UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION



ICE OF THE SECRETARY	03 JUN 30 PM 4: 56	LATORY COMMISSION
HFILE	0 3 J	recul.

California Independent System)

Operator Corporation)

Docket Nos. ER03-608-000, ER00-2019-006, and ER01-819-002

MOTION OF THE CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPORT

Pursuant to Rules 212 and 2008 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. §§ 385.212 and 385.2008 (2003), the California Independent System Operator Corporation ("ISO")¹ hereby respectfully requests a one-week extension of time, until July 7, 2003, to submit its report in compliance with the Commission's order of May 30, 2003 in the above-captioned proceeding, 103 F.E.R.C. 61,260 ("May 30th Order"). In the May 30th Order (at P 25) the Commission required *inter alia* that the ISO submit, within 30 days of that Order's issuance, "a report identifying the Scheduling Coordinators who are not in compliance, the reasons for the non-compliance, and the anticipated date of compliance."

Motion for Extension of Time

On March 11, 2003, the ISO filed Amendment No. 49 in Docket No. ER03-608-000 in order to resolve a number of outstanding issues regarding the Access Charge methodology set forth in Amendment No. 27, which included *inter alia* a proposal to preserve the simplified procedure included in Section 7.1.4.4. of the ISO Tariff that allows Scheduling Coordinators to provide information pertaining to scheduled transactions in lieu of maintaining certified meters at the relevant Scheduling Points. Provision was made in the ISO Tariff for the automatic termination of this provision on account of the expected installation of certified meters on the ISO Controlled Grid. This installation has not occurred, and the ISO cannot operate without a provision that allows for the use of information obtained from sources other than certified meters. In order to avoid this result, the Commission agreed to the interim deletion of the problematic provisions, ISO Tariff Sections 7.1.4.4.1–3.

However, the Commission also observed that the elimination of these provisions "does not resolve the ongoing problem." May 30th Order at P 25. The Commission recognized that "in order for the CAISO to operate an efficient and reliable transmission grid effectively, it is essential for parties to comply with the metering requirement as described in the ISO Tariff." *Id.* The Commission therefore directed the ISO to submit a report "identifying the Scheduling Coordinators"

Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein are used in the sense given in the Master Definitions Supplement, Appendix A to the ISO Tariff.

who are not in compliance, the reasons for the non-compliance, and the anticipated date of compliance." Id.

The California ISO has been working diligently to prepare the report required by the Commission, and has made considerable progress. Even so, the ISO has determined that a limited amount of additional time is needed in order to ensure that the report submitted is as accurate and as complete as possible. Such a report will better serve the Commission in regards to whatever future action it may take on this matter. Consequently, there is good cause to grant this request.

II. Conclusion

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the ISO respectfully requests a one-week extension of time, until July 7, 2003, to submit its report in the above-captioned proceeding.

Charles F. Robinson
General Counsel
The California Independent System
Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, California 95630

Respectfully Submitted

David B. Rúbin Michael E. Ward Jeffrey W. Mayes

Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP

3000 K Street, N.W.

Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20007

Attorneys for The California Independent System Operator Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon each person designated on the restricted service list compiled by the Secretary in this proceeding.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of June, 2003

Jeffrey W. Mayes

Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP

3000 K Street, NW, Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20007-5116

(202) 424-7500