

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426

California Independent System
Operator Corporation
Docket No. ER07-569-001
September 7, 2007

California Independent System Operator Corporation
151 Blue Ravine Road
Folsom, CA 95630

Attention: Sidney M. Davies, Assistant General Counsel
Attorney for the California Independent System
Operator Corporation

Reference: Compliance Filing

Dear Ms. Davies:

On May 23, 2007, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) filed revised tariff sheet in accordance with the Commission's directives included in its April 24, 2007 order in Docket No. ER07-569-000.¹ Specifically, the Commission in its April 24th Order accepted, with modifications, the CAISO proposal to reduce the minimum scheduling requirement during off-peak hours from 95 percent to 75 percent of each Scheduling Coordinator's demand forecast. Additionally, the Commission's April 24th Order directed the CAISO to make three general modifications to its Load Scheduling amendment tariff sheets. In response, the CAISO first has deleted section 4.5.4.2.1.3 and corresponding tariff references to reflect the rejection of an exemption from the forward Scheduling requirement for twelve minor scheduling deviations. Secondly, the CAISO has revised section 31.1.4.1 to reflect the Commission's rejection of an exemption from the \$500 penalty for failure to submit demand forecast information. Finally, the CAISO has modified its tariff to reflect an extension of *de minimis* load exemption to the weekly reporting obligations in section 31.1.4.2 of its tariff. The proposed tariff sheets satisfactorily comply with the Commission's directives in its April 24th Order and are accepted for filing, effective April 26, 2007, consistent with the effective date assigned in the Commission's April 24th Order.

¹ See *California Independent System Operator Corporation*, 119 FERC ¶ 61,082 (2007) (April 24th Order).

This filing was noticed on May 30, 2007, with protests, comments, or motions to intervene due on or before June 13, 2007. No protests or comments were filed. Notices of intervention and unopposed timely filed motions to intervene are granted pursuant to the operation of Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. 385.214). Any opposed or untimely filed motion to intervene is governed by the provisions of Rule 214.

This acceptance for filing shall not be construed as constituting approval of the referenced filing or of any rate, charge, classification, or any rule, regulation, or practice affecting such rates or services provided for in the filed documents; nor shall such acceptance be deemed as recognition of any claimed contractual right or obligation associated therewith; and such acceptance is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been or any which may hereafter be made by the Commission in any proceeding now pending or hereafter instituted by or against California Independent System Operator Corporation.

This action is taken pursuant to the authority delegated to the Director, Division of Tariffs and Market Development – West, under 18 C.F.R. 375.307. This order constitutes final agency action. Requests for rehearing by the Commission may be filed within thirty days of the date of issuance of this order, pursuant to 18 C.F.R. 385.713.

Sincerely,

Steve P. Rodgers, Director
Division of Tariffs and Market
Development - West

cc: All Parties