

## Stakeholder Comments Template

### Subject: CRR Enhancements – Draft Final Proposal on CRR Non-Credit Issues

Submitted by	Company	Date Submitted
<i>Sue Mara RTOAdvisors (415) 902-4108</i>	<i>Alliance for Retail Energy Markets (AReM)</i>	<i>12/30/09</i>

#### 1. Load Migration Process

So that the ISO can provide a tabulation of stakeholder positions on the ISO's Draft Final Proposal concerning the process for adjusting CRR holdings to reflect load migration, please provide a brief statement of your position, such as "support", "oppose", "neutral", or similar statement:

Does your position on this proposal depend on the outcome of another proposal, such as supporting this proposal only if another proposal is also adopted?

Do you have any other comments, questions, concerns, or other ideas regarding this proposal?

*AReM members have not had time to review fully the changes to the load migration process proposed in the December 11, 2009 Draft Final Proposal. However, preliminary review indicates that the CAISO has proposed an acceptable dispute resolution process. AReM has questions about the specific data made available to the LSEs, but believes these details can be worked out in the Load Migration Working Group, which the CAISO has recently initiated.*

#### 2. Modeling and Treatment of Trading Hubs

So that the ISO can provide a tabulation of stakeholder positions on the ISO's Draft Final Proposal concerning the method for handling trading hubs in the CRR release, please provide a brief statement of your position, such as "support", "oppose", "neutral", or similar statement:

Does your position on this proposal depend on the outcome of another proposal, such as supporting this proposal only if another proposal is also adopted?

Do you have any other comments, questions, concerns, or other ideas regarding this proposal?

*No comment.*

**3. Weighted Least Squares Objective Function**

So that the ISO can provide a tabulation of stakeholder positions on the ISO's Draft Final Proposal concerning the weighted least squares objective function, please provide a brief statement of your position, such as "support", "oppose", "neutral", or similar statement:

Does your position on this proposal depend on the outcome of another proposal, such as supporting this proposal only if another proposal is also adopted?

Do you have any other comments, questions, concerns, or other ideas regarding this proposal?

*No comment.*

**4. Multi-point CRRs**

So that the ISO can provide a tabulation of stakeholder positions on the ISO's Draft Final Proposal concerning the elimination of multi-point CRRs, please provide a brief statement of your position, such as "support", "oppose", "neutral", or similar statement:

Does your position on this proposal depend on the outcome of another proposal, such as supporting this proposal only if another proposal is also adopted?

Do you have any other comments, questions, concerns, or other ideas regarding this proposal?

*No comment.*

**5. Tiers in Monthly Allocation**

So that the ISO can provide a tabulation of stakeholder positions on the ISO's Draft Final Proposal concerning the refinement of tiers in monthly CRR allocation, please provide a brief statement of your position, such as "support", "oppose", "neutral", or similar statement:

Does your position on this proposal depend on the outcome of another proposal, such as supporting this proposal only if another proposal is also adopted?

Do you have any other comments, questions, concerns, or other ideas regarding this proposal?

*No comment.*

**6. Sale of CRRs in CRR Auctions**

So that the ISO can provide a tabulation of stakeholder positions on the ISO's Draft Final Proposal concerning the sale of CRRs in the CRR auction, please provide a brief statement of your position, such as "support", "oppose", "neutral", or similar statement:

Does your position on this proposal depend on the outcome of another proposal, such as supporting this proposal only if another proposal is also adopted?

Do you have any other comments, questions, concerns, or other ideas regarding this proposal?

*No comment.*

**7. Modeling Approaches to Improve Revenue Adequacy**

The ISO is proposing to examine the modeling of transmission outages to reinforce CRR revenue adequacy after 12 months of operating experience under MRTU. Do you have any comments, questions, concerns, or other ideas regarding this topic that the ISO should consider at this time?

*No comment.*

**8. Tracking of Long Term CRRs**

The ISO is proposing to proceed with implementation of the tracking of long-term CRRs in the CRR system. Do you have any other comments, questions, concerns, or other ideas regarding this proposal?

*No comment.*

**9. Signature Data in Priority Nomination Process**

The ISO is proposing to develop tariff language to support its process concerning the “signature data” as it develops other tariff language for its Draft Final Proposal. Do you have any comments, questions, concerns, or other ideas regarding this topic that the ISO should consider at this time?

*No comment.*

**10. Other Comments?**

(Submit Comments Here)