

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Table of Contents

<u>40.</u>	<u>Resource Adequacy Demonstration For All SCs In The CAISO BAA.....</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>40.1</u>	<u>Applicability.....</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>40.1.1</u>	<u>Election Of Load Serving Entity Status.....</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>40.2</u>	<u>Information Requirements For Resource Adequacy Programs</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>40.2.1</u>	<u>Reserve Sharing LSEs</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>40.2.2</u>	<u>Non-CPUC LSEs Electing Reserve Sharing LSE Status</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>40.2.3</u>	<u>Modified Reserve Sharing LSEs.....</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>40.2.4</u>	<u>Load Following MSS.....</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>40.3</u>	<u>Local Capacity Area Resource Requirements For SCs For LSEs</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>40.3.1</u>	<u>Local Capacity Technical Study</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>40.3.2</u>	<u>Allocation Of Local Capacity Area Resource Obligations</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>40.3.3</u>	<u>Procurement Of Local Capacity Area Resources By LSEs.....</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>40.3.4</u>	<u>[NOT USED]</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>40.4</u>	<u>General Requirements On Resource Adequacy Resources.....</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>40.4.1</u>	<u>Eligible Resources And Determination Of Qualifying Capacity</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>40.4.2</u>	<u>Net Qualifying Capacity Report</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>40.4.3</u>	<u>General Qualifications For Supplying Net Qualifying Capacity</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>40.4.4</u>	<u>Reductions For Testing.....</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>40.4.5</u>	<u>Reductions For Performance Criteria</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>40.4.6</u>	<u>Reductions For Deliverability</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>40.4.7</u>	<u>Submission Of Supply Plans</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>40.5</u>	<u>Requirements Applying To Modified Reserve Sharing LSEs Only</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>40.5.2</u>	<u>Demand Forecast Accuracy</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>40.5.3</u>	<u>Requirement To Make Resources Available In System Emergency.....</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>40.5.5</u>	<u>Substitution Of Resources.....</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>40.6</u>	<u>Requirements For SCs And Resources For Reserve Sharing LSEs</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>40.6.1</u>	<u>Day-Ahead Availability.....</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>40.6.2</u>	<u>Real-Time Availability</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>40.6.3</u>	<u>Additional Availability Requirements For Short Start Units</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>40.6.4</u>	<u>Use-Limited Resources Additional Availability Requirements.....</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>40.6.6</u>	<u>Requirements For Partial Resource Adequacy Resources.....</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>40.6.8</u>	<u>Use Of Generated Bids.....</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>40.6.9</u>	<u>Grandfathered Firm Liquidated Damages Contracts Requirements</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>40.6.10</u>	<u>Exports Of Energy From Resource Adequacy Capacity</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>40.7</u>	<u>Compliance.....</u>	<u>61</u>
<u>40.7.1</u>	<u>Other Compliance Issues</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>40.7.2</u>	<u>Penalties For Non-Compliance.....</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>40.8</u>	<u>CAISO Default Qualifying Capacity Criteria</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>40.8.1</u>	<u>Applicability.....</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>40.9.</u>	<u>Availability Standards And Payment; Non-Availability Charges.....</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>40.9.1</u>	<u>General</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>40.9.2</u>	<u>Exemptions</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>40.9.3</u>	<u>Availability Assessment Hours</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>40.9.4</u>	<u>Availability Determinations.....</u>	<u>74</u>
<u>40.9.5</u>	<u>Outage Reporting</u>	<u>82</u>
<u>40.9.6</u>	<u>Non-Availability Charges And Availability Incentive Payments</u>	<u>83</u>
<u>40.9.7</u>	<u>Assessment For NRS-RA Resources.....</u>	<u>85</u>
<u>40.9.8</u>	<u>Reporting.....</u>	<u>88</u>

40. Resource Adequacy Demonstration For All SCs In The CAISO BAA

40.1 Applicability

A Load Serving Entity, and its Scheduling Coordinator, shall be exempt from this Section 40 during the next Resource Adequacy Compliance Year, if the metered peak Demand of the Load Serving Entity did not exceed one (1) MW during the twelve months preceding the last date on which the Load Serving Entity can make the election in Section 40.1.1 for the next Resource Adequacy Compliance Year. This Section 40 shall apply to all other Load Serving Entities and their respective Scheduling Coordinators. For purposes of Section 40, a Load Serving Entity shall not include any entity satisfying the terms of California Public Utilities Code Section 380(j)(3).

40.1.1 Election Of Load Serving Entity Status

On an annual basis, in the manner and schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual, the Scheduling Coordinator for a Load Serving Entity, not exempt under Section 40.1, shall inform the CAISO whether each such LSE elects to be either: (i) a Reserve Sharing LSE or (ii) a Modified Reserve Sharing LSE. A Scheduling Coordinator for a Load following MSS is not required to make an election under this Section. Scheduling Coordinators for Load following MSSs are subject solely to Sections 40.2.4, 40.3, and with respect to their Local Capacity Area Resources identified in accordance with Section 40.2.4, Section 40.9.

The CAISO may confirm with the CPUC, Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency, as applicable, the accuracy of the election by the Scheduling Coordinator for any LSE under its respective jurisdiction, or, in the absence of any election by the Scheduling Coordinator, the desired election for any LSE under its jurisdiction. The determination of the CPUC, Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency will be deemed binding by the CAISO on the Scheduling Coordinator and the LSE. If the Scheduling Coordinator and CPUC, Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency, as appropriate, fail to make the election on behalf of an LSE in accordance with the Business Practice Manual, the LSE shall be deemed a Reserve Sharing LSE.

40.2 Information Requirements For Resource Adequacy Programs

40.2.1 Reserve Sharing LSEs

40.2.1.1 Requirements for CPUC Load Serving Entities Electing Reserve Sharing LSE Status

- (a) The Scheduling Coordinator for a CPUC Load Serving Entity electing Reserve Sharing LSE status must provide the CAISO with all information or data to be provided to the CAISO as required by the CPUC and pursuant to the schedule adopted by the CPUC, except that the monthly Resource Adequacy Plans or the same information as required to be included in the monthly Resource Adequacy Plans, plus any other information the CAISO requires as identified in the Business Practice Manual, shall be submitted to the CAISO no less than 45 days in advance of the first day of the month covered by the plan, as provided in Section 40.2.1.1(e).
- (b) Where the information or data provided to the CAISO under Section 40.2.1.1(a) does not include Reserve Margin(s), then the provisions of Section 40.2.2.1(b) shall apply.
- (c) Where the information or data provided to the CAISO under Section 40.2.1.1(a) does not include criteria for determining qualifying resource types and their Qualifying Capacity, then the provisions of Section 40.8 shall apply.
- (d) Where the information or data provided to the CAISO under Section 40.2.1.1(a) does not include annual and monthly Demand Forecast requirements, then the provisions of Section 40.2.2.3 shall apply.
- (e) Where the information or data provided to the CAISO under Section 40.2.1.1(a) does not include annual and monthly Resource Adequacy Plan requirements, or where there is a requirement to submit monthly Resource Adequacy Plans but the submission date is less than 45 days in advance of the first day of the month covered by the plan, then Section

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

40.2.2.4 shall apply.

- (f) Notwithstanding Section 40.2.1.1(a) and (e), for the resource adequacy month of January 2013, the monthly Resource Adequacy Plans or the same information as required to be included in the monthly Resource Adequacy Plans, plus any other information the CAISO requires as identified in the Business Practice Manual, shall be submitted to the CAISO no later than November 20, 2012, which is 42 days in advance of the first day of the month.

40.2.2 Non-CPUC LSEs Electing Reserve Sharing LSE Status

40.2.2.1 Reserve Margin

- (a) The Scheduling Coordinator for a Non-CPUC Load Serving Entity electing Reserve Sharing LSE status must provide the CAISO with the Reserve Margin(s) adopted by the appropriate Local Regulatory Authority or federal agency for use in the annual Resource Adequacy Plan and monthly Resource Adequacy Plans listed as a percentage of the Demand Forecasts developed in accordance with Section 40.2.2.3.
- (b) For the Scheduling Coordinator for a Non-CPUC Load Serving Entity for which the appropriate Local Regulatory Authority or federal agency has not established a Reserve Margin(s) or a CPUC Load Serving Entity subject to Section 40.2.1.1(b) that has elected Reserve Sharing LSE status, the Reserve Margin for each month shall be no less than fifteen percent (15%) of the LSE's peak hourly Demand for the applicable month, as determined by the Demand Forecasts developed in accordance with Section 40.2.2.3.

40.2.2.2 Qualifying Capacity Criteria

The Scheduling Coordinator for a Non-CPUC Load Serving Entity electing Reserve Sharing LSE status must provide the CAISO with a description of the criteria adopted by the Local Regulatory Authority or federal agency for determining qualifying resource types and the Qualifying Capacity

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

from such resources and any modifications thereto as they are implemented from time to time.

The Reserve Sharing LSE may elect to utilize the criteria set forth in Section 40.8.

40.2.2.3 Demand Forecasts

The Scheduling Coordinator for a Non-CPUC Load Serving Entity or CPUC Load Serving Entity subject to Section 40.2.1.1(b) electing Reserve Sharing LSE status must provide annual and monthly Demand Forecasts on the schedule and in the reporting format(s) set forth in the Business Practice Manual. The annual and monthly Demand Forecasts shall utilize the annual and monthly coincident peak Demand determinations provided by the California Energy Commission for such Load Serving Entity, which will be calculated from the Demand Forecast information submitted to the California Energy Commission by each Reserve Sharing LSE; or (ii) if the California Energy Commission does not produce coincident peak Demand Forecasts for the Load Serving Entity, the annual and monthly coincident peak Demand Forecasts produced by the CAISO for such Load Serving Entity in accordance with its Business Practice Manual.

Scheduling Coordinators must provide data and information, as may be requested by the CAISO, necessary to develop or support the Demand Forecasts required by this Section.

40.2.2.4 Annual and Monthly Resource Adequacy Plans

The Scheduling Coordinator for a Non-CPUC Load Serving Entity or a CPUC Load Serving Entity subject to Section 40.2.1.1(b) electing Reserve Sharing LSE status must provide annual and monthly Resource Adequacy Plans for such Load Serving Entity, as follows:

- (a) Each annual Resource Adequacy Plan must be submitted to the CAISO on a schedule and in the reporting format(s) set forth in the Business Practice Manual. The annual Resource Adequacy Plan must, at a minimum, set forth the Local Capacity Area Resources, if any, procured by the Load Serving Entity as described in Section 40.3.
- (b) Each monthly Resource Adequacy Plan or the same information as required to be included in the monthly Resource Adequacy Plan, plus any other information the CAISO requires as identified in the Business Practice Manual, must be submitted to the CAISO at least 45 days in advance of the first day of the month

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

covered by the plan, and in accordance with the schedule and in the reporting format(s) set forth in the Business Practice Manual. The monthly Resource Adequacy Plan must identify all resources, including Local Capacity Area Resources, the Load Serving Entity will rely upon to satisfy the applicable month's peak hour Demand of the Load Serving Entity as determined by the Demand Forecasts developed in accordance with Section 40.2.2.3 and applicable Reserve Margin. Resource Adequacy Plans must utilize the Net Qualifying Capacity requirements of Section 40.4.

- (c) The Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity may submit at any time from 45 days through 11 days in advance of the relevant month, a revision to its monthly Resource Adequacy Plan to correct an error in the plan. The CAISO will not accept any revisions to a monthly Resource Adequacy Plan from 10 days in advance of the relevant month through the end of the month, unless the Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity demonstrates good cause for the change and explains why it was not possible to submit the change earlier.
- (d) In order to ensure that the CAISO's outage replacement determination remains accurate, the Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity that submits a revision to its monthly Resource Adequacy Plan to correct an error must include in the revision a MW amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity for each day of month that is no less than the MW amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity included in its original plan for each day of the month.
- (e) In order to ensure that the amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity required to be included in the Load Serving Entity's Resource Adequacy Plan is operationally available to the CAISO throughout the resource adequacy month, the Load Serving Entity that submits the monthly Resource Adequacy Plan is subject to the replacement requirement in Section 9.3.1.3.1.
- (f) Notwithstanding Section 40.2.2.4(b), for the resource adequacy month of January 2013, the monthly Resource Adequacy Plans or the same information as

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

required to be included in the monthly Resource Adequacy Plans, plus any other information the CAISO requires as identified in the Business Practice Manual, shall be submitted to the CAISO no later than November 20, 2012, which is 42 days in advance of the first day of the month. Notwithstanding Section 40.2.2.4(c), for the resource adequacy month of January 2013, the Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity may submit at any time from 42 days through 11 days in advance of the relevant month, a revision to its monthly Resource Adequacy Plan to correct an error in the plan.

40.2.3 Modified Reserve Sharing LSEs

40.2.3.1 Reserve Margin

- (a) The Scheduling Coordinator for a Load Serving Entity electing Modified Reserve Sharing LSE status must provide the CAISO with the Reserve Margin(s) adopted by the CPUC, Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency, as appropriate, for use in the annual Resource Adequacy Plan and monthly Resource Adequacy Plans listed as a percentage of the Demand Forecasts developed in accordance with Section 40.2.3.3.
- (b) For the Scheduling Coordinator for a Load Serving Entity electing Modified Reserve Sharing LSE status for which the CPUC, Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency, as appropriate, has not established a Reserve Margin, the Reserve Margin shall be no less than fifteen percent (15%) of the applicable month's peak hour Demand of the Load Serving Entity, as determined by the Demand Forecasts developed in accordance with Section 40.2.3.3.

40.2.3.2 Qualifying Capacity

The Scheduling Coordinator for a Load Serving Entity electing Modified Reserve Sharing LSE status must provide the CAISO with a description of the criteria for determining qualifying resource types and the Qualifying Capacity from such resources and any modifications thereto as

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

they are implemented from time to time. The Modified Reserve Sharing LSE may elect to utilize the criteria set forth in Section 40.8.

40.2.3.3 Demand Forecasts

- (a) The Scheduling Coordinator for a Load Serving Entity electing Modified Reserve Sharing LSE status must provide annual and monthly Demand Forecasts on the schedule and in the reporting format(s) set forth in the Business Practice Manual. The annual and monthly Demand Forecasts shall utilize the annual and monthly coincident peak Demand determinations provided by the California Energy Commission for such Load Serving Entity, which will be calculated from Demand Forecast data submitted to the California Energy Commission by each Modified Reserve Sharing LSE; or (ii) if the California Energy Commission does not produce coincident peak Demand Forecasts for the Load Serving Entity, the annual and monthly coincident peak Demand Forecasts produced by the CAISO for such Load Serving Entity in accordance with its Business Practice Manual. Scheduling Coordinators must provide data and information, as may be requested by the CAISO, to develop or support the Demand Forecast required by this Section 40.2.3.3(b).
- (b) The Scheduling Coordinator for a Load Serving Entity electing Modified Reserve Sharing LSE status must submit, on the schedule and in the reporting format set forth in the Business Practice Manual, hourly Demand Forecasts for each Trading Hour of the next Trading Day for each Modified Reserve Sharing LSE represented. The Scheduling Coordinator for a Load Serving Entity electing Modified Reserve Sharing LSE status must provide data or supporting information, as requested by the CAISO, for the Demand Forecasts required by this Section 40.2.3.3(b) for each Modified Reserve Sharing LSE served by the Scheduling Coordinator and a description of the criteria upon which the

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Demand Forecast was developed, and any modifications thereto as they are implemented from time to time.

40.2.3.4 Annual and Monthly Resource Adequacy Plans

The Scheduling Coordinator for a Load Serving Entity electing Modified Reserve Sharing LSE status must provide annual and monthly Resource Adequacy Plans, for each Modified Reserve Sharing LSE served by the Scheduling Coordinator, as follows:

- (a) Each annual Resource Adequacy Plan must be submitted to the CAISO on a schedule and in the reporting format(s) set forth in the Business Practice Manual. The annual Resource Adequacy Plan must, at a minimum, set forth the Local Capacity Area Resources, if any, procured by the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE as described in Section 40.3.
- (b) Each monthly Resource Adequacy Plan or the same information as required to be included in the monthly Resource Adequacy Plan, plus any other information the CAISO requires as identified in the Business Practice Manual, must be submitted to the CAISO at least 45 days in advance of the first day of the month covered by the plan, and in accordance with the schedule and in the reporting format(s) set forth in the Business Practice Manual. The monthly Resource Adequacy Plan must identify the resources the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE will rely upon to satisfy its forecasted monthly Demand and Reserve Margin as set forth in Section 40.2.3.1, for the relevant reporting period and must utilize the Net Qualifying Capacity requirements of Section 40.4.
- (c) The Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity may submit, at any time from 45 days through 11 days in advance of the relevant month, a revision to its monthly Resource Adequacy Plan to correct an error in the plan. The CAISO will not accept any revisions to a monthly Resource Adequacy Plan from 10 days in advance of the relevant month through the end of the month, unless the Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity demonstrates good cause for the change and explains why it was not possible to submit the change earlier.

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

- (d) In order to ensure that the CAISO's outage replacement determination remains accurate, the Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity that submits a revision to its monthly Resource Adequacy Plan to correct an error must include in the revision a MW amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity for each day of month that is no less than the MW amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity included in its original plan for each day of the month.
- (e) In order to ensure that the Resource Adequacy Capacity required to be included in the Load Serving Entity's monthly Resource Adequacy Plan is operationally available to the CAISO throughout the resource adequacy month, the Load Serving Entity that submits the monthly Resource Adequacy Plan is subject to the replacement requirement in Section 9.3.1.3.1.
- (f) Notwithstanding Section 40.2.3.4(b), for the resource adequacy month of January 2013, the monthly Resource Adequacy Plans or the same information as required to be included in the monthly Resource Adequacy Plans, plus any other information the CAISO requires as identified in the Business Practice Manual, shall be submitted to the CAISO no later than November 20, 2012, which is 42 days in advance of the first day of the month. Notwithstanding Section 40.2.3.4(c), for the resource adequacy month of January 2013, the Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity may submit at any time from 42 days through 11 days in advance of the relevant month, a revision to its monthly Resource Adequacy Plan to correct an error in the plan.

40.2.4 Load Following MSS

A Scheduling Coordinator for a Load following MSS must provide an annual Resource Adequacy Plan that sets forth, at a minimum, the Local Capacity Area Resources, if any, procured by the Load following MSS as described in Section 40.3. The annual Resource Adequacy Plan shall utilize the annual coincident peak Demand determination provided by the California Energy Commission for such Load following MSS using Demand Forecast data submitted to the California Energy Commission by the Load following MSS, or, if the California Energy

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Commission does not produce coincident peak Demand Forecasts for the Load following MSS, the annual coincident peak Demand Forecast produced by the CAISO for such Load following MSS in accordance with its Business Practice Manual using Demand Forecast data submitted to the CAISO by the Load following MSS. The Local Capacity Area Resources identified by the annual Resource Adequacy Plan submitted by the Load following MSS shall be subject to the Availability Standards, Non-Availability Charge, and Availability Incentive Payment specified in Section 40.9.

40.3 Local Capacity Area Resource Requirements For SCs For LSEs

40.3.1 Local Capacity Technical Study

On an annual basis, pursuant to the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual, the CAISO will, perform, and publish on the CAISO Website the Local Capacity Technical Study. The Local Capacity Technical Study shall identify Local Capacity Areas, determine the minimum amount of Local Capacity Area Resources in MW that must be available to the CAISO within each identified Local Capacity Area, and identify the Generating Units within each identified Local Capacity Area. The CAISO shall collaborate with the CPUC, Local Regulatory Authorities within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, federal agencies, and Market Participants to ensure that the Local Capacity Technical Study is performed in accordance with this Section 40.3 and to establish for inclusion in the Business Practice Manual other parameters and assumptions applicable to the Local Capacity Technical Study and a schedule that provides for: (i) reasonable time for review of a draft Local Capacity Technical Study, (ii) reasonable time for Participating TOs to propose operating solutions, and (iii) release of the final Local Capacity Technical Study no later than 120 days prior to the date annual Resource Adequacy Plans must be submitted under this Section 40.

40.3.1.1 Local Capacity Technical Study Criteria

The Local Capacity Technical Study will determine the minimum amount of Local Capacity Area Resources needed to address the Contingencies identified in Section 40.3.1.2. In performing the Local Capacity Technical Study, the CAISO will apply those methods for resolving Contingencies considered appropriate for the performance level that corresponds to a particular studied

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Contingency, as provided in NERC Reliability Standards TPL-001-0, TPL-002-0, TPL-003-0, and TPL-004-0, as augmented by CAISO Reliability Criteria in accordance with the Transmission Control Agreement and Section 24.2.1. The CAISO Reliability Criteria shall include:

- (1) Time Allowed for Manual Readjustment: This is the amount of time required for the Operator to take all actions necessary to prepare the system for the next Contingency. This time should not be more than thirty (30) minutes.
- (2) No voltage collapse or dynamic instability shall be allowed for a Contingency in Category D – extreme event (any B1-4 system readjusted (Common Mode) L-2), as listed in Section 40.3.1.2.

40.3.1.2 Local Capacity Technical Study Contingencies.

<p>The Local Capacity Technical Study shall assess the following Contingencies:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Contingency Component(s)</p>
NERC/WECC Performance Level A – No Contingencies
<u>NERC/WECC Performance Level B – Loss of a single element</u>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Generator (G-1) 2. Transmission Circuit (L-1) 3. Transformer (T-1) 4. Single Pole (dc) Line 5. G-1 system readjusted L-1
<u>NERC/WECC Performance Level C – Loss of two or more elements</u>
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. L-1 system readjusted G-1 3. G-1 system readjusted T-1 or T-1 system readjusted G-1 3. L-1 system readjusted T-1 or T-1 system readjusted L-1 3. G-1 system readjusted G-1 3. L-1 system readjusted L-1 4. Bipolar (dc) Line

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

5. Two circuits (Common Mode) L-2 WECC-S3. Two generators (Common Mode) G-2
<u>D – Extreme event – loss of two or more elements</u>
Any B1-4 system readjusted (Common Mode) L-2

40.3.2 Allocation Of Local Capacity Area Resource Obligations

The CAISO will allocate responsibility for Local Capacity Area Resources to Scheduling Coordinators for Load Serving Entities in the following sequential manner:

- (a) The responsibility for the aggregate Local Capacity Area Resources required for all Local Capacity Areas within each TAC Area as determined by the Local Capacity Technical Study will be allocated to all Scheduling Coordinators for Load Serving Entities that serve Load in the TAC Area in accordance with the Load Serving Entity's proportionate share of the LSE's TAC Area Load at the time of the CAISO's annual coincident peak Demand set forth in the annual peak Demand Forecast for the next Resource Adequacy Compliance Year as determined by the California Energy Commission. Expressed as a formula, the allocation of Local Area Capacity Resource obligations will be as follows: $(\sum \text{Local Capacity Area MW in TAC Area from the Local Capacity Technical Study}) * (\text{LSE Demand in TAC Area at CAISO annual coincident peak Demand}) / (\text{Total TAC Area Demand at the time of CAISO annual coincident peak Demand})$. This will result in a MW responsibility for each Load Serving Entity for each TAC Area in which the LSE serves Load. The LSE may meet its MW responsibility, as assigned under this Section, for each TAC Area in which the LSE serves Load by procurement of that MW quantity in any Local Capacity Area in the TAC Area.

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

- (b) For Scheduling Coordinators for Non-CPUC Load Serving Entities, the Local Capacity Area Resource obligation will be allocated based on Section 40.3.2(a) above.
- (c) For Scheduling Coordinators for CPUC Load Serving Entities, the CAISO will allocate the Local Capacity Area Resource obligation based on an allocation methodology, if any, adopted by the CPUC. However, if the allocation methodology adopted by the CPUC does not fully allocate the total sum of each CPUC Load Serving Entity's proportionate share calculated under Section 40.3.2(a), the CAISO will allocate the difference to all Scheduling Coordinators for CPUC Load Serving Entities in accordance with their proportionate share calculated under 40.3.2(a). If the CPUC does not adopt an allocation methodology, the CAISO will allocate Local Capacity Area Resources to Scheduling Coordinators for CPUC Load Serving Entities based on Section 40.3.2(a).

Once the CAISO has allocated the total responsibility for Local Capacity Area Resources, the CAISO will inform the Scheduling Coordinator for each LSE of the LSE's specific allocated responsibility for Local Capacity Area Resources in each TAC Area in which the LSE serves Load.

40.3.3 Procurement Of Local Capacity Area Resources By LSEs

Nothing in this Section 40 obligates any Scheduling Coordinator to demonstrate on behalf of a Load Serving Entity that the Load Serving Entity has procured Local Capacity Area Resources to satisfy capacity requirements for each Local Capacity Area identified in the technical study. Scheduling Coordinators for Load Serving Entities may aggregate responsibilities for procurement of Local Capacity Area Resources. If a Load Serving Entity has procured Local Capacity Area Resources that satisfy generation capacity requirements for Local Capacity Areas, the Scheduling Coordinator for such Load Serving Entity shall include this information in its annual and monthly Resource Adequacy Plan(s).

40.3.4 [NOT USED]

40.4 General Requirements On Resource Adequacy Resources

40.4.1 Eligible Resources And Determination Of Qualifying Capacity

The CAISO shall use the criteria provided by the CPUC or Local Regulatory Authority to determine and verify, if necessary, the Qualifying Capacity of all Resource Adequacy Resources; however, to the extent a resource is listed by one or more Scheduling Coordinators in their Resource Adequacy Plans, which apply the criteria of more than one Local Regulatory Authority that leads to conflicting Qualifying Capacity values for that resource, the CAISO will accept the methodology that results in the highest Qualifying Capacity value. Only if the CPUC, Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency has not established any Qualifying Capacity criteria, or chooses to rely on the criteria in this CAISO Tariff, will the provisions of Section 40.8 apply.

40.4.2 Net Qualifying Capacity Report

The CAISO shall produce an annual report posted to the CAISO Website on the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual that sets forth the Net Qualifying Capacity of all Participating Generators. All other Resource Adequacy Resources may be included in the annual report under Section 40.4.2 upon their request. The Net Qualifying Capacity of any resource included in the annual report, once posted to the CAISO Website, shall not be reduced by the CAISO for the next Resource Adequacy Compliance Year. Any change proposed to be made to a Net Qualifying Capacity value for a resource included in a prior annual report shall be explained, and any test results or analyses underlying the change provided, to the Scheduling Coordinator within ten (10) days of the CAISO's determination that a change to the resource's Net Qualifying Capacity is appropriate, which also must be at least fifteen (15) days prior to the posting on the CAISO Website of the annual report. Any disputes as to the CAISO's determination regarding Net Qualifying Capacity shall be subject to the CAISO ADR Procedures.

40.4.3 General Qualifications For Supplying Net Qualifying Capacity

Resource Adequacy Resources included in a Resource Adequacy Plan submitted by a Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of a Load Serving Entity serving Load in the CAISO Balancing Authority Area must:

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

- (1) Be available for testing by the CAISO to validate Qualifying Capacity, which can be no less than a resource's PMin even if the resource's contractual Resource Adequacy Capacity is less than its PMin, and determine Net Qualifying Capacity for the next Resource Adequacy Compliance Year;
- (2) Provide any information requested by the CAISO to apply the performance criteria to be adopted by the CAISO pursuant to Section 40.4.5;
- (3) Submit Bids into the CAISO Markets as required by this CAISO Tariff;
- (4) Be in compliance, as of the date that the CAISO performs any testing or otherwise determines Net Qualifying Capacity for the next Resource Adequacy Compliance Year, with the criteria for Qualifying Capacity established by the CPUC, relevant Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency and provided to the CAISO; and
- (5) Be subject to Sanctions for non-performance as specified in the CAISO Tariff; and
- (6) For a resource with contractual Resource Adequacy Capacity less than PMin, make the PMin available to the CAISO for commitment or dispatch at PMin, subject to Section 11.8 provisions for Bid Cost Recovery, so that the resource's Resource Adequacy Capacity can be utilized as required by this CAISO Tariff.

40.4.4 Reductions For Testing

In accordance with the procedures specified in the Business Practice Manual, the Generating Unit of a Participating Generator or other Generating Units, System Units or Loads of Participating Loads, Reliability Demand Response Resources, or Proxy Demand Resources included in a Resource Adequacy Plan submitted by a Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of a Load Serving Entity can have its Qualifying Capacity reduced, for purposes of the Net Qualifying Capacity annual report under Section 40.4.2 for the next Resource Adequacy Compliance Year, if

a CAISO testing program determines that it is not capable of supplying the full Qualifying Capacity amount.

40.4.5 Reductions For Performance Criteria

No later than 12 months after the effective date of this Section 40, the CAISO will issue a report outlining a proposal with respect to performance criteria for Resource Adequacy Resources. The CAISO will collaborate with the CPUC and other Local Regulatory Authorities to develop the performance criteria to be submitted to FERC. The Scheduling Coordinator for a Resource Adequacy Resource shall provide or make available to the CAISO, subject to the confidentiality provisions of this CAISO Tariff, all documentation requested by the CAISO to determine, develop or implement the performance criteria, including, but not limited to, NERC Generating Availability Data System data.

40.4.6 Reductions For Deliverability

40.4.6.1 Deliverability Within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area

In order to determine Net Qualifying Capacity from Resource Adequacy Resources subject to this Section 40.4, the CAISO will determine that a Resource Adequacy Resource is available to serve the aggregate of Load by means of a deliverability study. Documentation explaining the CAISO's deliverability analysis will be posted on the CAISO Website. The deliverability study will be performed annually and shall focus on peak Demand conditions. The results of the deliverability study shall be incorporated into the Net Qualifying Capacity annual report under Section 40.4.2 and will be effective for the next Resource Adequacy Compliance Year. To the extent the deliverability study shows that the Qualifying Capacity is not deliverable to the aggregate of Demand under the conditions studied, the Qualifying Capacity of the Resource Adequacy Resource will be reduced on a MW basis for the capacity that is undeliverable. Resources will be electrically grouped in a manner consistent with the CAISO Deliverability Assessment methodology posted on the CAISO Website. For Resource Adequacy Resources in the same electrical group which have identified deliverability constraints, the Qualifying Capacity of the Resource Adequacy Resources that obtained Full Capacity Deliverability Status or partial deliverability through Section 8.2 of Appendix Y to this CAISO Tariff will be reduced prior to

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

reducing the Qualifying Capacity of those resources which were originally provided Full Capacity Deliverability Status pursuant to inclusion in an Interconnection Study Cycle under Appendix Y to this CAISO Tariff.

40.4.6.2 Deliverability of Imports

40.4.6.2.1 Available Import Capability Assignment Process

For Resource Adequacy Plans covering any period after December 31, 2007, total Available Import Capability will be assigned on an annual basis for a one-year term to Load Serving Entities serving Load in the CAISO Balancing Authority Area and other Market Participants through their respective Scheduling Coordinators, as described by the following sequence of steps. However, should the CPUC modify by decision its compliance period from January to December of the calendar year to May through April of the calendar year, the CAISO shall extend the effectiveness of the assignment for Resource Adequacy Compliance Year 2008 through April 2009.

Step 1: Determination of Maximum Import Capability on Interties into the CAISO Balancing Authority Area: The CAISO shall establish the Maximum Import Capability for each Intertie into the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, and will post those values on the CAISO Website in accordance with the schedule and process set forth in the Business Practice Manual.

Step 2: Determination of Available Import Capability by Accounting for Existing Contracts and Transmission Ownership Rights Held by Out-of- Balancing Authority Area LSEs: For each Intertie, the Available Import Capability will be determined by subtracting from the Maximum Import Capability established in Step 1 for each Intertie the import capability on each Intertie associated with (i) Existing Contracts and (ii) Transmission Ownership Rights held by load serving entities that do not serve Load within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area. The remaining sum of all Intertie Available Import Capability is the Total Import Capability. Total Import Capability shall be used to determine the Load Share Quantity for each Load Serving Entity that serves Load within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area.

Step 3: Determination of Existing Contract Import Capability by Accounting for Existing Contracts and Transmission Ownership Rights Held by CAISO Balancing Authority Area LSEs: From the Available Import Capability remaining on each Intertie after Step 2 above,

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Existing Contracts and Transmission Ownership Rights held by Load Serving Entities that serve Load within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area shall be reserved for the holders of such commitments and will not be subject to reduction under any subsequent steps in this Section. The import capability reserved pursuant to this Step 3 is the Existing Contract Import Capability.

Step 4: Assignment of Pre-RA Import Commitments: From the Available Import Capability remaining on each Intertie after reserving Existing Contract Import Capability under Step 3 above, the CAISO will assign to Load Serving Entities serving Load within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability on a particular Intertie based on Pre-RA Import Commitments in effect (where a supplier has an obligation to deliver the Energy or make the capacity available) at any time during the Resource Adequacy Compliance Year for which the Available Import Capability assignment is being performed. The Pre-RA Import Commitment will be assigned to the Intertie selected by the Load Serving Entity during the Resource Adequacy Compliance Year 2007 import capability assignment process, which was required to be based on the Intertie upon which the Energy or capacity from the Pre-RA Import Commitment had been primarily scheduled or, for a Pre-RA Import Commitment without a scheduling history at the time of the Resource Adequacy Compliance Year 2007 import capability assignment process, the primary Intertie upon which the Energy or capacity was anticipated to be scheduled. To the extent a Pre-RA Import Commitment was not presented during the Resource Adequacy Compliance Year 2007 import capability assignment process, the Load Serving Entity shall select the Intertie upon which the Pre-RA Import Commitment is primarily anticipated to be scheduled during the term of the Pre-RA Import Commitment and that selection shall be utilized in future annual Available Import Capability assignment processes. If a Pre-RA Import Commitment submitted on behalf of a LSE with Existing Contract Import Capability is assigned under this Section to the same Intertie on which the LSE holds Existing Contract Import Capability, the Pre-RA Import Commitment will be assumed to deliver over the Existing Contract Import Capability until exhausted, unless the LSE can demonstrate otherwise.

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

To the extent a particular Intertie becomes over requested with Pre-RA Import Commitments due to either Pre-RA Import Commitments not included in the Resource Adequacy Compliance Year 2007 import capability assignment process or changes in system conditions that decrease the Maximum Import Capability of the Intertie, such that the MW represented in all Pre-RA Import Commitments utilizing the Intertie exceed the Intertie's Available Import Capability in excess of that reserved for Existing Contracts and Transmission Ownership Rights under Steps 2 and 3, the Pre-RA Import Commitments will be assigned Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability, based on the Import Capability Load Share Ratio of each Load Serving Entity submitting Pre-RA Import Commitments on the particular Intertie. To the extent this initial assignment of Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability has not fully assigned the Available Import Capability of the particular over requested Intertie, the remaining Available Import Capability on the over requested Intertie will be assigned until fully exhausted based on the Import Capability Load Share Ratio of each Load Serving Entity whose submitted Pre-RA Import Commitment has not been fully satisfied by the previous Import Capability Load Share Ratio assignment iteration. The Available Import Capability assigned pursuant to this Step 4 is the Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability.

Step 5: Assignment of Remaining Import Capability Limited by Load Share Quantity: The Total Import Capability remaining after Step 4 will be assigned only to Load Serving Entities serving Load within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area that have not received Existing Contract Import Capability and Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability under Steps 3 and 4, that exceed the Load Serving Entity's Load Share Quantity. Only the MW quantity of any Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability assigned to Existing Contract Import Capability under Step 4 that exceeds the Existing Contract Import Capability on the particular Intertie will be counted for purposes of this Step 5. This Total Import Capability will be assigned until fully exhausted to those Load Serving Entities eligible to receive an assignment under this Step based on each Load Serving Entity's Import Capability Load Share Ratio up to, but not in excess of, its Load Share Quantity. The quantity of Total Import Capability assigned to the

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Load Serving Entity under this Step is the Load Serving Entity's Remaining Import Capability.

This Step 5 does not assign Remaining Import Capability on a specific Intertie.

Step 6: CAISO Posting of Assigned and Unassigned Capability: Following the completion of Step 5, the CAISO will post to the CAISO Website, in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual the following information:

- (a) The Total Import Capability;
- (b) The quantity in MW of Existing Contracts and Transmission Ownership Rights assigned to each Intertie, distinguishing between Existing Contracts and Transmission Ownership Rights held by Load Serving Entities within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area and those held by load serving entities outside the CAISO Balancing Authority Area;
- (c) The aggregate quantity in MW, and identity of the holders, of Pre-RA Import Commitments assigned to each Intertie; and
- (d) The aggregate quantity in MW of Available Import Capability after Step 4, the identity of the Interties with Available Import Capability, and the MW quantity of Available Import Capability on each such Intertie.

Step 7: CAISO Notification of LSE Assignment Information: Following the completion of Step 5, in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual, the CAISO will notify the Scheduling Coordinator for each Load Serving Entity of:

- (a) The Load Serving Entity's Import Capability Load Share;
- (b) The Load Serving Entity's Load Share Quantity; and
- (c) The amount of, and Intertie on which, the Load Serving Entity's Existing Contract Import Capability and Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability, as applicable, has been assigned; and
- (d) The Load Serving Entity's Remaining Import Capability.

Step 8: Transfer of Import Capability: In accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual, a Load Serving Entity shall be allowed to transfer some or all of its Remaining Import Capability to any other Load Serving Entity or Market Participant. The

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

CAISO will accept transfers among LSEs and Market Participants only to the extent such transfers are reported to the CAISO, in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual and through the CAISO's Import Capability Transfer Registration Process, by the entity receiving the Remaining Import Capability who must set forth (1) the name of the counter-parties, (2) the MW quantity, (3) term of transfer, and (4) price on a per MW basis. The CAISO will post to the CAISO Website by August 8, 2007 for Resource Adequacy Compliance Year 2008 and for subsequent Resource Adequacy Compliance Years in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual the information on transfers of Remaining Import Capability received under this Step 8.

Step 9: Initial Scheduling Coordinator Request to Assign Remaining Import Capability by Intertie: In accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual, the Scheduling Coordinator for each Load Serving Entity or Market Participant shall notify the CAISO of its request to assign its post-trading Remaining Import Capability on a MW basis per available Intertie. Total requests for assignment of Remaining Import Capability by a Scheduling Coordinator cannot exceed the sum of the post-traded Remaining Import Capability of its Load Serving Entities. The CAISO will honor the requests to the extent an Intertie has not been over requested. If an Intertie is over requested, the requests for Remaining Import Capability on that Intertie will be assigned based on each Load Serving Entity's Import Capability Load Share Ratio in the same manner as set forth in Step 4. A Market Participant without an Import Capability Load Share will be assigned the Import Capability Load Share equal to the average Import Capability Load Share of those Load Serving Entities from which it received transfers of Remaining Import Capability.

Step 10: CAISO Notification of Initial Remaining Import Capability Assignments and Unassigned Capability: In accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual, the CAISO will:

- (a) Notify the Scheduling Coordinator for each Load Serving Entity or Market Participant of the Load Serving Entity or Market Participant's accepted request(s) for assigning Remaining Import Capability under Step 9;

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

- (b) Publish on the CAISO Website aggregate unassigned Available Import Capability, if any, the identity of the Interties with unassigned Available Import Capability, and the MW quantity of Available Import Capability, on each such Intertie; and
- (c) Issue a Market Notice to advise the Scheduling Coordinator for each Load Serving Entity or Market Participant that Step 10 is complete and to specify the time at which the ISO will begin accepting requests for the Remaining Import Capability for Step 11.

Step 11: Secondary Scheduling Coordinator Request to Assign Remaining Import Capability by Intertie: To the extent Remaining Import Capability remains unassigned as disclosed by Step 10, in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual, Scheduling Coordinators for Load Serving Entities or Market Participants shall notify the CAISO of their requests to assign any Remaining Import Capability on a MW per available Intertie basis. Step 10 must be completed before a Scheduling Coordinator may submit a request under this step for any Remaining Import Capability. Any requests received prior to the time stated in the Market Notice issued at the completion of Step 10 will not be honored by the ISO. The CAISO will honor the timely requests received to the extent an Intertie has not been over requested. If an Intertie is over requested, the requests on that Intertie will be assigned based on each Load Serving Entity or Market Participant's Import Capability Load Share Ratio, as used in Steps 4 and 9.

Step 12: Notification of Secondary Remaining Import Capability Assignments and Unassigned Capability: In accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual, the CAISO will:

- (a) Notify the Scheduling Coordinator for each Load Serving Entity or Market Participant of the Load Serving Entity or Market Participant's accepted request(s) for assigning Remaining Import Capability under Step 11;
- (b) Publish on the CAISO Website unassigned aggregate Available Import Capability, if any, the identity of the Interties with Available Remaining

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Import Capability, and the MW quantity of Availability Import Capability on each such Intertie; and

- (c) Issue a Market Notice to advise the Scheduling Coordinator for each Load Serving Entity or Market Participant that Step 12 is complete and to specify the time at which the ISO will begin accepting requests for the Balance of Year Unassigned Available Import Capability for Step 13.

Step 13: Requests for Balance of Year Unassigned Available Import Capability: To the extent total Available Import Capability remains unassigned as disclosed by Step 12, Scheduling Coordinators for Load Serving Entities or Market Participants may notify the CAISO of a request for unassigned Available Import Capability on a specific Intertie on a per MW basis. Step 12 must be completed before a Scheduling Coordinator may submit a request under this step for any remaining unassigned Import Capability. Any requests received prior to the time stated in the Market Notice issued at the completion of Step 12 will not be honored by the ISO. Each request must include the identity of Load Serving Entity or Market Participant on whose behalf the request is made. The CAISO will accept only two (2) requests per calendar week from any Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of a single Load Serving Entity or other Market Participant. The CAISO will honor timely requests in priority of the time requests from Scheduling Coordinators were received until the Intertie is fully assigned and without regard to any Load Serving Entity's Load Share Quantity. Any honored request shall be for the remainder of the Resource Adequacy Compliance Year; however, any notification by the CAISO of acceptance of the request in accordance with this Section after the 20th calendar day of any month shall not be permitted to be included in the Load Serving Entity's Resource Adequacy Plan submitted in the same month as the acceptance. The CAISO shall provide an electronic means, either through the Import Capability Transfer Registration Process or otherwise, of notifying the Scheduling Coordinator of the time the request was deemed received by the CAISO and, within seven (7) days of receipt of the request, whether the request was honored. If honored, it shall be the responsibility of the Scheduling Coordinator and its Load Serving Entity to notify the CPUC or applicable Local

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Regulatory Authority of the acceptance of the request for unassigned Available Import Capability. If the request is not honored because the Intertie requested was fully assigned, the request will be deemed rejected and the Scheduling Coordinator, if it still seeks to obtain unassigned Available Import Capability, will be required to submit a new request for unassigned Available Import Capability on a different Intertie. The CAISO will update on its website the list of unassigned Available Import Capability by Intertie in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual.

This multi-step process for assignment of Total Import Capability does not guarantee or result in any actual transmission service being assigned and is only used for determining the import capability that can be credited towards satisfying the Reserve Margin of a Load Serving Entity under this Section 40. Upon the request of the CAISO, Scheduling Coordinators must provide the CAISO with information on Pre-RA Import Commitments and any transfers or sales of assigned Total Import Capability.

40.4.6.2.2 Bilateral Import Capability Transfers and Registration Process

40.4.6.2.2.1 Eligibility Registration for Bilateral Import Capability Transfers

To be eligible to engage in any bilateral assignment, sale, or other transfer of Remaining Import Capability under Step 8 of Section 40.4.6.2.1 or Section 40.4.6.2.2.2 or Existing Contract Import Capability, and Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability under Section 40.6.2.2.2, a Load Serving Entity or other Market Participant must provide the CAISO through the Import Capability Transfer Registration Process the following information:

- (a) Name of the Load Serving Entity or Market Participant
- (b) E-mail contact information

The CAISO will post to the CAISO Website the information received under this Section on a monthly basis in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual. Any assignment, sale, or other transfer of Existing Contract Import Capability, Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability, or Remaining Import Capability may only be made by or to a Load Serving Entity or Market Participant whose information received under this Section has been posted to the CAISO Website prior to the date of the assignment, sale, or other transfer of the

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Existing Contract Import Capability, Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability, or Remaining Import Capability. It shall be the exclusive responsibility of the Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity or Market Participant to ensure that the information posted to the CAISO Website under this Section is accurate and up to date.

40.4.6.2.2 Reporting Process for Bilateral Import Capability Transfers

This Section shall apply to all transfers of Existing Contract Import Capability, Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability, or Remaining Import Capability other than that provided for in Step 8 of Section 40.4.6.2.1. Any Load Serving Entity or other Market Participant that has obtained Existing Contract Import Capability, Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability, or Remaining Import Capability may assign, sell, or otherwise transfer such Existing Contract Import Capability, Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability, or Remaining Import Capability in MW increments. The import capability subject to each transfer shall remain on the Intertie assigned pursuant to Section 40.4.6.2.1.

The Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity or Market Participant receiving the transferred Existing Contract Import Capability, Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability, or Remaining Import Capability must report the transfer to the CAISO through the CAISO's Import Capability Transfer Registration Process by providing the following information:

- (a) Identity of the counter-party(ies);
- (b) The MW quantity;
- (c) The Intertie on which the Existing Contract Import Capability, Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability, or Remaining Import Capability was assigned;
- (d) Term of the transfer;
- (e) Price on a per MW basis; and
- (f) Whether the import capability assignment being transferred is Existing Contract Import Capability, Pre-RA Import Commitment Capability, or Remaining Import Capability.

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

The CAISO will promptly post to the CAISO Website the information on transfers received under this Section except for the information received pursuant to subpart (f) of this Section. On a quarterly basis, the CAISO shall also report to FERC the transfer information received under this Section and Step 8 of Section 40.4.6.2.1. Transfer information received in accordance with this Section after the 20th calendar day of any month shall not be permitted to be included in the Load Serving Entity's Resource Adequacy Plan submitted in the same month as the transfer submission.

40.4.6.2.2.3 Other Import Capability Information Postings

The CAISO will post to the CAISO Website on a monthly basis in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual, for each Intertie, the holder and that holder's quantity in MW of import capability assigned on the particular Intertie as of the reporting date.

The CAISO will also post to the CAISO Website following submission of the annual Resource Adequacy Plans under Sections 40.2.1.1, 40.2.2.4, 40.2.3.4, and 40.2.4, for each Intertie, by a "yes" or "no" designation, whether each holder of import capability assigned on the particular Intertie has fully included the assigned import capability in the holder's annual Resource Adequacy Plans.

40.4.6.3 Deliverability of Distributed Generation

The CAISO will perform an annual Deliverability Assessment, as described in Section 40.4.6.3.1, to determine MW quantities of Potential DGD at specific Nodes of the CAISO Controlled Grid for assigning Deliverability Status to Distributed Generation Facilities interconnected or seeking interconnection to the Distribution System of a Utility Distribution Company or a Metered Subsystem pursuant to the interconnection procedures of the Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem, where such interconnection and Potential Deliverability Status can be provided:

- (i) without any additional Delivery Network Upgrades (although Reliability Network Upgrades, Distribution Upgrades or other mitigation may be needed);
 - (ii) without the need for the CAISO to conduct any further Deliverability Assessment;
- and

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

- (iii) without degrading the Deliverability Status of Generation in Commercial Operation, proposed Generating Facilities in the CAISO Interconnection queue, or the Distributed Generation Facilities of interconnection customers who have previously requested Full Capacity or Partial Capacity Deliverability Status.

Following the CAISO's publication of the nodal Potential DGD quantities resulting from the Deliverability Assessment, applicable Utility Distribution Companies and Metered Subsystems will assign Full Capacity Deliverability Status or Partial Capacity Deliverability Status to specific Distributed Generation Facilities pursuant to the rules set forth in Section 40.4.6.3.2.

This Section 40.4.6.3 is intended to supplement, and not to preclude or limit, the ability of an interconnection customer for a Distributed Generation Facility to seek and receive Full Capacity Deliverability Status or Partial Capacity Deliverability Status through applicable interconnection procedures. Nothing in this Section 40.4.6.3 is intended to relieve the interconnection customer for a Distributed Generation Facility from the requirements to request and achieve interconnection to the Distribution System through the applicable interconnection procedures. In addition, the amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity a Distributed Generation Facility may provide in any given Resource Adequacy Compliance Year is subject to the CAISO's annual Net Qualifying Capacity determination, as specified in Section 40.4.6.1.

40.4.6.3.1 Deliverability Assessment to Determine Potential DGD

This Section describes the annual DG Deliverability Assessment the CAISO will perform to determine nodal MW amounts of Potential DGD available to Utility Distribution Companies and Metered Subsystems for assigning Deliverability Status to Distributed Generation Facilities in accordance with Section 40.4.6.3.2. The DG Deliverability Assessment and its results will be based on the assumption that the Distributed Generation Facilities that are eventually assigned Deliverability Status under Section 40.4.6.3 complete all requirements for interconnection to the Distribution System under the applicable interconnection process and that these Distributed Generation Facilities will be supported by needed Reliability Network Upgrades, Distribution Upgrades or other mitigation that would be needed to safely and reliably interconnect to the Distribution System and deliver Energy from the Distribution System to the appropriate CAISO

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Controlled Grid Node.

40.4.6.3.1.1 Developing the Assessment Model

To develop the base case model for the DG Deliverability Assessment, the CAISO will include:

- (i) The most recent GIP or GIDAP Queue Cluster Phase II Interconnection Study deliverability power flow base case, which includes Distributed Generation Facilities of interconnection customers with active interconnection requests who have requested Full Capacity or Partial Capacity Deliverability Status;
- (ii) Those Generating Facilities that have obtained Deliverability using the annual full capacity deliverability option under either Section 8.2 of the GIP, Section 9.2 of the GIDAP, or equivalent process(es) under the applicable Utility Distribution Company tariffs;
- (iii) Transmission additions and upgrades approved in the final comprehensive Transmission Plan for the most recent Transmission Planning Process cycle;
- (iv) Any Generating Facilities in the most recent GIDAP Phase I Interconnection Study that have been determined to be deliverable in accordance with their requested Deliverability Status (including Distributed Generation Facilities of interconnection customers with active interconnection requests who have requested Full Capacity or Partial Capacity Deliverability Status) and were not assigned any Delivery Network Upgrade costs in the Phase I Interconnection Study;
- (v) Delivery Network Upgrades that have received governmental approvals or for which Construction Activities have commenced;
- (vi) The MW amounts of resources interconnected to the Distribution System below specific Nodes of the CAISO Controlled Grid contained in the most recent Transmission Planning Process base portfolio, except that the CAISO will remove each Node (by using a zero MW value) located within electrical areas for which the most recently completed GIP or GIDAP Phase I or Phase II Interconnection Study has identified a need for a Delivery Network Upgrade or

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

for which the most recent Phase II Interconnection Study identified and then removed a Delivery Network Upgrade to support Deliverability for MW amounts in the Interconnection queue;

- (vii) Actual distributed generation development based on the MW amount of distributed generation in applicable Utility Distribution Company and Metered Subsystem interconnection queues including non-net-energy-metering resources requesting interconnection through state-jurisdictional interconnection processes;
- (viii) Any additional information provided by each Utility Distribution Company and Metered Subsystem regarding anticipated distributed generation development on its Distribution System; and
- (ix) Other information that the CAISO, in its reasonable discretion, determines is necessary.

40.4.6.3.1.2 Performing the DG Deliverability Assessment

The CAISO will perform the DG Deliverability Assessment using the Deliverability Assessment procedures described in GIDAP Section 6.3.2 to determine the availability of transmission system capability, as reflected in the study model described above, to provide Deliverability Status for targeted amounts of additional distributed generation at given Nodes of the CAISO Controlled Grid. Except for Nodes that the CAISO removes by assigning a zero MW value pursuant to Section 40.4.6.3.1.1(vi), the targeted amounts of additional distributed generation at each Node shall be at least as large as the maximum of the corresponding nodal MW amounts determined in accordance with Sections 40.4.6.3.1.1(vi), 40.4.6.3.1.1(vii) or 40.4.6.3.1.1(viii). The CAISO may use larger targeted amounts as it deems appropriate to enhance the information provided by the DG Deliverability Assessment. The DG Deliverability Assessment will preserve modeled transmission system capability to provide requested levels of deliverability for the Generating Facilities of Interconnection Customers or the Distributed Generation Facilities of interconnection customers under a wholesale distribution access tariff who have previously requested Full Capacity or Partial Capacity Deliverability Status. Therefore, at each Node where all modeled Generating Facilities, including the distributed generation target amounts, cannot be

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

simultaneously dispatched to the modeled output levels corresponding to their Full Capacity or Partial Capacity Deliverability Status without violating operating limits of the CAISO Controlled Grid, the CAISO will reduce the modeled distributed generation target amounts as needed to achieve a feasible Dispatch.

40.4.6.3.1.3 Publishing Results of the DG Deliverability Assessment

The CAISO will publish the results of the DG Deliverability Assessment by posting on the CAISO Website. The results will identify all Nodes modeled in the assessment with the corresponding nodal MW amounts of Potential DGD that (a) were studied as targeted amounts in the DG Deliverability Assessment; (b) were found to be deliverable in the DG Deliverability Assessment; and (c) are available for use by Utility Distribution Companies and Metered Subsystems to assign Deliverability Status to Distributed Generation Facilities in accordance with Section 40.4.6.3.2. The nodal MW amounts of Potential DGD available for assignment of Deliverability Status by Utility Distribution Companies and Metered Subsystems to individual Distributed Generation Facilities will be denominated in 0.01 MW increments and will not exceed the maximum of the corresponding nodal MW amounts determined in accordance with Sections 40.4.6.3.1.1(vi), 40.4.6.3.1.1(vii) or 40.4.6.3.1.1(viii), even though the amounts that were studied and found to be deliverable may be larger.

With respect to those Nodes at which more than one Utility Distribution Company's or Metered Subsystem's Distribution System is connected, the CAISO will publish, at the same time it publishes the results of the DG Deliverability Assessment, each Utility Distribution Company's or Metered Subsystem's respective share of the Potential DGD available to provide Deliverability Status to Distributed Generation Facilities at these Nodes based on the ratio of Load served via the facilities of each affected Utility Distribution Company and Metered Subsystem at such Nodes.

40.4.6.3.1.4 Bilateral Transfers of Potential DGD at Shared Nodes

A Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem shall be entitled to transfer all or a portion of its MW share of Potential DGD at a Node that is shared with the Distribution System of another Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem, in quantities no smaller than 0.01 MW. A

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Utility Distribution Company that is also an IOU Participating Transmission Owner shall be entitled to transfer a MW share of Potential DGD to another Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem only to the extent that the total MW quantity associated with Distributed Generation Facilities connected or seeking interconnection to the IOU Participating Transmission Owner's Distribution System at the Node that are eligible to receive Deliverability Assignments pursuant to Section 40.4.6.3.2.2.1 is less than the available Potential DGD for that Node as indicated in the DG Deliverability Assessment for the current cycle. Both Utility Distribution Companies or Metered Subsystems participating in a transfer pursuant to this Section 40.4.6.3.1.4 shall notify the CAISO of the transfer. Utility Distribution Companies and Metered Subsystems may engage in such transfers during the period from the date they received notification of their shares of Potential DGD at shared Nodes under Section 40.4.6.3.1.3 through the date on which Deliverability Status assignments must be provided to the CAISO, pursuant to Section 40.4.6.3.2.

40.4.6.3.2 Assignment of Deliverability Status to Distributed Generation Facilities

After completion of the DG Deliverability Assessment associated with the current cycle of the process described in Section 40.4.6.3, and in accordance with a Market Notice setting out the schedule for the cycle, each Utility Distribution Company and Metered Subsystem will assign Deliverability Status to individual Distributed Generation Facilities interconnected, or seeking interconnection, to the Distribution System of the Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem below each Node where the CAISO's DG Deliverability Assessment for the current cycle has indicated the availability of Potential DGD, consistent with the rules set forth in this Section 40.4.6.3.2, and will report all such assignments to the CAISO in accordance with the schedule for the cycle.

Upon receipt of this information the CAISO will validate that the Utility Distribution Company's or Metered Subsystem's assignments of Deliverability Status to specific Distributed Generation Facilities is consistent with (i) the MW quantities of Potential DGD available to that Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem at specific Nodes; the CAISO's methodology for associating the Deliverability Status of a specific generating resource type with a MW quantity of

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Potential DGD, as set forth in Section 40.4.6.3.2.1; and (iii) the time limit on a Distributed Generation Facility's expected future Commercial Operation date, as set forth in Section 40.4.6.3.2.2. If the CAISO identifies an inconsistency between a Utility Distribution Company's or Metered Subsystem's assignment of Deliverability Status to a Distributed Generation Facility and any of these requirements, the CAISO will notify the Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem, and the Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem in consultation with the CAISO will adjust its assignments of Deliverability Status as needed. The CAISO will then inform the Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem that the validation process has been completed, and the Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem will notify the Distributed Generation Facilities of their Deliverability Status assignments.

40.4.6.3.2.1 Associating MW of Potential DGD with Deliverability Status of a Distributed Generation Facility

As described further in a Business Practice Manual, Utility Distribution Company's or Metered Subsystem's association of a MW quantity of Potential DGD at a specific Node with the Deliverability Status of a specific Distributed Generation Facility shall be commensurate with the MW Energy production level appropriate to the type of generating resource comprising the facility modeled in the Deliverability Assessment, the qualifying capacity determination method for that resource type, the installed capacity of the facility, and the Deliverability Status (Full Capacity or Partial Capacity) to be assigned to the facility, and shall be consistent with the CAISO's methodology for modeling resources in its deliverability studies.

40.4.6.3.2.2 Eligibility of Distributed Generation Facilities to Obtain Deliverability Status Assignment

To be eligible to receive a Deliverability Status assignment, a Distributed Generation Facility must satisfy the requirements of the applicable application process pursuant to this Section 40.4.6.3.2.2 and, if the Distributed Generation Facility is not in Commercial Operation, it must have an expected Commercial Operation date set forth in its current interconnection request or interconnection agreement that is no later than three (3) years from the last date on which applications may be submitted for the current DG Deliverability Assessment cycle.

**40.4.6.3.2.2.1 Eligibility to Obtain Deliverability Status Assignment from IOU Participating
Transmission Owners**

Distributed Generation Facilities interconnected, or seeking interconnection, to the Distribution System of an IOU Participating Transmission Owner may apply to the applicable IOU Participating Transmission Owner to be eligible to receive a Deliverability Status assignment in the current DG Deliverability Assessment cycle as follows:

- (i) Distributed Generation Facilities that are already in Commercial Operation and interconnected to the Distribution System of an IOU Participating Transmission Owner that do not have Deliverability Status may submit an application to be eligible for Full or Partial Capacity Deliverability Status, and those that have Partial Capacity Deliverability Status may apply to be eligible for a higher level of Partial Capacity Deliverability Status or Full Capacity Deliverability Status.
- (ii) Distributed Generation Facilities with an active interconnection request in the interconnection queue of an IOU Participating Transmission Owner that have not requested Deliverability Status in the underlying interconnection process but have received their Phase I interconnection study results or the equivalent thereof may submit an application to be eligible to receive Partial Capacity Deliverability Status or Full Capacity Deliverability Status.
- (iii) Distributed Generation Facilities with an active interconnection request in the interconnection queue of an IOU Participating Transmission Owner that have not received their Phase I interconnection study results or the equivalent thereof, irrespective of whether they requested Deliverability Status in their interconnection request, may submit an application to be eligible to receive Partial Capacity Deliverability Status or Full Capacity Deliverability Status.

Distributed Generation Facilities with an active interconnection request in the interconnection queue of an IOU Participating Transmission Owner that have requested Deliverability Status in the underlying interconnection process and have already received Phase I interconnection study results or the equivalent thereof are not eligible to be assigned Deliverability Status pursuant to

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Section 40.4.6.3 because their Deliverability Status is protected in accordance with the provisions of Section 40.4.6.3.1 and will be assigned through the applicable IOU Participating Transmission Owner's interconnection process.

Applications from Distributed Generation Facilities in the eligible categories specified above must be submitted by the deadline specified in the schedule for the current DG Deliverability Assessment cycle in order for the Distributed Generation Facility to be treated as eligible to receive a Deliverability Status assignment in the current cycle. Distributed Generation Facilities that fail to apply in a timely manner will be assumed not to be seeking Deliverability Status in the current cycle. The CAISO will issue a Market Notice announcing the deadline for submitting applications. The deadline will be no earlier than thirty (30) days after the CAISO publishes the results of the DG Deliverability Assessment. The form of the application shall be specified in a Business Practice Manual. The application shall be submitted to the applicable Participating Transmission Owner, which shall provide a copy of the application to the CAISO within five (5) Business Days after the application was submitted.

**40.4.6.3.2.2 Eligibility to Obtain Deliverability Status Assignment from Utility
Distribution Companies and Metered Subsystems that are Not IOU
Participating Transmission Owners**

Distributed Generation Facilities interconnected, or seeking interconnection, to the Distribution System of a Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem that is not an IOU Participating Transmission Owner may apply to the applicable Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem to be eligible to receive a Deliverability Status assignment in the current DG Deliverability Assessment cycle pursuant to individual interconnection procedures of the Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem.

**40.4.6.3.2.3 Assignment of Deliverability Status to Distributed Generation Facilities by
IOU Participating Transmission Owners**

Utility Distribution Companies that are also IOU Participating Transmission Owners will assign Deliverability Status on a first-come, first-served basis to those Distributed Generation Facilities either interconnected or seeking interconnection to their Distribution Systems at each applicable

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Node, and that are eligible for assignment pursuant to Section 40.4.6.3.2.2.1, in the following priority order:

- (1) Distributed Generation Facilities already in Commercial Operation and interconnected to the Distribution System of the applicable IOU Participating Transmission Owner as of the deadline for submitting applications pursuant to Section 40.4.6.3.2.2.1, in order of the date they achieved Commercial Operation, from earliest to most recent. At Nodes where there is insufficient Potential DGD indicated in the DG Deliverability Assessment to fulfill all Deliverability Status applications received during the current cycle from Distributed Generation Facilities already in Commercial Operation, and two or more such Distributed Generation Facilities next in order to obtain the last remaining increment of Potential DGD at a Node have the same Commercial Operation date, each such resource shall receive a pro rata share of the remaining Potential DGD in proportion to its MW Energy production level as modeled by the CAISO for the purpose of the CAISO's Deliverability Assessment methodology, in accordance with the level of Deliverability Status applied for in the current cycle.
- (2) Distributed Generation Facilities with an active interconnection request in the interconnection queue of the applicable IOU Participating Transmission Owner that have submitted an application pursuant to Section 40.4.6.3.2.2.1 to obtain Deliverability Status through the process set forth in Section 40.4.6.3, in order of their queue position in the applicable interconnection process. At Nodes where there is insufficient Potential DGD indicated in the DG Deliverability Assessment to provide Deliverability Status to eligible Distributed Generation Facilities with active interconnection requests, and two or more such Distributed Generation Facilities next in order to obtain the last remaining increment of Potential DGD have the same interconnection queue position, the remaining amount of Potential DGD will be allocated in order of expected Commercial Operation date, from earliest to furthest in the future. For purposes of this determination, the expected

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Commercial Operation date shall be the Commercial Operation date specified in the Distributed Generation Facility's interconnection agreement, or if no interconnection agreement has yet been executed, the Distributed Generation Facility's application submitted pursuant to Section 40.4.6.3.2.2.1. If two or more such Distributed Generation Facilities have the same expected Commercial Operation date, each such resource shall receive a pro rata share of the remaining Potential DGD in proportion to its expected MW Energy production level as modeled by the CAISO for the purpose of the CAISO's Deliverability Assessment methodology, in accordance with the level of Deliverability Status requested in the current cycle.

Pursuant to this process, an IOU Participating Transmission Owner shall, during each cycle, fully utilize the maximum amount of Potential DGD available at each Node to provide Deliverability Status to eligible Distributed Generation Resources. If, however, the total MW quantity associated with eligible Distributed Generation Resources at a particular Node is less than the available Potential DGD for that Node as indicated in the DG Deliverability Assessment for the current cycle, then the excess quantity of Potential DGD shall be treated as unassigned Potential DGD in accordance with Section 40.4.6.3.3.

40.4.6.3.2.4 Assignment of Deliverability Status to Distributed Generation Facilities by Utility Distribution Companies and Metered Subsystems that are not IOU Participating Transmission Owners

Utility Distribution Companies and Metered Subsystems that are not IOU Participating Transmission Owners will assign Deliverability Status to individual Distributed Generating Facilities interconnected, or seeking interconnection, to the Distribution System of such Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem based on the Potential DGD available at applicable Nodes pursuant to their individual interconnection procedures. Such Utility Distribution Companies and Metered Subsystems may report assignments of Deliverability Status to the CAISO at any time. However, only those assignments of Deliverability Status that are reported to the CAISO in accordance with the assignment schedule established by the CAISO for the current

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

DG Deliverability Assessment cycle will be eligible for inclusion in the CAISO's annual Net Qualifying Capacity determination as specified in Section 40.4.6.1 and thereby eligible to be designated as Resource Adequacy Resources for the next Resource Adequacy Compliance Year.

40.4.6.3.3 Unassigned Potential DGD

If a Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem does not fully utilize the MW quantity of Potential DGD available to assign Deliverability Status to specific Distributed Generation Facilities during an annual DG Deliverability Assessment cycle, the CAISO will preserve the unassigned Potential DGD for that Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem through the next cycle.

40.4.6.3.4 Deliverability Status of Distributed Generation Facilities

Once a Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem has assigned Deliverability Status to a specific Distributed Generation Facility and reported such assignment to the CAISO, and the CAISO has validated and accepted the reported information as specified under Section 40.4.6.3.2, the Deliverability Status becomes an attribute of the Distributed Generation Facility to which it was assigned. A Distributed Generation Facility assigned Deliverability Status pursuant to an application submitted under Section 40.4.6.3.2.2.1(iii) will be subject to the provisions of Section 40.4.6.3 with regard to its assigned Deliverability Status and will continue through the interconnection process for all other purposes as a request for Energy-Only Deliverability Status. Distributed Generation Facilities that are assigned Deliverability Status pursuant to Section 40.4.6.3 prior to achieving Commercial Operation must, in order to retain such assignment, achieve Commercial Operation no later than six months after the Commercial Operation date specified in the Distributed Generation Facility's interconnection agreement, or if no interconnection agreement had been executed at the time the assignment was made, the Distributed Generation Facility's application submitted pursuant to Section 40.4.6.3.2.2. However, if the Distributed Generation Facility submitted its application pursuant to Section 40.4.6.3.2.2.1(ii), such assignment shall not be revoked if the Distributed Generation Facility's failure to achieve Commercial Operation within six months of its indicated Commercial Operation date is due to a delay in the Utility Distribution Company's or Metered Subsystem's completion of

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

the upgrades necessary for the Distributed Generation Facility's interconnection. The applicable Utility Distribution Company or Metered Subsystem must report any such revocations and delays to the CAISO in accordance with the date set forth in a Business Practice Manual or in a Market Notice establishing the schedule for the annual DG Deliverability Assessment cycle.

With respect to a Distributed Generation Facility that meets this retention requirement, once that Distributed Generation Facility has achieved Commercial Operation, it will retain its assigned Deliverability Status for as long it remains in Commercial Operation. This also applies to Distributed Generation Facilities that were already in Commercial Operation at the time the assignment was made.

Any loss of Deliverability Status granted pursuant to Section 40.4.6.3, due to either permanent cessation of commercial operation of a Distributed Generation Facility or revocation due to failure to meet the Commercial Operation date requirement set forth above, will be appropriately modeled by the CAISO in the next DG Deliverability Assessment cycle. Depending on other changes that may have occurred on the CAISO Controlled Grid and connected Distribution Systems, or in associated interconnection queues, additional Potential DGD may be available in the next cycle for assignment of Deliverability Status in accordance with the process set forth in Section 40.4.6.3.

40.4.7 Submission Of Supply Plans

40.4.7.1 Schedule for Submission of Supply Plans

Scheduling Coordinators representing Resource Adequacy Resources supplying Resource Adequacy Capacity shall provide the CAISO with annual and monthly Supply Plans, as follows:

- (a) The annual Supply Plan shall be submitted to the CAISO on the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual and shall verify their agreement to provide Resource Adequacy Capacity during the next Resource Adequacy Compliance Year.
- (b) The monthly Supply Plans or the same information as required to be included in the monthly Supply Plan, plus any other information the CAISO requires as identified in the Business Practice Manual, shall be submitted to the CAISO at

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

least 45 days in advance of the first day of the month covered by the plan, and in accordance with the schedule and in the reporting format(s) set forth in the Business Practice Manual, and shall verify their agreement to provide Resource Adequacy Capacity during that resource adequacy month.

- (c) The Scheduling Coordinator for the Resource Adequacy Resource may submit, at any time from 45 days through 11 days in advance of the relevant month, a revision to its monthly Supply Plan to correct an error in the plan. The CAISO will not accept any revisions to a monthly Supply Plan from 10 days in advance of the relevant month through the end of the month, unless the Scheduling Coordinator for the Resource Adequacy Resource demonstrates good cause for the change and explains why it was not possible to submit the change earlier.
- (d) The monthly Supply Plan may indicate the willingness of the resource to offer capacity for procurement as **backstop capacity under the Capacity Procurement Mechanism** pursuant to Section 43, and provide the identity of the resource, the available capacity amount, the time periods when the capacity is available, and other information as may be specified in the Business Practice Manual.
- (e) Notwithstanding Section 40.4.7.1(b), for the resource adequacy month of January 2013, the monthly Supply Plans or the same information as required to be included in the monthly Supply Plans, plus any other information the CAISO requires as identified in the Business Practice Manual, shall be submitted to the CAISO no later than November 20, 2012, which is 42 days in advance of the first day of the month. Notwithstanding Section 40.2.2.4(c), for the resource adequacy month of January 2013, the Scheduling Coordinator for the resource adequacy resource may submit at any time from 42 days through 11 days in advance of the relevant month, a revision to its monthly Supply Plan to correct an error in the plan.

40.4.7.2 Form of Supply Plans

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

The Supply Plan must be in the form of the template provided on the CAISO Website, which shall include an affirmative representation by the Scheduling Coordinator submitting the Supply Plan that the CAISO is entitled to rely on the accuracy of the information provided in the Supply Plan to perform those functions set forth in this Section 40.

40.4.7.3 Validation of Supply Plans

The CAISO shall be entitled to take reasonable measures to validate the accuracy of the information submitted in Supply Plans under this Section. Supply Plan validation measures may include the following:

- (a) The CAISO may compare a Resource Adequacy Resource's Resource Adequacy Capacity against the Resource Adequacy Resource's Net Qualifying Capacity, if applicable. To the extent the Resource Adequacy Capacity of a Resource Adequacy Resource included in a Supply Plan is greater than the Resource Adequacy Resource's Net Qualifying Capacity, the CAISO will notify the respective Scheduling Coordinators for the Resource Adequacy Resource and each Load Serving Entity that has included the Resource Adequacy Resource in its Resource Adequacy Plan that the Resource Adequacy Capacity from the Resource Adequacy Resource shall be reduced to the Resource Adequacy Resource's Net Qualifying Capacity and that it will be considered a mismatch under Section 40.7. If the CAISO is not advised as to how the reduction in Resource Adequacy Capacity to conform with the Resource Adequacy Resource's Net Qualifying Capacity shall be allocated among each Load Serving Entity that included the Resource Adequacy Resource on its Resource Adequacy Plan, the CAISO will apply a pro rata reduction based on the Supply Plan.
- (b) The CAISO may verify whether the Resource Adequacy Capacity listed in the monthly Supply Plan is scheduled to take an Approved Maintenance Outage during the month. To the extent the Resource

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Adequacy Capacity of a Resource Adequacy Resource included in a Supply Plan is greater than the Resource Adequacy Capacity designated for the resource in the Resource Adequacy Plan, or includes Resource Adequacy Capacity that is scheduled to take an Approved Maintenance Outage during the month, the CAISO will notify the Scheduling Coordinator for the Resource Adequacy Resource and the respective Scheduling Coordinators for each Load Serving Entity that has included the Resource Adequacy Resource in its Resource Adequacy Plan that there is a discrepancy, which will be treated as a mismatch under Section 40.7. To the extent the Resource Adequacy Capacity of a Resource Adequacy Resource included in a Supply Plan is less than the Resource Adequacy Capacity designated for the resource in the Resource Adequacy Plan, or includes Resource Adequacy Capacity that is scheduled for an Approved Maintenance Outage during the month, the CAISO will notify the Local Regulatory Authority, the Scheduling Coordinator for the Resource Adequacy Resource, and the respective Scheduling Coordinators for each Load Serving Entity that has included the Resource Adequacy Resource in its Resource Adequacy Plan that there is a discrepancy, which will be treated as a mismatch under Section 40.7.

- (c) Other errors or inaccuracies identified by the CAISO in a Supply Plan shall be treated as a mismatch under Section 40.7.

Disputes regarding the CAISO's determination of Net Qualifying Capacity shall be subject to Section 40.5.2. The provisions of this Section shall not affect a Resource Adequacy Resource's Net Qualifying Capacity posted by the CAISO under Section 40.5.2.

40.5 Requirements Applying To Modified Reserve Sharing LSEs Only

40.5.1 Day Ahead Scheduling And Bidding Requirements

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

- (1) Scheduling Coordinators on behalf of Modified Reserve Sharing LSEs serving Load within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area for whom they submit Demand Bids shall submit into the IFM Bids or Self-Schedules for Demand equal to one hundred (100) percent and for Supply equal to one hundred and fifteen (115) percent of the hourly Demand Forecasts for each Modified Reserve Sharing LSE it represents for each Trading Hour for the next Trading Day. Subject to Section 40.5.5, the resources included in a Self-Schedule or a Bid in each Trading Hour to satisfy one hundred and fifteen (115) percent of the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE's hourly Demand Forecasts will be deemed Resource Adequacy Resources and (a) shall be comprised of those resources listed in the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE's monthly Resource Adequacy Plan and (b) shall include all Local Capacity Area Resources listed in the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE's annual Resource Adequacy Plan, if any, except to the extent the Local Capacity Area Resources, if any, are unavailable due to any Outages or reductions in capacity reported to the CAISO in accordance with this CAISO Tariff.
 - (i) Local Capacity Area Resources physically capable of operating must submit: (a) Economic Bids for Energy and/or Self-Schedules for all their Resource Adequacy Capacity and (b) Economic Bids for Ancillary Services and/or a Submission to Self-Provide Ancillary Services for all of their Resource Adequacy Capacity that is certified to provide Ancillary Services. For Local Resource Adequacy Capacity that is certified to provide Ancillary Services and is not covered by a Submission to Self-Provide Ancillary Services, the resource must submit Economic Bids for each Ancillary Service for which the resource is certified. For Resource Adequacy

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Capacity subject to this requirement for which no Economic Energy Bid or Self-Schedule has been submitted, the CAISO shall insert a Generated Bid in accordance with Section 40.6.8. For Resource Adequacy Capacity subject to this requirement for which no Economic Bids for Ancillary Services or Submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services have been submitted, the CAISO shall insert a Generated Bid in accordance with Section 40.6.8 for each Ancillary Service the resource is certified to provide. However, to the extent the Generating Unit providing Local Capacity Area Resource capacity constitutes a Use-Limited Resource under Section 40.6.4, the provisions of Section 40.6.4 will apply.

- (ii) Resource Adequacy Resource must participate in the RUC to the extent that the resource has available Resource Adequacy Capacity that was offered into the IFM and is not reflected in an IFM Schedule. Resource Adequacy Capacity participating in RUC will be optimized using zero dollar (\$0/MW-hour) RUC Availability Bid.
- (iii) Capacity from Resource Adequacy Resources selected in RUC will not be eligible to receive a RUC Availability Payment.
- (iv) Through the IFM co-optimization process, the CAISO will utilize available Local Capacity Area Resource Adequacy Capacity to provide Energy or Ancillary Services in the most efficient manner to clear the Energy market, manage congestion and procure required Ancillary Services. In so doing the IFM will honor submitted Energy Self-Schedules of the Local Capacity Area Resource Adequacy Capacity of the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE unless the CAISO is unable to satisfy one hundred

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

(100) percent of the Ancillary Services requirements. In such cases the CAISO may curtail all or a portion of a submitted Energy Self-Schedule to allow Ancillary Service-certified Local Capacity Area Resource Adequacy Capacity to be used to meet the Ancillary Service requirements. The CAISO will not curtail for the purpose of meeting Ancillary Service requirements a Self-Schedule of a resource internal to a Metered Subsystem that was submitted by the Scheduling Coordinator for that Metered Subsystem. If the IFM reduces the Energy Self-Schedule of Resource Adequacy Capacity to provide an Ancillary Service, the Ancillary Service Marginal Price for that Ancillary Service will be calculated in accordance with Section 27.1.2 using the Ancillary Service Bids submitted by the Scheduling Coordinator for the Resource Adequacy Resource or inserted by the CAISO pursuant to this Section 40.5.1, and using the resource's Generated Energy Bid to determine the Resource Adequacy Resource's opportunity cost of Energy. If the Scheduling Coordinator for the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE's Resource Adequacy Resource believes that the opportunity cost of Energy based on the Resource Adequacy Resource's Generated Energy Bid is insufficient to compensate for the resource's actual opportunity cost, the Scheduling Coordinator may submit evidence justifying the increased amount to the CAISO and to the FERC no later than seven (7) days after the end of the month in which the submitted Energy Self-Schedule was reduced by the CAISO to provide an Ancillary Service. The CAISO will treat such information as confidential and will apply the procedures in Section 20.4 of this

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

CAISO Tariff with regard to requests for disclosure of such information. The CAISO shall pay the higher opportunity costs after those amounts have been approved by FERC.

- (2) Resource Adequacy Resources of Modified Reserve Sharing LSEs that do not clear in the IFM or are not committed in RUC shall have no further offer requirements in the RTM, except under System Emergencies as provided in this CAISO Tariff.
- (3) Resource Adequacy Resources committed by the CAISO must maintain that commitment through Real-Time. In the event of a Forced Outage on a Resource Adequacy Resource committed in the Day-Ahead Market to provide Energy, the Scheduling Coordinator for the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE will have up to the next RTM bidding opportunity, plus one hour, to replace the lesser of: (i) the committed resource suffering the Forced Outage, (ii) the quantity of Energy committed in the Day-Ahead Market, or (iii) one hundred and seven (107) percent of the hourly forecast Demand.

40.5.2 Demand Forecast Accuracy

On a monthly basis, the CAISO will review Meter Data to evaluate the accuracy or quality of the hourly Day-Ahead Demand Forecasts submitted by the Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of Modified Reserve Sharing LSEs. If the CAISO determines, based on its review, that one or more Demand Forecasts materially under-forecasts the Demand of the Modified Reserve Sharing LSEs for whom the Scheduling Coordinator schedules, after accounting for weather adjustments, the CAISO will notify the Scheduling Coordinator of the deficiency and will cooperate with the Scheduling Coordinator and Modified Reserve Sharing LSE(s) to revise its Demand Forecast protocols or criteria. If the material deficiency affects ten (10) hourly Demand Forecasts over a minimum of two (2) non-consecutive Business Days within a month, the CAISO may: (i) inform State of California authorities including, but not necessarily limited to, the California Legislature, and identify the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE(s) represented by the Scheduling Coordinator and

(ii) assign to the Scheduling Coordinator responsibility for all tier 1 RUC charges as specified in Section 11.8.6.5 to address the uncertainty caused by the Scheduling Coordinator's deficient hourly Demand Forecasts until the deficiency is addressed.

40.5.3 Requirement To Make Resources Available In System Emergency

Scheduling Coordinators for Modified Reserve Sharing LSEs that are MSS Operators shall make resources available to the CAISO during a System Emergency in accordance with the provisions of their Metered Subsystem Agreement. Scheduling Coordinators for all other Modified Reserve Sharing LSEs shall make available to the CAISO upon a warning or emergency notice of an actual or imminent System Emergency all resources that have not submitted a Self-Schedule or Economic Bid in the IFM that were listed in the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE's monthly Resource Adequacy Plan that are physically capable of operating without violation of any applicable law.

40.5.4 Consequence Of Failure To Meet Scheduling Obligation

- (1) If the Scheduling Coordinator for the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE fails to submit a Self-Schedule or submit Bids equal to 115% of its hourly Demand Forecasts for each Trading Hour for the next Trading Day in the IFM and RUC, the Scheduling Coordinator will be charged a capacity surcharge of three times the price of the relevant Day-Ahead Hourly LAP LMP in the amount of the shortfall. To the extent the Scheduling Coordinator for the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE schedules imports on one or more Scheduling Points in an aggregate megawatt amount greater than its aggregate import deliverability allocation under Section 40.4.6.2, the quantity of megawatts in excess of its import deliverability allocation will not count toward satisfying the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE's scheduling obligation, unless it clears the Day-Ahead Market.
- (2) If the Scheduling Coordinator for the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE cannot fulfill its obligations under Section 40.5.1(3), the Scheduling

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Coordinator for the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE will be charged a capacity surcharge of two times the average of the six (6) Settlement Interval LAP prices for the hour in the amount of the shortfall. Energy scheduled in the RTM will not net against, or be used as a credit to correct, any failure to fulfill the Day-Ahead IFM hourly scheduling and RUC obligation in Section 40.5.1(1).

- (3) Any Energy surcharge received by the CAISO pursuant to this Section 40.5.4 shall be allocated to Scheduling Coordinators representing other Load Serving Entities in proportion to each such Scheduling Coordinator's Measured Demand during the relevant Trading Hour(s) to the aggregate CAISO Measured Demand during the relevant Trading Hour(s).

40.5.5 Substitution Of Resources

Subject to the provisions of this Section 40.5, the Scheduling Coordinator for a Modified Reserve Sharing LSE may substitute for its Resource Adequacy Resources listed in its monthly Resource Adequacy Plan provided:

- (1) Substitutions must occur no later than the close of the IFM; and
- (2) Resources eligible for substitution are either imports or capacity from non-Resource Adequacy Resources or Resource Adequacy Resources with additional available capacity defined as Net Qualifying Capacity in excess of previously sold Resource Adequacy Capacity; however a Local Capacity Area Resource may be substituted only with capacity from non-Resource Adequacy Resources located in the same Local Capacity Area.

40.6 Requirements For SCs And Resources For Reserve Sharing LSEs

This Section 40.6 does not apply to Resource Adequacy Resources of Load following MSSs and those entities that participate in the Modified Reserve Sharing LSE program under Section 40.5. Scheduling Coordinators supplying Resource Adequacy Capacity shall make the Resource

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Adequacy Capacity listed in the Scheduling Coordinator's monthly Supply Plans under Section 40.4.7 available to the CAISO each hour of each day of the reporting month in accordance with this Section 40.6 and Section 9.3.1.3.

40.6.1 Day-Ahead Availability

Scheduling Coordinators supplying Resource Adequacy Capacity shall make the Resource Adequacy Capacity, except for that subject to Section 40.6.4, available Day-Ahead to the CAISO as follows:

- (1) Resource Adequacy Resources physically capable of operating must submit: (a) Economic Bids for Energy and/or Self-Schedules for all their Resource Adequacy Capacity and (b) Economic Bids for Ancillary Services and/or a Submission to Self-Provide Ancillary Services in the IFM for all of their Resource Adequacy Capacity that is certified to provide Ancillary Services. For Resource Adequacy Capacity that is certified to provide Ancillary Services and is not covered by a Submission to Self-Provide Ancillary Services, the resource must submit Economic Bids for each Ancillary Service for which the resource is certified. For Resource Adequacy Capacity subject to this requirement for which no Economic Energy Bid or Self-Schedule has been submitted, the CAISO shall insert a Generated Bid in accordance with Section 40.6.8. For Resource Adequacy Capacity subject to this requirement for which no Economic Bids for Ancillary Services or Submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services have been submitted, the CAISO shall insert a Generated Bid in accordance with Section 40.6.8 for each Ancillary Service the resource is certified to provide.
- (2) Resource Adequacy Resources that are Extremely Long-Start Resources must make themselves available to the CAISO by complying with the Extremely Long-Start Commitment Process under Section 31.7 or otherwise committing the ELS Resource upon instruction from the

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

CAISO, if physically capable. Once the ELS Resource is committed by the CAISO, it is subject to the provisions of this Section 40.6.1 regarding Day-Ahead Availability and Section 40.6.2 regarding Real-Time Availability for the Trading Days for which it was committed.

- (3) Resource Adequacy Resources must be available except for limitations specified in the Master File, legal or regulatory prohibitions or as otherwise required by this CAISO Tariff or by Good Utility Practice.
- (4) Through the IFM co-optimization process, the CAISO will utilize available Resource Adequacy Capacity to provide Energy or Ancillary Services in the most efficient manner to clear the Energy market, manage congestion and procure required Ancillary Services. In so doing, the IFM will honor submitted Energy Self-Schedules of Resource Adequacy Capacity unless the CAISO is unable to satisfy one hundred percent (100%) of the Ancillary Services requirements. In such cases, the CAISO may curtail all or a portion of a submitted Energy Self-Schedule to allow Ancillary Service-certified Resource Adequacy Capacity to be used to meet the Ancillary Service requirements. The CAISO will not curtail for the purpose of meeting Ancillary Service requirements a Self-Schedule of a resource internal to a Metered Subsystem that was submitted by the Scheduling Coordinator for that Metered Subsystem. If the IFM reduces the Energy Self-Schedule of Resource Adequacy Capacity to provide an Ancillary Service, the Ancillary Service Marginal Price for that Ancillary Service will be calculated in accordance with Section 27.1.2 using the Ancillary Service Bids submitted by the Scheduling Coordinator for the Resource Adequacy Resource or inserted by the CAISO pursuant to this Section 40.6.1, and using the resource's Generated Energy Bid to determine the Resource Adequacy Resource's opportunity cost of Energy. If the Scheduling Coordinator for

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

the Resource Adequacy Resource believes that the opportunity cost of Energy based on the Resource Adequacy Resource's Generated Energy Bid is insufficient to compensate for the resource's actual opportunity cost, the Scheduling Coordinator may submit evidence justifying the increased amount to the CAISO and to the FERC no later than seven (7) days after the end of the month in which the submitted Energy Self-Schedule was reduced by the CAISO to provide an Ancillary Service. The CAISO will treat such information as confidential and will apply the procedures in Section 20.4 of this CAISO Tariff with regard to requests for disclosure of such information. The CAISO shall pay any higher opportunity costs approved by FERC.

- (5) A Resource Adequacy Resources must participate in the RUC to the extent that the resource has available Resource Adequacy Capacity that is not reflected in an IFM Schedule. Resource Adequacy Capacity participating in RUC will be optimized using a zero dollar (\$0/MW-hour) RUC Availability Bid.
- (6) Capacity from Resource Adequacy Resources selected in RUC will not be eligible to receive a RUC Availability Payment.

40.6.2 Real-Time Availability

Resource Adequacy Resources that have received an IFM Schedule for Energy or Ancillary Services or a RUC Schedule for all or part of their Resource Adequacy Capacity must remain available to the CAISO through Real-Time for Trading Hours for which they receive an IFM or RUC Schedule, including any Resource Adequacy Capacity of such resources that is not included in an IFM Schedule or RUC Schedule, except for Resource Adequacy Capacity that is subject to Section 40.6.4.

Short Start Units or Long Start Units that are Resource Adequacy Resources that do not have an IFM Schedule or a RUC Schedule for any of their Resource Adequacy Capacity for a given Trading Hour may be required to be available to the CAISO through Real-Time as specified in

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Sections 40.6.3 and 40.6.7. Resource Adequacy Resources with Resource Adequacy Capacity that is required to be available to the CAISO through Real-Time and does not have an IFM Schedule or a RUC Schedule for a given Trading Hour must submit to the RTM for that Trading hour: (a) Energy Bids and Self-Schedules for the full amount of the available Resource Adequacy Capacity, including capacity for which it has submitted Ancillary Services Bids or Submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services; and (b) Ancillary Services Bids and Submissions to Self-Provide Ancillary Services for the full amount of the available Ancillary Service-certified Resource Adequacy Capacity and for each Ancillary Service for which the resource is certified, including capacity for which it has submitted Energy Bids and Self-Schedules. The CAISO will insert Generated Bids in accordance with Section 40.6.8 for any Resource Adequacy Capacity subject to the above requirements for which the resource has failed to submit the appropriate bids to the RTM.

The CAISO will honor submitted Energy Self-Schedules of Resource Adequacy Capacity unless the CAISO is unable to satisfy one hundred (100) percent of its Ancillary Services requirements. In such cases, the CAISO may curtail all or a portion of a submitted Energy Self-Schedule to allow Ancillary Service-certified Resource Adequacy Capacity to be used to meet the Ancillary Service requirements, as long as such curtailment does not lead to a real-time shortfall in energy supply. If the CAISO reduces a submitted Real-Time Energy Self-Schedule for Resource Adequacy Capacity when that capacity is needed to meet an Ancillary Services requirement, the Ancillary Service Marginal Price for that capacity will be calculated in accordance with Sections 27.1.2 and 40.6.1.

40.6.3 Additional Availability Requirements For Short Start Units

A Short Start Unit that is a Resource Adequacy Resource and that does not have an IFM Schedule or a RUC Schedule for any of its capacity for a given Trading Hour is required to participate in the Real Time Market in accordance with Section 40.6.2. Such a resource that is also a Use-Limited Resource subject to Section 40.6.4 is required, consistent with their applicable use plan, to submit Economic Bids or Self Schedules for Resource Adequacy Capacity into the Real Time Market.

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

The CAISO may waive these availability obligations for a Short Start Unit that does not have an IFM Schedule or a RUC Schedule based on the procedure to be published on the CAISO Website.

40.6.4 Use-Limited Resources Additional Availability Requirements

40.6.4.1 Registration of Use-Limited Resources

Hydroelectric Generating Units, Proxy Demand Resources, Reliability Demand Response Resources, and Participating Load, including Pumping Load, are deemed to be Use-Limited Resources for purposes of this Section 40 and are not required to submit the application described in this Section 40.6.4.1. Scheduling Coordinators for other Use-Limited Resources must provide the CAISO an application in the form specified on the CAISO Website requesting registration of a specifically identified resource as a Use-Limited Resource. This application shall include specific operating data and supporting documentation including, but not limited to:

- (1) a detailed explanation of why the resource is subject to operating limitations;
- (2) historical data to show attainable MWhs for each 24-hour period during the preceding year, including, as applicable, environmental restrictions for NO_x, SO_x, or other factors; and
- (3) further data or other information as may be requested by the CAISO to understand the operating characteristics of the unit.

Within five (5) Business Days after receipt of the application, the CAISO will respond to the Scheduling Coordinator as to whether or not the CAISO agrees that the facility is eligible to be a Use-Limited Resource. If the CAISO determines the facility is not a Use-Limited Resource, the Scheduling Coordinator may challenge that determination in accordance with the CAISO ADR Procedures.

40.6.4.2 Use Plan

The Scheduling Coordinator shall provide for the following Resource Adequacy Compliance Year a proposed annual use plan for each Use-Limited Resource that is a Resource Adequacy Resource. For each Use-Limited Resource that is a Resource Adequacy Resource but is not a

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Reliability Demand Response Resource, the proposed annual use plan will delineate on a month-by-month basis the total MWhs of Generation, total run hours, expected daily supply capability (if greater than four hours) and the daily Energy limit, operating constraints, and the timeframe for each constraint. The CAISO will have an opportunity to discuss the proposed annual use plan with the Scheduling Coordinator and suggest potential revisions to meet reliability needs of the system. The Scheduling Coordinator shall then submit its final annual use plan. Scheduling Coordinators for Use-Limited Resources must submit the proposed and final annual use plans in accordance with the schedule set forth in the Business Practice Manual. The Scheduling Coordinator will be able to update the projections made in the annual use plan in the monthly Resource Adequacy Plans. Hydroelectric Generating Units and Pumping Load will be able to update use plans intra-monthly as necessary to reflect evolving hydrological and meteorological conditions. The annual use plan must reflect the potential operation of the Use-Limited Resource at a level no less than the minimum criteria set forth by the Local Regulatory Authority for qualification of the resource.

40.6.4.3 Bidding Requirements on Use-Limited Resources

40.6.4.3.1 Non-Hydro, Non-RDRR, and Dispatchable Use-Limited Resources

Use-Limited Resources, other than those subject to the provisions of 40.6.4.3.2, must submit a Supply Bid or Self-Schedule for their Resource Adequacy Capacity in the Day-Ahead Market whenever the Use-Limited Resources are physically capable of operating in accordance with their operating criteria, including environmental or other regulatory requirements. Use-Limited Resources will also provide a daily Energy limit as part of their Day-Ahead Market offer to enable the CAISO to schedule them for the period in which they are capable of providing the Energy. To the extent that the daily Energy limit has been reached through Self-Schedules, no further action will be taken by the CAISO, unless rescheduling of the Energy is necessary for System Reliability. Use-Limited Resources will attempt to reschedule the Energy in recognition of the System Reliability concern, to the extent that the change is possible without violating a Use-Limited Resource's operating criteria.

40.6.4.3.2 Hydro, RDRR, and Non-Dispatchable Use-Limited Resources

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Hydroelectric Generating Units, Pumping Load, and Non-Dispatchable Use-Limited Resources, but not Reliability Demand Response Resources, shall submit Self-Schedules or Bids in the Day-Ahead Market for their expected available Energy or their expected as-available Energy, as applicable, in the Day-Ahead Market and RTM. Such resources shall also revise their Self-Schedules or submit additional Bids in RTM based on the most current information available regarding Expected Energy deliveries. Hydroelectric Generating Units, Pumping Load, Reliability Demand Response Resources, and Non-Dispatchable Use-Limited Resources will not be subject to commitment in the RUC process. The CAISO will retain discretion as to whether a particular resource should be considered a Non-Dispatchable Use-Limited Resource, and this decision will be made in accordance with the provisions of Section 40.6.4.1.

40.6.4.3.3 Availability of Use-Limited Resources During System Emergencies

All Use-Limited Resources remain subject to Section 7.7.2.3 regarding System Emergencies to the extent the Use-Limited Resource is owned or controlled by a Participating Generator.

40.6.4.3.4 Availability of Intermittent Resources

Any Eligible Intermittent Resource that provides Resource Adequacy Capacity may, but is not required to, submit Bids in the Day-Ahead Market.

40.6.5 Additional Availability Requirements For System Resources

In the IFM, the multi-hour block constraints of a System Resource, other than a System Resource capable of submitting a Dynamic Schedule or a Resource-Specific System Resource, are honored in the optimization. Such a resource that is also a Resource Adequacy Resource must be capable of hourly scheduling by the CAISO in RUC if it is not fully scheduled in the IFM. If such a Resource Adequacy Resource is scheduled in the RUC, the CAISO will schedule the resource in the RTM for each hour of the resource's RUC schedule without regard to the multi-hour block constraint that was submitted to the IFM. For an existing System Resource that provides Resource Adequacy Capacity through a call-option that expires prior to the close of the IFM, such a System Resource listed on a Resource Adequacy Plan must be reported to the CAISO for consideration in the Extremely Long-Start Commitment Process.

40.6.5.1 Additional Availability Requirements for Dynamic and Non-Dynamic Resource-Specific System Resources

A Dynamic or Non-Dynamic Resource-Specific System Resource that supplies Resource Adequacy Capacity, and is not otherwise a Use-Limited Resource under Section 40.6.4, will be subject to the requirements of Sections 40.6.1, 40.6.2 and either Section 40.6.3 as a Short Start Unit or Section 40.6.7 as a Long Start Unit based upon the Dynamic Resource-Specific System Resource's registered physical operating characteristics.

40.6.5.2 Dynamic Non-Resource-Specific System Resources

A Dynamic non-Resource-Specific System Resource that provides Resource Adequacy Capacity will be subject to the provisions of 40.6.1 and 40.6.2.

40.6.6 Requirements For Partial Resource Adequacy Resources

Only that output of a Partial Resource Adequacy Resource that is designated by a Scheduling Coordinator as Resource Adequacy Capacity in its monthly or annual Supply Plan shall have an availability obligation to the CAISO. Exports being supported by non-Resource Adequacy Capacity from a Partial Resource Adequacy Resource that becomes unavailable or unusable shall be considered as an export of non-Resource Adequacy Capacity based on the pro-rata allocation of derated capacity of the Partial Resource Adequacy Resource as follows:

- (a) Resource Adequacy Capacity – [(Resource Adequacy Capacity/PMax Capacity of Resource Adequacy Resource) x MW Derate or Outage]; or
- (b) [1- (Resource Adequacy Capacity/PMax Capacity of Resource Adequacy Resource)] x De-rated PMax].

40.6.7 Release Of Long Start Units

Long Start Units not committed in the Day-Ahead Market will be released from any further obligation to submit Self-Schedules or Bids for the relevant Operating Day. Scheduling Coordinators for Long Start Units are not precluded from self-committing the unit after the Day-Ahead Market and submitting a Self-Schedule for Wheeling-Out in the RTM, unless precluded by terms of their contracts.

40.6.8 Use Of Generated Bids

Prior to completion of the Day-Ahead Market, the CAISO will determine if Resource Adequacy

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Capacity subject to the requirements of Sections 40.5.1 or 40.6.1 and for which the CAISO has not received notification of an Outage has not been reflected in a Bid and will insert a Generated Bid for such capacity into the CAISO Day-Ahead Market. Prior to running the Real-Time Market, the CAISO will determine if Resource Adequacy Capacity subject to the requirements of Section 40.6.2 and for which the CAISO has not received notification of an Outage has not been reflected in a Bid and will insert a Generated Bid for such capacity into the Real-Time Market. If a Scheduling Coordinator for an RA Resource submits a partial bid for the resource's RA Capacity, the CAISO will insert a Generated Bid only for the remaining RA Capacity. In addition, the CAISO will determine if all dispatchable Resource Adequacy Capacity from Short Start Units, not otherwise selected in the IFM or RUC, is reflected in a Bid into the Real-Time Market and will insert a Generated Bid for any remaining dispatchable Resource Adequacy Capacity for which the CAISO has not received notification of an Outage. As provided in the Business Practice Manuals, a Generated Bid for Energy will be calculated and will include: (i) a greenhouse gas cost adder for a resource registered with the California Air Resources Board as having a greenhouse gas compliance obligation; and (ii) a volumetric Grid Management Charge adder that consists of: (i) the Market Services Charge; (ii) the System Operations Charge; and (iii) the Bid Segment Fee divided by the MW in the Bid segment. A Generated Bid for Ancillary Services will equal zero dollars (\$0/MW-hour). Notwithstanding any of the provisions of Section 40.6.8 set forth above, the CAISO will not insert any Bid in the Real-Time Market required under this Section 40 for a Resource Adequacy Resource that is a Use-Limited Resource unless the resource submits an Energy Bid and fails to submit an Ancillary Service Bid.

40.6.8.1 Generated Bids for NRS-RA Resources

Generated Bids to be submitted by the CAISO pursuant to Section 40.6.8 for non-Resource-Specific System Resources that provide Resource Adequacy capacity shall be calculated in accordance with this Section.

40.6.8.1.1 Calculation Options for Generated Bids

The Scheduling Coordinator for each non-Resource Specific System Resource that provides Resource Adequacy Capacity shall select the price taker option, LMP-based option, or negotiated

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

price option as the methodology for calculating the Generated Bids to be submitted by the CAISO under Section 40.6.8 for both the DAM and RTMs. If no selection is made, the CAISO will apply the price taker option to calculate the Generated Bids. For the first ninety (90) days after a resource becomes a non-Resource-Specific System Resource, the calculation of Generated Bids for Resource Adequacy capacity is limited to the price taker option or negotiated price option.

40.6.8.1.2 Price Taker Option

The price taker option is a Generated Bid of \$0/MWh plus the CAISO's estimate of the applicable grid management charge per MWh based on the gross amount of MWh scheduled in the DAM and RTM.

40.6.8.1.3 LMP-Based Option

The LMP-based option calculates the Generated Bid as the weighted average of the lowest quartile of LMPs, at the Intertie point designated for the non-Resource-Specific System Resource's Resource Adequacy Capacity in the Supply Plan, during periods in which the resource was dispatched in the preceding ninety (90) days for which LMPs that have passed the price validation and correction process set forth in Section 35 are available. The weighted average will be calculated based on the quantities Dispatched within each segment of the Generated Bid curve. Each Bid segment created under the LMP-based option for Generated Bids will be subject to a feasibility test, as set forth in a Business Practice Manual, to determine whether there are a sufficient number of data points to allow for the calculation of an LMP-based Generated Bid. The feasibility test is designed to avoid excessive volatility of the Generated Bid under the LMP-based option that could result when calculated based on a relatively small number of prices. If the Scheduling Coordinator for the non-Resource Specific System Resource elects the LMP-based method, it must additionally select either the price-taker method or the negotiated-rate method as the alternative calculation method for the Generated Bids in the event that the feasibility test fails for the LMP-based method.

40.6.8.1.4 Negotiated Price Option

Under the negotiated price option, a Scheduling Coordinator shall submit a proposed Generated Bid along with supporting information and documentation as described in a Business Practice

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Manual. Within ten (10) Business Days of receipt, the CAISO or an Independent Entity selected by the CAISO will provide a written response. If the CAISO or Independent Entity accepts the proposed Generated Bid, it will become effective within three (3) Business Days from the date of acceptance by the CAISO and remain in effect until: (1) the Generated Bid is modified by FERC; (2) the Generated Bid is modified by mutual agreement of the CAISO and the Scheduling Coordinator; or (3) the Generated Bid expires, is terminated or is modified pursuant to any agreed upon term or condition or pertinent FERC order.

If the CAISO or Independent Entity selected by the CAISO does not accept the proposed Generated Bid, the CAISO or Independent Entity selected by the CAISO and the Scheduling Coordinator shall enter a period of good faith negotiations that terminates sixty (60) days following the date of submission of a proposed Generated Bid by a Scheduling Coordinator. If at any time during this period, the CAISO or Independent Entity selected by the CAISO and the Scheduling Coordinator agree upon the Generated Bid, it will become effective within three (3) Business Days of the date of agreement and remain in effect until: (1) the Generated Bid is modified by FERC; (2) the Generated Bid is modified by mutual agreement of the CAISO and the Scheduling Coordinator; or (3) the Generated Bid expires, is terminated or is modified pursuant to any agreed upon term or condition or pertinent FERC order.

If by the end of the sixty (60) day period the CAISO or Independent Entity selected by the CAISO and the Scheduling Coordinator fail to agree on the Generated Bid to be used under the negotiated price option, the Scheduling Coordinator has the right to file a proposed Generated Bid with FERC pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act.

During the sixty (60) day period following the submission of a proposed negotiated Generated Bid by a Scheduling Coordinator, and pending FERC's acceptance in cases where the CAISO or Independent Entity selected by the CAISO fail to agree on the Generated Bid for use under the negotiated price option and the Scheduling Coordinator filed a proposed Generated Bid with FERC pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act, the Scheduling Coordinator has the option of electing to use any of the other options available pursuant to this Section.

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

The CAISO shall make an informational filing with FERC of any Generated Bids negotiated pursuant to this Section no later than seven (7) days after the end of the month in which the Generated Bids were established.

40.6.8.1.5 Partial Bids

If a Scheduling Coordinator for a non-Resource-Specific System Resource that provides Resource Adequacy Capacity submits a bid for a MW quantity less than the Resource Adequacy Capacity identified in the resource's Supply Plan, the CAISO will insert a Generated Bid only for the remaining Resource Adequacy Capacity by extending the last segment of the resource's bid curve to the full quantity (MWh) of the Resource Adequacy obligation.

40.6.8.1.6 Subset-of-Hours Contracts

The CAISO will submit Generated Bids for non-Resource-Specific System Resources that provide Resource Adequacy Capacity subject to a Subset-of-Hours Contract during only those hours in which the resource is contractually obligated to make the Resource Adequacy Capacity available and the CAISO has not received either notification of an Outage or a Bid for such capacity. If the Scheduling Coordinator for the non-Resource Specific System Resource submits a Bid for part of the Resource Adequacy Capacity subject to a Subset-of-Hours Contract for any hour the resource is contractually obligated to provide the Resource Adequacy Capacity, the CAISO will insert a Generated Bid only for the remaining Resource Adequacy Capacity. Non-Resource-Specific System Resources that provide Resource Adequacy Capacity subject to a Subset-of-Hours Contract must meet the technical interface specifications and submit contractual information as required by a Business Practice Manual.

40.6.9 Grandfathered Firm Liquidated Damages Contracts Requirements

Resource Adequacy Capacity represented by a Firm Liquidated Damages Contract and relied upon by a Scheduling Coordinator in a monthly or annual Resource Adequacy Plan shall be submitted as a Self-Schedule or Bid in the Day-Ahead IFM to the extent such scheduling right exists under the Firm Liquidated Damages Contract.

40.6.10 Exports Of Energy From Resource Adequacy Capacity

Resource Adequacy Capacity may be utilized to serve an Export Bid. An Export Bid may be submitted into the CAISO Markets and be cleared by the Energy being provided by Resource Adequacy Capacity.

40.6.11 Curtailment Of Exports In Emergency Situations

At its sole discretion, the CAISO may curtail exports from Resource Adequacy Capacity to prevent or alleviate a System Emergency. An Export Bid or a Self-Schedule to provide exports included in a binding Schedule accepted in the IFM or RTM will not be distinguished from a Demand Bid or Self-Schedule to serve Load within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area included in a binding Schedule accepted in the IFM or RTM for purposes of curtailment under this Section, except as consistent with Good Utility Practice.

40.6.12 Participating Loads, PDRs, and RDRRs

Participating Loads, Reliability Demand Response Resources, or Proxy Demand Resources that are included in a Resource Adequacy Plan and Supply Plan, if the Scheduling Coordinator for the Participating Loads, Reliability Demand Response Resources, or Proxy Demand Resources is not the same as that for the Load Serving Entity, will be administered by the CAISO in accordance with the terms and conditions established by the CPUC or the Local Regulatory Authority.

40.7 Compliance

The CAISO will evaluate Resource Adequacy Plans and Supply Plans as follows:

- (a) The CAISO will evaluate whether each annual and monthly Resource Adequacy Plan submitted by a Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of a Load Serving Entity demonstrates Resource Adequacy Capacity sufficient to satisfy the Load Serving Entity's (i) allocated responsibility for Local Capacity Area Resources under Section 40.3.2 and (ii) applicable Demand and Reserve Margin requirements. If the CAISO determines that a Resource Adequacy Plan does not demonstrate Local Capacity Area Resources sufficient to meet its allocated responsibility under Section 40.3.2, compliance with applicable Demand and Reserve Margin

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

requirements, or compliance with any other resource adequacy requirement in this Section 40 or adopted by the CPUC, Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency, as applicable, the CAISO will notify the relevant Scheduling Coordinator, CPUC, Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency with jurisdiction over the relevant Load Serving Entity, or in the case of a mismatch between Resource Adequacy Plan(s) and Supply Plan(s), the relevant Scheduling Coordinators, in an attempt to resolve any deficiency in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Business Practice Manual. The notification will be made at least 25 days in advance of the first day of the month covered by the plan and will include the reasons the CAISO believes a deficiency exists. If the deficiency relates to the demonstration of Local Capacity Area Resources in a Load Serving Entity's annual Resource Adequacy Plan, and the CAISO does not provide a written notice of resolution of the deficiency as set forth in the Business Practice Manual, the Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity may demonstrate that the identified deficiency is cured by submitting a revised annual Resource Adequacy Plan within thirty (30) days of the beginning of the Resource Adequacy Compliance Year. For all other identified deficiencies, at least ten (10) days prior the effective month of the relevant Resource Adequacy Plan, the Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity shall (i) demonstrate that the identified deficiency is cured by submitting a revised Resource Adequacy Plan or (ii) advise the CAISO that the CPUC, Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency, as appropriate, has determined that no deficiency exists.

- (b) The CAISO will evaluate whether each monthly Resource Adequacy Plan submitted by a Scheduling Coordinator on behalf of a Load Serving Entity demonstrates operationally available Resource Adequacy Capacity, excluding capacity scheduled to take an Approved Maintenance Outage during the resource adequacy month, that is equal to or greater than the Load Serving Entity's applicable forecasted monthly Demand and Reserve Margin. For each

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

day of the month where the CAISO determines that the criteria set forth in Section 9.3.1.3.2.3(b) is not met, if a monthly Resource Adequacy Plan (i) includes capacity scheduled to take an Approved Maintenance Outage on that day that has not been replaced pursuant to Sections 9.3.1.3.1, or 9.3.1.3.2, and (ii) does not demonstrate operationally available Resource Adequacy Capacity equal to or greater than the Load Serving Entity's applicable forecasted monthly Demand and Reserve Margin, the CAISO will require outage replacement and will provide notice of the outage replacement requirement to the Local Regulatory Authority, the Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity, and the Scheduling Coordinator for the Resource Adequacy Resource scheduled to take the Approved Maintenance Outage. The notification will be made at least 25 days in advance of the first day of the month covered by the plan and will include the reasons why the CAISO believes an outage replacement requirement exists. At least eleven (11) days prior to the resource adequacy month, the Scheduling Coordinator for either the Load Serving Entity or the Resource Adequacy Resource may demonstrate that the identified outage replacement requirement is cured by submitting to the CAISO a revision or update to the monthly Resource Adequacy Plan or Supply Plan, as applicable. If neither the Scheduling Coordinator for the Load Serving Entity nor the Scheduling Coordinator for the Resource Adequacy Resource timely advises the CAISO that the identified outage replacement requirement is cured, the CAISO may exercise its authority to procure backstop capacity under the Capacity Procurement Mechanism pursuant to Section 43.

- (c) In the case of a mismatch between Resource Adequacy Plan(s) and Supply Plan(s), if resolved, the relevant Scheduling Coordinator(s) must provide the CAISO with revised Resource Adequacy Plan(s) or Supply Plans, as applicable, at least ten (10) days prior to the effective month. If the CAISO is not advised that the deficiency or mismatch is resolved at least ten (10) days prior to the

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

effective month, the CAISO will use the information contained in the Supply Plan to set the obligations of Resource Adequacy Resources under this Section 40 and/or to assign any costs incurred under this Section 40 and Section 43.

40.7.1 Other Compliance Issues

Scheduling Coordinators representing Generating Units, System Units or System Resources supplying Resource Adequacy Capacity that fail to provide the CAISO with an annual or monthly Supply Plan, as applicable, as set forth in Section 40.7, shall be subject to Section 37.6.1.

Further, Scheduling Coordinators representing Generating Units, System Units or System Resources supplying Resource Adequacy Capacity that fail to provide the CAISO with information required for the CAISO to determine Net Qualifying Capacity shall not be eligible for inclusion in the Net Qualifying Capacity annual report under Section 40.4.2 for the next Resource Adequacy Compliance Year and shall be subject to any applicable Sanctions under Section 37.6.1.

40.7.2 Penalties For Non-Compliance

The failure of a Resource Adequacy Resource or Resource Adequacy Capacity to be available to the CAISO in accordance with the requirements of this Section 40 or Section 9.3.1.3, and the failure to operate a Resource Adequacy Resource by placing it online or in a manner consistent with a submitted Bid or Generated Bid shall be subject to the applicable Sanctions set forth in Section 37.2.4. However, any failure of the Resource Adequacy Resource to satisfy any obligations prescribed under this Section 40 or Section 9.3.1.3 during a Resource Adequacy Compliance Year for which Resource Adequacy Capacity has been committed to a Load Serving Entity shall not limit in any way, except as otherwise established under Section 40.4.5 or requirements of the CPUC, Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency, as applicable, the ability of the Load Serving Entity to whom the Resource Adequacy Capacity has been committed to use such Resource Adequacy Capacity for purposes of satisfying the resource adequacy requirements of the CPUC, Local Regulatory Authority, or federal agency, as applicable. In addition, a Reserve Sharing LSE shall not be subject to any sanctions, penalties, or other compensatory obligations under this Section 40 on account of a Resource Adequacy Resource's

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

satisfaction or failure to satisfy its obligations under this Section 40 or Section 9.3.1.3.

40.8 CAISO Default Qualifying Capacity Criteria

40.8.1 Applicability

The criteria in this Section 40.8 shall apply only: (i) where the CPUC or Local Regulatory Authority has not established and provided to the CAISO criteria to determine the types of resources that may be eligible to provide Qualifying Capacity and for calculating Qualifying Capacity for such eligible resource types and (ii) until the CAISO has been notified in writing by the CPUC of its intent to overturn, reject or fundamentally modify the capacity-based framework in CPUC Decisions 04-01-050 (Jan. 10, 2004), 04-10-035 (Oct. 28, 2004), and 05-10-042 (Oct. 31, 2005). The types of resources specified in this Section 40.8.1 will be eligible to provide Qualifying Capacity to the extent they meet the criteria for each type of resource set forth in this Section 40.8.1.

40.8.1.2 Nuclear and Thermal

Nuclear and thermal Generating Units, other than Qualifying Facilities with Existing QF Contracts addressed in Section 40.8.1.8 below, must be a Participating Generator or a System Unit. The Qualifying Capacity of nuclear and thermal units, other than Qualifying Facilities addressed in Section 40.8.1.8, will be based on net dependable capacity defined by NERC Generating Availability Data System information.

40.8.1.3 Hydro

Hydroelectric Generating Units, other than Qualifying Facilities with Existing QF Contracts, must be either Participating Generators or System Units. The Qualifying Capacity of a pond or Pumped-Storage Hydro Unit, other than a QF, will be determined based on net dependable capacity defined by NERC GADS minus variable head derate based on an average dry year reservoir level. The Qualifying Capacity of a pond or Pumped-Storage Hydro Unit that is a QF will be determined based on historic performance during the hours of noon to 6:00 p.m., using a three-year rolling average.

The Qualifying Capacity of all run-of-river hydro units, including Qualifying Facilities, will be based on net dependable capacity defined by NERC GADS minus an average dry year conveyance

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

flow, stream flow, or canal head derate. As used in this section, average dry year reflects a one-in-five year dry hydro scenario (for example, using the 4th driest year from the last 20 years on record).

40.8.1.4 Unit-Specific Contracts

Unit-specific contracts with Participating Generators or System Units will qualify as Resource Adequacy Capacity subject to the verification that the total MW quantity of all contracts from a specific unit do not exceed the total Net Qualifying Capacity (MW) consistent with the Net Qualifying Capacity determination for that unit.

40.8.1.5 Contracts with Liquidated Damage Provisions

Firm Energy contracts with liquidated damages provisions, as generally reflected in Service Schedule C of the Western Systems Power Pool Agreement or the Firm LD product of the Edison Electric Institute pro forma agreement, or any other similar firm Energy contract that does not require the seller to source the Energy from a particular unit, and specifies a delivery point internal to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area entered into before October 27, 2005 shall be eligible to count as Qualifying Capacity until the end of 2008. A Scheduling Coordinator, however, cannot have more than twenty-five percent (25%) of its portfolio of Qualifying Capacity met by contracts with liquidated damage provisions for 2008.

40.8.1.6 Wind and Solar

As used in this Section, wind units are those wind Generating Units without backup sources of Generation and solar units are those solar Generating Units without backup sources of Generation. Wind and solar units, other than Qualifying Facilities with Existing QF Contracts, must be Participating Intermittent Resources or subject to availability provisions of Section 40.6.4.3.4.

The Qualifying Capacity of all wind or solar units, including Qualifying Facilities, for each month will be based on their monthly historic performance during that same month during the hours of noon to 6:00 p.m., using a three-year rolling average. For wind or solar units with less than three years operating history, all months for which there is no historic performance data will utilize the monthly average production factor of all units (wind or solar, as applicable) within the TAC Area,

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

or other production data from another area determined by the CAISO to be appropriate if the unit is not within a TAC Area, in which the Generating Unit is located.

40.8.1.7 Geothermal

Geothermal Generating Units, other than Qualifying Facilities with Existing QF Contracts addressed in Section 40.8.1.8, must be Participating Generators or System Units. The Qualifying Capacity of geothermal units, other than Qualifying Facilities addressed in Section 40.8.1.8, will be based on NERC GADS net dependable capacity minus a derate for steam field degradation.

40.8.1.8 Treatment of Qualifying Capacity for Qualifying Facilities

Qualifying Facilities must be subject to an effective Participating Generator Agreement or Net Scheduled Participating Generator Agreement or must be System Units, unless they have an Existing QF Contract. Except for hydro, wind, and solar Qualifying Facilities addressed pursuant to Sections 40.8.1.3 and 40.8.1.6, the Qualifying Capacity of Qualifying Facilities under Existing QF Contracts, will be based on historic monthly Generation output during the hours of noon to 6:00 p.m. (net of Self-provided Load) during a three-year rolling average.

40.8.1.9 Participating Loads

The Qualifying Capacity of Participating Loads shall be the average reduction in Demand over a three-year period on a per Dispatch basis or, if the Load does not have three years of performance history, based on comparable evaluation data using similar programs. Loads of Participating Loads must be available at least 48 hours, and if the Loads can only be dispatched for a maximum of two hours per event, then only 0.89 percent of a Scheduling Coordinator's portfolio may be made up of such Loads.

40.8.1.10 Jointly-Owned Facilities

A jointly-owned facility must be either a Participating Generator or a System Unit. The Qualifying Capacity for the entire facility will be determined based on the type of resource as described elsewhere in this Section 40.8.1. In addition, the Scheduling Coordinator must provide the CAISO with a demonstration of its entitlement to the output of the jointly-owned facility's Qualified Capacity and an explanation of how that entitlement may change if the facility's output is restricted.

40.8.1.11 Facilities under Construction

The Qualifying Capacity for facilities under construction will be determined based on the type of resource as described elsewhere in this Section 40.8. In addition, the facility must have been in commercial operation for no less than one month to be eligible to be included as a Resource Adequacy Resource in a Scheduling Coordinator's monthly Resource Adequacy Plan.

40.8.1.12 System Resources and Pseudo-Ties

40.8.1.12.1 Dynamic System Resources and Pseudo-Ties

Dynamic System Resources and Pseudo-Ties of Generating Units to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area shall be treated similar to resources within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, except with respect to the deliverability screen under Section 40.4.6.1 and with respect to the limitation on the Qualifying Capacity of wind and solar resources set forth in Section 40.8.1.6. However, eligibility as a Resource Adequacy Resource is contingent upon a showing by the Scheduling Coordinator that the Dynamic System Resource or Pseudo-Tie of a Generating Unit to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area has secured transmission through any intervening Balancing Authority Areas for the Operating Hours that cannot be curtailed for economic reasons or bumped by higher priority transmission and that the Load Serving Entity for which the Scheduling Coordinator is submitting Demand Bids has an allocation of import capacity at the import Scheduling Point under Section 40.4.6.2 that is not less than the Resource Adequacy Capacity provided by the Dynamic System Resource or Pseudo-Tie of a Generating Unit to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area.

40.8.1.12.2 Non-Dynamic System Resources

For Non-Dynamic System Resources, the Scheduling Coordinator must demonstrate that the Load Serving Entity for which the Scheduling Coordinator is scheduling Demand has an allocation of import capacity at the import Scheduling Point under Section 40.4.6.2 that is not less than the Resource Adequacy Capacity from the Non-Dynamic System Resource. The Scheduling Coordinator must also demonstrate that the Non-Dynamic System Resource is covered by Operating Reserves, unless unit contingent, in the sending Balancing Authority Area. Eligibility as Resource Adequacy Capacity is contingent upon a showing by the Scheduling

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Coordinator of the System Resource that it has secured transmission through any intervening Balancing Authority Areas for the Operating Hours that cannot be curtailed for economic reasons or bumped by higher priority transmission. With respect to Non-Dynamic System Resources, any inter-temporal constraints, such as multi-hour run blocks, must be explicitly identified in the monthly Resource Adequacy Plan, and no constraints may be imposed beyond those explicitly stated in the plan.

40.8.1.13 Proxy Demand Resources

The Qualifying Capacity of a Proxy Demand Resource, for each month, will be based on the resource's average monthly historic demand reduction performance during that same month during the Availability Assessment Hours, as described in Section 40.9.3, using a three-year rolling average. For a Proxy Demand Resource with fewer than three years of performance history, for all months for which there is no historic data, the CAISO will utilize a monthly megawatt value as certified and reported to the CAISO by the Demand Response Provider; otherwise, where available, the CAISO will use the average of historic demand reduction performance data available, by month, for a Proxy Demand Resource. Proxy Demand Resources must be available at least four (4) hours per month in which they are eligible to provide RA Capacity and must be dispatchable for a minimum of thirty (30) minutes per event within each of those months.

40.8.1.14 Reliability Demand Response Resources

The Net Qualifying Capacity of a Reliability Demand Response Resource, for each month, will be based on the resource's average monthly historic demand reduction performance during that same month during the Availability Assessment Hours, as described in Section 40.9.3, using a three-year rolling average. For a Reliability Demand Response Resource with fewer than three years of performance history, for all months for which there is no historic data, the CAISO will use a monthly megawatt value as certified and reported to the CAISO by the Demand Response Provider; otherwise, where available, the CAISO will use the average of historic demand reduction performance data available, by month, for a Reliability Demand Response Resource.

40.9. Availability Standards And Payment; Non-Availability Charges

40.9.1 General

Except for the exemptions specified in Section 40.9.2, the CAISO will track the availability of Resource Adequacy Capacity during the Availability Assessment Hours of each month, as specified in Section 40.9.3, in order to determine the amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity that was available to the CAISO. Each non-exempt Resource Adequacy Resource will be subject to the Availability Standards determined in accordance with either Section 40.9.4 or 40.9.7, whichever is applicable, for each month during each Resource Adequacy Compliance Year, starting with the 2010 Resource Adequacy Compliance Year. Scheduling Coordinators for Resource Adequacy Resources will be subject to Non-Availability Charges or Availability Incentive Payments as specified in either Section 40.9.4 or Section 40.9.7, whichever is applicable. MW values or percentages used by the CAISO in this Section 40.9 will be calculated to no less than two decimal places.

40.9.2 Exemptions

The following exemptions apply to the CAISO's Availability Standards program of this Section 40.9:

- (1) Resources with a PMax less than one (1.0) MW will not be used to determine Availability Standards, will not be subject to Non-Availability Charges or Availability Incentive Payments, and will not be subject to the additional Outage reporting requirements of this Section 40.9.
- (2) Capacity under a resource specific power supply contract that existed prior to June 28, 2009 and Resource Adequacy Capacity that was procured under a contract that was either executed or submitted to the applicable Local Regulatory Authority for approval prior to June 28, 2009, and is associated with specific Generating Units or System Resources, will not be subject to Non-Availability Charges or Availability Incentive Payments. Such contracted Resource Adequacy Capacity, except for non Resource-Specific System Resources, will be included in the development of Availability Standards and will be subject to any

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Outage reporting requirements necessary for this purpose. The exemption will apply only for the initial term of the contract and to the MW capacity quantity and Resource Adequacy Resources specified in the contract prior to June 28, 2009. The exemption shall terminate upon the conclusion of the initial contract term. Exempt contracts may be re-assigned or undergo novation on or after June 28, 2009, but the exemption shall not apply for any extended contract term, increased capacity quantity or additional resource(s) beyond those specified in the contract prior to June 28, 2009, except as provided in Section 40.9.2(7) or 40.9.2(8). Scheduling Coordinators for Resource Adequacy Resources subject to these contracts will be required to certify the start date of the contract, the expiration date, the Resource ID(s), and the amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity associated with each Resource ID included in the contract. For Resource Adequacy Resources whose Qualifying Capacity value is determined by historical output, the capacity under a resource specific power supply contract or Resource Adequacy Capacity that was procured under a contract that was either executed or submitted to the applicable Local Regulatory Authority for approval that meets the requirements in this subsection (2) will not be subject to Non-Availability Charges or Availability Incentive Payments, except that the deadline date for either type of contract shall be August 22, 2010 instead of June 28, 2009.

- (3) For a contract entered into prior to June 28, 2009 that provides for the amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity to increase during the original term of the contract, based on a ratio of the Resource Adequacy Resource's output or due to an addition of capacity, the exemption provided in subsection (2) of this Section 40.9.2 will apply to the additional capacity allowed under the contract; provided that the capacity increase (i) is expressly contained in the provisions of the contract, (ii) occurs during the primary term of the contract; and (iii) does not result from contract extensions or other amendments to the original terms and

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

conditions of the contract, except as provided in Section 40.9.2(7) or 40.9.2(8).

Scheduling Coordinators for Resource Adequacy Resources subject to contracts that provide for such capacity increases or additions must include in their certification, in addition to the requirements of subsection (2) of this Section 40.9.2, (i) the citation to any contract provisions that might entitle them to increased exempt Resource Adequacy Capacity from the contracted resources during the primary term of the contract; (ii) the amount of additional capacity to which they might be entitled; and (iii) the actual effective date of the capacity increase. If the actual amount of capacity and/or the actual effective date of the capacity increase is not known at the time of the initial certification, the Scheduling Coordinator shall provide a supplemental certification(s) when this information becomes known. For Resource Adequacy Resources whose Qualifying Capacity value is determined by historical output the exemption provided in subsection (2) of this Section 40.9.2 will apply to an increase in the capacity under a resource specific power supply contract or Resource Adequacy Capacity that was procured under a contract that was either executed or submitted to the applicable Local Regulatory Authority for approval that meets the requirements in this subsection (3), except that the deadline date for either type of contract to be exempt shall be August 22, 2010 instead of June 28, 2009.

(4) Demand response resources will not be used to determine Availability Standards, will not be subject to Non-Availability Charges or Availability Incentive Payments, and will not be subject to the additional Outage reporting requirements of this Section 40.9.

(5) Resource Adequacy Capacity provided through contracts for Energy from non-specified resources delivered within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area will not be used to determine Availability Standards, will not be subject to Non-Availability Charges or Availability Incentive Payments, and will not be subject to the additional Outage reporting requirements of this Section 40.9.

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

- (6) Resource Adequacy Resources of a Modified Reserve Sharing LSE or a Load following MSS will be used to determine the Availability Standards and will be subject to any Outage reporting requirements necessary for this purpose. Non-Local Capacity Area Resource Adequacy Resources of a Modified Reserve Sharing LSE or a Load following MSS will not be subject to Non-Availability Charges or Availability Incentive Payments, but those entities shall remain responsible for any other applicable deficiency payments under this CAISO Tariff or the applicable MSS Agreement.
- (7) Scheduling Coordinators for resources with Existing QF Contracts or Amended QF Contracts that are Resource Adequacy Resources shall be exempt from the Outage reporting requirements of Section 40.9 if the resource previously provided Resource Adequacy Capacity under an Existing QF Contract that was exempt from the application of Non-Availability Charges and Availability Incentive Payments pursuant to Section 40.9.2(2) or 40.9.2(3). This exemption from the Outage reporting requirements of Section 40.9 shall end for each resource when the Existing QF Contract or Amended QF Contract terminates or it is no longer eligible for exemption under Section 40.9.2(2) or 40.9.2(3), or if requested by the Scheduling Coordinator for the resource, whichever is earlier.
- (8) Scheduling Coordinators for resources with Existing QF Contracts or Amended QF Contracts that are Resource Adequacy Resources shall be exempt from the Outage reporting requirements of Section 40.9, and will not be subject to Non-Availability Charges or Availability Incentive Payments, if the QF resource previously provided Resource Adequacy Capacity pursuant to an Existing QF Contract that was executed prior to the August 22, 2010 deadline for exemption under Section 40.9.2(2), and remained in effect pursuant to California Public Utilities Commission Decision 07-09-040 that extended the term of expiring contracts until such time as the new contracts resulting from that decision are available. This exemption from the Outage reporting requirements of Section

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

40.9, and the Availability Incentive Payments and Non-Availability Charges, shall end for each resource when its Existing QF Contract or Amended QF Contract terminates or if requested by the Scheduling Coordinator for the resource, whichever is earlier.

Exclusions from the Availability Standards and Outage reporting requirements established in this Section 40.9 are for this Section 40.9 alone and do not affect any other obligation arising under the CAISO Tariff.

40.9.3 Availability Assessment Hours

The CAISO shall establish Availability Assessment Hours applicable for each month of each Resource Adequacy Compliance Year, which shall be applied starting with Resource Adequacy Compliance Year 2010, in order to assess the extent to which each Resource Adequacy Resource has met the Availability Standards of this Section 40.9. The Availability Assessment Hours shall be a pre-defined set of hours in each month corresponding to the operating periods when high demand conditions typically occur and when the availability of Resource Adequacy Capacity is most critical to maintaining system reliability. The Availability Assessment Hours shall be comprised of five consecutive hours of each non-weekend, non-federal holiday day. The five hour period will vary by season as necessary such that, based on historical actual load data, the coincident peak load hour typically falls within the five-hour range each day during the month. The CAISO shall annually determine the five hour range for the Availability Assessment Hours for each month of the next Resource Adequacy Compliance year prior to the start of each Resource Adequacy Compliance Year and shall specify them in the Business Practice Manual.

40.9.4 Availability Determinations

This Section 40.9.4 addresses availability assessment for all Resource Adequacy Capacity, including the Resource Adequacy Capacity of Resource-Specific System Resources, subject to the Section 40.9 Availability Standards program; however, this Section 40.9.4 does not apply to Resource Adequacy Capacity provided by non-Resource-Specific System Resources which are addressed in Section 40.9.7.

40.9.4.1 Availability Standard

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

The CAISO shall calculate and publish the monthly Availability Standards for Resource Adequacy Compliance Year 2010 no later than forty five (45) days after a FERC order approving this section and by July 1 prior to each Resource Adequacy Compliance Year thereafter. For Resource Adequacy Compliance Year 2010, the monthly Availability Standards applicable to Resource Adequacy Resources subject to this Section 40.9.4 will be based on the historical availability of Resource Adequacy Resources during the Availability Assessment Hours of the corresponding months during the period from June 2006 through December 2008. For subsequent years, the monthly Availability Standards will be based on historical availability for the Availability Assessment Hours over the previous three years. Each monthly Availability Standard will be calculated as the sum of the available Resource Adequacy Capacity of the included Resource Adequacy Resources across all the Availability Assessment Hours of the month, divided by the sum of the designated Resource Adequacy Capacity for the same set of hours and resources, and multiplied by 100 to obtain a number between zero (0) and one hundred percent (100%). For the purpose of determining the available Resource Adequacy Capacity in each month, the CAISO will use the Outage information reported in SLIC and, when available, the Outage reports submitted pursuant to Section 40.9.5. To ensure consistency between the calculation of the monthly Availability Standard and the calculation of each resource's monthly availability, the data utilized for both calculations will be in accordance with the provisions of Sections 40.9.4.2. All Resource Adequacy Resources except for the following will be included in the calculation of the Availability Standards:

- (1) Resource Adequacy Resources exempted in Section 40.9.2;
- (2) Non-Resource-Specific System Resources;
- (3) Resources between one (1) MW and ten (10) MW subject to the reporting requirements of Section 40.9.5, until such time that the CAISO has received the outage reports and can begin to utilize the data; and
- (4) Use-Limited Resources for Compliance Year 2010 and 2011.

40.9.4.2 Availability Calculation for a Resource Adequacy Resource

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

The CAISO will calculate the monthly availability for each Resource Adequacy Resource subject to this Section 40.9.4 as follows:

The sum of the hourly available Resource Adequacy Capacity of the resource over all Availability Assessment Hours of the month, divided by the sum of the hourly Resource Adequacy Capacity of the resource as designated in the Supply Plan for the resource for those hours, and multiplied by 100 to obtain a number between zero percent (0%) and one hundred percent (100%).

- (c) A Resource Adequacy Resource will be determined to be less than one hundred percent (100%) available in a given month if it has any Forced Outages or temperature-related ambient de-rates that impact the availability of its designated Resource Adequacy Capacity during the Availability Assessment Hours of that month.
- (d) For Resource Adequacy Resources whose Qualifying Capacity value is determined by historical output, its hourly available Resource Adequacy Capacity for each Availability Assessment Hour will be determined from three components: the total actual amount of Energy the resource delivered during that hour; Resource Adequacy Capacity of the resource as designated in its Supply Plan; and the resource's Net Qualifying Capacity as reduced for that hour by the same percentage by which any Forced Outages or temperature-related ambient de-rates reduced the resource's capacity from its PMax capacity. If the total actual amount of Energy delivered by the resource in an Availability Assessment Hour is greater than or equal to the amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity designated in the Supply Plan, the hourly available Resource Adequacy Capacity for the hour will equal the resource's Resource Adequacy Capacity as designated in its Supply Plan. If the total actual amount of Energy delivered by the resource in an Availability Assessment Hour is less than the amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity designated in the Supply Plan, the available Resource Adequacy Capacity of the resource for that hour will be the higher of the total actual Energy the resource delivered in that hour or the resource's Net

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Qualifying Capacity as reduced for that hour by the same percentage by which any Forced Outages or temperature-related ambient de-rates reduced the resource's capacity from its PMax capacity. The Resource Adequacy Capacity for each resource will be determined in accordance with the following formula:

$$\text{Hourly Available Resource Adequacy Capacity} = \text{Min} (\text{RA Capacity, Max (Actual Energy, Proportional Derated Capacity)})$$

Where:

RA Capacity = Resource Adequacy Capacity designated in the Supply Plan

Actual Energy = Total actual Energy delivered by the resource in the Availability Assessment Hour

Proportional Derated Capacity = Resource's Net Qualifying Capacity as reduced for that hour by the same percentage by which any Forced Outages or temperature-related ambient de-rates reduced the resource's capacity from its PMax capacity

If the SC for the Resource Adequacy Resource requests to convert from a Forced Outage to a Maintenance Outage in accordance with Section 9.3.3, the SC must terminate the existing Forced Outage and submit a new request for a Maintenance Outage. In the event the CAISO rejects the request to convert from a Forced Outage to a Maintenance Outage due to reliability criteria, the Outage will not be converted and the Forced Outage will continue. Outages properly submitted for temperature-related ambient derates for a Use Limited Resource will be counted against its availability only until such time as the Use Limited Resource reaches its energy limit constraint, at which time such Outages or derates will no longer count against the availability of the Use Limited Resource for the relevant month.

The start and end times used in calculating the availability of each resource each month will be the Outage time reported in the SLIC system or through the alternative reporting process of Section 40.9.5 for resources not included in the SLIC system.

40.9.4.2.1 RA Substitute Capacity

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

- (a) **Substitution Option.** A Scheduling Coordinator may provide RA Substitute Capacity for its Resource Adequacy Capacity that is on a Forced Outage or de-rate in order to mitigate the impact of the Forced Outage or de-rate on its Resource Adequacy Resource's availability calculation.
- (b) **RA Substitute Capacity Availability.**
- (1) RA Substitute Capacity must be operationally available to the CAISO:
 - (2) Capacity on, or scheduled to be on, a Forced Outage, Approved Maintenance Outage, or de-rate, is not operationally available and shall not qualify to be RA Substitute Capacity for the duration of the period that it is unavailable.
 - (3) RA Replacement Capacity, RMR Capacity, CPM Capacity, and capacity committed to be Resource Adequacy Capacity in a monthly Supply Plan shall not qualify to be RA Substitute Capacity for the duration of that commitment.
 - (4) RA Substitute Capacity shall not qualify to be RA Replacement Capacity, RMR Capacity, CPM Capacity, or Resource Adequacy Capacity in a monthly Supply Plan, for the duration of the substitution.
 - (5) If a resource provides RA Substitute Capacity for multiple Resource Adequacy Resources under Section 40.9.4.2.1(f), the same capacity committed as RA Substitute Capacity for one Resource Adequacy Resource shall not qualify as RA Substitute Capacity for a different Resource Adequacy Resource during the same substitution period.
 - (6) RA Substitute Capacity will be treated as Resource Adequacy Capacity during the period of substitution for purposes of a Forced Outage or de-rate allocation.
- (c) **Local Capacity Area Resource Substitution.**
- (1) **Pre-Qualification.** A Scheduling Coordinator for a Local Capacity Area Resource Adequacy Resource may pre-qualify alternate resources for substitution by submitting a prequalification request to the CAISO in accordance with the form and schedule specified in the Business Practice Manual. If the alternate resource is located at the same bus as the Local Capacity Area

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Resource Adequacy Resource for which it would substitute and has similar operational characteristics, the CAISO will approve the pre-qualification request for use of the substitute resource in the subsequent Resource Adequacy Compliance Year. To use a pre-qualified resource as RA Substitute Capacity, the Scheduling Coordinator for the Local Capacity Area Resource Adequacy Resource must submit a substitution request prior to or in real time, and the resource must meet the requirements in Section 40.9.4.2.1(b).

- (2) **Non-Pre-Qualified Substitution.** A Scheduling Coordinator for a Local Capacity Area Resource Adequacy Resource that has a Forced Outage or de-rate may, prior to the close of the Day-Ahead Market for the next Trading Day, request to provide RA Substitute Capacity from a non-pre-qualified resource. The CAISO will grant the request if the alternate resource meets the requirements in Section 40.9.4.2.1(b) and (i) is located at the same bus as the Local Capacity Area Resource Adequacy Resource and meets the CAISO's operational needs, or (ii) if not located at the same bus, is located in the same Local Capacity Area, and meets the CAISO's effectiveness and operational needs, including size of resource, as determined by the CAISO in its reasonable discretion.
- (d) **Non-Local Capacity Area Resource Substitution.** A Scheduling Coordinator for a non-Local Capacity Area Resource Adequacy Resource that has a Forced Outage or de-rate that would count against its availability under Section 40.9.4.2, may, prior to the close of the Day-Ahead Market for the next Trading Day, request to provide RA Substitute Capacity from an alternate resource. A Scheduling Coordinator for an NRS-RA Resource that has a Forced Outage or de-rate that would count against its availability under Section 40.9.4.2, may, prior to the close of the Day-Ahead Market for the next Trading Day, request to provide RA Substitute Capacity from an alternate resource that is internal to the CAISO Balancing Area Authority (which does not include a Pseudo-Tie of a Generating Unit to the CAISO Balancing Authority Area) to be used in the place of the original resource. The CAISO will grant the request if the alternative resource (i) has

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

adequate deliverable capacity to provide the RA Substitute Capacity, (ii) meets the requirements in Section 40.9.4.2.1(b), and (iii) meets the CAISO's effectiveness and operational needs, as determined by the CAISO in its reasonable discretion.

- (e) **RA Substitute Capacity From Multiple Resources.** The Scheduling Coordinator for Resource Adequacy Capacity on a Forced Outage or de-rate may request to substitute that capacity with RA Substitute Capacity from multiple alternate resources, including a resource already providing RA Substitute Capacity for one or more different Resource Adequacy Resources. The request must be submitted prior to the close of the Day-Ahead Market for the next Trading Day; except that, if each alternate resource is pre-qualified to provide RA Substitute Capacity for that Resource Adequacy Resource and if none of the alternate resources are already providing RA Substitute Capacity for another Resource Adequacy Resource, then the substitution request may be submitted in real time. If the request includes an alternate resource providing RA Substitute Capacity for another resource adequacy resource during the same period, that alternate resource must submit a request to provide RA Substitute Capacity in accordance with Section 40.9.4.2.1(f).
- (1) **Local Capacity Area Resources.** If the Scheduling Coordinator for an RA Local Capacity Area Resource on a Forced Outage or de-rate requests to substitute that capacity with RA Substitute Capacity from multiple resources, the CAISO will grant the request if the alternate resources are (i) located at the same bus as the Local Capacity Area Resource Adequacy Resource and pre-qualified under Section 40.9.4.2.1(c)(1), or (ii) if not located at the same bus, are located in the same Local Capacity Area and meet the CAISO's effectiveness and operational needs, as determined by the CAISO in its reasonable discretion.
- (2) **Non-Local Capacity Area Resources.** If the Scheduling Coordinator for a non-Local Capacity Area Resource Adequacy Resource or an NRS-RA Resource on a Forced Outage or de-rate requests to substitute that capacity with RA Substitute Capacity from multiple resources, the CAISO will grant the request if

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

the alternate resources are located within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, meet the requirements in Section 40.9.4.2.1(b), and meet the CAISO's effectiveness and operational needs, as determined by the CAISO in its reasonable discretion.

- (f) **Multiple Substitution By One Resource.** A resource may provide RA Substitute Capacity for no more than two Resource Adequacy Resources at the same time. The Scheduling Coordinator for a resource already providing RA Substitute Capacity may request approval to provide RA Substitute Capacity for a second Resource Adequacy Resource on a Forced Outage or de-rate through the CAISO's manual process. The request must be submitted prior to the close of the Day-Ahead Market for the next Trading Day. The CAISO will approve the request if the alternate resources are located within the CAISO Balancing Authority Area, meet the requirements in Section 40.9.4.2.1(b), and meet the CAISO's effectiveness and operational needs, as determined by the CAISO in its reasonable discretion.
- (g) **Approval of Multiple Substitution By One Resource.**
Within five Business Days of receiving the substitution request through the manual process, the CAISO will respond to the request and include approved substitutions in CAISO systems. Approved substitutions shall be effective on the start date requested for the substitution.
- (h) **Resource Adequacy Obligation.** To the extent a resource provides RA Substitute Capacity, the resource must meet and comply with all requirements in Section 40 applicable to RA Substitute Capacity for the duration of the substitution.
- (i) **Treatment of Unbid Capacity.** If the Scheduling Coordinator for RA Substitute Capacity does not submit bids or Self-Schedules for all or a portion of that capacity in accordance with Section 40.6, the CAISO --
- (1) will treat the unbid capacity as unavailable for purposes of Section 40.9;

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

- (2) will reflect that unavailability in the availability calculation under Section 40.9.4.2 for the Resource Adequacy Resource for which the RA Substitute Capacity is substituting; and
 - (3) will not submit Generated Bids for unbid RA Substitute Capacity; however, if a resource providing both RA Capacity and RA Substitute Capacity has a partial Forced Outage, the CAISO will submit Generated Bids for the resource up to the MW amount of its RA Capacity commitment or its MW amount of availability, whichever is lower.
- (j) Allocation of Unavailable RA Substitute Capacity. In the event the resource providing RA Substitute Capacity has an Outage or de-rate during the substitution period, the CAISO shall allocate the MW reduction in available capacity in accordance with Section 40.9.4.2.2. The allocation to any non-exempt Resource Adequacy Capacity shall be made on a pro-rata basis to each commitment of the substitute resource to provide RA Capacity, RA Replacement Capacity, RA Substitute Capacity, and CPM Capacity.

40.9.4.2.2 Accounting for De-Rates

In accounting for a de-rate of a unit that has not committed one hundred percent (100%) of its Net Qualified Capacity in its Monthly Supply Plan, the CAISO will follow the following principles:

- (1) Any de-rate will be applied first to any non Resource Adequacy Capacity of the resource; and
- (2) Any de-rate to Resource Adequacy Capacity will be applied pro-rata to any contract capacity exempt under Section 40.9.2(2) and any non-exempt Resource Adequacy Capacity commitment from that resource.

40.9.5 Outage Reporting

Scheduling Coordinators for Generating Units or Resource-Specific System Resources that are also Resource Adequacy Resources with a maximum output capability of one (1) MW or more, but which do not meet the requirement to provide information on Forced Outages in accordance with Section 9.3.10, shall provide equivalent availability-related information in the form and on the schedule specified in the Business Practice Manuals. This information shall identify all Forced

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Outages and temperature-related ambient de-rates that have occurred over the previous calendar month and shall contain all relevant details needed to enable the CAISO to perform the availability calculation for the resource in accordance with Section 40.9.4, including: the start and end times of any Outages or de-rates, the MW availability in all Availability Assessment Hours, and the causes of any Forced Outages or de-rates. Scheduling Coordinators for Resource Adequacy Resources whose maximum output capability is ten (10) MW or more shall report Outage-related information in accordance with the reporting obligations in Section 9.3.10.

40.9.6 Non-Availability Charges And Availability Incentive Payments

A Resource Adequacy Resource that is subject to the availability assessment in accordance with Section 40.9.4 and whose monthly availability calculation under Section 40.9.4.2 is more than two and a half percent (2.5%) below the monthly Availability Standard will be subject to a Non-Availability Charge for the month. A Resource Adequacy Resource subject to Section 40.9.4 whose availability calculation under Section 40.9.4.2 is more than two and a half percent (2.5%) above the monthly Availability Standard will be eligible for an Availability Incentive Payment for the month. For Resources whose Qualifying Capacity is determined by their historical output, the CAISO will calculate but not apply through the settlements process the Non-Availability Charges or Availability Incentive Payments to Trading Days within the three months of January, February, and March 2011.

40.9.6.1 Determination of Resource Adequacy Capacity Subject to Non-Availability Charge

The amount of Resource Adequacy Capacity of a Resource Adequacy Resource subject to the Non-Availability Charge will be determined as follows:

- (1) A Resource Adequacy Resource with actual availability calculated in accordance with Section 40.9.4.2 that is less than the Availability Standard minus the tolerance band of two and a half percent (2.5%) for a given month will have the Non-Availability Charge assessed to that portion of its non-exempt Resource Adequacy Capacity determined in accordance with the following formula:

$$P = RA*(S - .025) - X$$

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Where:

- P = The RA Resource's RA Capacity subject to Non-Availability Charge
- S = Monthly Availability Standard as a fraction, so that $0 < S < 1.0$
- RA = The RA Resource's RA Capacity (MW) {as designated in its Supply Plan, less any exempt capacity}
- X = The {mean of the} RA Resource's {hourly available RC Capacity over all Availability Assessment Hours of the month (MW).}

- (2) No Non-Availability Charge will be applied when a Resource Adequacy Resource's actual availability, calculated in accordance with Section 40.9.4.2 for a given month, is equal to or greater than the Availability Standard less two and a half percent (2.5%).
- (3) Any Forced Outage or temperature-related ambient de-rates of a resource providing RA Substitute Capacity for a Resource Adequacy Resource in accordance with Section 40.9.4.2.1 will be applied in calculating the availability of the Resource Adequacy Resource for which it is substituting.

40.9.6.2 Determination of the Non-Availability Charge

The per-MW Non-Availability Charge rate will be the Monthly CPM Capacity Payment price as specified in Schedule 6 of Appendix F of this CAISO Tariff. The Non-Availability Charge for a Resource Adequacy Resource shall be determined by multiplying the resource's capacity subject to the Non-Availability Charge calculated in accordance with Section 40.9.6.1 by the Non-Availability Charge rate.

40.9.6.3 Availability Incentive Payment

Scheduling Coordinators for Resource Adequacy Resources that achieve monthly availability that is more than two and a half percent (2.5%) above the monthly Availability Standard are eligible to receive the monthly Availability Incentive Payment. This payment will be funded entirely through the monthly Non-Availability Charges assessed for the same month. For each resource eligible for the Availability Incentive Payment, its eligible capacity will be that portion of its designated Resource Adequacy Capacity equal to its actual availability calculated in accordance with Section

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

40.9.4.2 minus the Availability Standard percent minus two and a half percent (2.5%). The monthly Availability Incentive Payment rate will equal the total Non-Availability Charges assessed for the month divided by the total Resource Adequacy Capacity eligible to receive the Availability Incentive Payment that month, provided that the Availability Incentive Payment rate shall not exceed three times the Non-Availability Charge rate. The Availability Incentive Payment the CAISO shall pay to each eligible resource will equal the product of its eligible capacity and the Availability Incentive Payment rate. Any remaining Non-Availability Charge funds that are not distributed to eligible Resource Adequacy Resources will be credited against the Real-Time neutrality charge to metered CAISO Demand for that Trade Month.

40.9.6.4 Monthly Settlement

The CAISO shall calculate and settle Non-Availability Charges and Availability Incentive Payments on a Trade Month basis so that all Non-Availability Charges collected for a Trade Month are allocated in accordance with Section 40.9.6.3 for that same Trade Month.

40.9.7 Assessment For NRS-RA Resources

Non-Resource-Specific System Resources that provide Resource Adequacy Capacity will comprise a distinct category for purposes of the CAISO's Availability Standards program. This category will utilize the same Availability Standard determined for other Resource Adequacy Resources in accordance with Section 40.9.4.1, but will have its own availability calculations, as well as a separate account for settling Non-Availability Charges and Availability Incentive Payments.

40.9.7.1 Availability Standard for NRS-RA Resources

Through Resource Adequacy Compliance Year 2015, the monthly Availability Standard for the non-Resource-Specific System Resources that provide Resource Adequacy Capacity will be the Availability Standard determined in accordance with Section 40.9.4.1. Beginning with Resource Adequacy Compliance year 2016, the monthly Availability Standard for the non-Resource-Specific System Resources that provide Resource Adequacy Capacity will be based on historical availability for the Availability Assessment Hours over the previous three years. Each monthly Availability Standard will be calculated as the sum of the available Resource Adequacy Capacity

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

of the included non-Resource-Specific System Resources across all Availability Assessment Hours of the month, divided by the sum of the designated Resource Adequacy Capacity for the same set of hours and resources, and multiplied by one hundred (100) to obtain a number between zero (0) and one hundred (100) percent. For non-Resource-Specific System Resources that provide Resource Adequacy Capacity subject to a Subset-of-Hours Contract, the sum of the available Resource Adequacy Capacity will be based on the Availability Assessment Hours of the month that overlap the hours during which the resource is contractually obligated to make the Resource Adequacy Capacity available to the CAISO. The Availability Standard applicable to a non-Resource Specific System Resource shall not include any hours in which the resource was prohibited by Section 30.8 from bidding across an out-of-service transmission path at its designated Scheduling Point. A non-Resource Specific System Resource providing Resource Adequacy Capacity whose monthly availability calculation under Section 40.9.7.2 is more than two and a half (2.5) percent below the monthly Availability Standard will be subject to a Non-Availability Charge for the month. A non-Resource Specific System Resource providing Resource Adequacy Capacity whose monthly availability calculation under Section 40.9.7.2 is more than two and a half (2.5) percent above the monthly Availability Standard will be eligible for Availability Incentive Payments. Non-Resource-Specific System Resources will not be included in the calculation of the Availability Standards for other Resource Adequacy Resources as determined in Section 40.9.4.

40.9.7.2 Availability Calculation for NRS-RA Resources

The availability of Resource Adequacy Capacity provided by a non-Resource-Specific System Resource will be calculated as the sum of the MW-hours of the resource's available Resource Adequacy Capacity over all Availability Assessment Hours of the month, divided by the sum of the resource's designated non-exempt hourly Resource Adequacy Capacity for all Availability Assessment Hours, times one hundred (100) to obtain a number between zero (0) and one hundred (100) percent. For non-Resource-Specific System Resources that provide Resource Adequacy Capacity subject to a Subset-of-Hours Contract, the sum of the available Resource Adequacy Capacity will be based on the Availability Assessment Hours of the month that overlap

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

the hours during which the resource is contractually obligated to make the Resource Adequacy Capacity available to the CAISO. The Scheduling Coordinator for Resource Adequacy Capacity provided by non-Resource-Specific System Resources is expected to secure sufficient transmission rights to deliver the Resource Adequacy Capacity to its designated CAISO Scheduling Point. In determining monthly availability of a non-Resource-Specific System Resource under Section 40.9.7.2, any hours in which the resource was prohibited by Section 30.8 from bidding across an out-of-service transmission path at its designated Scheduling Point will be excluded from the calculation. Scheduling Coordinators for non-Resource-Specific System Resources must submit a monthly report of such hours occurring under Section 30.8, in the format and manner described in the Business Practice Manual for Reliability Requirements.

40.9.7.3 Determination of Non-Availability Charges and Availability Incentive Payments for NRS-RA Resources

A Non-Resource-Specific System Resource that provides Resource Adequacy Capacity and whose actual availability calculated in accordance with Section 40.9.7.2 is less than the Availability Standard defined in Section 40.9.7.1 minus the tolerance band of two and one-half (2.5) percent for a given month shall be assessed a Non-Availability Charge. This charge for such a resource shall apply to that portion of the resource's designated non-exempt Resource Adequacy Capacity equal to one hundred (100) percent minus the ratio of its actual availability calculated in accordance with Section 40.9.7.2 to the Availability Standard minus two and one-half (2.5) percent. The Non-Availability Charge will then equal the resource's applicable capacity that is subject to Non-Availability Charges multiplied by the a Non-Availability Charge rate equal to the Monthly CPM Capacity Payment price as specified in Schedule 6 of Appendix F of this CAISO Tariff.

Funds collected for Non-Availability Charges pursuant to this Section 40.9.7.3 in a Trade Month will be used to provide Availability Incentive Payments to non-Resource-Specific System Resources providing Resource Adequacy Capacity that exceed the Availability Standard established in Section 40.9.7.1 plus the tolerance band of two and one-half (2.5) percent for that same Trade Month. The funds will be distributed to each such resource in proportion to the resource's share of the total non-exempt Resource Adequacy Capacity provided by non-

California Independent System Operator Corporation
Fifth Replacement Electronic Tariff

Resource-Specific System Resources that are eligible for Availability Incentive Payments or the month.

Any Availability Incentive Payment to a non-Resource-Specific System Resource providing Resource Adequacy Capacity under this Section 40.9.7.3 will be capped at three times the Non-Availability Charge rate multiplied by the amount of the resource's non-exempt Resource Adequacy Capacity. Any remaining monthly surplus of Non-Availability Charges from non-Resource-Specific System Resources providing Resource Adequacy Capacity in a Trade Month will be credited against the Real-Time neutrality charge for that Trade Month in accordance with Section 11.5.2.3. Only revenues received from the assessment of Non-Availability Charges to non-Resource-Specific System Resources providing Resource Adequacy Capacity will be used to fund Availability Incentive Payments for non-Resource-Specific System Resources providing Resource Adequacy Capacity.

40.9.8 Reporting

By July 1 of each year, the CAISO will provide an informational report that will be posted on the CAISO Website and include the following information: (1) the Availability Standard value for each month of the year and (2) information on the average actual availability each month of Resource Adequacy Resources, the total amount of Non-Availability Charges assessed and the total amount of Availability Incentive Payments made.