

March 7, 2011

**VIA ELECTRONIC FILING**

The Honorable Kimberly D. Bose  
Secretary  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
888 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20426

**Re: California Independent System Operator Corporation,  
Docket No. ER06-615-\_\_\_\_  
Informational Filing of Negotiated Default Energy Bids  
Request for Privileged Treatment Under 18 C.F.R Section 388.112**

Dear Secretary Bose:

Pursuant to Paragraph 1057 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's ("FERC" or "Commission") September 21, 2006 order in Docket Nos. ER06-615-000, *et al.*<sup>1</sup> and Section 39.7.1.3.2 of its FERC Electric Tariff, the California Independent System Operator Corporation ("ISO") respectfully submits this informational filing containing formulas used to calculate Default Energy Bids ("DEBs") under the Negotiated Rate Option for certain suppliers participating in the ISO's markets for the month of February 2011 and going forward. As explained in greater detail below, the ISO is seeking privileged treatment of the attached formulas pursuant to 18 C.F.R. Section 388.112 because the ISO is obligated to keep bid data confidential under its tariff.

**I. BACKGROUND**

Under its market power mitigation procedures, the ISO calculates Default Energy Bids for all Generating Units and Participating Loads pursuant to one of three methodologies, at the election of the Scheduling Coordinators representing such resources: (1) the Variable Cost Option, under which the DEB is determined by adding the incremental fuel costs and variable operation and maintenance ("O&M") costs, along with a 10% Bid Adder; (2) the LMP Option, under which the DEB is set at the weighted average of the lowest quartile of locational marginal prices ("LMPs") at the Generating Unit PNode in periods when the unit was dispatched during the preceding 90 days; and (3) the Negotiated

---

<sup>1</sup> 116 FERC ¶ 61,274 (2006) ("September 2006 Order").

Rate Option, under which the DEB is derived through consultation between the Scheduling Coordinator and the ISO or an independent entity selected by the ISO. If a Scheduling Coordinator does not elect to use any of these three options or the ISO cannot obtain sufficient data to calculate a DEB using one of these three options, then the ISO may establish a temporary DEB based on certain types of data as provided in tariff Section 39.7.1.5. In the September 2006 Order, the Commission approved the ISO's proposal to provide the Negotiated Rate Option for calculating DEBs, finding that it provided market participants with greater flexibility to recover their variable operating costs when their market bids were subject to local market power mitigation. The Commission also directed the ISO to include in its tariff language a requirement to file these DEBs in an informational filing with the Commission. The ISO complied with this directive by adding to its tariff Section 39.7.1.3.2, which states that the ISO shall make an informational filing with FERC of any DEBs calculated pursuant to the Negotiated Rate Option, or any temporary DEBs, no later than seven (7) days after the end of the month in which the DEBs were established. On May 7, 2009, the ISO made its first informational filing of Negotiated Rate Option DEBs pursuant to this requirement. Since then, the ISO has submitted an informational filing on or about the seventh of any month following the implementation of a new or changed DEB or to update any scheduling coordinator-specific information. In February 2011, the ISO implemented two new Negotiated Rate Option DEBs. Accordingly, the ISO is submitting this informational filing to the Commission.

## **II. REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT**

Section 20.2 of the ISO Tariff requires that the ISO treat individual bids from Scheduling Coordinators as confidential. Pursuant to this Section, the ISO has labeled the Negotiated DEB formula documents included with this filing as confidential because, although they do not contain specific numeric bids, the methodologies set forth in these documents can be used to determine the bids that the ISO will use for these units when applying market mitigation measures. Moreover, many of the documents contain proprietary information regarding specific Generating Units, such as unit efficiency factors, scaling factors, and O&M costs. For these reasons, the Commission should accord these attachments privileged treatment pursuant to 18 C.F.R. Section 388.112.

## **IV. CONTENTS OF FILING**

This filing is comprised of:

This Transmittal Letter

Attachment A

Confidential Negotiated DEB Formula Documents

## V. COMMUNICATIONS

Correspondence and other communications regarding this filing should be directed to:

Sidney M. Davies\*  
Assistant General Counsel  
California Independent System  
Operator Corporation  
250 Outcropping Way  
Folsom, CA 95630  
Tel: (916) 351-4400  
Fax: (916) 351-2350  
[sdavies@caiso.com](mailto:sdavies@caiso.com)

Nancy Traweek \*  
Director of Market Services  
California Independent System  
Operator Corporation  
250 Outcropping Way  
Folsom, CA 95630  
Tel: (916) 351-4400  
Fax: (916) 351-2350  
[ntraweek@caiso.com](mailto:ntraweek@caiso.com)

\* Parties designated for service.

## VI. SERVICE

The CAISO has served a copy of this filing letter on all parties on the official service list for FERC Docket No. ER06-615.

## VII. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the ISO respectfully requests that the Commission accept this informational filing and accord the attachment to this filing confidential treatment under Section 388.112.

Respectfully submitted,

**By: /s/ Sidney M. Davies**

Nancy Saracino  
General Counsel  
Sidney M. Davies  
Assistant General Counsel  
California Independent System  
Operator Corporation  
250 Outcropping Way  
Folsom, CA 95630  
Tel: (916) 351-4400  
Fax: (916) 351-2350  
[sdavies@caiso.com](mailto:sdavies@caiso.com)

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the foregoing document upon the parties listed on the official service list in the above-referenced proceeding, in accordance with the requirements of Rule 2010 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. § 385.2010).

Dated at Folsom, CA this 7<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2011.

*/s/ Anna Pascuzzo*

Anna Pascuzzo