- 1. Can the network upgrade's in-service date published in the TDF be the source to adjust LGIA milestone dates for cases where such in-service date is pacing queued generator project's COD?
 - If not, is the upcoming December reassessment report correct source to do such changes?
- 2. Panoche 115 kV Circuit Breaker Replacement and 230 kV Bus Upgrade project has ISD of April 2028. Per the 'Note' column, this is being implemented under New Manning 500 kV substation. New Manning 500 kV substation has ISD of Dec-27.
 - Can you clarify why then "Panoche 115 kV.." has ISD different from "New Manning.."?
 - Which one is correct for each of the projects?
- 3. Is it correct that information about C14 triggered upgrades will be published in next TDF forum so long as generators responsible sign LGIA?
- 4. It will be helpful to understand nomenclature used in the 'ID' field of Generator Interconnection ("GI") driven upgrades for all the upgrades across various PTOs. For example in PG&E tab, what does FGR06 in "C14P2-FGR06' and FPN02 in 'C14P2-FPN02' stand for, so on?
- 5. Upgrade description in LGIA does not match 1:1 against the TDF published description. Can you provide point of contacts for each PTO for resolution on such issues?
- 6. Many policy driven upgrades approved in recent TPP (specifically southern CAISO) are not present in the network upgrades worksheets. Would next TDF include them?
- 7. Certain upgrades in PG&E GI upgrades spreadsheet have 'Type of upgrade' field as TPP (or TPP-Approved). Can you explain how an upgrade is both GI and TPP driven?
- 8. Many PG&E upgrades in GI worksheet are triggered in reassessment, is it typical of the interconnection studies to identify new upgrades during reassessment?