

Modifications to 2012 GMC Straw Proposal
Grandfathering Provision
ISO Folsom Facility
February 8, 2011
9:00-10:00 a.m.

Attendees:

Name	Organization		Name	Organization
Via Phone:			Justin Pannu	Noble Americas
Anthony Augustine	BTWR		John Perry	TID
Bonnie Blair	Thompson Coburn		Val Petrov	PG&E
Bruce Bleiweis	DC Energy		Leslie Pompel	Bonneville Power
Joanne Bradley	Customized Energy SO		Consuelo Richardson	Shell
Don Brookhyser	CAC		Valerie Roseman	Next Era Energy
Mark Carlock	EDF		Michael Rosenberg	Emtri
Garrett Chun	Noble Solutions		Jason Russell	Oxy
Dean Cooley	Geysers Power		Don Scanmeal	Duncan Weinberg
Hilary Costa	Platts		Scott Shimoda	City of Anaheim
Jeff Davis	MID		Mark Smith	Calpine
Saeed Farrokhpay	FERC		Andres Sobrino	Oxy
Philip Fernandez	City of Riverside		Chris Soderlund	SanDiego Gas & Electric
Carl Funke	San Diego G & E		Alex Telegin	Iberdrola
Geoff Gong	CDWR		Brian Theaker	Dynergy
Steve Greenleaf	J P Morgan		Michelle Volk	Bonneville Power
Steven Greenlee	CAISO		Heather Wilson	SMUD
Stephen Hess	Edison Mission		Tony Zimmer	
Steve Huhman	Morgan Stanley			
Gifford Jung	Powerex			
Katie Kaplan	IES			

Modifications to 2012 GMC Straw Proposal Grandfathering Provision

Name	Organization		Name	Organization
Natalie Karas	Duncan Weinberg		Present:	
Bob Kargoll	PG&E		Stephanie O'Guinn	CAISO
Elden Krause	City of Anaheim		Dave Timson	CAISO
Cindy Leinenkugel	City of Riverside		Mike Epstein	CAISO
Herman Leung	City of Pasadena		Charles Snay	CAISO
Eric Leuze	Genon Energy		Don Tretheway	CAISO
Greg Linstrom	Transalta		Ryan Seghesio	CAISO
Liz Lynn	WAPA		Fred DeAnda	CAISO
Sue Mara	RTO Advisors		Chris Kirsten	CAISO
Sharon Oleksak	Portland GE		Judy Sanders	CAISO
Caroline Pan	So Cal Edison			

Questions or comments about the GMC should be directed to: GMC@caiso.com

The following topics were covered:

- Grandfathering Provision

#	Comment/Question/Suggestion	Stakeholder	CAISO Respondent	ISO's Initial Response/Views
1.	Will the ISO be releasing revised bill impact data?	Brian Theaker	Charles Snay	Yes
2.	What is your motivation for Grandfathering?	Caroline Pan	Charles Snay	To mitigate bill impacts on the new GMC design
3.	The process seems backward and not sustainable Shouldn't this have been considered before the GMC structure	Doug Parker	Charles Snay	The structure was based on design principles. The Grandfathering helps to mitigate the impacts

Modifications to 2012 GMC Straw Proposal Grandfathering Provision

#	Comment/Question/Suggestion	Stakeholder	CAISO Respondent	ISO's Initial Response/Views
4.	Exempt one person without impacting others/subject to change	Doug Parker	Mike Epstein	This is not out of the ordinary. FERC to deal with impact on participants
5.	The new GMC structure has cost impacts to other SC's like PG&E and SCE- the proposal only helps with SC's. Spreading the exemption would be more fair	Doug Parker	Charles Snay	%\$ old method %\$ new- shift over years
6.	Agrees with Doug Parker/3 rd receives huge change/GMC doubles with proposal/Allocation goes up by 10	Mark Smith		The design principles are clearly stated and the percentage increases of the impacted suppliers are significantly higher than to the LSE's
7.	Question regarding last 2 points on the grandfathering criteria	Mark Smith	Charles Snay	The last two points are that a generator cannot have a contract with itself
8.	Will there be a survey # of contracts/volume Mw?	Tony Zimmer	Charles Snay	Yes- we are reaching out now to find out if there are other contracts, but as of now these 5 are the only ones we are aware of
9.	Contract provision a regulatory change?	Bob Kargoll	Charles Snay	Inflexible- Not provisions ; Mark Smith: Contract will not allow
10.	Is the proposal both Grandfathering and Phase In?	Tony Zimmer	Charles Snay	No. Only grandfathering; not both