

Exhibit No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Commissioner: Peevy

Administrative Law Judges: Walwyn, Halligan and Allen

Witness: Robert Sparks

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF  
THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Establish  
Policies and Cost Recovery Mechanisms for  
Generation Procurement and Renewable  
Resource Development

R.01-10-024

**REDACTED OPENING TESTIMONY OF ROBERT SPARKS REGARDING THE  
LONG TERM PROCUREMENT PLANS OF THE INVESTOR OWNED UTILITIES  
ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR**

**Submitted by the California Independent System Operator**

June 23, 2003

Jeanne M. Solé, Regulatory Counsel  
Charles F Robinson, Vice President and General Counsel  
California Independent System Operator  
151 Blue Ravine Road  
Folsom California 95630  
Telephone: (916) 351-4400  
Facsimile: (916) 608-7296

1 **BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF**  
2 **THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

3 Order Instituting Rulemaking to Establish  
4 Policies and Cost Recovery Mechanisms for  
5 Generation Procurement and Renewable  
Resource Development

R.01-10-024

6 **REDACTED OPENING TESTIMONY OF ROBERT SPARKS REGARDING THE**  
7 **LONG TERM PROCUREMENT PLANS OF THE INVESTOR OWNED UTILITIES**  
8 **ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR**

9 **Submitted by the California Independent System Operator**

10 My name is Robert Sparks, Lead Grid Planning Engineer in the Grid Planning Department of the  
11 California Independent System Operator Corporation (CA ISO). My duties on behalf of the CA ISO  
12 and my qualifications are submitted as an attachment to this testimony. I am submitting this testimony  
13 on behalf of the CA ISO. The purpose of my testimony is to set forth the CA ISO's recommendations  
14 and comments regarding the long-term plans of the Investor Owned Utilities (IOUs or utilities) with  
15 respect to the following topics:

- 16 • Transmission planning. This testimony will describe the CA ISO's grid planning process,  
17 respond to some of the policy comments regarding transmission planning of the utilities in their  
18 long-term procurement plans, and describe some of the high priority activities that the CA ISO is  
19 participating in to plan a robust backbone transmission system for California.  
20 • The failure of the IOU long-term procurement plans to adequately address the deliverability of  
21 resources.

22 **I. TRANSMISSION PLANNING.**

23 **A. SUMMARY OF THE CA ISO TRANSMISSION PLANNING PROCESS**

24 The CA ISO is charged with maintaining the reliability of the CA ISO Controlled Grid. The CA  
25 ISO Controlled Grid is comprised of transmission facilities and rights turned over to the CA ISO's  
26 Operational Control by San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDG&E), Southern California Edison  
27 Company (SCE), PacifiCo Gas & Electric Company (PG&E), the City of Vernon, the City of Anaheim,  
28 the City of Azusa, the City of Banning, and the City of Riverside (collectively, the Participating

1 Transmission Owners or Participating TOs). Along with the CA ISO's responsibility to maintain  
2 system reliability, the CA ISO is also charged with planning and expanding the CA ISO Controlled Grid  
3 so as to ensure a reliable and efficient transmission system. These functions and responsibilities are  
4 codified in the CA ISO Tariff, which is on file and available for public inspection at FERC.

5 Because transmission planning and expansion are important elements of maintaining reliability  
6 and ensuring the efficient use of the CA ISO Controlled Grid, the CA ISO Tariff (CA ISO Tariff section  
7 3.2) and each Participating TO's Transmission Owner tariff provide for a coordinated planning process.  
8 As described further below, the coordinated planning process requires that, each year, the CA ISO and  
9 the Participating TOs assess the adequacy of the CA ISO Controlled Grid and determine whether  
10 additional facilities are required to ensure that energy can be reliably and efficiently delivered to load.

11 The CA ISO Tariff requires Participating TOs to identify, plan and construct transmission  
12 additions within their Service Areas that are determined to be needed. A transmission addition is  
13 deemed to be needed if it would promote economic efficiency or is necessary to maintain system  
14 reliability. Section 3.2 of the CA ISO categorizes and identifies those projects necessary to reliably  
15 deliver energy to load as "reliability driven" transmission projects and those projects deemed to be  
16 necessary on the grounds of maximizing the efficiency of the CA ISO Controlled Grid as "economic"  
17 transmission projects.

18 Reliability-driven projects are deemed to be needed if they are necessary to satisfy specified  
19 reliability criteria. The CA ISO coordinates the planning of modifications to the CA ISO Controlled  
20 Grid to ensure that, at a minimum, they meet the CA ISO Grid Planning Criteria. The CA ISO Grid  
21 Planning Criteria incorporate the Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC) Reliability Criteria,  
22 the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) Planning Standards, and local area reliability  
23 criteria. Economic projects are deemed to be needed if either 1) the project sponsor commits to pay for  
24 the cost of the project, or 2) the proponent or the CA ISO can demonstrate that the benefits of the project  
25 to ratepayers exceed its costs.

26 Because the CA ISO's transmission planning function relates to its responsibilities to maintain a  
27 reliable and efficient transmission system, the CA ISO does not focus on a detailed consideration of  
28

1 environmental, routing, social and aesthetic factors. The CA ISO believes that these factors are  
2 appropriately considered in the CPUC's siting process.

3       Importantly, the CA ISO coordinated planning process is flexible in that transmission projects  
4 can be proposed by a variety of entities, including the Participating TOs, the CA ISO or any entity who  
5 participates in the Energy marketplace through the buying, selling, transmission or distribution of  
6 Energy or Ancillary Services. Thus, in the context of the annual grid planning process, any Market  
7 Participant can recommend to a Participating TO that it study a project, can recommend to the CA ISO  
8 that it direct a Participating TO to study a project, or can step forward to become the sponsor of a  
9 transmission project. (Disagreements are subject to alternative dispute resolution (ADR) under the CA  
10 ISO Tariff but to date parties have been able to resolve any differences of opinion without resorting to  
11 ADR.) Having all these interests participate in the planning process is expected to facilitate the  
12 development of a CA ISO Controlled Grid that best meets the needs of all its users and maximizes the  
13 potential benefits to the State of California.

14       The CA ISO coordinated grid planning process provides a public forum where interested  
15 stakeholders can participate in the preparation of the Participating TOs' annual transmission expansion  
16 plans. The annual transmission expansion plans cover a ten-year planning horizon and identify those  
17 areas of the transmission system where enhancements are necessary to satisfy the applicable reliability  
18 criteria through the evaluation of the technical merits of various transmission, generation and operating  
19 solutions. Participating TOs are required to develop, and submit to the CA ISO, these annual  
20 transmission expansion plans for the portion of the grid owned by the Participating TO. The CA ISO  
21 reviews the Participating TO's annual transmission expansion plans for accuracy and adequacy in  
22 meeting required reliability mandates. During its review of the transmission expansion plans, should the  
23 CA ISO find that an individual Participating TO plan does not meet the CA ISO Grid Planning Criteria,  
24 or if the CA ISO considers that there may be a potentially economic project that should be assessed, the  
25 CA ISO relays its concerns to the Participating TO and may propose changes or additions to a  
26 Participating TO's annual plan.

27       As I mentioned earlier, the CA ISO coordinated planning process is open to all Market  
28

1 Participants and is a forum in which their concerns and interests can be considered by the Participating  
2 Tos', the CA ISO, and other interested stakeholders. To assure that the Participating TOs' transmission  
3 expansion plans can be integrated with each other, the CA ISO also undertakes a grid wide assessment,  
4 based on the individual Participating TO plans, to assess the performance of the entire CA ISO  
5 controlled transmission grid and identify areas where reliability criteria are not being met. Should  
6 violations be found, the CA ISO will require the relevant Participating TO(s) to address them in the  
7 following year's transmission expansion planning effort.

8         Review by the CA ISO of Participating TO expansion plans primarily focuses on whether the  
9 projects included in Participating TOs annual transmission expansion plans (including and taking into  
10 account new generator interconnections) meet the CA ISO Grid Planning Criteria. In addition, the CA  
11 ISO conducts an operational review to ensure that projects meet the CA ISO's need for operational  
12 flexibility and the CA ISO requirements for proper integration with the CA ISO Controlled Grid.  
13 Finally, the CA ISO examines and reviews the Participating TO's annual transmission expansion plans,  
14 including new requests for interconnection to the CA ISO Controlled Grid, with the aim of developing  
15 an integrated transmission plan for the entire CA ISO Controlled Grid. In this context the CA ISO may  
16 develop and recommend projects that either facilitate a more seamless integration of all the Participating  
17 TOs plans or take advantage of larger, regional transmission expansion opportunities with a neighboring  
18 control area.

19         In the process of reviewing reliability-driven projects the CA ISO also evaluates whether  
20 proposed projects are cost-effective when compared to other transmission solutions. To the extent a  
21 project is proposed for economic reasons rather than reliability reasons, the CA ISO will determine  
22 whether the cost of the project should be incorporated into the transmission Access Charge. To be  
23 incorporated in the transmission Access Charge, an economic project must be shown to be cost-effective  
24 for ratepayers. If a third party proposes to pay the full cost of a project, the CA ISO does not undertake a  
25 thorough economic analysis, although it may recommend more economic alternatives. Project sponsors  
26 who do not propose to recover their costs through the transmission Access Charge can obtain a  
27 proportion of the congestion revenues over a path they propose to upgrade.  
28

1 Proposed transmission projects that are estimated to cost less than twenty million dollars can be  
2 approved at the CA ISO staff level. Proposed transmission projects that are estimated to cost twenty  
3 million dollars and more must be presented to and approved by the CA ISO Governing Board.

4 If the CA ISO approves a transmission project, the Participating TO is obligated to use its best  
5 effort to obtain the regulatory approvals and other arrangements necessary to construct the project.  
6 Licensing, design and construction of projects approved by the CA ISO are tracked by the CA ISO to  
7 ensure that a project will be in service when needed.

#### 8 B. POLICY AND COORDINATION ISSUES.

9 Certain transmission projects require siting authorization from the CPUC in order to be  
10 constructed. Different levels of review are required for different types of project. General Order 131-D  
11 sets forth the type of review required for different types of projects and circumstances, including which  
12 types of projects require Permits to Construct and which require a Certificate of Public Convenience and  
13 Necessity (CPCN). It is in this siting context that environmental review of proposed projects and their  
14 alternatives is undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality  
15 Act (CEQA). Without the appropriate siting authorization from the CPUC, a transmission project  
16 cannot be built.

17 Further, in 2000, the CPUC opened a docket (I.00-11-001) to identify high priority transmission  
18 projects as it had been directed to do by AB 970. Even though AB 970 required that this identification  
19 take place in consultation with the CA ISO and be completed within six months, I.00-11-001 has been  
20 on-going since then.

21 Finally, transmission planning and expansion issues arise in this proceeding because 1) unless  
22 there is adequate transmission in place, supplies procured by the utilities may not be capable of being  
23 delivered to utility customers (or may cost more if there are associated congestion charges) and 2) in  
24 some cases adding transmission to access lower cost resources may be an integral part of the long-term  
25 procurement plan of a utility.

26 Because of these potential areas of overlap it is critically important that the CPUC and the CA  
27 ISO coordinate to avoid a duplication of efforts and the possibility of inconsistent results. The CA ISO  
28

1 concurs with much of the discussion set forth in Chapter 2, section D of SCE's long-term procurement  
2 plan, and with much of the discussion set forth in David M. Korinek's Direct Testimony of SDG&E. In  
3 particular, the CA ISO considers that 1) the CPUC should give due deference to CA ISO determinations  
4 of need in the context of its CPCN proceedings; 2) docket I.00-11-001 should be closed after the process  
5 for identifying transmission facilities that could be needed to access renewables is concluded in the fall  
6 of this year; and 3) the CA ISO and the CPUC must cooperate with regards to the CPUC's review of  
7 utility long-term procurement plans to ensure that results are optimal.

8         The CA ISO undertakes an extensive and detailed review of the need for new transmission  
9 planning facilities in its annual coordinated planning process that is described above. Having the CPUC  
10 undertake an identical review in the context of CPCN applications results in a duplication of efforts and  
11 creates the possibility of inconsistent results. Further, a determination by the CPUC to disapprove a  
12 project that the CA ISO has determined to be needed makes it difficult for the CA ISO to comply with  
13 its statutory responsibility to "ensure efficient use and reliable operation of the transmission grid  
14 consistent with achievement of planning and operating reserve criteria no less stringent than those  
15 established by the Western Systems Coordinating Council and the North American Electric Reliability  
16 Council." Public Utilities Code Section 345. The CA ISO's legal arguments to support deference by  
17 the CPUC to CA ISO determinations of need were most recently set forth in the CA ISO's January 23,  
18 2003 petition for rehearing of decision 02-12-066 in docket A.01-03-06.

19         The most recent CPUC decision on the level of consideration it will give to determinations of  
20 need by the CA ISO is D.03-05-038. In D.03-05-038, the CPUC acknowledged that there are public  
21 policy reasons that support deference by the CPUC to CA ISO determinations of need, D.03-05-038 at  
22 10, but indicated that nonetheless the CPUC must exercise its independent judgment in assessing the  
23 need for transmission projects in CPCN proceedings. *Id.*

24         On the same day that it issued D.03-05-038, May 8, 2003, the CPUC also adopted an Energy  
25 Action plan that appears to recognize the need for coordination among state agencies with energy  
26 responsibilities as to many issues, including the expansion of electricity transmission infrastructure. The  
27 California Energy Commission (CEC) and the California Power Authority (CPA) have also adopted this  
28

1 document. As to transmission expansion, the Energy Action Plan provides that the CPUC, CPA and the  
2 CEC “will collaborate, in partnership with other state, local, and non-governmental agencies with energy  
3 responsibilities, in the California Energy Commission’s integrated energy planning process to determine  
4 the statewide need for particular bulk transmission projects. This collaboration will build upon the  
5 California Independent System Operator’s annual transmission plan and evaluate transmission,  
6 generation and demand side alternatives. It is intended to ensure that state objectives are evaluated and  
7 balanced in determining transmission investments that best meet the needs of California electricity  
8 users.” The Energy Action Plan goes on to recognize the potential for a duplication of efforts in the  
9 context of CPCN applications and provides that the CPUC will open a rulemaking to assess this question  
10 and explore using the outcomes of the CEC IERP process to determine the need for additional  
11 transmission facilities.

12         The CA ISO is pleased that the CPUC has now acknowledged the propriety of affording  
13 deference to CA ISO determinations of need in the context of CPCN applications, but it remains  
14 concerned that because the CPUC intends to continue to independently assess need in CPCN  
15 proceedings, a duplication of efforts, and the possibility of inconsistent results remains. The CA ISO  
16 supports further exploration among the state agencies and the CA ISO of approaches to improve  
17 coordination regarding the expansion of transmission infrastructure. The CA ISO will participate in the  
18 CPUC’s rulemaking on the matter and hopes that an efficient, coordinated process can be devised that  
19 comports with the respective obligations and areas of expertise of the CA ISO, the CPUC and the CEC,  
20 without an undue duplication of efforts.

21         In addition, the CA ISO supports the concerns raised by SCE about the potential for a  
22 duplication of efforts that result from ongoing docket I.00-11-001 given that need determinations (to the  
23 extent they continue to be made by the CPUC) must be made with appropriate notice in the context of  
24 CPCN applications. Further, the CA ISO is concerned that the proceeding duplicates work underway in  
25 the CA ISO’s transmission planning process. Now there is the potential for further duplication of effort  
26 to the extent transmission planning issues are considered in this docket in the context of developing the  
27 best long-term procurement plans for each of the utilities. Thus, the CA ISO considers that it would be  
28



1 best to end docket I.00-11-001 when the current phase is concluded for undertaking an assessment of  
2 transmission needs to accommodate potential renewables in the state. The CA ISO's thoughts on this  
3 matter were discussed in the CA ISO's June 9, Prehearing Conference Statement in docket I-00-11-001  
4 and during the prehearing conference that was held on June 12 and 13.

5 Finally, as I stated earlier, transmission planning and expansion issues arise in this proceeding  
6 because 1) unless there is adequate transmission in place, supplies procured by the utilities may not be  
7 capable of being delivered to utility customers (or may cost more if there are associated congestion  
8 charges) and 2) in some cases adding transmission to access lower cost resources may be an integral part  
9 of the long-term procurement plan of a utility.

10 To address these concerns, it is important that this proceeding elicit sufficient information about  
11 where new resources are to be developed or procured by the utilities to fulfill their procurement  
12 responsibilities and this information should be made available to the CA ISO so that it can consider it in  
13 the context of its annual transmission planning process. Also, it is important for the CPUC to be  
14 informed of activities underway at the CA ISO to assess alternatives to access lower cost resources on a  
15 regional basis. The next section of this testimony describes the most important of these activities.

16 C. HIGH PRIORITY TRANSMISSION ACTIVITIES AT THE CA ISO.

17 During the recent prehearing conference in docket I.00-11-001, the CA ISO provided a  
18 presentation which set forth some of the activities in which the CA ISO is involved to assess  
19 transmission needs from a regional perspective and also to explore alternatives to access potentially low  
20 cost resources that have been and are being developed in the Southwest and Mexico. The CA ISO is  
21 currently exploring opportunities to facilitate a similar effort in the Northwest.

22 Two processes are underway to assess regional transmission needs in the West, the Seams  
23 Steering Group – Western Interconnection – Planning Work Group (SSG-WI-PWG) and the Southwest  
24 Transmission Expansion Planning (STEP). Both of these processes are reviewing the need for  
25 transmission to access substantial new generation that has been added or is soon to be added in the West,  
26 particularly with regards to STEP in the Southwest and Mexico. The CA ISO's objective in  
27 participating in these processes is to facilitate the development by September of a long-range regional  
28

1 transmission plan that identifies economic projects necessary to integrate new generation into the  
2 transmission grid, and to facilitate the development by November of an implementation plan that  
3 identifies a logical sequence of transmission projects to accomplish the long-range plan.

4         The SSG-WI-PWG was created by the three Regional Transmission Organizations (“RTOs”)  
5 that have been organized or are in the process of being organized in the West: Northwest RTO, West-  
6 Connect and the CA ISO. The purpose was to provide a forum to further the development of a robust  
7 West-wide interstate transmission system that is capable of supporting a competitive and seamless West-  
8 wide wholesale electricity market. The forum is addressing the entire Western Interconnection and  
9 participants are conducting production cost studies to identify potential economic system upgrades in the  
10 West. STEP is a collaborative process initiated in October 2002 that includes utilities, the CA ISO and  
11 any other interested stakeholders. Its purpose is to develop a system to support a competitive, efficient,  
12 and seamless west-wide wholesale electricity market. STEP is assessing southern California, southern  
13 Nevada, Arizona, and northwest Mexico. To date, between 60 to 100 people have attended STEP  
14 meetings. Through the collaborative STEP process, technical studies are being conducted to develop  
15 specific projects.

16         Activities by the SSG-WI-PWG and STEP to assess alternatives to increase transmission  
17 capacity to the Southwest and Mexico are particularly important given the substantial additional  
18 generation that has been added in the Southwest, Mexico and Southern California. The CA ISO is  
19 aware of 6,600 MW of new generation that has or will soon come on line in the Southwest. In addition,  
20 3,140 MWs of new generation has or will soon come on line in Southern Nevada. 1,660 MWs of new  
21 generation has or will soon come on line in Mexico near Mexicali. Finally, 2,120 MWs of new  
22 generation has or will come on line in Southern California.

23         In addition, since the SSG-WI-PWG process is reviewing the entire Western Interconnect, it also  
24 provides a forum for exploring regional transmission needs to the Northwest. It is the CA ISO’s intent  
25 to integrate the results of the STEP process into the SSG-WI-PWG effort. The CA ISO recognizes the  
26 significance of the STEP process as a successful subregional planning effort that can coordinate  
27 development of transmission within the southwest region of the Western Interconnect. The CA ISO  
28

believes that a companion process similar to STEP must be initiated with interested Pacific Northwest individuals in order to assure the successful development of a comprehensive regional transmission plan for California and our interconnected neighbors in the Pacific Northwest. To this end, the CA ISO is in the process of contacting key Pacific Northwest entities in an effort to initiate a subregional planning process with the Pacific Northwest.

The CPUC should remain abreast of these processes on an ongoing basis to adequately assess the utilities' long-term procurement plans. First, on a very practical level, the CPUC should be aware that the CA ISO is participating with the utilities and interested stakeholders in assessing and identifying the best transmission alternatives to interconnect power from the Southwest and Mexico. The CA ISO expects as a result of this work to have identified the best alternative to accomplish this objective towards the end of this year. Based on this work, the CA ISO expects that one or more utilities will bring to the CA ISO Governing Board for its approval the projects that are determined through these various processes to be the technically superior, most economic alternatives for California. Once the CA ISO Governing Board has approved the projects, they will likely require a CPCN from the CPUC. Pending the results of this work, it would be premature for the CPUC to determine in this proceeding the best alternative to access power from the Southwest and Mexico.

Second, the CA ISO believes it is important for the CPUC to remain abreast of these processes as it considers the best long-term procurement plans for the utilities, as transmission will be an integral component of developing the best plans to meet the needs of the utilities' customers. The CPUC is welcome in both the STEP and the SSG-WI-PWI. Moreover, if the CPUC desires additional information about what is being considered in these processes, the CA ISO would be happy to provide more detailed information.

## **II. INADEQUACIES IN THE IOUS' LONG-TERM PROCUREMENT PLANS WITH REGARDS TO THE DELIVERABILITY OF RESOURCES**

Energy and capacity from a generation resource is delivered to customer load through the high voltage transmission system. The capability of the transmission system to deliver generation to load is limited. In order to ensure that a particular future resource portfolio will be adequate for a given utility, the utility must perform a transmission study under reasonable worst-case conditions that shows that the

1 resources can be reliably delivered to the load.

2       Some local transmission systems are insufficient to serve the local load entirely from resources  
3 outside of the area. Generation resources located within these local areas must be dispatched in order to  
4 reliably serve the local load. One way to ensure that enough local generation will be available for  
5 dispatch is to require a utility with load in the local area to procure a certain percentage of its capacity  
6 from the resources located in the local area.

7       As a general matter, the utilities' long-term procurement plans do not adequately demonstrate  
8 deliverability. Some examples of inadequacies follow:

9           SCE's Preferred Plan includes the XXX.

10          SDG&E's Balanced Portfolio plan includes XXX.

11          PG&E's Long Term Plan Base Case includes XXX.

12 However, none of the plans included a deliverability analysis of the resources or sufficient information  
13 for the CAISO to perform a deliverability analysis. More specific locational information is needed in  
14 order for the CAISO to analyze the deliverability of these resources. It is understood that the exact  
15 location may not be known, but enough information about the location must be provided in order to  
16 perform a meaningful transmission deliverability analysis. For example SCE could specify the location  
17 XXX.