

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
Docket Nos. ER11-2139-000
and ER11-2139-001
December 14, 2010

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630

Attention: John C. Anders, Esquire
Senior Counsel for the California Independent
System Operator Corporation

Reference: Large Generator Interconnection Agreement

Dear Mr. Anders:

On November 17, 2010, as amended November 22, 2010, the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) filed a Large Generation Interconnection Agreement (LGIA) among the CAISO, Southern California Edison Company (SoCal Edison) and Manzana Wind, LLC (Manzana Wind). Manzana Wind requested to interconnect its proposed 300 MW generating facility, known as the Manzana Wind Project, to SoCal Edison's Whirlwind Substation 220 kV bus via a customer-owned generation tie-line. On November 10, 2010, SoCal Edison filed this same LGIA in Docket No. ER11-2067-000. The proposed LGIA utilizes the CAISO's pro forma LGIA.¹ The CAISO states it is submitting its own filing of the LGIA in order to have it accepted as a non-conforming service agreement and have it entered into the CAISO's eTariff system consistent with SoCal Edison's filing. Waiver of the Commission's notice requirements pursuant to section 35.11 of the Commission's rules and regulations (18 C.F.R. § 35.11) is granted and the proposed LGIA is accepted for filing, effective January 10, 2011, as requested.

¹ However, consistent with the Commission's letter order dated June 25, 2010 issued in Docket Nos. ER10-805-000 and ER10-1112-000, the CAISO has excluded language included in Article 2.4 of the CAISO's pro forma LGIA that suggests that an interconnection customer could face termination charges related to distribution upgrades or network upgrades since SoCal Edison has received full abandoned plant cost recovery for the Tehachapi project.

This filing, as amended, was noticed on November 17 and November 24, 2010, with comments, protests, or motions to intervene due on or before November 22 and December 13, 2010. No protests or adverse comments were filed. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This acceptance for filing shall not be construed as constituting approval of the referenced filing or of any rate, charge, classification or any rule, regulation or practice affecting such rate or service contained in your tariff; nor shall such acceptance be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such acceptance is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or any which may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against the California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to the authority delegated to the Director, Division of Electric Power Regulation – West, under 18 C.F.R. § 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within 30 days of the date of issuance of this order pursuant to 18 C.F.R. § 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director
Division of Electric Power
Regulation - West

cc: All Parties

Document Content(s)

ER11-2139-000ttt.DOC.....1-2