid.

ii. During the stakeholder process, the CAISO shall specify any issues that need to be

resolved in order for the proposed IBAA to be dissolved and again have its individual

components priced separately.

2. If, after feedback from stakeholders and agreement of the BAA, the CAISO continues to

believe the IBAA is necessary, the CAISO shall file full details of the proposed IBAA, including the

calculation of weighting factors, for approval at FERC.

3. The CAISO will only implement the IBAA after obtaining FERC approval.

27.5.3.1.1 IBAA Technical Justification Criteria

The CAISO shall provide technical justification for any proposed IBAA using the criteria described in this section and as further described in greater detail in [the BPM for the FNM]:

<u>65.DOC / 1}2</u>

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing

Please forward, no later than January 30, 2008, all written comments to proposed tariff language to <u>eoneill@caiso.com</u>

Page 2 of 13



[TO BE DEVELOPED WITH STAKEHOLDERS PRIOR TO FILING]

Notwithstanding this section, no IBAA may be established earlier than one year after the MRTU Initial Operation Date and actual MRTU market data can be used and evaluated for the purpose of technical justification.

27.5.3.24 Default Designation of Resources in Integrated Balancing Authority Areas

Unless otherwise agreed by the BAA and CAISO, transactions at each Interconnection between the BAA and the CAISO Controlled Grid shall be Scheduled and priced at the LMPs for each Interconnection between the BAA and the CAISO Controlled Grid. The CAISO may predefines Resource Identifiers (Resource IDs) using default associations of associating Interties Scheduling Points-to supporting individual or aggregate System Resources that the BAA and the CAISO have agreed should be modeleds at major junctions within the IBAA near IBAA generation and/or load. When the CAISO and the BAA is able-agree to identify sub-regions within an IBAA that reflect groupings of resources or locations that are sources of transactions between the CAISO and the IBAA, such as a sub-region within a BAA that is responsible for its own internal balancing of resources and transactions, the CAISO will predefine individual or aggregate System Resources for the sub-regions as agreed with the BAA. When modeling of an IBAA uses aggregated System Resources, import and export Schedules will be distributed within the IBAAs using predetermined distribution factors agreed between the BAA and CAISO.

<u>65.DOC / 1}</u>3

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing



27.5.3.32 Resource-Specific Designations in Integrated Balancing Authority Areas In cases where an external generation owner chooses to designate a specific resource for participation in the CAISO Markets, the external generation owner may designate the resource as either a Dynamic Resource-Specific System Resource or a Non-Dynamic Resource-Specific System Resource, in which case the designated capacity will not be included in another aggregated System Resource, and the distribution factors for the default-any aggregated System Resource that represents the IBAA would be adjusted to reflect the remaining generation in the IBAA. If a Resource-Specific System Resource is established, such a resource would be settled at its LMP and not the price of the-any aggregated System Resource that represents the remainder of the IBAA.

27.5.3.34 Non-Default Designation of Resources in Integrated Balancing Authority Areas

Pursuant to section 27.5.3.24, the CAISO and the BAA may agree to-will establish Resource IDs for default-combinations of Scheduling Points and individual or aggregate System Resources for Schedules to or from each IBAA. The CAISO and the affected BAA will evaluate requests from Scheduling Coordinators for other combinations of individual or aggregate System Resources and Scheduling Points, and the CAISO will assign the Resource ID for the SC along with appropriate distribution factors as agreed with the BAA and the requesting SC. Such requests will be evaluated based on legitimate need and CAISO may require data to be submitted by the requesting entity in order to verify the appropriateness of assignment and use of the Resource ID. When registering iIntertie Market Resource IDs, a Scheduling Coordinator will be required to identify the individual System Resource or aggregated System Resource for the sub-system of <u>65.DOC/11</u>4

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing



the IBAA that is the source or sink of the market transaction, if the CAISO has designated subsystems within the IBAA pursuant to section 27.5.3.2. Resource IDs will then be required to be correctly associated with supply or demand at the designated locations (including aggregated locations, such as subsystems of an IBAA), and the CAISO will monitor compliance with the definitions of the Resource IDs.

B. CAISO TARIFF APPENDIX C

Location Marginal Price

The CAISO shall calculate the price of Energy at Generation PNodes, Scheduling Points, and Aggregated Pricing Nodes, as provided in the CAISO Tariff. LMPs can be set by Bids to sell or purchase Energy. The CAISO establishes Trading Hub prices and LAPs as provided in Sections xx and xx. The LMPs at PNodes, including Scheduling Points, and Aggregated Pricing Nodes include separate components for the marginal cost of Energy, Marginal Cost of Congestion, and Marginal Cost of Losses. As provided in Sections 6.5.3.2.2 and 6.5.5.2.4, Day-Ahead Market LMPs are calculated and posted on a Day-Ahead basis for each hour of the Day-Ahead Market for Energy and for each Dispatch Interval for the Real-Time LMPs.

A. LMP Composition

In each hour of the Day-Ahead Market for Energy, the CAISO calculates the LMP for each PNode, which is equal to the marginal cost of Energy available at the PNode in the hour, based on the Bids of sellers and buyers selected in the Day-Ahead Market for Energy and specified in

65.DOC / 1}5

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing



the Day-Ahead Schedule. The CAISO designates a Reference Bus, r, for calculation of the System Marginal Energy Cost (SMECr). The CAISO uses a distributed Reference Bus to define an aggregate value of Energy for the CAISO Control Area. For each bus other than the Reference Bus, the Transmission Provider determines separate components of the LMP for the marginal cost of Energy, Marginal Cost of Congestion, and Marginal Cost of Losses relative to the Reference Bus, consistent with the following equation:

 $LMP_i = SMEC_r + MCC_i + MCL_i$

 $LMP_r = SMEC_r$

where:

- SMEC_r is the LMP component representing the marginal cost of Energy (also referred to as λ) at the Reference Bus, r (System Marginal Energy Cost).
- MCC_i is the LMP component representing the Marginal Cost of Congestion (also referred to as ρ) at bus *i* relative to the Reference Bus.
- MCL_i is the LMP component representing the Marginal Cost of Losses (also referred to as y) at bus *i* relative to the Reference Bus.

B. The System Marginal Energy Cost Component of LMP

The SMEC shall be the same for each location throughout the system. SMEC is the sensitivity of the power balance constraint at the optimal solution. The power balance constraint ensures that the physical law of conservation of Energy (the sum of Generation and imports equals the sum of Demand, including exports and Transmission Losses) is accounted for in the network solution. For the designated reference location the CAISO will utilize a distributed Reference Bus for which constituent PNodes are weighted in pre-specified proportions, referred to as Reference Bus <u>65.DOC / 1)</u>6

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing



distribution factors. The distribution factors are based on actual Demand at each PNode that represents Load. Once the Reference Bus is selected, and Demand has dictated the distribution factors, the cost of economically providing the next increment of Energy, based on submitted Bids, at that Reference Bus becomes the System Marginal Energy Cost.

C. Marginal Congestion Component Calculation

The CAISO calculates the Marginal Costs of Congestion at each bus as a component of the buslevel LMP. The Marginal Cost of Congestion (MCC*i*) component of the LMP at bus *i* is calculated using the equation:

$$MCCi = -(\Sigma PTDFik * FSPk)$$

$$k=1$$

where:

- *K* is the number of thermal or interface transmission constraints.
- PTDF*ik* is the Power Transfer Distribution Factor for the generator at bus *i* on interface *k* which limits flows across that constraint when an increment of power is injected at bus *i* and an equivalent amount of power is withdrawn at the Reference Bus. The industry convention is to ignore the effect of losses in the determination of PTDFs.
- FSP*k* is the constraint Shadow Price on interface *k* and is equivalent to the reduction in system cost expressed in \$/MWh that results from an increase of 1MW of the capacity on interface *k*.

The Shadow Price at a given binding constraint is the value per MW of the next increment of generation that would flow across the constrained path by relaxing the binding constraint. The PTDF of a PNode with respect to a transmission path (and direction on the path) measures the

<u>65.DOC / 1}</u>7

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing



change in the power flow through the path (positive or negative, with respect to the designated direction on the path) as a result of an incremental injection at the Node, balanced by incremental change of Load at the Reference Bus.

D. Marginal Losses Component Calculation

The CAISO calculates the Marginal Cost of Losses (MCL*i*) at each bus *i* as described in Section 27.1.1.2. The MCL component of the LMP at any bus *i* within the CAISO's Control Area is calculated using the equation:

Where:

- MLFi is the marginal loss factor for PNode *i* to the system Reference Bus, based on an AC power flow solution. The marginal loss factor at a PNode is the incremental change in the quantity (MW) of transmission losses in the network resulting when serving an increment of Load at the PNode from the Reference Bus.
 - MLF*i* is equal to 1 *∂*L/*∂*G*i*, where: L is system losses, G*i* is "generation injection" at PNode *i*, *∂*L/*∂*G*i* is the partial derivative of system losses with respect to generation injection at bus *i*, that is, the incremental change in system losses associated with an incremental change in the generation injections at bus *i* holding constant other injection and withdrawals at all buses other than the Reference Bus and bus *i*.
- SMEC*r* is the SMEC at the Reference Bus, *r*.

E. Trading Hub Price Calculation

<u>65.DOC / 1}8</u>

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing



The CAISO calculates Existing Zone Generation Trading Hub prices, as provided in Section 27.3, based on the LMP calculations described in this Attachment and in Section 27.2.

EZ Gen Trading Hub Price
$$j = \Sigma$$
 WG*ist* * LMP i
i=1

where:

- NG is the number of Generation buses defined in the Existing Zone Generation Trading Hub *j*.
- WG*ist* is the generation-weighting factor for bus *i* for season *s* for time period *t* representing peak or off-peak period in Existing Zone Generation Trading Hub *j*. The sum of the weighting factors must add up to 1. These weights are based on the previous years actual generation output as described in Section 27.3.

F. Load Zone Price Calculation

The CAISO calculates LAP prices based on the LMPs for a set of buses that comprise the LAP. These LAP prices represent the weighted average of the LMPs at the set of buses that comprise the LAP. The LAP bus weight is equal to the fractional share of each Load bus in the total Load in the LAP during the hour.

The price for LAP *j* is:

LAP Price
$$j = \sum_{i=1}^{NZ} WZi * LMPi$$

where:

<u>65.DOC / 1}</u>9

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing

Please forward, no later than January 30, 2008, all written comments to proposed tariff language to <u>eoneill@caiso.com</u>

Page 9 of 13



- NZ is the number of Load buses in LAP *j*.
- WZ*i* is the load-weighting factor for bus *i* in LAP *j*. The sum of the weighting factors must equal 1 (i.e., 100 percent). These weights are based on State Estimator results for similar day.

Each LAP one includes only the buses of Market Participants who are in the LAP and who have Load that is represented by that LAP's definition. Market Participants that have metered Load must either be settled at a Default LAP or a Custom LAP created for each Load point of the Market Participant (nodal Settlement).

G. Scheduling Point Price Calculation

The CAISO calculates LMPs for Scheduling Points, which are PNodes or an aggregation of PNodes that exist external to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area through the same process that is used to calculate LMPs within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area. A Scheduling Point typically is physically located at an "outside" boundary of the CAISO Controlled Grid (e.g., at the point of interconnection between a <u>Balancing AuthorityCentrol</u> Area utility and the CAISO Controlled Grid). CAISO Controlled Grid that is external to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area connects some Scheduling Points to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, and in these cases the Scheduling Points are_within external <u>Balancing AuthorityCentrol</u> Areas. In both of these cases, the CAISO places injections and withdrawals at the Scheduling Points, which represent Bids and Schedules whose physical location is unknown, and the LMPs for Settlement of Interchange schedules are established by the Scheduling Point PNodes.

G.1 Scheduling Point Price Calculation for IBAAs

<u>65.DOC / 1}</u>10

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing

Please forward, no later than January 30, 2008, all written comments to proposed tariff language to <u>eoneill@caiso.com</u>

Page 10 of 13

California Independent System Operator Corporation



The CAISO's FNM includes a full model of Embedded Control Areas and Adjacent Control Areas IBAAs. The CAISO may places injections and withdrawals within the Embedded Control Areas and Adjacent Control AreasIBAAs, which to represent Bids and Schedules for the Embedded Control Areas' and Adjacent Control AreasIBAAs impact on transmission flows, to ensure the accuracy of power flow calculations and Congestion Management within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area. The CAISO models the Congestion and losses in Embedded Control Areas and Adjacent Control Areas IBAAs as described in Section 27.5.3. The CAISO will establish PNodes for the Embedded Control Areas' and Adjacent Control Areas' IBAAs Scheduling Points through joint examination with the BAA of their systems, both consultation and written agreement with the Embedded Control Areas and Adjacent Control AreasIBAAs regarding the appropriate modeling and pricing of transactions between the BAA's system and the CAISO Controlled Grid-and examination of their systems. Unless otherwise agreed by the BAA and CAISO, transactions at each Interconnection between the BAA and the CAISO Controlled Grid shall be Scheduled and priced using LMPs at each Interconnection between the BAA and the CAISO Controlled Grid. - If agreed by the BAA and CAISO, LMPs for such-Interties Scheduling Points may be based on mapped to multiple aggregated/hub prices if it is determined that subsystems operate within the affected IBAA. The CAISO will use Intertie scheduling Constraints to limit the quantity of scheduled Energy and AS on a specified Intertie. An Intertie Constraint is a scheduled quantity limit reflecting contract scheduling capacity, as opposed to a flow based limit reflecting network capacity that is based only on Energy. In the case where the IBAA represents a single Balancing Authority, if agreed by the BAA and CAISO, a single aggregate IBAA price is may be used based on the weighted average price of the nodes 65.DOC / 1}11

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing



where System Resources have been modeled in the IBAA. In the case for an IBAA that represents an aggregation of individual sub-systems that operate with their own balancing responsibility, if agreed by each sub-system within the BAA and CAISO, the prices for each operationally relevant sub-system (aggregate price) aremay be established, based on the weighted average price using the distribution factors of the System Resources that are used to distribute transactions from the sub-system within the IBAA. The CAISO will not enforce transmission constraints internal to the IBAA and will exclude the marginal transmission losses within the IBAA from affecting the prices within the IBAA and the CAISO.

C. Tariff Definitions of Embedded And Adjacent Control Areas

| Adjacent Control Area (ACA) | A Control Area that is tightly interconnected with the CAISO |
|---|---|
| | Control Area, but also has direct interconnections with other |
| | Control Areas, possibly including other ACAs, such that power |
| | flows in one Control Area significantly affect power flows in the |
| | other Control Area. |
| Embedded Control Area (ECA) | A Control Area that has direct interconnections exclusively with |
| | the CAISO Control Area, and no other Control Area. |
| Integrated Balancing Authority Area (IBAA) | A Balancing Authority Area that has one or more direct |
| | iInterconnections with the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, |
| | such that as determined jointly by both the CAISO and the |

65.DOC / 1}12

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing



BAA, power flows in the IBAA significantly affect power flows in the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, and that is therefore modeled in detail in the CAISO's Full Network Model.

<u>65.DOC / 1}13</u>

Proposed Tariff Changes adding Detail on Integrated Balancing Authority Area Modeling and Pricing

Please forward, no later than January 30, 2008, all written comments to proposed tariff language to <u>eoneill@caiso.com</u>

Page 13 of 13