

Section	Description of change	PG&E's Comments
8.9.10	In the first sentence, change the reference to <i>Meter Data</i> to <i>telemetry data</i> .	Meter Data is a defined term in the Tariff. PG&E recommends that the CAISO define telemetry data (SCADA) as well so it is clear.
8.9.11	In the first sentence, change the reference to <i>Meter Data</i> to <i>telemetry data</i> .	Meter Data is a defined term in the Tariff. PG&E recommends that the CAISO define define telemetry data (SCADA) as well so it is clear.
11.29.8.4.4	Clarify time period for request for good faith negotiations in connection with settlement disputes.	Change is OK. Why is the T+55B settlement statement still on a 30 day clock (section 11.29.8.4.3)?
11.29.8.4.5	Clarify time period for request for good faith negotiations in connection with settlement disputes.	Change is OK. Why is the T+55B settlement statement still on a 30 day clock (section 11.29.8.4.3)?
11.29.8.4.6	Clarify time period for request for good faith negotiations in connection with settlement disputes.	Change is OK. Why is the T+55B settlement statement still on a 30 day clock (section 11.29.8.4.3)?
11.29.8.4.8	Clarify time period for request for good faith negotiations in connection with settlement disputes.	Change is OK. Why is the T+55B settlement statement still on a 30 day clock (section 11.29.8.4.3)?
11.32	Modify first sentence as follows: "The CAISO will take the following actions regarding Schedules that clear the Day-Ahead Market at the Interties and that a <del>Scheduling Coordinator</del> <u>are</u> wholly or partially <u>reversed</u> in the HASP."	Change is OK. Why is the T+55B settlement statement still on a 30 day clock (section 11.29.8.4.3)?
13.1.4	Clarify time period for request for good faith negotiations in connection with settlement disputes.	Change is OK. Why is the T+55B settlement statement still on a 30 day clock (section 11.29.8.4.3)?
34.9	Delete the word "CPM" in the last sentence of the first paragraph.	Change appears to be acceptable, however it should be noted that the section still includes multiple references to "CPM Capacity price". (Appears to be the intended price mechanism.)
Appendix A	In the definition of "Recalculation Settlement Statement," change the references to T+38B to refer to T+55B	PG&E believes this change is incomplete. Should read: "The recalculation of a Settlement Statement in accordance with the provisions of the CAISO Tariff, which includes the Recalculation Settlement Statement T+12B, the Recalculation Settlement Statement T+55B, the Recalculation Settlement Statement T+9M, the Recalculation Settlement Statement T+18M, the Recalculation Settlement Statement T+35M, the Recalculation Settlement Statement T+36M or any other Recalculation Settlement Statement authorized by the CAISO Governing Board."

Section	Description of change	PG&E's Comments
Appendix A	In the definition of "Recalculation Settlement Statement T+38B," change the references to T+38B to refer to T+55B	PG&E believes this change is incomplete. Suggested edit to the definition: "The reissue of an Initial Settlement Statement T+3B or a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+12B by the CAISO on the fifty-fifth (55th) Business Day from the relevant Trading Day (T+55B)."
Appendix A	In the definition of "Recalculation Settlement Statement T+76B," change the references to T+38B to refer to T+55B	PG&E believes this change is incomplete. There is no longer a T+76B statement; it is now a T+9M statement. Title should reflect that. Suggested edit to the definition: "The reissue of an Initial Settlement Statement T+3B, a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+12B, or a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+55B by the CAISO on the Business Day nine (9) calendar months from the relevant Trading Day (T+9M)."
Appendix A	In the definition of "Recalculation Settlement Statement T+18M," change the references to T+38B to refer to T+55B	PG&E believes this change is incomplete. Suggested edit to the definition: "The reissue of an Initial Settlement Statement T+3B, a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+12B, a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+55B, or a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+9M by the CAISO on the Business Day eighteen (18) calendar months from the relevant Trading Day (T+18M)."
Appendix A	In the definition of "Recalculation Settlement Statement T+35M," change the references to T+38B to refer to T+55B	PG&E believes this change is incomplete. Suggested edit to the definition: "The reissue of an Initial Settlement Statement T+3B, a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+12B, a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+55B, a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+9M, or a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+18M by the CAISO on the Business Day eighteen (35) calendar months from the relevant Trading Day (T+35M)."
Appendix A	In the definition of "Recalculation Settlement Statement T+36M," change the references to T+38B to refer to T+55B	PG&E believes this change is incomplete. Suggested edit to the definition: "The reissue of an Initial Settlement Statement T+3B, a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+12B, a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+55B, a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+9M, a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+18M, or a Recalculation Settlement Statement T+35M by the CAISO on the Business Day eighteen (36) calendar months from the relevant Trading Day (T+36M)."
Appendix T Section 5.3.1.4	Section 5.3.1.4 - insert missing language to "Failure to Achieve Commercial Operation" section	PG&E believes this is acceptable, but would like to see the missing content

Section	Description of change	PG&E's Comments
RECOMMENDED ADDITIONS TO THE CLARIFICATIONS MATRIX		
Appendix A	"Recalculation Settlement Statement T+7B" definition needs to be updated.	<b>PG&amp;E requests that this be added as a definition that needs to be changed.</b> Title of definition should reflect T+12B. PG&E suggests that the definition be edited as follows: "The reissue of an Initial Settlement Statement T+3B by the CAISO on the twelfth (12th) Business Day from the relevant Trading Day."
Appendix A	The CAISO should add a new definition for the term " <b>Energy Only with Interim Deliverability</b> " (" <b>EO-ID</b> "). The definition should address how this differs from other designations, how such status would impact resource adequacy obligations, what causes a project to be awarded EO-ID status, what would cause it to be revoked or downgraded to Partial Deliverability status, and what would cause it to be converted into Full Capacity Deliverability Status.	The ISO has introduced this term in the 2013 Net Qualifying Capacity List. As such, <b>PG&amp;E requests that this be added as a new definition in Appendix A.</b> This designation and the attributes associated with it have contractual implications for market participants, therefore PG&E recommends that the ISO add a definition to reduce ambiguity associated with the term.