

Scheduling Coordinator Comments on Wheeling Non-PTO Data Submittal

Scheduling Coordinator	Comments
APX	No Comments
City & County of SF	Does not support daily submission for PA Go-Live.
	However, would support it if additional time was committed for thorough testing prior to implementation. Need time to modify applications.
	Could interest be waived for a timeframe (until a daily submission can be implemented)?
CDWR	Does not support daily submission for PA Go-Live.
	Does not object to a consideration post PA go-live
City of Riverside	No Comments
NCPA	Supports daily submission process at T+5B
	Proposed this change. Thinks it is consistent with Payment Acceleration and does not feel they should be required to pay interest when they can avoid by submitting data daily. In addition, their interest charges could be 'substantial'.
	No technical challenges - they are prepared to submit daily.
	Encourages automation, but willing to submit manually (like done today) in the interim (until) a automated solution can be provided.
Sempra Energy	Not opposed to daily submission process at T+5B.
	Sempra's neutral position was assuming that CAISO allowed for estimation at T+5B for this data, similar to the meter data submission policy under PA.
	If CAISO moves forward with this change, conditions expressed by Sepra are as follows: 1) the submission process allows for daily load estimation,
	2) allowance for submission of a one-time true-up of all previously-reported daily volumes for the given month (at prior to T+38B invoicing),
	3) that interest charges, if any, are assessed on:
	a) under-reported volumes ONLY (ie. over-reported load is not subject to), and
	b) ONLY deviations outside of a specified tolerance band (eg. 10%), and c) ONLY net aggregated deviations for the given month (as opposed to daily volumes).
WAPA	Does not support daily submission for PA Go-Live.
	Does not object to a consideration post PA go-live, with a suggested timeframe of Spring 2010
VIASYN	Did not participate