

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**California Independent System)
Operator Corporation)** **Docket No. ER26-704**

**ANSWER TO COMMENTS OF THE
CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR CORPORATION**

The California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) respectfully submits its answer to the comments filed by Southern California Edison Company (SCE) in the above-noted docket.¹ This docket involves the CAISO's December 9, 2025 tariff amendment to comply with Order No. 1920.²

I. Answer

A. SCE's Comments Correctly Identify Inadvertent Error

The CAISO agrees with SCE where SCE correctly identifies an inadvertent error in the CAISO's filing. As filed, the attached tariff sheets include a version of proposed Section 24.11.6.1(b) which incorrectly identifies a circumstance triggering reevaluation, stating "the actual or project costs of a previously selected Long-Term Regional Transmission Facility significantly exceed cost estimates used in the selection of the facility by **10%** or more" (emphasis added). This threshold number represents a typographical error, and as SCE correctly notes, was intended to be 20%. The CAISO's transmittal

¹ The CAISO submits this answer pursuant to Rule 213 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 C.F.R. § 385.213. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings set forth in the Master Definitions Supplement, Appendix A to the CAISO tariff.

² *Building for the Future Through Electric Regional Transmission Planning and Cost Allocation*, Order No. 1920, 187 FERC ¶ 61,068 (2024) ("Order No. 1920"), order on reh'g & clarification, Order No. 1920-A, 189 FERC ¶ 61,126 (2024) ("Order No. 1920-A"); order on reh'g & clarification, Order No. 1920-B, 191 FERC ¶ 61,026 (2025) ("Order No. 1920-B").

letter,³ and elsewhere in proposed Section 24.11.6.1, correctly describe the threshold as 20%. The CAISO agrees to correct proposed Section 24.11.6.1(b) on further compliance, if the Commission so directs.

II. Conclusion

For the reasons explained above and in the December 9 filing, the Commission should accept the tariff revisions contained in the December 9 filing, subject to a further compliance filing. The CAISO agrees to correct the inadvertent error identified by SCE on further compliance with Order No. 1920, if so directed.

/s/ Sarah E. Kozal

Roger E. Collanton

General Counsel

Anthony Ivancovich

Deputy General Counsel

Sarah E. Kozal

Senior Counsel

California Independent System

Operator Corporation

250 Outcropping Way

Folsom, CA 95630

Tel: (916) 956-8838

Fax: (916) 608-7222

skozal@caiso.com

Counsel for the California Independent
System Operator

Dated: February 4, 2026

³ See page 41 of the CAISO's transmittal letter.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have served the foregoing document upon the parties listed on the official service list in the captioned proceedings, in accordance with the requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2010).

Dated at Folsom, California this 4th day of February, 2026.

/s/ Ariana Rebancos

Ariana Rebancos
An employee of the California ISO