

Elements of Convergence Bidding Design: Credit and Cost Allocation Issues

David Withrow

Sr. Market and Product Economist

Market Initiatives Stakeholder Meeting

November 29, 2006

Developing the Convergence Bidding Design

- **8/14 Revised Design Work Paper – identifying nine design elements**
- **9/6 and 9/8 Conference calls with other ISOs**
- **10/30 Conference call on core design elements:**
 - Explicit Bidding
 - Zonal Virtual Bidding
 - Same Distribution Factors for virtual and physical bids.
 - Market Power Mitigation Measures
- **11/29 Stakeholder Discussion**
 - Credit and Collateral
 - Cost Allocation

Credit and Collateral: General Observations

- **No standard FERC policy for ISOs.**
- **Eastern ISOs initially imposed tough collateral requirements, then relaxed with more experience.**
- **Trade-off between transaction costs discouraging VB versus the need for protection from potential payment defaults.**
- **Possible linkage of credit requirements to the position limits of firms.**

Special Credit Requirements for VB

- **Eastern ISOs initiated virtual bidding with special collateral requirements for virtual bidders – but have relaxed those requirements over time.**
 - Initial need to gain experience with new virtual market.

NYISO Credit Requirement = MWHs daily trading limit
x (exposure period)
x (percentile value of the price delta
between DAM and RT over previous
90 days)

- Exposure period – 14 days? 7 days? 4 days? 2 days?
- Percentile value – 97%? 50%?
- Price difference within zones or across zones?

Cost Allocation for Virtual Bids

– IFM and RUC Unit Commitment

- virtual demand increases unit commitment in the IFM and decreases commitment in RUC,
- Virtual supply decreases unit commitment in the IFM and increases commitment in RUC.

– Ancillary Services under MRTU

- **Procurement of A/S based on CAISO forecasted demand**
- **A/S costs are allocated to two Tiers**
 - Tier 1: Each SC's obligation as determined by Metered Load, minus its self-provided A/S.
 - Tier 2: additional payments or credits to ensure revenue neutrality.

Options for Cost Allocation

– IFM and RUC Unit Commitment cost allocation

- Option 1: Exempt virtual bids from unit commitment cost allocations
- **Option 2:** Symmetrical treatment
 - Include DA virtual demand bids (along with actual demand) as billing determinants for DA Unit Commitment uplift cost allocation
 - Include DA virtual supply bids (along with under scheduled demand) as billing determinant for RUC cost allocation

– Ancillary Service cost allocation

- Option 1: Exempt VB from all A/S cost allocation
- **Option 2:** Exempt VB from Tier 1 A/S cost allocation (based on User Rate), but not from A/S neutrality cost allocation (including both virtual supply and virtual demand)