

## Stakeholder Comments Template

Submitted by	Company	Date Submitted
Brian Theaker <a href="mailto:brian.theaker@nrgenergy.com">brian.theaker@nrgenergy.com</a> 530-295-3305	NRG Energy, Inc. ("NRG")	5/1/13

Please use this template to provide your comments on the Interconnection Process Enhancements Scoping Proposal posted on April 8 and supplemented by the presentation discussed during the April 22 stakeholder web conference.

Submit comments to [GIP@caiso.com](mailto:GIP@caiso.com)

[Comments are due April 30, 2013 by 5:00pm](#)

The Scoping Proposal posted on April 8 may be found at:

<http://www.caiso.com/Documents/ScopingProposal-InterconnectionProcessEnhancements.pdf>

The presentation discussed during the April 22 stakeholder web conference may be found at:

[http://www.caiso.com/Documents/Agenda-Presentation-ScopingProposal-InterconnectionProcessEnhancementsApr22\\_2013.pdf](http://www.caiso.com/Documents/Agenda-Presentation-ScopingProposal-InterconnectionProcessEnhancementsApr22_2013.pdf)

### Part 1

Please provide your feedback on the 12 topics initially proposed to be in scope in the April 8<sup>th</sup> Scoping Proposal by responding to the following:

1. *If you believe that one or more of these 12 topics should not be in scope, identify those and provide a detailed explanation of why – No response.*
2. *If you believe that the description of a topic (i.e., one of the 12) is not accurate, provide your preferred description of the topic – No response.*



## Part 2

Please select five topics of greatest importance to you from (i) the 49 topics included in the April 8<sup>th</sup> Scoping Proposal and (ii) any additional generation interconnection process related topics not already included in the 49 topics, and rank them in order of importance using the table provided below (a rank of “1” being most important). Note: Numerical rankings are informative but the detailed explanations you provide below the table will be critical for the ISO as we assess the scope of this initiative.

### Top 5 topics selected by stakeholder

<b>Topic No.</b> <i>(if one of the 49 topics; otherwise use N/A)</i>	<b>Topic Name</b> <i>(either the topic name used in the Scoping Proposal or, if a new topic provide your own name for the topic)</i>	<b>Rank</b>
1	Future Downsizing Policy	1
3	Clarify tariff and GIA provisions related to dividing up GIAs into multiple phases or generating projects	2
2	Disconnection of first phase of project for failure of second phase	3
10	Timeline for tendering draft interconnection agreements	4
11	LGIA negotiations timeline	5

### Detailed explanations

1. Provide a detailed description of each topic. Use the topic description in the Scoping Proposal if you believe it is an accurate description of the issue; otherwise provide your preferred description of the topic. For new topics, provide your own detailed description.  
– With regards to ranked item #1 (Future downsizing policy): NRG would like to see the CAISO’s downsizing policy applied to interconnections prior to Cluster 3.
2. Provide a detailed explanation of the rationale for your selection of these five topics and your rankings – NRG ranked only those topics proposed to be in scope by the CAISO. NRG ranked the topics based on its general expectation of benefit.
3. Identify which of the 12 topics initially proposed to be in scope you recommend your selected topics should replace – No response.