

**APPENDIX K: Informational Special Study of
Reduced Reliance on Aliso
Canyon Storage – Assumptions,
Study Results and Alternative
Analysis**

Intentionally left blank

K.1 Gas-fired Generation Curtailment

The list of gas-fired generation that was curtailed for the study was obtained from FTI Consulting (CPUC's consultant) study that is part of the CPUC Aliso Canyon OII Phase 3 (I.17-02-002)

- [FTI Final Report Supporting Materials](#)¹
 - FTI Consulting provided individual generating units that were curtailed due to absence of Aliso Canyon in its study in the Excel spreadsheet titled "Summary Gas Demand Table with Curtailments – Final Shortfall Models – Prepared for the CPUC.xlsx"
 - From the table, the generators that were curtailed has a zero value in the "Supported per Hydraulic Models" column, but non-zero value in the "Requirements per PLEXOS Model" column.
 - Table K.1-1 provides a summary of the number of generating facilities as well as the total amount of capacity (in MW) that were curtailed.

Table K.1-1: Number of Generator Facilities and Total Curtailment Capacity

| PTO Area | Number of Generation Facilities | Total Curtailment (MW) |
|----------|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| SCE | 41 | 3,083 |
| SDG&E | 15 | 645 |
| Total | 56 | 3,728 |

- FTI Consulting has determined approximately 56 generating facilities would be required to be curtailed in the absence of the Aliso Canyon gas storage.
- The estimated total curtailment is about 3,700 MW for generating facilities in the SCE and SDG&E service areas.
- A summary of the gas-fired generating facilities that are subject to curtailment in the absence of Aliso Canyon gas storage is included in Table K.1-2.

¹ <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-website/divisions/energy-division/documents/natural-gas/aliso-canyon/fti-phase-3-final-report-supporting-materials.zip>

Table K.1-2: Gas-fired generating facilities that are subject to curtailment in the absence of Aliso Canyon gas storage

| Area | Facility Name | Curtailed Capacity (MW) | EIA Plant Code |
|------|--|-------------------------|----------------|
| SCE | Att Van Nuys | 0.9 | 62574 |
| SCE | Walnut Creek Energy Park | 500.5 | 57515 |
| SCE | Glenarm | 102 | 422 |
| SCE | Ratkovich Alhambra | 0.9 | 62458 |
| SCE | Harbor Cogen | 98 | 50541 |
| SCE | Long Beach Generation, LLC | 177.3 | 341 |
| SCE | El Segundo Energy Center | 526 | 57901 |
| SCE | Oxnard Paper Mill | 10.5 | 57585 |
| SCE | Canyon Power | 200 | 57027 |
| SCE | Grapeland Hybrid | 46 | 56472 |
| SCE | Loma Linda University Cogen | 1.2 | 10206 |
| SCE | California Institute of Technology | 2.2 | 10262 |
| SCE | San Antonio Regional Hospital | 0.4 | 50234 |
| SCE | Oxnard | 36.1 | 50464 |
| SCE | Municipal Cogen Plant | 1.1 | 50674 |
| SCE | Biola University Hybrid | 0.1 | 54296 |
| SCE | Indigo Energy Center | 135 | 55541 |
| SCE | Century Generating Facility | 40 | 55934 |
| SCE | Drews Generating Facility | 40 | 55935 |
| SCE | Agua Mansa Power Plant | 60.5 | 55951 |
| SCE | H. Gonzalez | 5.4 | 56039 |
| SCE | Riverside Energy Resource Center | 36.4 | 56143 |
| SCE | Springs Generating Station | 40 | 56144 |
| SCE | Bear Valley Power Plant | 7.4 | 56346 |
| SCE | Clearwater Power Plant | 32.5 | 56356 |
| SCE | McGrath Peaker | 49 | 56471 |
| SCE | Sentinel Energy Center, LLC | 800 | 57482 |
| SCE | Cal State University San Bernadino FCO1 | 1.4 | 57544 |
| SCE | MolsonCoors Irwindale Brewery | 12.56 | 58056 |
| SCE | Honda Torrance | 0.3 | 58300 |
| SCE | Houweling Nurseries | 6.3 | 58432 |
| SCE | MCAGCC Cogen Plant | 0.6 | 58914 |
| SCE | Starbucks - Evolution Fresh | 0.4 | 59804 |
| SCE | UCI Fuel Cell | 1 | 60120 |
| SCE | Stanton Energy Reliability Center Hybrid | 98 | 60698 |
| SCE | SunSelect1 | 4.8 | 61754 |

| Area | Facility Name | Curtailed Capacity (MW) | EIA Plant Code |
|------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| SCE | Disney Prospect | 0.9 | 62571 |
| SCE | ATT Kelvin | 0.9 | 62573 |
| SCE | Kaiser East La Palma Ave. Fuel Cell | 3.3 | 62698 |
| SCE | Equinix Douglas St. Fuel Cell | 1.3 | 62704 |
| SCE | Equinix Maple Ave. Fuel Cell | 1.8 | 62705 |
| SDGE | Childrens Hospital | 4.4 | 10175 |
| SDGE | Kyocera America Project | 1.1 | 10720 |
| SDGE | Naval Hospital Medical Center | 5.3 | 50963 |
| SDGE | CP Kelco San Diego Plant | 7.2 | 52147 |
| SDGE | NRG Energy San Diego | 0.2 | 54337 |
| SDGE | Goal Line LP | 33.8 | 54749 |
| SDGE | CalPeak Power Border Peaker Plant | 49.8 | 55510 |
| SDGE | Escondido Energy Center | 49.8 | 55538 |
| SDGE | Chula Vista Energy Center | 44 | 55540 |
| SDGE | Orange Grove Peaking Facility | 99.8 | 56914 |
| SDGE | El Cajon Energy Center | 49 | 57001 |
| SDGE | Pio Pico Energy Center | 300 | 57555 |
| SDGE | Watkins Manufacturing Co. | 0.9 | 57715 |
| SDGE | Life Technologies Carlsbad | 0.1 | 58302 |
| | Total | 3728 | |

K.2 Study Results

The following is a summary of the information only reliability study results:

- Extensive thermal overloading concerns under critical contingencies in the LA Basin and San Diego areas under summer peak load conditions;
- Several IID transmission facilities are also impacted due to contingencies in the San Diego-Imperial Valley area;
- 2032 Winter peak load conditions did not result in transmission reliability concerns in the LA Basin and San Diego-Imperial Valley area, provided that the remaining gas-fired generation resources are available; and
- As transportation and building fuel substitution become more electrified in the future, the winter peak load is also increasing (winter peak load for 2035 increases 6% over the 2032 winter peak load (73% of summer peak vs. 67% summer peak).

A summary of the information only study results is provided in Table K.2-1.

Table K.2-1: Summary of Information Only Study Results

| | Impacted Facilities | Contingencies | Area | Identified Reliability Concerns | Notes |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Chino-Mira Loma 230kV line | P6 - Mira Loma AA Banks 500/230kV #1, then #2 bank | East LA Basin | Thermal overload | |
| 2 | Ellis-Johanna 230kV line | P6 - Ellis-Santiago 230kV, then Imperial Valley-N.Gila 500kV line | West LA Basin | Thermal overload | P6 involves one SCE-owned facility and one SDG&E-owned facility |
| 3 | Ellis-Santiago 230kV line | P6 – Ellis-Johanna 230kV, then Imperial Valley-N.Gila 500kV line | West LA Basin | Thermal overload | See above notes |
| 4 | Johanna-Santiago 230kV line | P6 – Ellis-Santiago 230kV, then Imperial Valley-N.Gila 500kV line | West LA Basin | Thermal overload | See above notes |
| 5 | La Fresa-Hinson 230kV line | P6 – La Fresa-Laguna Bell 230kV, then Mesa-Redondo 230kV line | West LA Basin | Thermal overload | |
| 6 | Laguna Bell-Mesa 230kV line | P6 – Lighthipe-Mesa 230kV, then Mesa-Redondo 230kV line | West LA Basin | Thermal overload | |
| 7 | Lighthipe-Mesa 230kV line | P6 – La Fresa-Laguna Bell 230kV, then Mesa-Redondo 230kV line | West LA Basin | Thermal overload | |
| 8 | Mesa-Redondo 230kV line | P6 – La Fresa-Laguna Bell 230kV, then Lighthipe-Mesa 230kV line | West LA Basin | Thermal overload | |
| 9 | Del Amo – Hinson 230kV line | P6 – La Fresa-Laguna Bell 230kV, then Lighthipe-Mesa 230kV line | West LA Basin | Thermal overload | |

| | Impacted Facilities | Contingencies | Area | Identified Reliability Concerns | Notes |
|----|--|--|-------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| 11 | Midway-Whirlwind 500kV segment 32 line | P6 – Midway-Vincent 500kV #1, then Midway-Vincent 500kV #2 | North of LA Basin | Thermal overload | Current RAS needs to be checked for adequacy and applicability for future 10-year horizon |
| 12 | Midway-Whirlwind 500kV segment 31 - 32 | P6 – Midway-Vincent 500kV #1, then Midway-Vincent 500kV #2 | North of LA Basin | Thermal overload | See above notes |
| 13 | Devers – Red Bluff 500kV #1 500kV line | P6 – Devers – Red Bluff #2 500kV line, then IV – N.Gila 500kV line | East of LA Basin | Thermal overload | |
| 14 | Julian Hinds – Mirage 230kV line | P6 – Devers – Red Bluff #1 500kV, then Devers-Red Bluff #2 line | East of LA Basin | Thermal overload | Existing Blythe generation RAS tripping is adequate |
| 15 | Mira Loma AA Bank 500/230kV #1 | P6 – Chino-Mira Loma #3 230kV line, then Mira Loma AA Bk #2 | East LA Basin | Thermal overload | |
| 16 | Serrano AA Bank 500/230kV #2 | P6 – Serrano AA Bank #1, then Serrano AA Bank #3 | West LA Basin | Thermal overload | |
| 17 | Mesa AA Bank 500/230kV #3 | P6 – Laguna-Mesa 230kV line, then Mesa AA Bank #4 | West LA Basin | Thermal overload | |
| 18 | Otay Mesa-Tijuana 230kV line | P6 - Ocotillo-Suncrest 500kV, then ECO-Miguel 500kV line | SDG&E | Thermal overload | |
| 19 | Sycamore-Suncrest 230kV line #1 | P6 - ECO-Miguel 500kV, then Sycamore-Suncrest line #2 | SDG&E | Thermal overload | |
| 20 | Imperial Valley 230kV Phase Shifting Transformer | P6 - Ocotillo-Suncrest 500kV, then ECO-Miguel 500kV line | SDG&E | Thermal overload | |
| 21 | Miguel 500/230kV transformer #1 | P6 - Ocotillo-Suncrest 500kV, then Miguel 500/230kV transformer #2 | SDG&E | Thermal overload | |
| 22 | Suncrest 500/230kV transformer #2 | P6 - ECO-Miguel 500kV, then Suncrest 500/230kV transformer #1 | SDG&E | Thermal overload | |
| 23 | Pilot Knob-El Centro 161kV line | P3 - G-1 TDM, then Imperial Valley-N.Gila 500kV line | IID | Thermal overload | Impacted IID facility |
| 24 | Pilot Knob-Yucca 161kV line | P3 - G-1 TDM, then Imperial Valley-N.Gila 500kV line | IID | Thermal overload | See above notes |
| 25 | Yucca 161/69kV transformer | P3 - G-1 TDM, then Imperial Valley-N.Gila 500kV line | IID | Thermal overload | See above notes |

K.3 Transmission Alternatives

Table K.3-1 provides a summary of potential transmission alternatives that were evaluated:

Table K.3-1: Potential Transmission Alternatives

| Options | Description of Alternatives | Areas |
|---------|---|---|
| 1A | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diablo South Multi-Terminal HVDC VSC Line (2000 MW at Diablo Canyon, 1000 MW at Alamitos and 1000 MW at Huntington Beach; Additional upgrades in LA Basin (La Fresa-Hinson 230kV, South of Ellis 230kV lines); and Imperial Valley-N.Gila #2 500kV line, Sycamore-Suncrest 230kV #3 line, Suncrest 500/230kV #3 transformer, Miguel 500/230kV #3 transformer. | Western LA Basin & San Diego |
| 1B | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diablo South Multi-Terminal HVDC VSC Line (same as in Option 1A); Imperial Valley – N.Gila 500kV #2 line; and Alberhill – Suncrest 500kV HVDC VSC line (1000 MW). | Western and Eastern LA Basin, San Diego |
| 2A | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diablo South Multi-Terminal HVDC VSC Line (2000 MW at Diablo Canyon, 1000 MW at Redondo Beach, 1000 MW at Encina). | Western LA Basin and San Diego |
| 2B | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diablo South (same as Option 2A); Third Sycamore-Suncrest 230kV line; and Fourth Serrano AA 500/230kV transformer. | Western LA Basin and San Diego |
| 2C | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diablo South (same as Option 2A); and Alberhill-Suncrest HVDC VSC Line (1000 MW). | Western LA Basin and San Diego |
| 3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diablo South (2000 MW at Diablo Canyon, 500 MW at Redondo Beach, 750 MW at Alamitos, 750 MW at San Onofre). | Western LA Basin and San Diego |
| 4 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vincent-Del Amo HVDC VSC line (1000 MW). | Western LA Basin |
| 5 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Imperial Valley – Serrano HVDC VSC line (2000 MW). | San Diego, Western LA Basin |
| 6 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Devers – La Fresa HVDC VSC line (1000 MW). | Eastern and Western LA Basin |
| 7A | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Imperial Valley-Del Amo HVDC VSC line (2000 MW); and Imperial Valley-N.Gila #2 500kV line. | San Diego Western LA Basin |
| 7B | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Option 7A, plus the following upgrades: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional upgrades in the LA Basin (La Fresa-Hinson 230kV line, Lighthipe-Mesa 230kV line, Mesa-Redondo 230kV, Midway-Whirlwind (check for applicability and adequacy of Path 26 RAS); Serrano AA 500kV Bank #4; Additional Suncrest and Miguel 500/230kV transformer banks; and Additional dynamic reactive support in San Diego. | Western LA Basin San Diego |
| 8A | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Multi-terminal HVDC VSC (Imperial Valley (2000 MW)-Inland (normal flow at 1000 MW with converter capability up to 2000 MW for emergency condition)-Del Amo (1000 MW normal flow with converter capability up to 2000 MW for emergency condition)), plus the following upgrades: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Del Amo-Mesa 500kV line (new); Del Amo-Serrano 500kV line (new); and Del Amo new 500kV substation with 3 new AA-banks. | Western LA Basin San Diego |

| Options | Description of Alternatives | Areas |
|---------|---|-------------------------------|
| 8B | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multi-terminal HVDC VSC (Imperial Valley (2000 MW) – Sycamore Canyon (1000 MW normal flow with converter capability up to 2000 MW for emergency condition) - Del Amo (1000 MW normal flow with converter capability up to 2000 MW for emergency condition)), plus the following upgrades: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Del Amo-Mesa 500kV line (new); ○ Del Amo-Serrano 500kV line (new); and ○ Del Amo new 500kV substation with 3 new AA-banks. | Western LA Basin San Diego |

Table K.3-2 provides a summary of each alternative’s performance and its effectiveness in mitigating identified reliability concerns.

Table K.3-2: Summary of Transmission Alternative Assessments

| Options | Alternative Descriptions | Summary of Performance Analysis | Effectiveness/Notes |
|---------|--|---|---|
| 1A | Diablo South HVDC VSC (Diablo, Alamitos, HB) and upgrades in SCE and SDG&E area, IV-NG #2 500kV line | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option is effective for both LA Basin and San Diego areas. | High / Also provides relief for Path 26 line flow under contingency condition |
| 1B | Diablo South HVDC VSC (see above), IV-NG #2 500kV, Alberhill-Suncrest HVDC VSC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option is not effective for the San Diego area. | Not effective |
| 2A | Diablo South HVDC VSC (Diablo, Redondo, Encina) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option is not effective for both the LA Basin and San Diego areas. | Not effective |
| 2B | Option 2A, plus Sycamore-Suncrest 230kV #3 and fourth Serrano AA Bank | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option is effective for both LA Basin and San Diego areas. | High / Also provides relief for Path 26 |
| 2C | Option 2A, plus Alberhill-Suncrest HVDC VSC line | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option is effective for both LA Basin and San Diego areas. | High / Also provides relief for Path 26 |
| 3 | Diablo South HVDC VSC (Diablo, Redondo, Alamitos, San Onofre) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option is not effective for both LA Basin and San Diego areas: (a) does not mitigate Serrano AA bank loading issue; (b) does not mitigate various loading constraints in San Diego area. | Not effective |
| 4 | Vincent-Del Amo HVDC VSC line | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option is not effective for both LA Basin and San Diego areas: identified reliability concerns still remain. | Not effective |
| 5 | Imperial Valley-Serrano 500kV line HVDC VSC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option is not effective for both LA Basin and San Diego areas: identified reliability concerns still remain. | Not effective |
| 6 | Devers-La Fresa HVDC VSC line | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This option is not effective for both LA Basin and San Diego areas: identified reliability concerns still remain. | Not effective |

| Options | Alternative Descriptions | Summary of Performance Analysis | Effectiveness/Notes |
|---------|---|---|---|
| 7A | IV-Del Amo HVDC VSC, IV-N.Gila #2 500kV line | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This option is not effective for both LA Basin and San Diego areas: identified reliability concerns still remain. | Not effective |
| 7B | Option 7A, plus various 230kV upgrades in LA Basin, additional AA banks in LA Basin and San Diego | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This option is effective for both LA Basin and San Diego areas. | High / Also provides policy-driven benefits |
| 8A | Imperial Valley-Inland-Del Amo HVDC VSC line, plus new 500kV lines and substation in the LA Basin | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This option still has some reliability concerns for both SCE and San Diego areas: (a) 230kV line overload in the LA Basin that will need operating procedure for operating the Imperial Valley-Inland-Del Amo multi-terminal DC line to mitigate line overload in the Western LA Basin; (b) line loading concern on the Path 26 lines in SCE area; and (c) voltage collapse condition occurs for the P6 of Eco-Miguel 500kV line, followed by Ocotillo-Suncrest 500kV line (this condition needs operating procedure to operate the DC line under contingency condition). | Partially effective; will need operating procedure to operate the DC line under contingency condition; will require feasibility assessment for Path 26 RAS for future |
| 8B | Imperial Valley-Sycamore-Del Amo HVDC VSC line, plus new 500kV lines and substation in LA Basin | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This option is effective for both LA Basin and San Diego areas. | High / Also provides policy-driven benefits |