**Stakeholder Comments Template**

**Subject: Payment Acceleration Proposal**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Submitted by** | **Company** | **Date Submitted** |
| *Please fill in name and contact number of specific person who can respond to any questions on these comments.* | *Please fill in here* | *Please fill in here* |

This template has been created for submission of stakeholder comments on the following topics in regards to Payment Acceleration. Upon completion of this template please submit (in MS Word) to [pacceleration@caiso.com](mailto:pacceleration@caiso.com). Submissions are requested by close of business on October 2nd, 2008.

Please submit your comments to the following questions for each topic in the spaces indicated.

1. **Bifurcation of DA/RT Settlements**

During the Payment Acceleration Stakeholder meeting on August 19th, 2008, Calpine presented a proposal to bifurcate the DA/RT settlements (proposal was posted for MP review on 8/20/08). CAISO is conducting an impact analysis on this proposal and to date has concluded the following:

* No legal or policy issues exist that would prevent a DA/RT market settlement bifurcation.
* System and process impacts exist, however; CAISO feels they are manageable.
* Due to system/process impacts, implementation would occur post MRTU go-live.
* Complexity of Meter Estimation is eliminated.

Please provide comments on any impacts this proposal would have on your systems and/or processes.

(Submit Comments Here)

1. **Methodology for Estimating Meter Data**

CAISO held a conference call on September 18th, 2008 to discuss potential methodologies for estimating Meter Data at T+5B absent polled or SC submitted data availability. Options discussed are listed below:

* Using DA IFM Schedules Only
* Using DA IFM + adjustment based on CAISO Actual Load
* Use current Credit Liability Meter Data estimation (uses the IFM DA schedule and adder of + /- 10% factor (or other % Factor).

(Submit Comments/Pros/Cons Here)

1. **Guidelines for SC submitted T+5B Meter Data**
   * “measurement file” guideline vs. SQMD requirement
   * Determining accuracy for SC submitted “measurement file” or SQMD
   * Responsibilities for compliance for SC submitted “measurement file” or SQMD

(Submit Comments/Pros/Cons Here)

1. **In cases where Meter Data estimation is used, do you support applying interest charges on the variation between initial & true-up statements?**

(Submit Comments Here)

1. **Implementation Schedule**

Would you support a manual invoicing process to accelerate payments and cash clearing on an interim basis until the final Payment Acceleration solution can be implemented post MRTU go-live? The manual process would not require any SaMC external interface changes. It would be based on pre-payment of DA charge codes and be reflected on the SaMC invoice.

(Submit Comments Here)

1. **Invoicing Options**

Please comment on the following invoice preference:

* Monthly on a fixed date - i.e.) 20th of every month
* Proposed – 3rd Tuesday of each month
* Semi-Annual or Weekly

Mixing Initial & True-up Statement across Different Accounting Months on same invoice.

(Submit Comments Here)

1. **Other Comments?**

(Submit Comments Here)