# Instructions

Please use this template to rank your top five discretionary market design initiatives.

1. Select five market design initiatives[[1]](#footnote-1) from the November 5, 2013 version of the Stakeholder Initiatives Catalog.
2. Provide the name of the initiative.
3. In the “High Level Prioritization Criteria Matrix” provide a score of 0, 3, 7, or 10 for each of the four criteria in green boxes.
4. Provide a total tally of your score for each initiative.
5. Below the matrix, provide detailed explanations for each criterion using as much space as you need. Providing a rationale for the ranking and considering these initiatives over others is critical to this ranking process. Since dollar and resource estimates are understandably approximate at this level, the qualitative discussion will be given more emphasis. Similarly, the numerical rankings are informative and may help to organize discussion but the qualitative information will be critical for the ISO as we compare initiatives.

# Initiative 1:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**High Level Prioritization Criteria Matrix**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Criteria** | **HIGH** | **MEDIUM** | **LOW** | **NONE** | **Your Score** |
|  | **10** | **7** | **3** | **0** | **Use 0, 3, 7, or 10** |
| **A** | **Benefit** | Grid Reliability | Significant Improvement | Moderate Improvement | Minimal Improvement | No Improvement |  |
| **B** | Improving Overall Market Efficiency | Significant improvement | Moderate improvement | Minimal improvement | No impact |  |
| **C** | Desired by Stakeholders | Universally desired by stakeholders | Desired by majority of stakeholders | Desired by a small subset of stakeholders | No apparent desire |  |
| **D** | **Feasibility** | Market Participant Implementation Impact ($ and resources) | No Impact | Minimal Impact | Moderate Impact | Significant impact |  |
| **E** | ISO Implementation Impact ($ and resources) | No Impact | Minimal Impact | Moderate Impact | Significant impact |  |
|  | | | | | | **Total** |  |

**Grid Reliability** *(provide a detailed explanation of how and why this initiative provides an improvement in grid reliability)* –

**Improving Overall Market Efficiency** *(provide a detailed explanation of how and why this initiative provides an improvement in grid reliability)* –

**Market Participant Implementation Impact ($ and resources)** *(provide a detailed explanation of what you expect the impact to be in terms of $ and resources)* –

**ISO Implementation Impact ($ and resources)** *(provide a detailed explanation of what you expect the impact to be in terms of $ and resources)* –

# Initiative 2:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**High Level Prioritization Criteria Matrix**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Criteria** | **HIGH** | **MEDIUM** | **LOW** | **NONE** | **Your Score** |
|  | **10** | **7** | **3** | **0** | **Use 0, 3, 7, or 10** |
| **A** | **Benefit** | Grid Reliability | Significant Improvement | Moderate Improvement | Minimal Improvement | No Improvement |  |
| **B** | Improving Overall Market Efficiency | Significant improvement | Moderate improvement | Minimal improvement | No impact |  |
| **C** | Desired by Stakeholders | Universally desired by stakeholders | Desired by majority of stakeholders | Desired by a small subset of stakeholders | No apparent desire |  |
| **D** | **Feasibility** | Market Participant Implementation Impact ($ and resources) | No Impact | Minimal Impact | Moderate Impact | Significant impact |  |
| **E** | ISO Implementation Impact ($ and resources) | No Impact | Minimal Impact | Moderate Impact | Significant impact |  |
|  | | | | | | **Total** |  |

**Grid Reliability** *(provide a detailed explanation of how and why this initiative provides an improvement in grid reliability)* –

**Improving Overall Market Efficiency** *(provide a detailed explanation of how and why this initiative provides an improvement in grid reliability)* –

**Market Participant Implementation Impact ($ and resources)** *(provide a detailed explanation of what you expect the impact to be in terms of $ and resources)* –

**ISO Implementation Impact ($ and resources)** *(provide a detailed explanation of what you expect the impact to be in terms of $ and resources)* –

# Initiative 3:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**High Level Prioritization Criteria Matrix**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Criteria** | **HIGH** | **MEDIUM** | **LOW** | **NONE** | **Your Score** |
|  | **10** | **7** | **3** | **0** | **Use 0, 3, 7, or 10** |
| **A** | **Benefit** | Grid Reliability | Significant Improvement | Moderate Improvement | Minimal Improvement | No Improvement |  |
| **B** | Improving Overall Market Efficiency | Significant improvement | Moderate improvement | Minimal improvement | No impact |  |
| **C** | Desired by Stakeholders | Universally desired by stakeholders | Desired by majority of stakeholders | Desired by a small subset of stakeholders | No apparent desire |  |
| **D** | **Feasibility** | Market Participant Implementation Impact ($ and resources) | No Impact | Minimal Impact | Moderate Impact | Significant impact |  |
| **E** | ISO Implementation Impact ($ and resources) | No Impact | Minimal Impact | Moderate Impact | Significant impact |  |
|  | | | | | | **Total** |  |

**Grid Reliability** *(provide a detailed explanation of how and why this initiative provides an improvement in grid reliability)* –

**Improving Overall Market Efficiency** *(provide a detailed explanation of how and why this initiative provides an improvement in grid reliability)* –

**Market Participant Implementation Impact ($ and resources)** *(provide a detailed explanation of what you expect the impact to be in terms of $ and resources)* –

**ISO Implementation Impact ($ and resources)** *(provide a detailed explanation of what you expect the impact to be in terms of $ and resources)* –

# Initiative 4:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**High Level Prioritization Criteria Matrix**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Criteria** | **HIGH** | **MEDIUM** | **LOW** | **NONE** | **Your Score** |
|  | **10** | **7** | **3** | **0** | **Use 0, 3, 7, or 10** |
| **A** | **Benefit** | Grid Reliability | Significant Improvement | Moderate Improvement | Minimal Improvement | No Improvement |  |
| **B** | Improving Overall Market Efficiency | Significant improvement | Moderate improvement | Minimal improvement | No impact |  |
| **C** | Desired by Stakeholders | Universally desired by stakeholders | Desired by majority of stakeholders | Desired by a small subset of stakeholders | No apparent desire |  |
| **D** | **Feasibility** | Market Participant Implementation Impact ($ and resources) | No Impact | Minimal Impact | Moderate Impact | Significant impact |  |
| **E** | ISO Implementation Impact ($ and resources) | No Impact | Minimal Impact | Moderate Impact | Significant impact |  |
|  | | | | | | **Total** |  |

**Grid Reliability** *(provide a detailed explanation of how and why this initiative provides an improvement in grid reliability)* –

**Improving Overall Market Efficiency** *(provide a detailed explanation of how and why this initiative provides an improvement in grid reliability)* –

**Market Participant Implementation Impact ($ and resources)** *(provide a detailed explanation of what you expect the impact to be in terms of $ and resources)* –

**ISO Implementation Impact ($ and resources)** *(provide a detailed explanation of what you expect the impact to be in terms of $ and resources)* –

# Initiative 5:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**High Level Prioritization Criteria Matrix**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Criteria** | **HIGH** | **MEDIUM** | **LOW** | **NONE** | **Your Score** |
|  | **10** | **7** | **3** | **0** | **Use 0, 3, 7, or 10** |
| **A** | **Benefit** | Grid Reliability | Significant Improvement | Moderate Improvement | Minimal Improvement | No Improvement |  |
| **B** | Improving Overall Market Efficiency | Significant improvement | Moderate improvement | Minimal improvement | No impact |  |
| **C** | Desired by Stakeholders | Universally desired by stakeholders | Desired by majority of stakeholders | Desired by a small subset of stakeholders | No apparent desire |  |
| **D** | **Feasibility** | Market Participant Implementation Impact ($ and resources) | No Impact | Minimal Impact | Moderate Impact | Significant impact |  |
| **E** | ISO Implementation Impact ($ and resources) | No Impact | Minimal Impact | Moderate Impact | Significant impact |  |
|  | | | | | | **Total** |  |

**Grid Reliability** *(provide a detailed explanation of how and why this initiative provides an improvement in grid reliability)* –

**Improving Overall Market Efficiency** *(provide a detailed explanation of how and why this initiative provides an improvement in grid reliability)* –

**Market Participant Implementation Impact ($ and resources)** *(provide a detailed explanation of what you expect the impact to be in terms of $ and resources)* –

**ISO Implementation Impact ($ and resources)** *(provide a detailed explanation of what you expect the impact to be in terms of $ and resources)* –

1. Infrastructure and planning initiatives will not be ranked as they are considered separately and there are only two discretionary initiatives. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)