



November 15, 2004

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

The Honorable Magalie R. Salas  
Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20426

**Re: Mirant Delta, LLC and Mirant Potrero, LLC  
Docket No. ER05-32-000**

Dear Secretary Salas:

Transmitted herewith for electronic filing in the above-referenced proceeding is the Errata to the Motion to Intervene and Protest of the California Independent System Operator Corporation.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

**/s/ Sidney L. Mannheim**  
Sidney L. Mannheim

Regulatory Counsel  
California Independent  
System Operator Corporation

Enclosure

cc: Service List

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
BEFORE THE  
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**Mirant Delta, LLC** ) **Docket No. ER05-32-000**  
**Mirant Potrero, LLC** )

**ERRATA TO THE MOTION TO INTERVENE AND PROTEST OF THE  
CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION**

The California Independent System Operator Corporation (“ISO”) submits this Errata to the Motion to Intervene and Protest filed in the above captioned proceeding on November 2, 2004. The ISO’s November 2, 2004 protest concerns the proposed effective date of certain changes to the Ramp Rate values set forth in Schedule A of the Reliability Must Run (“RMR”) Agreements between the ISO and Mirant Delta, LLC and Mirant Potrero, LLC (collectively “Mirant”) in Mirant’s October 12, 2004 submission pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act.

On page four of the November 2, 2004 protest, the ISO discussed the fact that it had not revised the ramp rate values in the ISO’s Master File as of October 1, 2004 to match the Ramp Rate values set forth in Schedule A of the RMR Agreements as required by Amendment 54 and further explained that it had notified owners of RMR units of this error and “suggested that owners that desired to use their existing Master File rates in lieu of their existing RMR Schedule A Ramp Rate values make a section 205 filing to adopt the Master File values as the relevant values for Schedule A.” Page four of the ISO’s November 2, 2004 protest also included an erroneous footnote, footnote 1, describing a Market Notice shortly to be issued by the ISO proposing to settle RMR dispatches using the Ramp Rate values in Schedule A of the RMR Agreement for dispatches occurring from October 1 until such time as the Ramp Rate

values in Schedule A of the RMR Agreement match the values in the ISO's Master File for RMR Unit owners.<sup>1</sup>

The ISO did not issue the market notice described in footnote 1. Instead, the ISO issued a Market Notice on November 15, 2004 stating that the ISO proposed to use the Master File values for all market and RMR dispatches during this period as follows:

CAISO proposes to settle transactions between October 1, 2004 and the date that the Schedule A Ramp Rate values matched the values in the CAISO's Master File using the effective Ramp Rates in the CAISO's Master File, not the values in Schedule A of the applicable RMR Agreement.

A true and correct copy of the November 15, 2004 Market Notice is attached hereto.

---

<sup>1</sup> The erroneous footnote is set forth below:

By a market notice issued on or about the date of this intervention and protest, the ISO has advised RMR owners of its proposal for settling transactions between October 1, 2004 and the date on which the Schedule A Ramp Rate value match the values in the ISO's Master File as follows: 1) the expected energy calculations included on the Conformed Dispatch Notices ("CDN") and used to validate the RMR Invoices will use the effective Ramp Rate in Schedule A of the RMR Agreement for the payment of ramping energy (no penalty will be imposed as a result of complying with the Master File ramp rate that is lower than the value declared in the RMR Agreement) and 2) market dispatches will be settled using the ramp rates in the ISO's Master File actually used by the ISO in dispatches from October 1-12 or until such time as the Ramp Rate values in Schedule A of the RMR Agreement match the values in the ISO's Master File for RMR Unit owners that amend Schedule A to values different from the values in the ISO's Master File.

This change in the ISO's proposal for dealing with delayed implementation of this aspect of Amendment 54 does not affect the substance of the ISO's November 2, 2004 protest of the proposed effective dates of Mirant's changes to Schedule A of its RMR Agreements. The ISO apologizes for any confusion the erroneous footnote in its November 2 protest may have caused.

Respectfully submitted

**Sidney L. Mannheim**

Sidney L. Mannheim  
California Independent System  
Operator Corporation  
151 Blue Ravine Road  
Folsom, CA 95630

Dated: November 15, 2004

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this 15<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2004 caused to be served a copy of the forgoing document upon all parties listed on the official service list compiled by the Secretary of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in this proceeding.

**/s/ Karen Voong**

Karen Voong  
California Independent System  
Operator Corporation  
151 Blue Ravine Road  
Folsom, CA 95630  
(916) 608-7180 (tel)  
(916) 608-7222 (fax)