

Stakeholder Comments Template

Subject: Modifications to the Small Generator Interconnection Procedures Issues Paper and Meeting

Submitted by	Company	Date Submitted
Gene Varanini, Of Counsel varaninie@gtlaw.com	Greenberg Traurig LLC	4/26/2010

This template was created to help stakeholders submit written comments on topics related to the April 1, 2010 Modifications to the Small Generator Interconnection Procedures Issue Paper and April 12, 2010 Small Generator Interconnection Procedures Stakeholder Meeting. Please submit comments and thoughts (in MS Word) to dkirrene@caiso.com no later than the close of business on April 27, 2010.

The ISO is interested in knowing the importance and urgency of the issues identified through this stakeholder process. The issues identified below are further described in the Issues Paper. Please rate the importance of each issue as high, medium or low by checking the check box. In addition, please identify the urgency for getting each of the identified issues resolved. Check the urgent check box for issues that should be resolved in a FERC filing this year. Check the not urgent check box if the issue could be resolved beyond year-end. The information provided will assist the ISO in determining the scope of this stakeholder effort.

Study Process Issues			
	Importance	Urgency	
2.1.1 Time required for the SGIP study process	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.1.2 SGIP serial study process coordination with the studies under the large generation interconnection procedures (LGIP)	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.1.3 Avoiding delays caused by the increasing	high medium low	urgent	not urgent

volume of SGIP projects			
2.1.4 Detail and necessity of the feasibility study	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.1.5 Interconnection request data requirements	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.1.6 Should the SGIP accommodate re-studies?	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.1.7 Availability of the current base case data for use by project developers	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.1.8 Delays and uncertainty in study results caused by projects that withdraw	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
Comments:			
Solution Ideas:			
Deliverability Issues Related to Interconnecting Small Generation			
2.2.1 Should SGIP have an option for deliverability?	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.2.2 Should there be an opportunity to have "partial deliverability"?	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.2.3 Should there be a later opportunity to change deliverability status after generator is commercially operational?	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.2.4 How would a change in policy affect existing generation and/or existing projects in the queue?	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
Comments:			
Solution Ideas:			

Issues relating to Cost Certainty			
2.3.1 Developers desire cost certainty	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.3.2 How to minimize the impacts caused by projects that drop out of the queue?	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.3.3 Accuracy of the per unit construction cost estimates	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.3.4 Effects of adding cost certainty measures to the overall SGIP timeline	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
Comments:			
Solution Ideas:			
Issues related to Eligibility Criteria			
2.4.1 LGIP projects broken up into multiple SGIP projects	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.4.2 Real vs. Speculative projects	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.4.3 Generation MW size	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.4.4 MW Increases to existing projects	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.4.5 Site Control	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
Comments:			
Solution Ideas:			
Issues related to application and study fees			
2.5.1 Appropriateness of amount	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
Comments:			
Solution Ideas:			
Small Generator Interconnection Agreement Issues			

2.6.1 Pace of SGIA completion	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.6.2 Detail of the SGIA	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
Comments:			
Solution Ideas:			
Miscellaneous SGIP tariff issues			
2.7.1 Detail of the SGIP tariff	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
2.7.2 Clarity of SGIP tariff definitions	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
Comments:			
Solution Ideas:			
Additional Issues that should be considered			
<i>Please include additional issues here.</i>	high medium low	urgent	not urgent
Comments:			
Solution Ideas:			

Do you have any additional comments that you would like to provide?

My clients are interested in the functional relationship between SGIP, SGIA, and curtailment. In particular clarification of the priority of RPS project generation would be extremely helpful.